

# A proper name semantics for kinds

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Kind reference

**Kind reference** is the ability of NPs to refer to the intension of predicates as a whole. Diagnostic contexts are kind-level predicates (Carlson 1977):

- *rare*
- *inhabit*
- *extinct*
- *invent* (DO)
- etc.

Among the expressions that are usually considered to refer to kinds are

- The kind-level singular *the* (Carlson 1977).
- (1) a. The mammoth is extinct.  
b. The anteater eats ants.
- DPs in the taxonomic reading (quantifying over subkinds) (Dayal 2004).
- (2) a. Some anteaters are extinct. At least one of {GIANT AE., SILKY AE., ...}  
b. The anteaters are divided into two families. All of {GIANT AE., SILKY AE., ...}
- Bare plurals. Also note scopelessness (Chierchia 1998).
- (3) a. Huge angry anteaters inhabit South America.  
b. I didn't see huge angry anteaters. only ANTEATERS >  $\neg$ , not  $\neg$  > ANTEATERS
- *kind-of* (Carlson 1977)
- (4) He is the kind of person that always speaks first.

The first two refer to **well-established kinds** (WEK). The last two refer to ***ad-hoc* kinds** (Mendia 2019). This work only concerns the former.

## 1.2 Classificatory adjectives

The classificatory adjectives are the structurally lowest, semantically peculiar class of adjectives.

- (5) a. polar bear  
b. technical architect  
c. functional grammar

McNally and Boleda (2004) propose that they denote properties of kinds.

## 1.3 What are kinds?

It is unclear.

- Carlson (1977): a special kind of entity.
- Chierchia (1998) (the Neocarlsonian approach): a special kind of entity — an intensional totality of individuals.

WEK-denoting nouns are considered to be **proper name–like** expressions (Carlson 1977; Krifka et al. 1995). They pass the *so-called* test.

- (6) The giant anteater is so called because it can be more than two meters long.

(The anaphoric *so* must refer to something; likely not the post-insertion content)

## 2 The concern of this work

- To capture the semantics of classificatory adjectives and taxonomic readings with an untyped semantics of Chierchia (1984).
  - The Neocarlsonian approach does not predict that relational nouns can be kind-referring, but they can.
- To formalize the idea that WEK are referred to via proper names.

## 3 Nominalized predicates

### 3.1 The HST\* (Cocchiarella 1974; Chierchia 1984)

An untyped lambda-calculus for natural language semantics.

- Types are limited to
  - individuals
  - N-ary predicates over **entities only**

- functors (non-*t*-ending)
- All predicates have an individual counterpart.
  - Predicates are turned individual by the Down-operator  $^{\downarrow}$ .
  - Individuals are turned predicate by the Up-operator  $^{\uparrow}$ .
- This effectively allows predicates to range over predicates.
  - Including ranging over expressions of different types.
  - Including self-predication and much more.

**Note.**  $^{\uparrow}$  and  $^{\downarrow}$  are not type-shifters or semantics of null expressions. They are composition principles and come for free.

### 3.2 The Neocarlsonian semantics (Chierchia 1998)

Kinds are functions from worlds to totalities of individuals that belong to that kind.

Linkean semantics for pluralities (Link 1983): a join semilattice  $\langle E, \oplus \rangle$  where elements above are mereological sums of elements below.

- Part-of relation  $\leq$ : e.g.  $a \oplus b \leq a \oplus b \oplus c$ .
- A kind is the uppermost element in the lattice.

Rethinking Chierchia (1984)'s DOWN and UP operators as type-shifters.

- (7) a.  $^{\downarrow}P = \lambda s \iota x \in D_k. P_s(x)$   
 b.  $^{\uparrow}d = \lambda x. x \leq d_s$

**Note.** It follows that only one-place predicates can correspond to kinds. This makes incorrect predictions (section 8). Further employing the HST\*: kinds are nominalized predicates with no connection to the content of the predicate.

## 4 Classificatory adjectives

- (8) a. technical architect  
 b. pulmonary disease  
 c. brown bear

Tightly related to their nouns.

- Non-compositional semantics.
- Structurally lowest – always linearly adjacent to the noun. In Lithuanian, unseparable by possessors (Rutkowski and Progovac 2006).

- (9) a. *žalia Reginos suknelė* *attributive*  
 green Regina-GEN dress  
 ‘Regina’s green dress’  
 b. *Reginos žalioji arbata* *classificatory*  
 Regina-GEN green tea  
 ‘Regina’s green tea’

Lithuanian

- Available, but limited in predicative position. Require a compatible noun in the subject.  
 $\Rightarrow$  not compounds.

- (10) a. This architect is technical.  
 b. {context: This guy is an architect.}  
 \*This guy is technical.

**Note.** There can be more than one classificatory adjective.

- (11) a. Scandinavian red fox  
 b. This red fox is Scandinavian.  
 c. \*This fox is Scandinavian red.

## 4.1 Definite suffixes

Some languages (Serbian (Rutkowski and Progovac 2005), Lithuanian (Rutkowski and Progovac 2006; Holvoet and Spraunienė 2012), Latvian (Holvoet and Spraunienė 2012)) mark noun phrase definiteness on adjectives.

- (12) a. *skudrlācis*  
 anteater  
 ‘a/the anteater’  
 b. *skaist-s skudrlācis*  
 beautiful-NOM anteater  
 ‘a/\*the beautiful anteater’  
 c. *skaist-ai-s skudrlācis*  
 beautiful-DEF-NOM anteater  
 ‘\*a/the beautiful anteater’

Latvian

The same marker is required on classificatory adjectives, without implying definiteness.

- (13) *liel-ai-s skudrlācis*  
 big-DEF-NOM anteater  
 ‘a/the giant anteater (*Myrmecophaga tridactyla*)’

**The idea here:** classificatory adjectives range over subkinds of the noun (or the noun with classificatory adjectives) (cf. McNally and Boleda 2004).

## 5 Kinds and proper names

WEK can be treated as proper names (Carlson 1977; Heyer 1985; Krifka et al. 1995)

- (14)
- a. *Google* is so called because the creators dreamed of parsing a googol of pages.
  - b. \*My neighbour is so called because he is the only living soul for miles.
  - c. The anteater is so called because it eats ants.
  - d. The giant anteater is so called because it can be more than two meters long.

Conceptual similarity: kinds are rigid designators (Krifka et al. 1995).

Predicative position availability for classificatory adjectives is symmetrical to that of *called*.

- (15)
- a. Such architects are called technical.
  - b. \*Such guys are called technical.

### 5.1 What are proper names?

Metalinguistic predicates that hold of all entities that bear the corresponding name (Burge 1973, a.m.o.).

- (16)
- a. I saw a Zachary today.
  - b. There are many Zacharies here.
  - c. The Zachary I told you about is following me.

Names in their standard usage (*Zachary is crazy*) have a null determiner / are IOTA type-shifted: the unique most salient *Zachary* (Elbourne 2005).

## 6 The proposal

Both nouns and classificatory adjectives are proper names.

- One-place metalinguistic predicates over kinds.
  - True for any kind that bears the name.
- A IOTA is applied on every node in an NP's ext. projection to derive the unique kind.
- A predicate is true for individuals as well as its subkinds.
  - For any well-established predicates  $p$  and  $q$ , if  $\forall x[p(x) \implies q(x)]$ , then  $q(^{\cap}p)$

- (17) a.  $\llbracket \text{anteater} \rrbracket = \lambda k. \text{CALLED}(\text{anteater})(k)$   
 b.  $\text{IOTA} \llbracket \text{anteater} \rrbracket = \iota k. \text{CALLED}(\text{anteater})(k) = \text{ANTEATER}$   
 c.  ${}^u\text{IOTA} \llbracket \text{anteater} \rrbracket = \lambda x. \text{ANTEATER}(x)$   
 d.  $\llbracket \text{giant} \rrbracket = \lambda k. \text{CALLED}(\text{giant})(k)$   
 e.  $\llbracket \text{giant anteater} \rrbracket = \lambda x. \text{CALLED}(\text{giant})(k) \wedge \text{ANTEATER}(x)$

In simpler terms,

- the ANTEATER is the kind that is called “anteater”.
- the GIANT ANTEATER is the kind of anteater that is called “giant”.

*-ai-* is an opaque definiteness marker, with the semantics of IOTA. It naturally occurs on classificatory adjectives as well.

- (18) a.  $\llbracket \text{-ai-} \rrbracket(\llbracket \text{liel- skudrlāci-} \rrbracket) = \iota k. \text{CALLED}(\text{giant})(k) \wedge \text{ANTEATER}(x)$

## 7 Taxonomic NPs

Determiners can range over subkinds. Singular *the* returns the kind itself.

- (19) a. The anteater inhabits South America.  
 b. Some anteaters are extinct.  
 c. The anteaters are divided into two families.

Earlier: kinds are true of their subkinds.

- It follows that determiners can range over subkinds.

- (20)  $\exists k. \text{ANTEATER}(k) \wedge \text{EXTINCT}(k)$

- Kinds are also true of themselves.
- The totality of ANTEATER subkinds is extensionally equal to ANTEATER.
- The ANTEATER is a single kind.  
 $\Rightarrow$  To refer to the whole kind, singular *the* is used.

## 8 Additional: relational nouns against the Neocarlsonian semantics

If we accept the analysis above, we assume that classificatory adjectives and nouns range over kinds upon combining.

Relational nouns (type  $\langle e, t \rangle$ ) combine with classificatory adjectives: *older brother*, *personal assistant*, etc.

(21) {Context: Anna has two older brothers.}

*Anna-s vec-āk-ai-s brālis iegūva Nobela premiju*  
Anna-GEN old-COMP-DEF-NOM brother received Nobel's prize  
'An older brother of Anna has received Nobel's prize.'

⇒ Relational nouns denote kinds.

Neocarlsonian semantics cannot deal with it.

- There is no totality of BROTHERS to be the reference of the kind.
- The result of applying UP is always one-place, predicts [[older brother]] to be one-place.

## 9 Summary

- Well-established kinds — nouns, classificatory adjectives, (compounds...) — are underlyingly proper names.
- Classificatory adjectives range over subkinds.
- The kind-level singular *the* explained in terms of self-predication.
- Neocarlsonian semantics does not capture the whole picture.
- Still much work to do.
  - The external semantics of kind-referring NPs is yet to be developed.
  - Everything is murky with ad-hoc kinds.

## References

- Burge, Tyler (1973). "Reference and Proper Names". In: **The Journal of Philosophy** 70.14, pp. 425–439.
- Carlson, Gregory Norman (1977). "Reference to Kinds in English". Amherst: University of Massachusetts.
- Chierchia, Gennaro (1984). "Topics in the Syntax and Semantics of Infinitives and Gerunds". Amherst: University of Massachusetts.
- (1998). "Reference to Kinds across Languages". In: **Natural Language Semantics** 6.4, pp. 339–405.
- Cocchiarella, Nino (1974). "Fregean Semantics for a Realist Ontology". In: **Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic** 15.
- Dayal, Veneeta (2004). "Number Marking and (in)Definiteness in Kind Terms". In: **Linguistics and Philosophy** 27.4, pp. 393–450.
- Elbourne, Paul D. (2005). **Situations and Individuals**. Massachusetts Institute of Technology. 256 pp.

- Heyer, Gerhard (1985). "Generic Descriptions, Default Reasoning, and Typicality". In: 12.1, pp. 33–72.
- Holvoet, Axel and Birutė Spraunienė (2012). "Towards a Semantic Map for Definite Adjectives in Baltic". In: **Baltic Linguistics** 3, pp. 65–99.
- Krifka, Manfred et al. (1995). "Genericity: An Introduction". In: **The Generic Book**, pp. 1–124.
- Link, Godehard (1983). "The Logical Analysis of Plurals and Mass Terms: A Lattice-theoretical Approach". In: **Meaning, Use, and Interpretation of Language**. Ed. by Rainer Bäuerle, Christoph Schwarze, and Arnim Von Stechow. De Gruyter, pp. 302–323.
- McNally, Louise and Gemma Boleda (2004). "Relational Adjectives as Properties of Kinds". In: *Empirical Issues in Syntax and Semantics* 5.
- Mendia, Jon Ander (2019). "Reference to Ad Hoc Kinds". In: **Linguistics and Philosophy** 43.6, pp. 589–631.
- Rutkowski, Paweł and Ljiljana Progovac (2005). "Classification Projection in Polish and Serbian: The Position and Shape of Classifying Adjectives". In: **Formal Approaches to Slavic Linguistics 13: The South Carolina Meeting**. Ed. by Steven Franks, Frank Y. Gladney, and Mila Tasseva-Kurktchieva. Ann Arbor, MI: Michigan Slavic Publications, pp. 289–299.
- (2006). "Classifying Adjectives and Noun Movement in Lithuanian".