



# The practitioner tight rope

A guided discussion tool for recognising and supporting worker resilience

Dr Roberta Evans and Richard Swann

2021

Version 2

© Dr Roberta Evans and Richard Swann  
First produced by: Vlinder Consultancy Ltd 2016  
[www.tightropetool.com](http://www.tightropetool.com)

**This toolkit was designed for experienced managers and trainers who should feel confident in their ability and qualification to engage workers and teams from specialist professions to recognise and develop their resilience. Training and coaching on the use of the tight rope® as a tool for identifying and supporting worker resilience is available.**

**For further information please contact:**  
[dr.robertaevans@gmail.com](mailto:dr.robertaevans@gmail.com) or [rswann@ntlworld.com](mailto:rswann@ntlworld.com)

**Please read the following copyright statement:**

The authors have asserted their right in accordance with the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988 to be identified as the authors of this work.

The tight rope is a registered trademark and cannot be reproduced for registered means.

All rights reserved. No part of this document may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission in writing of the publisher and the copyright owners, apart from the exception stated below.

Photocopying and printing permission: The resource masters in this publication may be copied and printed without fee or prior permission by the purchaser subject to both of the following conditions: that the item is reproduced in its entirety, including the trademark and copyright acknowledgement; that the use of the materials as an education tool (for example, but not limited to: training, conferencing or workshops) is only done by individuals or members of an organisation who have been previously trained and/or certified as licenced trainers of the tight rope®.

*Vector images are © to Leremy Gan - [leremy@gmail.com](mailto:leremy@gmail.com)*

# Contents

Introduction.....	4
1. Resilience .....	5
What is resilience? .....	5
Impact of trauma and stress .....	6
Resilience for ‘helping’ professionals .....	9
Resilience in the workplace .....	10
Resilience in a national or global crisis .....	12
2. Introducing the <u>tight rope</u> .....	14
The analogy .....	14
The analogy for assessing workplace resilience .....	15
Using the toolkit in practice .....	15
3. <u>Tight rope</u> form and materials .....	20
Template for capturing information .....	20
Prompts with guidance .....	23
<i>What does safe ground look like? What is the goal?</i> .....	24
<i>Exploring values and needs</i> .....	26
<i>What are the warning signs of being unbalanced?</i> .....	31
<i>Past positive foundations</i> .....	35
<i>What makes the path ‘muddy’?</i> .....	39
<i>Identifying strengths to stay balanced</i> .....	43
<i>Compounding current concerns ‘steps up’</i> .....	48
<i>Who or what is ‘the safety net’?</i> .....	52
<i>Choosing the ‘Next Steps’</i> .....	57
<i>Scale progress and motivation</i> .....	61
<i>Forecasting - Contingency planning</i> .....	62
4. Conclusion.....	63
5. References .....	64

# Introduction

## Who is this toolkit for?

This toolkit has been developed for managers and trainers of teams working in statutory and specialist services working with vulnerable, complex, high risk or high concern individuals and families.

For example:

- Social Care managers
- Youth Justice managers
- Probation managers
- Healthcare managers
- Substance Misuse managers
- Child, Adolescent and Mental Health Services managers

## What is in the toolkit?

Chapter 1 gives a summary of literature on resilience, in the practitioner and the workplace

Chapter 2 introduces the tight rope analogy and how this can be applied in practice

Chapter 3 provides the tools and materials for use in practice and/or training, including a subchapter for each area of the analogy and the references to the prompts within the toolkit

Chapter 4 will conclude with questions to support a review

# 1. Resilience

*“Resilience is ...the process of, capacity for, or outcome of successful adaption despite challenging or threatening circumstances”*

*(Masten et al, 1990)*

## What is resilience?

There is a wealth of definitions of, and approaches to, individual resilience. Within the helping professions there is widespread agreement that resilience is a protective resource that might help vulnerable children successfully recover from environmental adversity (Haggerty, Sherrod, Garmezy & Rutter, 1996). In the field of adult mental health, it is seen as a vital component of protecting the psychological wellbeing of traumatised adults (Bonanno, 2004). Environmental influences, such as attachment style in childhood, is thought to be predictive of resilience to stress in later life (Buckner, Mezzacappa & Beardslee, 2003).

More latterly there has been an increased interest in workplace resilience as a possible explanation as to why some practitioners may succumb to burnout or compassion fatigue, whilst others appear to thrive despite considerable pressures (Collins, 2008; Morrison, 2007 and Howe, 2008). Kinman and Grant (2011) have developed a hypothesis that emotional resilience is a predictor of accurate empathy in social workers.

While the common narrative of resilience is the ability to “bounce back” despite adversity and the resource to do well against poor odds, to cope, and importantly to recover; the concept of resilience is commonly understood with reference to risk, vulnerability, and protective factors.


- *Risks* are any factors which increases the probability of an undesired outcome.
- *Vulnerability* is a feature which determines whether a person is more susceptible to a threat.
- *Protective* factors are those which offer a buffer against the ill-effects of risk.

Resilience is a dynamic process involving a personal negotiation through life that fluctuates across time, life stage and context. The Practitioner tight rope is the analogy used for this complex negotiation.

## Impact of trauma and stress

All of us have pathways in the brain, within the limbic system, involved in responding to sights, sounds, touch, and smells. They are involved in our behavioural and emotional responses, particularly in times of survival (needing to eat or sleep or escape an attack). These are also associated with our decision making, problem solving, empathy and insight.

Below is a visual representation of the areas of the limbic system. Imagine if the brain was like an office building, where there are different roles at play in the building ...



Adapted from Pete Thompson, 2004

© Dr Roberta Evans (2014)

The Frontal Cortex is the area responsible for:

- Controlling impulses
- Initiating appropriate behaviour
- Organising things
- Working memory
- Self-awareness
- Setting priorities
- Making decisions
- Empathy
- Sensitivity to feedback
- Insight

The Thalamus is responsible for relaying incoming messages to other areas of the system and the Hippocampus forms memories, catalogues them and files them away into long-term storage.


These connections also help us

- Associate memories with various senses
- Have spatial awareness and navigate surroundings
- Learn new things

The Amygdala is responsible for our emotional responses and

- Generating feelings like pleasure, disgust, sadness, anger, and fear / anxiety
- Attaches emotional content to memories (and whether to 'flag' them as important)
- Forms memories related to fear and triggers our fight, flight or fright response
- Sending warning messages to the frontal cortex

Below is a visual of how the brain may be impacted by trauma or sustained stress. Whereby the hippocampus is flooded or chaotic, making it difficult to sort and filter what needs to support clear decisions or urgent reactions. The Frontal cortex becomes overwhelmed and foggy. The Amygdala is more pronounced and more likely to respond with fight, flight or freeze in times of heightened emotions, particularly when linked to 'flagged' memories.



Some behaviours that would indicate a hyper-aroused amygdala would include the following:

Fight / Flight Response

- Overwhelmed
- Chaotic responses
- Emotional outbursts
- Anger, aggression or rage
- Impulsivity
- Rigidity

Freeze Response

- Disassociation
- Not present
- Unavailable / shut down
- Memory loss
- Disconnected
- Running on autopilot

These are outlined by Dezelic (2013) as indicators of going outside the window of tolerance. In the tight rope analogy, they're warning signs of 'falling off'. Within the window of tolerance would be calm, cool, collected and connected behaviours, with emotional regulation and the ability to self-soothe. In the tight rope these would be the ability to stay balanced.

To quieten the amygdala and reduce the reactive stress response, the brain needs to:

- work on non-emotional tasks
- have a sense of purpose and meaning

Dezelic (2013) also outlines the following activities to support tolerance and flexibility:

- Mindfulness
- Grounding Exercises
- Techniques for Self-Soothing and Emotional Regulation
- Deep, Slow Breathing
- Recognising Limiting Beliefs and countering with positive statements about self
- New Choices

Grant, Kinman and Alexander (2013) refer to similar methods for social work students and in other papers regarding social worker resilience, including:

- Meditation and Mindfulness
- Cognitive Behavioural Therapy
- Supervision and Reflective Practice
- Peer Coaching
- Time Management
- Self-Knowledge and Action Planning



## Resilience for 'helping' professionals

Working with vulnerable clients is challenging for any profession. To engage successfully with human adversity requires considerable professional and personal skills and knowledge. This work is both intellectually demanding and emotionally laden. Jan Horwarth (2015) states “practitioners, irrespective of the service users they work with, have educational, emotional and supervisory needs”. Convincingly she argues that practitioners must have their *own* needs met to have an “effective working alliance with families”.

Dr Louise Grant and Prof Gail Kinman have provided extensive papers about resilience in social work training and practice (2009 – 2016) and have developed tools to assess and enhance resilience of students and practitioners and believe the following factors underpin resilience:

- Emotional literacy / self-efficacy
- Bounded empathy / compassion
- Self-care / self-compassion
- Reflective ability
- Appropriate coping style / coping flexibility
- Social resources, support / confidence

(Kinman and Grant, 2011)

Research conducted by Näswall, Kuntz and Malinen (2015) identified the following factors for employee resilience:

- I effectively collaborate with others to handle unexpected challenges at work
- I successfully manage a high workload for long periods of time
- I resolve crises competently at work
- I learn from mistakes at work and improve the way I do my job
- I re-evaluate my performance and continually improve the way I do my work
- I effectively respond to feedback at work, even criticism
- I seek assistance to work when I need specific resources
- I approach managers when I need their support
- I use change at work as an opportunity for growth

## Resilience in the workplace

Factors of worker resilience would be influenced by both personal characteristics and organizational context. If there is an absence or active avoidance of the essential building blocks of resilience within any caring organisation, client safety and well-being could be compromised.

For instance, The Francis Report (2013) identified “an insidious negative culture involving a tolerance of poor standards and a disengagement from managerial and leadership responsibilities.” Within such an adverse hospital environment the Francis Inquiry heard distressing evidence of appalling care of, and indifference to vulnerable patients, whose outcomes often worsened. Therefore, a respectful, humane and robust organisational culture not only influences practitioner development, but it also provides the environment necessary for clients to build their own resilience.

In balance with practitioners utilising abilities such as perseverance, emotional management, and self-efficacy, the cultural and climatic elements of the workplace can impact the quality of practice *and* the physical, emotional, educational, and supervisory needs of the practitioner.

The Chartered Institute of Personnel and Development (CIPD) (2021) points out that “interestingly, ‘protective’ factors that *support* resilience have a bigger impact than negative factors that *undermine* it” [their emphasis].

The availability of effective supervision and proximity of solid peer relationships are essential elements of the immediate work environment. Structures of support and guidance are especially important when practitioners are engaged in change work with high risk populations. As Ruch (2007) highlights:

*“Feelings generated by complex, unpredictable situations can have the effect of disrupting cognition and fragmenting thought processes”*

The engaged team leader, or supervisor, can heavily influence the practitioner’s ability to manage the toll of high emotion. Effective supervisors are the stewards of organisational energy. They have the capacity to motivate and contain individuals and teams. The containment function of the supervisor is demonstrated when:

*“The linking of feelings and thoughts generates emotional and cognitive development — thoughtfulness—and contributes to the construction of structures for thinking. The absence of a ‘container’ impairs this developmental construction process”* (Davys & Beddoe, 2010).

Rather than the supervisor or manager directly teaching or telling the professional how best to apply their practice, the learning and growth (and resilience) comes from within the containment of the supervision experience. This includes the attitude of the supervisor and by them exploring the worker’s role, curiously examining what comes out of their work and sharing doubts and allowing new ideas to come from any anxieties (Ungar & Busch Ahumada, 2001)

The building of a working alliance between the practitioner and supervisor creates greater organizational resilience. In an analysis of Serious Case Reviews, Brandon et al (2012) identify that professionalism and authoritative practice needs to be embedded in the training and supervision of professionals and:

*“Building cultures of support and empowerment needs strong organisational leadership within Local Safeguarding Children Boards and in their constituent agencies.”* (page 205).

The Health and Safety Executive (HSE) (2009) have developed a stress management competency tool<sup>1</sup>, which provides managers space to reflect on how much they are:

- Respectful and Responsible: managing emotions and having integrity
- Managing and communicating existing and future work
- Managing the Individual within the Team
- Reasoning / Managing difficult situations

Durban et al (2021) provide a continuum of cultural competence that supports inclusive leadership, which is upfront and personal and shows courage, humility, constant learning and an understanding of the reality experienced by staff.

Therefore, organisational culture and practice can increase the dangers associated with sustained stress and the workplace environment (or structure) and can optimise practitioner resilience.

---

<sup>1</sup> <https://www.hse.gov.uk/stress/mcit.htm>

## Resilience in a national or global crisis

Since March 2020 health, education and social care services have been tested in a way that this generation of professionals has never seen before. Several authors have outlined the severity and long-term consequences of the unique global event of the Covid19 Pandemic and on these professions. An internet search on the matter will reveal several publications and more will come on the enduring impact of the pandemic long after the virus has been controlled or managed.

The impact of the pandemic on front-line workers was evident in the early research following the lockdowns. As highlighted by Ashcroft et al (2021:4) workers faced an array of challenges that put them at risk of moral injury:

- Lack of resources to do their jobs
- Fear about their own health
- Guilt, shame, grief
- Exhaustion
- Lack of guidance and training to navigate their changing roles

The impact of Covid is not isolated in any one system (Wernli et al, 2021), and these all impact on each other. Therefore, those on the frontline are experiencing different levels and types of pressure that are also interconnected.

The pandemic has also put a spotlight on the level of inequality in society (BASW, 2020), which has given a timely opportunity, through an increased sense of responsibility for social justice, in the workforce of frontline organisations.

The opportunity for resilience after disaster is described by Kuntz (2020) as *“the ability for individuals to restore regular psychological functioning following a temporary phase of impairment immediately after the disaster”* (p189).

Wernli et al (2021) in reviewing system and societal resilience, refer to resilience as the ability to maintain core functions while minimising the health impact. Therefore, organisations need to ensure workers continue with their tasks, while planning for reduced staffing and supporting emotional needs in the face of a crisis.

Positive adaptation, which supports a trajectory of thriving after surviving (rather than declining), is achieved through:

- accessing personal resources
- accessing social resources
- seeing the opportunity for new resources and growth

The personal and organisational factors of this workbook correlate with the resilience factors and threats experienced by frontline workers in the global crisis. Frontline workers had to:

- adapt
- be flexible
- be creative
- show professional capability and empathy

The support that workers need to maintain this level of adaptability is crucial and *“organisations that are resilient will be better able to manage the shocks and challenges to the system created by the current pandemic”* (Grant and Kinman, 2020).

The impact of the pandemic on ethnically diverse employees has been strikingly significant, due to health inequalities experienced by people from ethnically diverse communities, that have been highlighted throughout the pandemic (GatenbySanderson, 2021). As a result of structural racism, there has been increased levels of bereavement and loss for workers from ethnically diverse groups (Durban, Spillet and Cambell-Stephens, 2021).

Thereby increasing the need for managers and organisations to be:


- engaging
- empathetic
- respectful
- responsive
- adapting and
- improving

## 2. Introducing the tight rope

*“Only relationship-based cultures offer the security to tolerate, contain and explore uncertainty and unknowing in a productive and collaborative manner”.*  
(Morrison, 2010)

### The analogy

During transition, crisis, change and development individuals can experience a period of volatility and imbalance. This could be likened to walking on a tightrope. How volatile the experience is will depend on historical risk and protective factors, internal resilience, external support and ongoing or current pressures. These are all used in the tight rope® tool as shown below:



## The analogy for assessing workplace resilience

The tight rope can be used to map out, analyse, and reflect about:

- The skills and attributes a person (or project) have that help them be safe and well.
- What we are worried will happen and what the warning signs are of future burnout.
- Sometimes a 'muddy path' or uneven ground due to organisational and personal work experiences will make the ground under the tightrope more wobbly
- Likewise, past positive experiences will help give a foundation and stability for change
- Current concerns mounting up to make the situation more stressful (higher ladder).
- Having a strong safety net (that is not too tight or too loose) will be protective during this time and make it easier to be supported to take the steps needed.
- Being on the tightrope can mean different things for different people, it can be exciting, scary, fun, and risky, but it's not safe to stay up too high for too long. It's important to have an idea of what 'safe ground' looks like.
- To take the steps back down on 'safe ground' think about what motivates us to stay up or move forward – and this will be different for different people.
- Thinking about the steps needed to get to safe ground can help sequence what needs to happen first, formulate an action plan, and consider contingency planning.

## Using the toolkit in practice

The materials in this toolkit can be used in a variety of ways:

- During supervision when a worker is feeling stuck to support decisions for further action
- A self-reflective process regarding a specific service user
- Actively and interactively engage a worker in a discussion about their goals
- Following a critical incident to support increased resilience
- As part of an appraisal process or workforce development planning
- When there is a dip in professional performance to draw on previous good practice and identify any barriers to getting the worker back to previous performance
- As a group work or training exercise for practitioners to understand the different elements of professional practice.

The tight rope analogy could be used as a group-based activity a part of an 'Away Day'. For example, an outdoor group using a confidence course and high-wire activities then reflecting on how that experience compares to taking risks in real life and then thinking about this in relation to the tight rope model. Even without access to a confidence course having a line drawn on the floor using rope or masking tape could act as a physical tool to take the individual along a discussion about risks, strengths and needs.

Although it might be helpful to support a worker following a difficult period when they may have been involved in disciplinary processes, this tool is not designed for that process specifically. This tool is designed for supporting strength-based practice. Therefore, it could be helpful following the conclusion of any formal process to think about how the worker can be reintegrated into the workplace and supported through that process.

We have found through application of the tight rope in coaching sessions that:

- ❖ Scaling is helpful – as it allows the person to incrementally think about change rather than grand steps to achieve goal
- ❖ Imagery is accessible to both person facilitating and person receiving
- ❖ Importance of identifying what the person's goals are for the session at the beginning
- ❖ Clarify some relevant context (to get picture of past path and worries)
- ❖ Remind person what they have done previously that's worked well
- ❖ Inquire about what steps they have already taken before met with us in order to reach their desired goal
- ❖ Help them be planful to take the next steps to reach safe ground



## Examples of how the authors have used this approach

### Mentoring

Richard had been asked by a senior manager to provide mentoring for an experienced practitioner who was experiencing emotional difficulties when working with very vulnerable children and young people. The practitioner was very open about how the nature of their role often evokes strong personal resonances, whilst created difficulties. The only strategy, as the practitioner saw it, was to leave the organisation. The senior manager valued the practitioner and wished to offer them the opportunity to review their decision. Richard used the concept of the tightrope to guide the practitioner's expression of their fears, wishes, strengths, perceptions, past coping strategies, and best hopes for the future. The following questions illustrate how the analogy of the tightrope model framed Richard's questions:

*Can you explain in your own words why you are here today?*

*What are the current worries you have? How strong are they?*

*What is going on in your team that makes things worse?*

*Give me an example of how you have coped well with similar worries in the past.*

*What is it about today's meeting that is helping you?*

*What originally motivated you to work with at risk populations?*

*What do your clients most value about you?*

*If your most trusted colleague were here now, what would they tell me about your strengths?*

*Outside of work what gives you strength?*

*What is the kindest thing you can do next for yourself?*

Whilst the practitioner acknowledged that leaving the organisation was still a possibility, they were now reconsidering the timing and manner of such a move, including whether other alternatives could be considered, such as a period of redeployment. This seemed to be a more circumspect position to be in than before.

## Team 'away day' mapping

Roberta used the tight rope as a framework for a team meeting to review the past year and consider plans going forward. She was conscious that across the team staff members had experienced a number of changes, including bereavements, moving house, pregnancies, weddings and separations. These were in the background of three changes in management and two near-critical incidents with the young people they were working with.

The tight rope image was printed on an A3 sheet with the past path and steps filled in advance to acknowledge these past experiences and current pressures, alongside the performance and successes that the team had achieved filled in the past positive foundations. The team were asked to remember the values that were drafted in the previous year's team review and these were placed in the centre of the framework. Everyone was then asked to work in small groups, who were given a copy of the partly filled in A3 tightrope and asked to consider and answer the following questions:

*What skills do you recognise in yourself and others that help you achieve these successes and overcome difficulties?*

*What do you value in yourself and others?*

*What are we worried will happen in the future?*

*What are our goals for the next year?*

*Who else do we have in our network (partnerships / colleagues) that helps us to achieve success and share our goals?*

*What steps can we take as a team to achieve these goals?*

These questions were given in stages so the groups could first feedback after each question and discuss as a whole team. All of the handwritten notes were collaborated and typed up into one final version that was then put on the team's notice board as a reminder of our journey, values, goals and actions. These were then reviewed a year later and updated accordingly.

## Links to Professional Standards

The following table outlines Social Work England's Practice Standards that would be supported by using this toolkit in supervision, coaching or training sessions

4.2 Use supervision and feedback to critically reflect on, and identify my learning needs, including how I use research and evidence to inform my practice
4.8 Reflect on my own values and challenge the impact they have on my practice
6.2 Reflect on my working environment and where necessary challenge practices, systems and processes to uphold Social Work England's professional standards
6.4 Take appropriate action when a professional's practice may be impaired

Social Work England outlines the following in regard to 4.2 (Supervision)

*Supervision describes the support and guidance social workers access to critically reflect on and improve their practice. It is aimed at supporting social workers to consider their own values and judgements and provides a means for exploring a social worker's practice, including ethical dilemmas, cultural issues and decision-making.*

Therefore, the use of this tool could be a helpful means of reflecting on the social worker's values, practice and decision making, alongside consideration of the work environment and their support needs.

For health professionals this course will contribute towards continuing professional development, as outlined in the Intercollegiate document (2014) with a focus upon Attitudes and Values levels 1, 2, 3 and 4 for example, *"Understands the importance and benefits of working in an environment that supports professionals"* and *"Understands the potential personal impact of safeguarding/child protection work on professionals."*

For non-regulated health and social care staff the training will contribute towards continuing professional development with a focus upon Standards 2 (Your personal development), 13 (Health and Safety).

# 3. Tight rope form and materials

## Template for capturing information

The ideal application of this tool is to simply use the analogy to create some space to think and discuss and plan. This could be done without any paper or pen but due to the professional task of ensuring we record then it is probably wise to have some way of capturing the key points.

Maintaining a balanced approach will be important, recognising strengths alongside concerns.

The template (shown on the next page) has ten sections to explore the areas of past and current strengths and risks. The visual analogy is also aligned to Signs of Safety® three columns

- Worries on the left
  - past concerns – person in muddy path
  - current concerns – steps up the ladder; and
  - future concerns / warning signs – person falling off
- Strengths in the middle
  - past positive foundations – person building path / growing plant
  - current external support – safety net
  - Wants and values (person holding hand on their heart)
  - current internal strengths – person balancing on the tightrope
- What needs to happen next on the right
  - Future goals (person holding up a star)
  - What needs to happen (person taking steps down to goals)
  - Contingency planning (person watching clouds with umbrella ready)

There is a scale from 0-10 on the ground to assess progress towards goals. There is also a scale underneath the rope to measure the level of motivation to take the steps needed to the goal(s).

**This template and the cards can be copied and used by managers or practitioners but must maintain the copyright details and must not be amended to ensure validity of delivery.**

## tight rope® mapping

## Worries?


## What are the warning signs?

## Working well?

## Strengths to stay balanced?

## Needs to happen?

## Contingency planning



## Current worries making Tightrope higher?

### Steps planned / need to take

## Past worries making path muddy?

## What can others do to support?

## Past positive foundations

## What is the goal? What does safe ground look like?

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Scale of safety / stability (0 = still in place of worries, 10 = on safe and stable ground)										
tight rope®										

Date:

Supervisor: \_\_\_\_\_

## Prompts with guidance

This workbook includes prompt cards against each of the areas of the tight rope. The prompts are factors drawn from research and reports on practitioner resilience, social capital and development predominantly in statutory organisations, and the organisational and environmental factors that impact or support resilience.

The prompts can be used directly from the workbook or cut out and laminated as an interactive reflection tool in professional or peer supervision.

The prompts should be used as a guide. The specific examples for each worker / team will still need to be teased out. It is also important to be familiar with why the prompts were chosen to clarify their presence and to be mindful of what to explore further when discussing their choice of cards within a team / group work environment. As the manager within the organisation, you will play a role in the safety net and positive or negative experiences. It will be important to acknowledge these to support a reflective process, a task that can support resilience.

Each section has:

- An introduction to the set of prompt cards
- Some guidance on how to encourage discussion to clarify the significance or strength of different factors
- A list of the prompts aligned with the relevant references. The full list of titles from the references and sources are available at the end of this document in the bibliography.

The sections can be reviewed and discussed in any order and can be covered separately in different discussions, but it will always be important to identify both strengths and concerns in the same session. In this workbook they start with motives and warning signs in order to first focus on why the worker is in the role and to be transparent about what signs of stress and burnout are present. Then the discussion can move to future goals and what has happened in the past that can support those goals or may have acted as barriers or stress factors. Finally, the personal strengths of the worker and the safety net (organisational support) can be discussed before agreeing next steps.



## What does safe ground look like? What is the goal?

This section is without prompts since the worker's understanding of what being off the tight rope and on 'safe ground' looks like will be different for each. There are two areas of goal setting:

- Short term (within the session/s)
- Long term (in the future / "problem solved")

### Examples of questions for the goal of the session / short term goals

What would the worker find helpful at the end of this session?

What are your best hopes for today's meeting?

How will you know this process has been useful?

What would you and I have needed to discuss / reflect upon to for you to feel you're moving forward / felt this was useful / feel confident in our ability to resolve these difficulties?

### Questions to support longer term goal(s)

What would you need to see happen to be able to confidently address ... [problem] ...?

What would your colleagues / others notice if we were able to achieve this?

What would you notice?

What would your client / service user notice to be different?

If you were able to achieve your goal, what difference would that make to you and why is that important?



## Scaling


Use the scale on the ground to understand how close they are to their long-term goals

### How is the worker feeling?

The following image has been inspired by the feeling blob tree (Wilson and Long, 2018) and could be used to evaluate where the worker feels they are regarding their resilience or practice (which can be reviewed in future discussions).

For example, are they ... (the worker can interpret them as they wish) ...?

- Working hard and pushing through the traumatic mud but still in the thick of it
- Comfortably reflecting about risk but not going anywhere
- Trying to move on from their past experiences
- Feeling panicked and wobbly
- Just hanging in there
- Taking it easy and expecting their support network to do the work
- Focused on growing from the positives of the past
- Pondering about what to do next
- Excited about the future and changes that can be made
- Taken some action but now feeling stuck about the future
- Confidently moving forward





## Exploring values and needs

The prompts for this section have been developed from Newman's (2004) resilience research, the Wakefield Risk and Resilience Tool (Chrisp et al, 2001) and the findings from the Leading in Colour paper (Durban et al, 2021) that outlines the importance of several childhood development and emotional resilience supporting factors for staff to feel included and equal.

Having a positive self-concept, strong sense of identity, being self-aware and emotional literate are all factors that support practitioner resilience (Grant and Kinman, 2020), therefore the process of identifying goals, values and strengths can support a worker's resilience.

By acknowledging and drawing on the personal values of the worker there is more likely to be 'buy in' to any change. If our motivations are aligned with the team or service vision, practitioners are better placed to withstand current or future challenges that might otherwise throw them off course.

Encourage the worker to think about what values and messages they grew up with and they still hold as important to them.

You can use the prompts or have an open discussion

Consider how the worker's values are consistent with or in conflict to their role

### Consider your role as a manager

This could be an opportunity to identify any actions that you as the manager or others could do to support the worker to achieve their goal from a value-based perspective.

**It will be important to revisit the motives when setting the next steps and to consider the level of motivation for those steps.**

1

**Making  
decisions for  
myself**

tight rope®



2

**Feeling ok  
in myself**

tight rope®



3

**Health /  
Life**

tight rope®



4

**Giving to  
others**

tight rope®



5

**Recognition**

tight rope®



6

**Doing well at  
something**

tight rope®



7

**Culture and  
routines**

tight rope®



Values / Motives

8

**Belonging**

tight rope®



Values / Motives

9

**Being close  
to others**

tight rope®



Values / Motives

10

**Being creative**

tight rope®



Values / Motives

**Having enough  
information**

tight rope®



Values / Motives

12

**Being active**

tight rope®



Values / Motives


## Research to inform prompts for discussing motives and values:

Prompt statement:	References:
1. <b>Making decisions for myself</b>	Internal locus of control (Grant and Kinman, 2020) Chrisp et al (2011) – “working it out”, “expressing self” and “knowing where I’m going” all important for resilience; Sense of personal control key stage of development (Erikson, 1950); Having autonomy is important for inclusion and equality (Durban et al, 2021)
2. <b>Feeling ok in myself</b>	Self-efficacy and self-esteem (Grant and Kinman, 2020), Positive affect CIPD (2021) Loving self Chrisp et al (2011). Feeling that your individuality is accepted is important to support inclusion and equality (Durban et al, 2021). Linked to sense of competence, belonging, safe exploration / activity.
3. <b>Health / Life</b>	Resilience theories (good health is a primary and basic need), Chrisp et al (2011) – keeping safe, understanding the value of food and exercise support resilience
4. <b>Giving to others</b>	Taking responsibility, contributing to others promotes resilience (Newman, 2004); Mental Health Partnership (2013) – five steps to wellbeing include ‘give’; (Chrisp et al, 2011) - opportunity to make a difference and knowing impact on world. Contributions recognised and supported enhances equality (Durban et al, 2021)
5. <b>Recognition</b>	Feeling that your individuality is respected and recognised is important to support inclusion and equality (Durban et al, 2021). Resilience through praise, acknowledgement, and good expectations; ‘Being heard’ and ‘knowing where going’ key to resilience (Chrisp et al, 2011). Developing sense of purpose key stage of development (Erikson, 1950)
6. <b>Doing well at something</b>	Newman (2004) - Development of skills and mastery of tasks build resilience; linked to Recognition; sense of competence - key to development (Erikson, 1950)
7. <b>Cultures and routines</b>	Newman (2004) - Family routines and rituals support resilience; positive sense of self and confidence in culture / diversity supports resilience (Chrisp et al, 2011); Durban et al (2021)
8. <b>Belonging</b>	Sense of belonging early stage of emotional development (Barrow et al, 2001). Belonging and connectivity essential for staff to feel included (Durban et al, 2021) To measure extent people feel they belong is to understand extent they feel need to adapt their behaviours in the workplace to be accepted (GatenbySanderson, 2021)
9. <b>Being close to others</b>	Social Support (CIPD, 2021). Positive relationships and living together (Chrisp et al, 2011). Linked to friendship.
10. <b>Being creative</b>	Sense of coherence (CIPD, 2021). Being heard and expressing self (Chrisp et al, 2011; Barrow et al, 2001)
11. <b>Having enough information</b>	Ability to draw on resources (Grant and Kinman, 2020; CIPD, 2021); Mental Health Partnership (2013) – five steps to wellbeing include ‘keep learning’ and ‘take notice’. Getting information key to resilience (Chrisp et al, 2011).
12. <b>Being active</b>	Freedom to explore and learn linked to resilience and stages of development (Barrow et al, 2001; Erikson, 1950); Mental Health Partnership (2013) – five steps to wellbeing include ‘being active’.

### Further considerations:

Understanding what motivates (or drives) our responses to work-based challenges is an essential component of self-awareness. If aspects of the work role or task are healthily consistent with the worker's value ("I act as an advocate for my client and I know I am altruistic in my nature") this creates resonance. However, dissonance may occur if the task is inconsistent with the worker's sense of their self ("by denying a client their right to a service this challenges who I thought I was").

Newly qualified staff, or new starters to teams, might experience similar challenges when negotiating transitions into unfamiliar roles. Successful adaption to these roles is the hallmark of resilience. The diagram below illustrates that the transition into a new role is possible if they are negotiated, rather than imposed (Morrison & Wonnacott, 2009).



Supporting a worker's sense of self and identity, celebrating their talents, difference and values and promoting diversity and inclusion are also essential for resilience.

*People who work in inclusive cultures feel a sense of belonging; they feel able to bring their authentic selves to work*  
(GatenbySanderson, 2021)



## What are the warning signs of being unbalanced?

This section is to allow the worker(s) and their manager(s) to identify factors that indicate a concern and the need for additional support or strengthening of resilience.

This section can also support the identification of support needs following critical incidents, crisis points or periods of instability that have occurred in the past. These may be incidents that the worker or team are worried will reoccur or escalate in future. The focus should be on how we respond to these rather than ruminating on the event itself (Paton et al, 2008; Roger, 2002).

Encourage the worker to think about why these may be important to explore.

Recognise the workplace pitfalls and triggers for some of these concerns.



Clarify whether the concern is a current or historical issue, how recent it has been present or how often it has occurred.

While it is important to identify the presenting concern that could trigger more complicated cumulated situations of exhaustion or burnout, some of these prompts refer to each other – therefore multiple concerns may be bundled around one issue.

Ensure that the balancing strengths and safety net are considered alongside these warning signs.

### Be mindful of disclosures

Please be mindful of the emotional impact when identifying concerns in a worker's practice and the need to carefully manage the discussion to focus the attention on identifying worker's strengths, how the workplace can support them and the positive steps both the worker and manager need to take. Ensure that the worker fully understands your duties regarding human resources, health and safety and also sharing of information if they disclose information that means that their safety or that of others or where service delivery has / could be significantly impacted.

1	<b>Disengaged</b>		2	<b>Compassion fatigued</b>	
<b>tight rope®</b>		Warning signs of losing balance	<b>tight rope®</b>		Warning signs of losing balance
3	<b>Capacity distress</b>		4	<b>Cynical</b>	
<b>tight rope®</b>		Warning signs of losing balance	<b>tight rope®</b>		Warning signs of losing balance
5	<b>Commit to one hypothesis</b>		6	<b>Reliant on professional information</b>	
<b>tight rope®</b>		Warning signs of losing balance	<b>tight rope®</b>		Warning signs of losing balance
7	<b>Unfinished tasks</b>		8	<b>Just tick boxes</b>	
<b>tight rope®</b>		Warning signs of losing balance	<b>tight rope®</b>		Warning signs of losing balance



9

**Information left  
unchecked**

**tight rope®**



Warning signs of  
losing balance

10

**Defensive  
practice**

**tight rope®**



Warning signs of  
losing balance

11

**Bottling  
emotions**

**tight rope®**



Warning signs of  
losing balance

12

**Often late**

**tight rope®**



Warning signs of  
losing balance

13

**Inaccurate  
assumptions**

**tight rope®**



Warning signs of  
losing balance

14

**Risk avoidant**

**tight rope®**



Warning signs of  
losing balance

15

**Want to leave**

**tight rope®**



Warning signs of  
losing balance

## References supporting the prompts for **WARNING SIGNS**:

Prompt statement	References
<b>1. Disengaged</b>	Consequence of compassion fatigue / burnout (Horwath, 2015). May feel like 'woolly thinking' (Munro, 1996) and can be consequence of experiencing discrimination (Durban et al, 2021)
<b>2. Compassion fatigued</b>	Workers may become exhausted or burnout with the emotional demands of the job and then distance themselves from emotions (Horwath, 2015); or insufficient recovery time from repeated contact with trauma and high caseloads (NSPCC, 2013)
<b>3. Capacity distress</b>	Individuals feel highly motivated to achieve desirable outcomes but are distressed by lack of capacity to do so (Maslach et al, 2001)
<b>4. Cynical</b>	If workers feel unfairly treated, distressed or emotionally exhausted this can fuel cynicism about the workplace (Maslach et al, 2001; Durban et al, 2021; GatenbySanderson, 2021).
<b>5. Commit to one hypothesis</b>	If hypothesis formulated on basis of incomplete information and accepted too quickly (Broadhurst et al, 2010). Fixed attitudes / slow to revise judgements can lead to serious mistakes (Munro, 1996)
<b>6. Reliant on professional information</b>	Insufficient weight given to information from family, friends etc can be a pitfall in social work (Broadhurst et al, 2010)
<b>7. Unfinished tasks</b>	Sign of workers taking on too much and not time managing (Horwath, 2015)
<b>8. Just tick boxes</b>	A 'self-supporting' strategy – appear to get on with the job, prioritise form completion and work to timescales but no focus on relationships or asking for help (Horwath, 2015).
<b>9. Information left unchecked</b>	Can lead to early categorisation without considering the context or history of information (Broadhurst et al. 2010)
<b>10. Defensive practice</b>	Workers may become fearful of getting things wrong and need space to critically reflect on practice (Horwath, 2015). Defensive management is also a warning sign (Durban et al, 2021)
<b>11. Bottling emotions</b>	Important to reach out for emotional support and peer support (Grant, Kinman and Alexander, 2013); The role of reflective supervision in creating space for reflective and emotional thinking is a crucial to contain anxiety (Ruch 2009). Feeling safe to speak up and share opinions without challenge important for inclusion and equality (Durban et al, 2021; GatenbySanderson, 2021)
<b>12. Often late</b>	Workers struggling with workload management compensate by adopting a 'quality-reducing strategy' – may have more work but reduce quality like miss appointments or arrive late (Horwath, 2015).
<b>13. Inaccurate assumptions</b>	This may be when attention is focused on most visible – rather than exploring the less obvious details; not paying attention to what service users say, present or behave; or when sharing information and assuming 'information shared is information understood' (Broadhurst et al, 2010)
<b>14. Risk avoidant</b>	Practitioners may be overly anxious about families and become risk avoidant. This can result in defensive practice and reality distortion (Horwath, 2015)
<b>15. Want to leave</b>	When practitioners feel their supervisory needs are not met or marginalised this can result in high staff turnover (Horwarth, 2015)



## Past positive foundations

These prompts draw on research about ‘resilience’, and ‘social capital’ in the workplace. These are closely associated to the prompts for current balancing skills but are designed to focus on the skills or behaviours that the worker has learnt through training or experience.

In addition to these prompts this can be an opportunity to consider evidenced-based strategies that have strong potential to enhance self-care and resilience. For example:

- enhancing reflective practice.
- mentoring and peer coaching to enhance support.
- mindfulness and relaxation.
- experiential learning

Encourage the worker to think about times when they have managed well or when things have been a little easier.

Have there been times when they have felt confident or capable, demonstrated resilience or sought support – ask what was happening at the time?

Discuss times before their behaviour was worrying.

### Be mindful of pessimism and hopelessness

It can be easy to focus on the worrying behaviour and focus on these as the problem to be fixed. Identifying how and when a worker has managed well in the past will help provide some indications of areas of foundation for change.

Workers who are thinking about leaving the organisation or feeling desperate about their current situation may not be in the frame of mind to consider past positive examples. You may need to give examples of behaviour or practice that you have been witness to first.

1

**Help I've had**

**tight rope**



Positive foundations

2

**Something  
proud of**

**tight rope**



Positive foundations

3

**Good practice  
acknowledged**

**tight rope**



Positive foundations

4

**Emotional  
resilience  
training**

**tight rope**



Positive foundations

5

**Training  
prepared me for  
the job**

**tight rope**



Positive foundations

6

**Had  
opportunities to  
reflect**

**tight rope**



Positive foundations

7

**Found solutions**

**tight rope**



Positive foundations

8

**Maintained self-  
belief**

**tight rope**



Positive foundations

9

**Good time  
management**

**tight\_**rope



Positive foundations

10

**Stable financial  
organisation**

**tight\_**rope



Positive foundations

11

**Collaborative  
experiences**

**tight\_**rope



Positive foundations

12

**Strong team  
value base**

**tight\_**rope



Positive foundations

13

**Sense of team  
identity  
developed**

**tight\_**rope



Positive foundations

14

**Peer support  
received**

**tight\_**rope



Positive foundations

15

**Overcame  
difficulties**

**tight\_**rope



Positive foundations

16

**Differences  
accepted and  
respected**

**tight\_**rope



Positive foundations

## Research supporting prompts for past positive foundations:

Prompt statement:	References:
<b>1. Help I've had</b>	Sought and received support (Horwath, 2015, McFaddon et al); Inform managers when not feeling confident (Broadhurst et al, 2010; Näswall et al, 2015); Utilise colleagues (Grant and Kinman, 2012);
<b>2. Something proud of</b>	"self-efficacy" (building block for resilience Kinman, Grant and Alexander 2013); Pride in work reduces burnout (Maslach et al, 2001)
<b>3. Good practice acknowledged</b>	Bynner (2001) – builds self esteem; rewards through praise reduces burnout / impact of trauma (Maslach et al, 2001; NSPCC, 2013); Appropriate use of praise in supervision/meetings (Hackett, 2006); Motivators and rewards (internal and external) (Lewis et al, 2011).
<b>4. Emotional resilience training</b>	Strategies to enhance emotional resilience during pre-qualifying training can help achieve sustained employability (Grant and Kinman, 2012); Learning about self and self-awareness increases coping (McFaddon, Campbell and Taylor, 2015); learning how to detach (Roger, 2002); develop adaptive capacity (Clarke, 2013)
<b>5. Training prepared me for the job</b>	Sufficient experience while training increases worker stability, (McFaddon, Campbell and Taylor, 2015; Grant, Kinman and Baker, 2014); Preventative to vicarious trauma (NSPCC, 2013)
<b>6. Had opportunities to reflect</b>	Space to critically reflect on practice reduces defensive practice (Horwath, 2015). Debriefing from Trauma (McFaddon et al, 2015); The ability to look at both strengths and limitations in own practice supports development of resilience Grant and Kinman (2013)
<b>7. Found solutions</b>	"I am good at finding solutions to difficult problems" (Grant and Kinman, 2012); Capacity to re-frame adversities (Newman, 2004); Active coping increases job satisfaction (McFaddon et al, 2015)
<b>8. Maintained self-belief</b>	"I am generally able to maintain a strong belief in my professional capabilities" (Grant and Kinman, 2012); Self-efficacy and self-esteem building blocks (Grant, Kinman and Alexander, 2013);
<b>9. Good time management</b>	Learning how to manage time effectively is a key factor in minimising stress, building resilience and improving quality of life (Grant and Kinman, 2013); Taking responsibility (Grotberg, 2003).
<b>10. Stable financial organisation</b>	Provides foundation for worker satisfaction (Hackett, 2006; Horwath, 2015). Allows for adequate resources (McFaddon et al, 2015)
<b>11. Collaborative experiences</b>	HSE (2009) – participative / empowering managers support stress; CIPD (2021) – positive interpersonal relationships. Näswall et al (2015) – collaborating with others to handle unexpected challenges
<b>12. Strong team value base</b>	Systematic development of an explicit team/agency value base (Hackett, 2006) and commitment to it (McFaddon et al, 2015)
<b>13. Sense of team identity developed</b>	Led by management (Hackett, 2006); trust between colleagues (McFaddon et al, 2015). Personable management (HSE, 2009)
<b>14. Peer support received</b>	Social support increases resilience (CIPD, 2021). Co-worker support increases retention (McFaddon, Campbell and Taylor, 2015); Important as student (Grant and Kinman, 2012)
<b>15. Overcame difficulties</b>	Perseverance and embracing risk increases resilience (Grant, Kinman and Alexander, 2013; Kelley, 2005; McFaddon et al, 2015; Näswall et al, 2015; CIPD, 2021);
<b>16. Differences accepted and respected</b>	Having a culturally competent organisation and workers, that accept and respect difference supports inclusion (Durban et al 2021)



## What makes the path 'muddy'?

These prompts are designed to identify the personal and environmental events or behaviours that have occurred in the past but may be increasing the volatility or instability of the current workplace.

Encourage worker(s) to consider whether the prompt chosen is something they recognise as being part of their past or current experience.

These prompts could also assist in identifying factors to avoid and support safe practice in future.

Please use these prompts as an aid to discussion rather than a diagnostic tool.

**Ask workers to think about** what has happened in the organisation in the past that has created the current structure / culture / pressures or practice.

How much of the past are they still holding as a current concern?

How easy is it for the worker to dwell on previous incidents / changes / concerns?

### **Be mindful of creating excuses that allow for continued unsafe practice.**

Although a situation or incident may be 'understandable' due to several past concerns that provides an understanding of the reasons for the situation, it does not mean it is 'acceptable' or to be excused in order for it to be repeated.

1	High staff turnover	!?	2	Staff treated unfairly	!?
tight rope®		Past concerns	tight rope®		Past concerns
3	Target driven environment	!?	4	Others haven't valued our work	!?
tight rope®		Past concerns	tight rope®		Past concerns
5	Process orientated systems	!?	6	Year on year cuts	!?
tight rope®		Past concerns	tight rope®		Past concerns
7	Responsibility has been diluted	!?	8	Critical incidents	!?
tight rope®		Past concerns	tight rope®		Past concerns





## Research supporting prompts for past muddy path:

Prompt statement:	References:
1. High staff turnover	When practitioners feel their supervisory needs are not met or marginalised this can result in high staff turnover (Horwath, 2015); can bring inexperienced workers into high stress positions (NSPCC, 2013)
2. Staff treated unfairly	Can lead to emotional distress and exhaustion or fuels cynicism about the workplace and burnout can occur (Maslach et al, 2001). Inequality and exclusion due to unfair treatment (Durban et al, 2021)
3. Target driven environment	Can result in continued high workloads with a focus on risk management and results in the failure to meet the needs of practitioners (Horwath, 2015);
4. Others haven't valued our work	Lack of recognition, within and outside the team, has the effect of devaluing the work and practitioners (Maslach et al, 2001; Horwath, 2015; Durban et al, 2021)
5. Process Orientated systems	Can lead to 'tick box' work styles or unmet tasks – leading to emotional exhaustion, poor attitudes, or negative impact on practice (Horwath, 2015)
6. Year on year cuts	An environment affected by cuts / increased service demand will inevitably influence the organisation climate – with negative impact on perceptions and then quality of practice (Horwath, 2015, Broadhurst et al, 2010)
7. Responsibility has been diluted	Case responsibility can be diluted in the context of multi-agency working – particularly if referrals to other agencies are inappropriate and/or not followed up (Broadhurst et al, 2010)
8. Critical incidents	Can create a sense of psychological disequilibrium if response is stressful rather than seen as an opportunity for developing resilience (Paton et al, 2008 - Stress Shield); single incident can be a 'tipping point' into vicarious trauma, particularly if blame culture (NSPCC, 2013)
9. Mismatched allocations	When the wrong kind of work is inappropriately allocated, i.e. where people lack the skills or inclination for certain types of work (Maslach et al, 2001; Broadhurst et al, 2010)
10. Lack of reward	Lack of appropriate rewards, either financial or social (i.e. praise) or intrinsic (pride in work) can lead to burnout (Maslach et al, 2001) and inequality (Durban et al, 2021)
11. Maintained poor practice cycle	When workers haven't learnt from mistakes or managers have rescued workers with little self-efficacy (Grant and Kinman, 2012)
12. Exhausted workforce	Workers may become exhausted or burnout with the emotional demands of the job and then distance themselves from emotions (Horwath, 2015); or when experience direct abuse (NSPCC, 2013)
13. Agency values contradictory	Causes stress and burnout (Maslach et al, 2001) (Horwath, 2015) and create inequality (Durban et al, 2021)
14. Can't show what feeling	The work is especially draining when people are required to display emotions inconsistent with their true feelings (Maslach et al, 2001). The need to adjust behaviour to avoid discrimination leads to mistrust (GatenbySanderson, 2021; Durban et al, 2021), Dissonance between feeling rules of organisation and worker's values can lead to compassion fatigue (Horwath, 2015)
15. Personal history of trauma	Studies have shown that personal history of childhood trauma can increase risk of secondary traumatic stress for child welfare workers (McFaddon, Campbell and Taylor, 2015)



## Identifying strengths to stay balanced

These prompts draw from research on resilience, in particular worker resilience. They outline statements to reflect temperament, motivation and feelings associated with self-esteem and self-efficacy.

Grant, Kinman and Alexander (2013)<sup>2</sup> in their study of student social workers found that these students were more resilience and less distressed if they:

- were more emotionally intelligent
- were more socially competent
- had more empathetic concern, but less empathetic distress
- had stronger reflective abilities across all domains, especially empathetic reflection

**Therefore, it is positive to have high empathy but not without empathetic reflection.**

Encourage the worker to think about how much this strength helps them in different situations.

When building the picture get them to indicate how strong (how long) any particular strength might be (the longer a balance stick the more balance they have to get across the line).

Also remind the worker that many of these strengths can be learnt or developed. They are often things that everyone has had to learn through relationships at home and the workplace.

### Be mindful of your own reactions to the worker's presentation

Do you support particular traits or characteristics?

Do you react to certain personalities?

What are your values and beliefs and how do you support difference?

---

<sup>2</sup> Also see Grant, Alexander and Kinman (2014)  
The tight rope® for worker resilience



9

**Social skills**

**tight rope**



Balancing  
strengths of the  
practitioner

10

**Optimistic**

**tight rope**



Balancing  
strengths of the  
practitioner

11

**Manage stress**

**tight rope**



Balancing  
strengths of the  
practitioner

12

**Can respect self  
and others**

**tight rope**



Balancing  
strengths of the  
practitioner

13

**Manage time  
and energy well**

**tight rope**



Balancing  
strengths of the  
practitioner

14

**Sense of  
humour**

**tight rope**



Balancing  
strengths of the  
practitioner

15

**Sense of  
purpose**

**tight rope**



Balancing  
strengths of the  
practitioner

16

**Can ask for help**

**tight rope**



Balancing  
strengths of the  
practitioner

## References for research supporting: **CURRENT STRENGTHS (to help stay balanced)**

<b>Prompt Statement:</b>	<b>References:</b>
<b>1. Persistence</b>	Persistence in the face of challenges, setbacks and adversity (Grant, Kinman and Alexander, 2013); “Able to cope well when I am going through difficult times” (Grant and Kinman, 2012); Perseverance – Kelley (2005); Embracing the risks and challenge (McFaddon, Campbell and Taylor, 2015). Sustain high workloads (Näswall et al, 2015)
<b>2. Emotional management</b>	Kelley (2005), Van Rooy and Viswesvaran (2004); Rational emotional responses (Grant, Kinman and Alexander, 2013); and literacy (Grant and Kinman, 2020); Positive affect (CIPD, 2021)
<b>3. Accurate empathy</b>	“I can empathise with service users’ feelings and experiences without becoming over-involved or overwhelmed” (Grant and Kinman, 2012); Sense of coherence, that work is comprehensible, manageable and meaningful (CIPD, 2021)
<b>4. Flexible</b>	“I am generally able to adapt well to new situations” (Grant and Kinman, 2012); openness to experience, adapt to situations that can be thrown at you, “bend with the wind” (Grant, Kinman and Alexander, 2013); expecting the unexpected (McFaddon et al, 2015); resolve crisis competently (Näswall et al, 2015)
<b>5. Find solutions</b>	Problem solving (Kelley, 2005); Chen (2010) “I am good at finding solutions to difficult problems” (Grant and Kinman, 2012); Capacity to re-frame adversities supports resilience (Newman, 2004); “I use change at work as an opportunity for growth” (Näswall et al, 2015)
<b>6. Critically reflective</b>	Supports emotional resilience (Grant, Kinman and Alexander, 2013); Chen (2011); Allow time to consider context and analyse all information (Broadhurst et al, 2010); “I learn from mistakes at work” (Näswall et al, 2015); Safe space to hold courageous conversations (Durban et al, 2021)
<b>7. Professionally capable</b>	“I am generally able to maintain a strong belief in my professional capabilities” (Grant and Kinman, 2012); Self-efficacy and self-esteem (Grant, Kinman and Alexander, 2013); Can “walk the walk and talk the talk” (Horwath, 2015); Achieving competence and confidence support coping (McFaddon, Campbell and Taylor, 2015; NSPCC, 2013). Self-efficacy (CIPD, 2021)
<b>8. Curious and Open</b>	Inquisitive and open to new information - prepared to change mind (Broadhurst et al, 2010; Munro, 1996; Näswall et al, 2015); “understanding, open minded” (Grant, Kinman and Alexander, 2013); ability to learn (Grant and Kinman, 2020) apply investigative and interpersonal skills (McFaddon et al, 2015)
<b>9. Social skills</b>	Supports resilience - Grotberg (2003); (Chen, 2010). “I am confident that my interpersonal skills at work are effective” (Grant and Kinman, 2012); overcome barriers from service users (Broadhurst et al, 2010); “I effectively collaborate with others to handle unexpected changes at work” (Näswall et al, 2015)
<b>10. Optimistic</b>	“I feel positive about most aspects of my working life” (Grant and Kinman, 2012); Enthusiasm, Optimism and Hope (Grant, Kinman and Alexander, 2013); Belief that one will generally experience good outcomes supports resilience (CIPD, 2021); Also supports social capital (Boeck et al, 2006; Kelley, 2005; Chen, 2010); While keeping expectations realistic (McFaddon, et al 2015)
<b>11. Manage stress</b>	Being able to cope without feeling overwhelmed or let pressures build up (Grant, Kinman and Alexander, 2013); internal locus of control (Kelley, 2005);
<b>12. Can respect self and others</b>	Grotberg (2003); Have empathy but protect self – keep professional distance, “not blaming self or others” (Grant, Kinman and Alexander, 2013), able to set appropriate boundaries (Grant and Kinman, 2020); “I effectively respond to feedback at work, even criticism” (Näswall et al, 2015). Supports inclusion and equality (Durban et al, 2021)

<b>13. Manage time and energy well</b>	Key factor in minimising stress, building resilience, and improving quality of life: commitment to professional values (Grant and Kinman, 2012); Energy management enhances performance (Loehr and Schwartz, 2003).
<b>14. Sense of humour</b>	Building block to emotional resilience in practitioners (Grant, Kinman and Alexander, 2013). Glover (2009); Kelley (2005); Positive affect CIPD (2021)
<b>15. Sense of purpose</b>	Chen (2010); Grant, Kinman and Alexander (2013); McFaddon et al (2015); Kelley (2005); Newman (2004) – Ability to make a difference on the world .
<b>16. Can ask for help</b>	Grant and Kinman (2020), Chrisp et al (2011) – even if emotionally distressed; “I approach managers when I need their support” and “I seek assistance to work when I need specific resources” (Näswall et al, 2015)

### **Further considerations:**

Reflecting on personal strengths and limitations can foster many of the factors associated with resilience that have been outlined above; particularly improvements in self-awareness and coping and problem-solving skills.

Emotional intelligence is a transferable skill that will help people manage their personal as well as their professional life (Grant and Kinman, 2013, p8)

Emotional resilience in the workforce has been defined as:

*‘The potential to exhibit resourcefulness by using available internal and external resources in response to different contextual and developmental challenges’. (Pooley & Cohen, 2010)*

*“To be resilient is to be able to overcome stressors or withstand negative life events and, not only recover from such experiences, but also find personal meaning in them” (Klohen, 1996; Youssef and Luthans, 2007).*

Resilience and Stress:

*Stress is “the process that arises where work demands of various types and combinations exceed the person’s capacity and capability to cope” (Health and Safety Executive)*



## Compounding current concerns ‘steps up’

These prompts have primarily been adapted from previous work by Maslach et al (2001) and are designed to provide practitioners and managers working with vulnerable populations the opportunity to identify factors associated with worker burnout. The visual representation of compounding steps gives an indication of how volatile the situation is.

The factors are based on 6 key dimensions:

- Workload
- Control
- Reward
- Community
- Fairness
- Values

Maslach’s model suggests that the greater the mismatch between practitioners and these six key dimensions the more likely it is that burnout will occur. Conversely, the greater the fit between the worker and these domains the greater the practitioner’s engagement with their role and task

Encourage the worker(s) to think about whether the prompt chosen is something they recognise as being part of their past experience or current situation.

### **Be mindful of ruminating on past events rather than focusing on current concerns.**

The separation of the past (muddy path) and current (compounding steps) is to assist with clarifying the historical context in comparison to current systems or organisational practices that could be more easily addressed.



<p>1</p> <p><b>Demands exhaust energy</b></p> <p><b>tight rope®</b></p>	 <p>Current concerns</p>	<p>2</p> <p><b>Prescriptive recording formats</b></p> <p><b>tight rope®</b></p>	 <p>Current concerns</p>
<p>3</p> <p><b>Duties exceed authority</b></p> <p><b>tight rope®</b></p>	 <p>Current concerns</p>	<p>4</p> <p><b>Tight timescales</b></p> <p><b>tight rope®</b></p>	 <p>Current concerns</p>
<p>5</p> <p><b>Disconnect in the team</b></p> <p><b>tight rope®</b></p>	 <p>Current concerns</p>	<p>6</p> <p><b>Isolation</b></p> <p><b>tight rope®</b></p>	 <p>Current concerns</p>
<p>7</p> <p><b>Lack of support</b></p> <p><b>tight rope®</b></p>	 <p>Current concerns</p>	<p>8</p> <p><b>Hostility in workplace</b></p> <p><b>tight rope®</b></p>	 <p>Current concerns</p>

9

**Lack of mutual  
respect**

**tight rope®**



Current concerns

10

**Tasks conflict  
with values**

**tight rope®**



Current concerns

11

**Mounting  
unfinished tasks**

**tight rope®**



Current concerns

12

**Lack of  
progression  
opportunities**

**tight rope®**



Current concerns

13

**Regular contact  
with trauma**

**tight rope®**



Current concerns

14

**Passive  
Defensive  
culture**

**tight rope®**



Current concerns

15

**Low wages**

**tight rope®**



Current concerns

16

**Not safe to raise  
concerns**

**tight rope®**




Current concerns

## References supporting the prompts for current compounding concerns:

Prompt statement:	References:
<b>1. Demands exhaust energy</b>	Excessive caseloads or too many demands that exhaust individual energy (workload - Maslach et al, 2001); Workload pressures impact on satisfactory completion of difficult tasks (Broadhurst et al, 2010)
<b>2. Prescriptive recording formats</b>	Can block curiosity or openness to detail or analyses of new information (Broadhurst et al, 2010); Appropriate tools needed for job (Horwath, 2015)
<b>3. Duties exceed authority</b>	Burnout can occur when individuals' responsibilities exceed their authority (control - Maslach et al, 2001).
<b>4. Tight timescales</b>	Increase risk of making judgements on incomplete information – particularly if little space to reflect or probe uncertainty (Broadhurst et al, 2010).
<b>5. Disconnect in team</b>	Team members have lost their sense of connection with others in the workplace (Maslach et al, 2001). Conflicts are not resolved swiftly or objectively (HSE, 2009). Don't feel belonging (Durban et al, 2021)
<b>6. Isolation</b>	Isolation or lack of social support undermines team's sense of shared values and community (Maslach et al, 2001). Limited face-to-face communication leads to ambiguity and misunderstanding / mistrust (Horwath, 2015). Isolation due to lack of diversity (Durban et al, 2021)
<b>7. Lack of support</b>	Need recognition of emotional labour and support to manage difficult service users (Horwath, 2015; Broadhurst et al, 2010). Can impact development of workers and how engage service users. Supervisor and co-worker support closely linked to impact of burnout or turnover (McFaddon, Campbell and Taylor, 2015)
<b>8. Hostility in workplace</b>	Chronic or constant negative feelings of frustration and hostility in workplace can undermine workers' sense of support (Maslach et al, 2001). Not dealt with increases stress (HSE, 2009); Durban et al, 2021
<b>9. Lack of mutual respect</b>	When the workplace is characterised by a lack of mutual respect amongst work colleagues – can lead to burnout (fairness - Maslach et al, 2001). Managers not showing interest increases stress (HSE, 2009). Leads to inequality, exclusion and racism (Durban et al, 2021)
<b>10. Tasks conflict with values</b>	E.G when asked to do something considered unethical or contrary to own and professional standards (Maslach et al, 2001), (Horwath, 2015)
<b>11. Mounting unfinished tasks</b>	Sign of workers taking on too much and not time managing (Horwath, 2015)
<b>12. Lack of progression opportunities</b>	Mismatch between worker's personal aspirations for their own career and the structure of the organisation (Maslach et al, 2001). Lack of progression and diverse representation (Durban et al, 2021)
<b>13. Regular contact with trauma</b>	Can develop into secondary trauma or compassion fatigue (McFaddon, Campbell and Taylor, 2015); or "vicarious trauma" (Baird and Jenkins, 2003; NSPCC, 2013)
<b>14. Passive defensive culture</b>	Can have negative impact on workers (McFaddon, Campbell and Taylor, 2015). Defensiveness doesn't support inclusion and respect for difference (Durban et al, 2021)
<b>15. Low wages</b>	When high caseload and pressures are compounded with low wages impacts staff self-esteem and sense of value (McFaddon et al, 2015)
<b>16. Not safe to raise concerns</b>	Opinions rejected or unable to be honest about who are or to express perspective leads to exclusion and inequality (Durban et al, 2021)

## Who or what is 'the safety net'?



These prompts draw from research and studies about protective work environments and research on 'resilience' and 'social capital'

The safety net is about what is currently in place to act as a supportive and protective environment.

Encourage the worker to think about how flexible these are. Discuss why it is important that the safety net is not too tight or too loose.

Ask them how much they rely on any support network or protective factor – is it being stretched too thin? Are there any gaps? Is it wide enough and strong enough to manage a fall from very high up?

Remember that you as the manager / coach will be able to provide at least one of them!

Use this time to discuss how the worker / team sees the service they work for.

### Be mindful of feeling defensive

The tight rope analogy allows a discussion to occur about the flexibility and support of the safety-net. Making sure it is strong enough to 'catch' the worker should they 'fall' but not too tight (authoritarian) otherwise they may bounce out and not too loose (permissive or negligent) otherwise they crash to the ground.

If you are completing the tight rope with a worker that you directly line-manage then it will be inevitable that the spotlight regarding environmental factors will shine on you at some point. Be careful to avoid defensive responses and consider how your role as the safety net can be deployed in a positive and collaborative way.

If you are a white leader, it is also essential to understand how your white privilege shapes your experience, which has meant it is easy to pursue opportunities available to you and how it can be used as a force for promoting antiracism and to remove systemic bias from workplaces (see GatenbySanderson, 2021 and Durban et al, 2021)

1

**Well managed caseloads**



**tight rope®**

Supportive working environment

2

**Choice to opt into specialist areas**



**tight rope®**

Supportive working environment

3

**Specialist training equips staff**




**tight rope®**

Supportive working environment

4

**Space to reflect**



**tight rope®**

Supportive working environment

5

**Appropriate resources**



**tight rope®**

Supportive working environment

6

**Remits and roles are clear**



**tight rope®**

Supportive working environment

7

**Can discuss power issues**



**tight rope®**

Supportive working environment

8

**Learning culture, open to ideas**



**tight rope®**

Supportive working environment

9

**Staff treated fairly**




**tight rope®**

Supportive working environment

10

**Appropriate tools**



**tight rope®**

Supportive working environment

11

**Room to grow and develop**



**tight rope®**

Supportive working environment

12

**Engaging Supportive Leadership**




**tight rope®**

Supportive working environment

13

**Network of support**



**tight rope®**

Supportive working environment

14

**Life outside of work**



**tight rope®**

Supportive working environment

15

**Personal trauma managed**



**tight rope®**

Supportive working environment

16

**Culturally competent leadership**



**tight rope®**

Supportive working environment

<b>Prompt statement:</b>	<b>References:</b>
<b>1. Well managed caseloads</b>	Managed caseloads which reflect intensity / volume of work helps avoid burnout (Hackett, 2006) workload management (Horwath, 2015)
<b>2. Choice to opt into specialisms</b>	Specialist areas of work (e.g. sexual abuse) requires choice for workers to opt in (Hackett, 2006); Job design (Lewis et al, 2011); Individuals have sufficient authority to pursue work believe to be most effective (Maslach et al, 2001);
<b>3. Specialist training equips staff</b>	To provide requisite skills (Hackett, 2006). Development opportunities support staff retention (McFaddon, Campbell and Taylor, 2015; Clarke, 2013)
<b>4. Space to reflect</b>	Supports critical reflection (Broadhurst et al, 2010); Time to think (Munro, 1996). Explicit permission and space to reflect on emotional response to work (Hackett, 2006). Opportunities for emotionally informed thinking and critical reflection (Horwath, 2015); Trauma 'not just part of job' and need outlet for help (NSPCC, 2013); Managers who listen when needed (HSE, 2009).
<b>5. Appropriate resources</b>	Physical, intellectual, emotional (Hackett, 2006, Horwath, 2015; McFaddon et al, 2015); Individuals have sufficient control over resources needed (Maslach et al, 2001). Employees can access or mobilise resources (CIPD, 2021)
<b>6. Remits and roles clear</b>	Clarify individual's expectations, boundaries and responsibilities (Hackett, 2006), Job design clear about level of control (Lewis et al, 2011); multi-agency understanding of each others remits (Broadhurst et al, 2010); workers supported to accept limits of role in clients' lives (Horwath, 2015)
<b>7. Can discuss power issues</b>	Leads to personal and organisational balance (Hackett, 2006); Managing stress requires equality and managing any conflict (HSE, 2009); Durban et al, 2021
<b>8. Learning culture, open to ideas</b>	Learning led culture, which is open to new ideas and ways of doing things (Hackett, 2006). Frameworks that stimulate critical thinking with a culture of openness and exchange are valuable (Broadhurst et al, 2010); Allow new information to review judgements (Munro, 1996)
<b>9. Staff treated fairly</b>	Operational and strategic clarity about decision-making and consistency of treatment of workers & clients (Hackett, 2006); Caseloads not higher than expected for worker's wage (McFaddon et al, 2015); Essential for equality and inclusion (Durban et al, 2021; GatenbySanderson, 2021)
<b>10. Appropriate tools</b>	Tools and systems to support optimum decision making (Broadhurst et al, 2010; Horwath, 2015) and intuitive professional judgement (Munro, 2011)
<b>11. Room to grow and develop</b>	Clear career development structure and opportunities for personal development (Hackett, 2006). Learning is integrated and supported (Horwath, 2015); Also following incidents (NSPCC, 2013; Näswall et al, 2015)
<b>12. Engaging Supportive Leadership</b>	Leadership builds resilience in an organisation (Lewis et al, 2011), managers who initiate critical reviews support workers to be open to new information (Broadhurst et al, 2010); Strong leaders with professional characteristics (McFaddon et al, 2015); consultative leadership style (Clarke, 2013; HSE, 2009); engaging and inclusive leadership (Durban et al, 2021)
<b>13. Network of support</b>	"I have a wide network of friends and colleagues who I can turn to for help" (Grant and Kinman, 2012); Supervisor and co-worker support can buffer impact of burnout (McFaddon et al, 2015; NSPCC, 2013; CIPD, 2021)
<b>14. Life outside of work</b>	Maintaining important life outside work assists in workers being able to cope with pressures of work (McFaddon, Campbell and Taylor, 2015)
<b>15. Personal trauma managed</b>	For workers who bring history of personal trauma supervisors and managers need to be aware of risk of secondary trauma (McFaddon et al, 2015)
<b>16. Culturally competent leadership</b>	Supports inclusion and equality – requires self-assessment and acceptance and respect of difference with careful attention to dynamics of difference (Durban et al, 2021; GatenbySanderson, 2021)

### *Further considerations:*

Lewis, Donaldson-Feilder, E and Pangallo, A, 2011 (page 4) outline various resilience factors within an organisational context:

- Job design / job role
  - o how demanding the person's job is
  - o how much control they have in their job
  - o type of motivators or rewards (internal and external) associated with a particular job
- Organisational culture and structure
  - o How processes and procedures adopted
- Leadership (in particular by middle management)
  - o Engaging
  - o Supportive
- Systemic / External environment
  - o Networks of successful relationships
  - o Social and institutional support
  - o Resilience of stakeholders and industry

Jan Horwath (2015) also outlines the importance of workers' needs being met in order to "establish an effective working alliance with families" otherwise the way workers establish relationships with clients will be negatively impacted. Needs are best met by emotionally intelligent organisations whereby managers "recognise that practice is complex, anxiety-provoking and emotionally charged" and also:

- recognise the value of relationships
- provide supportive management style
- are proactive in nurturing worker relationship with families
- set boundaries around work
- encourage practitioners to accept the limits of their role in relation to clients' lives

Support is particularly crucial during transition (Bartley, 2006). Within helping professions, "social support refers to positive psychosocial interactions with others with whom there is mutual trust and concern" (Grant and Kinman, 2013). Lewis et al (2011) warn that "if an organisation has a bureaucratic structure coupled with a command and control culture, this may be detrimental" (p5).

The NSPCC research review on Vicarious Trauma highlights the benefit of debriefing procedures that allow self-reflection and self-monitoring (NSPCC, 2013).

Durban et al (2021) in their paper *Leading in Colour*, provide a powerful challenge and space for reflection for leaders to consider their cultural competence and how much they

- allow staff to speak up and promote self-determination
- listen to staff and understand their experience
- explore their own values and beliefs, holding culture in high esteem
- seek to add to their knowledge and
- develop the organisation through research, influence, and relationships





## Choosing the 'Next Steps'

This section has prompts that derive from theories of resilience, social capital, desistance, and positive development. Grant and Kinman (2012) highlight the importance of utilising strategies proactively, rather than merely reacting to adverse events. They outline evidenced-based strategies that have strong potential to enhance self-care and resilience. These are grouped under four headings:

- Enhancing reflective practice
- Mentoring and peer coaching to enhance support
- Mindfulness and relaxation
- Experiential learning

While this section focuses on the steps that the practitioner could take, it will also be important for you as the manager to reflect on the areas of the path or current concerns that you contribute towards or could look to tackle. Similarly with the safety net, what areas could you work to improve on? You could use the Health and Safety Executive (HSE) tools for managing stress to self-assess your competence and areas for development and also Leading in Colour to self-assess your cultural competence and inclusive leadership.


### **First talk about the goals wanting to be achieved, then discuss the steps needed to achieve them**

Encourage the worker / team to think about the order in which these need to be taken and how realistic they are.

Encourage the worker / team to identify their own goals and actions. Reflect on whether the steps are sufficient to bring them to a safer, more manageable level of practice.

### **Be mindful of realistic goals whilst maintaining aspirations**

In some situations, the steps may be viewed as a goal. Encourage discussion about the external supports and internal strengths identified that will make the steps more achievable. It is important to keep aspirations and dreams high whilst also mapping out the most achievable and most important first steps to achieve these, alongside the support that can be given from you as the manager / supervisor / coach.



9

**Establish  
boundaries**

tight rope®



Steps needed

10

**Record  
uncertainties**

tight rope®



Steps needed

11

**Learn time  
management**

tight rope®



Steps needed

12

**Accept  
infallibility**

tight rope®



Steps needed

13

**Let go of worries**

tight rope®



Steps needed

14

**Celebrate  
sparkling  
moments**

tight rope®



Steps needed

15

**Remain hopeful**

tight rope®



Steps needed

## Research supporting: “FUTURE ACTIONS” (steps to help move forward / recover)

Prompt statement:	References:
<b>1. Learn to deal with stress</b>	“Learn techniques to deal with emotions and difficulties”, “find good skills and ways to de-stress” (Grant, Kinman and Alexander, 2013); CBT can be enabled to better manage anxiety and problem solve more effectively - key skills in self-regulation (Goleman 2004). “I resolve crisis competently at work” (Näswall et al, 2015)
<b>2. Be mindful</b>	“Being aware of my own thoughts and feelings” (Grant, Kinman and Alexander, 2013), Mindfulness is a useful tool in regulating emotions – to reduce over identification with service users and avoid empathetic distress (Kinman, Grant and Baker, 2016); Mental Health Partnership (2013) – ‘take notice’ is a step to wellbeing. Exploring own values and beliefs important for inclusion (Durban et al, 2021)
<b>3. Get support</b>	“strong supervision with clear guidance and space to reflect”, peer support also important (Grant, Kinman and Alexander, 2013); Mental Health Partnership (2013) – positive connections increase wellbeing; “I approach managers when I need their support” (Näswall et al, 2015)
<b>4. Make / keep positive connections</b>	Increases job satisfaction / retention (McFaddon, Campbell and Taylor, 2015); Putnam (2000) – bonding social capital (sense belonging most important), Mental Health Partnership (2013) – five steps to wellbeing include ‘connect’; Social Support predictor for psychological resilience (CIPD, 2021); Newman (2004) – positive friendships build resilience; “Build a community of support” (Kinman, Grant and Baker, 2016); Acceptance and belonging are important for staff to feel included (Durban et al, 2021)
<b>5. Learn or develop skills</b>	“Practice seeking or enhancing knowledge to manage better” (Grant, Kinman and Alexander, 2013); “It is never too late to learn” (Bartley, 2006), Aids recovery / supports mental wellbeing (Mental Health Partnership, 2013)
<b>6. Take time to relax</b>	Relaxation enhances resilience (Grant and Kinman, 2013); “Taking time out to relax” (Grant, Kinman and Alexander, 2013); take time to detach (Roger, 2002);
<b>7. Self-care</b>	Utilise self-help methods to build resilience - “Apply own oxygen mask before helping others” (Clarke, 2013; Grant, Kinman and Baker, 2014); Self-care enhances resilience Grant and Kinman (2013); “Making sure we look after ourselves physically, mentally and spiritually” (Grant, Kinman and Alexander, 2013); For self-help strategies for resilience see Reivich and Shatte (2003).
<b>8. Actively reflect / self-assess</b>	One technique is to write a narrative from the client or patient’s perspective and share these reflections in small groups of peers (Grant and Kinman, 2013). Culture of accepting queries (Broadhurst et al, 2010); Adopt a more critical approach to judgements (Munro, 1996); The role of reflective supervision in creating space for reflective and emotional thinking is a crucial way of containing anxiety and creating conditions for workers to flourish (Ruch 2009), “I effectively respond to feedback at work, even criticism”, “I learn from mistakes at work and improve the way I do my job” (Näswall et al, 2015), Self-assessment and a safe space to hold courageous conversations supports inclusion and equality (Durban et al, 2021)
<b>9. Establish boundaries</b>	“Being able to detach from situations, feelings and thoughts” and to “have a good work-life balance” (Grant, Kinman and Alexander, 2013) also see Roger (2002); identify times or “corridors” when can separate from work to home and vice versa (Kinman, Grant and Baker, 2016)

<b>10. Record uncertainties</b>	It is important to be open to both confirming and disconfirming information – exploring and testing initial hypothesis to avoid “premature categorisation” (Broadhurst, et al, 2010); Approach manager when need their support and effectively respond to feedback (Näswall et al, 2015).
<b>11. Learn time management</b>	Use diary effectively, vary days so emotional content managed, designate ‘worry time’ (Kinman, Grant and Baker, 2016)
<b>12. Accept infallibility</b>	Our initial beliefs may be wrong, and we need to consider new information or look differently at the ‘pieces of the puzzle’ (Munro, 1996) Important to avoid being overly defensive or seeing issues in isolation, be willing to reassess, adapt and continually improve (Durban et al, 2021)
<b>13. Let go of worries</b>	To maintain resilience, it’s important to stop ruminating and let go (Roger, 2002; Clarke, 2013; Bishop et al, 2004)
<b>14. Celebrate sparkling moments</b>	Helps to be kind to self and to remain hopeful and optimistic and keep healthy balance (Kinman, Grant and Baker, 2016); remain aware of successes (NSPCC, 2013); Celebrate strengths and unique qualities in yourself and others (Durban et al, 2021)
<b>15. Remain hopeful</b>	With realistic optimism - see stressful events as temporary and even an opportunity to grow (Paton et al, 2008; Kinman, Grant and Baker, 2016; CIPD, 2021; Naswall et al, 2015)

## Scale progress and motivation

After identifying the steps needed, it could be a helpful time to reflect on the overall scale of progress towards their goals.

It can also help to scale their level of motivation to take the steps identified.

If a worker is demonstrating a low motivation to take the steps needed – the discussion could then lead to thinking about what the next number along the rope might look like, what is the next step that could help? Consider a task for the next session to get from current number, such as a ‘noticing task’ where they will notice when they are behaving like a higher number to the lower number. For example: “could you notice a time when you feel an increase, when you are more motivated or acting upon the steps needed to achieve the goal of ...?”



## Forecasting - Contingency planning

This section is also without prompts and is a space on the form to think about what we know is 'looming' in the future that we are worried about or 'peeking over the horizon' that we are happily anticipating. Importantly to consider if there is anything else we need to do in preparation for when or if this occurs? Some examples of stormy events might be:

- Threat of further savings or funding cuts
- Vacancy in the team or pending restructure
- Inspection

The worker may also identify some personal pressures that may be on the horizon and will need to think about how they will manage these alongside the professional pressures:

- Holidays or celebrations
- Beginnings (pregnancy) or endings (separation / loss)
- Moving house or home

**It may be sufficient to just name these as an acknowledgement but not necessarily plan for them any more than the steps that are already identified.**

Encourage the worker / team to think about future events they are worried or excited about and how these increase volatility, anticipation and energy. Does this change the priority of the steps identified to achieve the goals?

### Be mindful of catastrophic thinking

In most statutory organisations with vulnerable clients there will be an inspection or audit on the horizon, which is a normal part of the balances and checks made on the organisation as a whole. Ruminating and stressing about these wider influences would more likely increase rather than address any poor practice that might get picked up as a result. Similarly with vacancies and funding cuts, which are regular scenes in large and/or stressful organisations. It is more important to focus on the adaptability and strengths of the practitioner and where the organisation can also adapt.

## 4. Conclusion

Successfully navigating our way through the personally and professionally challenging safeguarding environment requires the enactment of inner strengths, external supports and the mindfulness of effective leaders. The tight rope for practitioners provides the means by which a practitioner and supervisor can map out this volatile environment in order to develop resilience.

In order to sustain resilience, it will be important that the tight rope is referred back to, especially if the practitioner and/or the team experience further turbulence or pressures. In these circumstances the following questions might help in the rediscovery of strengths, coping mechanisms and support networks.

*What is the event, or thought, which has triggered uncertainty/anxiety/worry?*

*Have I experienced these before? If so, what would my wiser self tell me now?*

*What previous strengths (internal and external) can I draw upon today?*

*When I used the tight rope before which aspects were useful to me, and why?*

*What patterns am I now noticing about this troubling event?*

*If my most effective and valued supervisor were here today, what insights would they offer me?*

*Once I have taken the 'steps down' what will be the first thing I will notice about myself, my practice, my resilience?*

*In what ways is this current challenge an opportunity for learning?*

*If I successfully resolve this particular issue, what will I have most learned about myself and my team?*

*In the future, what might I/the team need to be especially vigilant of?*

*Are there anything 'storms' within the current organisational context which might make the path muddy again?*

*What do we all need to do to ensure we are working in a safe and stable way?*

*What will my most challenging client notice about my practice when I have rediscovered my resilience?*

# 5. References

- Ashcroft, R., Sur, D., Greenblatt, A. and Donahue, P. (2021) The Impact of the Covid-19 Pandemic on Social Workers at the Frontline: A Survey of Canadian Social Workers in The British Journal of Social Work. Available here (last accessed 11 October 2021)  
<https://academic.oup.com/bjsw/advance-article/doi/10.1093/bjsw/bcab158/6328852>
- Baird, S. and Jenkins, S. R. (2003) Vicarious traumatization, secondary traumatic stress, and burnout in sexual assault and domestic violence agency staff. *Violence and Victims*, 18(1): 71-86.
- Barrow, G., Bradshaw, E. and Newton, T. (2001) *Improving Behaviour and Raising Self-Esteem in the Classroom: A Practical Guide to Using Transactional Analysis*. Fulton Publishers. London
- Bartley, M., (2006) *Capability and Resilience: Beating the Odds*. UCL Department of Epidemiology and Public Health.
- BASW (2020) The role of social workers in a pandemic and its aftermath: learning from Covid 19. BASW professional social work practice guidance. <https://www.basw.co.uk/role-social-workers-pandemic-and-its-aftermath-learning-covid-19>
- Bishop, S (et al) (2004) Mindfulness: A Proposed Operational Definition, *Clin Psychol Sci Prac* 11: 230–241.
- Boeck, T., Fleming, J., and Kemshall, H. (2006) 'The Context of Risk Decisions: Does Social Capital Make a Difference?', *Forum: Qualitative Social Research*, 7(1).
- Bonanno, G. A. (2004) 'Loss, trauma, and human resilience: have we underestimated the human capacity to thrive after extremely aversive events?', *American Psychologist*, vol. 59, no. 1, pp. 20–28.
- Brandon, M., Sidebotham, P., Bailey, S., Belderson, P., Hawley, C., Ellis C., and Megson, M (2012). *New Learning from Serious Case Reviews: a two year report from 2009-2011*. Research Report DFE-RR226. London DFE.
- Broadhurst, K., White, S., Fish, S., Munro, E., Fletcher, K. and Lincoln, H (2010) *Ten Pitfalls and how to avoid them: What research tells us*. NSPCC
- Buckner, J., Mezzacappa, E. & Beardslee, W. (2003) 'Characteristics of resilient youths living in poverty: the role of self regulatory processes', *Development and Psychopathology*, vol. 15, pp. 139 – 162.
- Chen, J-Y. (2010) Problem-based learning: developing resilience in nursing students. *Kaohsiung Journal of Medical Sciences*. 27, 230–3. [DOI:10.1016/j.kjms.2010.11.005]



- Chrisp, T., Darby, F., Lewis, C., Masterman, Procter, T., Tabberer, S. and Young, D (2011) *Wakefield Risk and Resilience Competence Framework*, NHS Wakefield District and the Council of the City of Wakefield 2011
- CIPD - Chartered Institute of Personnel and Development (2021) Employee Resilience: an evidence review. Reference: 8116 [www.cipd.co.uk](http://www.cipd.co.uk)
- Clarke, J (2013) The Resilient Practitioner. In Clarke, J & Wilson, P (Eds) *Forensic Psychology in Practice: A Practitioners Handbook*, pp220-239
- Collins, S. (2008) 'Statutory social workers: stress, job satisfaction, coping, social support and individual differences', *British Journal of Social Work*, vol. 38, pp. 1173–1193
- Davys, A., and Beddoe, L. (2010) *Best Practice in Professional Supervision: A Guide for Helping Professionals*. Jessica Kingsley, London.
- Dezelic (2013) Living with Meaning – website with various resources on trauma (accessed Jan 22) <https://www.drmariedezelic.com/window-of-tolerance--traumaanxiety-rela>
- Durban, R., Spillet, M., and Cambell-Stephens, R (2021) *Leading in Colour: The fierce urgency of NOW*. The Staff College. Manchester.
- Erikson, E. H. (1950). *Childhood and Society*. New York: Norton
- GatenbySanderson (2021) Breaking through the mistrust: increasing ethnically diverse leadership in children's services. Upon Leadership sponsored report (accessed Jan 22) <https://uponleaders.co.uk/wp-content/uploads/2021/07/Breaking-through-the-mistrust-FINALhighlight.pdf>
- Glover, J (2009) *Bouncing Back: How can resilience be promoted in vulnerable children and young people?* Essex: Barnado's
- Goleman, D. (2004) *Emotional Intelligence and Working with Emotional Intelligence*. London: Bloomsbury.
- Grant, L., Kinman, G. and Alexander, K (2013) Emotional Resilience for social workers and associated professionals: an evidence based approach. Presentation on behalf of University of Bedfordshire
- Grant, L and Kinman, G (2012) Quiz: How emotionally resilient are you? Guides. Community Care Inform [online]. Available at (last accessed Jan 2022): <http://www.communitycare.co.uk/how-emotionally-resilient-are-you/>
- Grant, L. and Kinman, G (2013) The importance of emotional resilience for staff and students in the 'helping' professions: developing an emotional curriculum, The Higher Education Academy, University of Bedfordshire
- Grant, L. and Kinman, G (2020) Developing emotional resilience and wellbeing: a practical guide for social workers. In *Community Care Inform Children and Adults*.

- Grant, L., Alexander, K. & Kinman, G. (2014). What's all this talk about emotion: Developing Emotional Literacy, in Social Work students. *Social Work Education An International Journal*.
- Grant, L., Kinman, G. & Baker, S. (2014). "Put on your own oxygen mask before assisting others": Social work educators' perspectives on an "emotional curriculum" *British Journal of Social Work*.
- Grotberg, E. (2003) *Resilience for Today: Gaining Strength from Adversity (Contemporary Psychology)*. Praeger
- Hackett, S. 2006. The personal and professional context to work with children and young people who have sexually abused. In Children and Young People who Sexually Abused Others: Challenges and Responses. Erooga, M. & Masson, H. London: Brunner Routledge.
- Haggerty, R., Sherrod, L., Garmezy, N. & Rutter, M. (eds) (1996) *Stress, Risk, and Resilience in Children and Adolescents*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Hanson, E. and Holmes, D. (2014) *That Difficult Age: Developing a more effective response to risks in adolescence*. Research in Practice and ADCS, Devon UK
- Horwath, J. (2015) 'The Toxic Duo: The Neglected Practitioner and a Parent Who Fails to Meet the Needs of Their Child' in *British Journal of Social Work* 1-15 (November, 2015)
- Howe, D. (2008) *The Emotionally Intelligent Social Worker*, Palgrave Macmillan, Basingstoke
- HSE - Health and Safety Executive, Management Standards available at:  
<http://www.hse.gov.uk/stress/standards/index.htm>
- Kelley, T. M. (2005) Natural Resilience and Innate Mental Health. *American Psychologist* 60, 265
- Kinman, G., & Grant, L. (2011). Exploring Stress Resilience in Trainee Social Workers: The Role of Emotional and Social Competencies. *British Journal Of Social Work*, 41, 261-275.
- Kinman, G., Grant, L. and Baker S (2016) *Enhancing Resilience and Wellbeing in Social Work: An Evidence Based Approach*. Presentation for University of Bedfordshire. Drawing on *Grant and Kinman (2014) Developing Resilience for Social Work Practice; Palgrave MacMillan*.
- Klohen, E. (1996) 'Conceptual analysis and measurement of the construct of ego resiliency', *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, vol. 70, no. 5, pp. 1067–1079.
- Kuntz, J. (2020) Resilience in Times of Global Pandemic: Steering Recovery and Thriving Trajectories in *Applied Psychology*, Vol 70 Issue 1 available here (last accessed Jan 2022) <https://iaap-journals.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/apps.12296>
- Lewis, R., Donaldson-Feilder, E and Pangallo, A (2011) *Developing Resilience*, Affinity Health at Work, Chartered Institute of Personnel and Development (May 2011), London
- Loehr, J & Schwartz, T (2003) *On Form*. Nicholas Brealey publishing
- Maslach, C., Schaufelli, W.B. and Leiter, M.P. (2001), "Job burnout", *Annual Review of Psychology*, Vol. 52, pp. 397-422.
- The tight rope® for worker resilience

- McFaddon, P., Campbell, A. and Taylor, B (2015) 'Resilience and Burnout in Child Protection Social Work: Individual and Organisational Themes from a Systematic Literature Review' in *British Journal of Social Work* (2015) 45, 1546–1563
- Mental Health Strategic Partnership (2013) *Building resilient communities: Making every contact count for public mental health* (August 2013). Report developed by Mind and Mental Health Foundation.
- Morrison, T. (2007). Emotional intelligence, emotion and social work: context, characteristics, complications and contribution. *British Journal Of Social Work*, 37(2), 245-263.
- Morrison T and Wonnacott J (2009) unpublished training materials.
- Munro, E (1996) Avoidable and unavoidable mistakes in child protection work in *British Journal of Social Work* 26 (6) pp793-808
- Munro, E. (2011) The Munro Review of Child Protection. Final Report: A Child Centred System. Department of Education.
- Näswall, K., Kuntz, J., and Malinen, S. (2015) Employee Resilience Scale (EmpRes): Technical Report. Resilient Organisations Research Report 2015/04. ISSN 1178-727
- Newman, T. (2004) What Works in Building Resilience Ilford: Barnardo's.
- NSPCC (2013) Vicarious trauma: the consequences of working with abuse. An NSPCC research briefing.
- Paton, D., Violanti, J.M., Johnston, P., Burke, K.J., Clarke, J.M., & Keenan, D. (2008) Stress Shield: A model of police resiliency. *International Journal of Emergency Mental Health*, 10(2), pp 95-107
- Pooley, J. & Cohen, L. (2010) 'Resilience: a definition in context', *Australian Community Psychologist*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 30–37.
- Putnam, Robert D. (2000). *Bowling Alone: The Collapse and Revival of American Community*. New York: Simon and Schuster.
- Reivich, K. and Shatte, A. (2003). *The Resilience Factor* (Broadway Books, New York).
- Roger, D (2002) *Managing Stress: Live Long and Prosper (Skills in Action)*, The Chartered Institute of Marketing; (2nd Ed) also see (last accessed Jan 2022) [www.challengeofchange.co.nz](http://www.challengeofchange.co.nz)
- Ruch, G.(2009) Identifying 'the critical' in a relationship-based model of reflection, *European Journal of Social Work*, 12(3), 349-362.
- Ungar, V.R and Busch Ahumada, L (2001) Supervision: A container / contained approach. *The International Journal of Psychoanalysis*, 82:1, 71-81
- Van Rooy, D. L. and Viswesvaran, C. (2004) Emotional intelligence: a meta-analytic investigation of predictive validity and nomological net. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*. 65, 71–95.

Wernli D, Clausin M, Antulov-Fantulin N, et al. Building a multisystemic understanding of societal resilience to the COVID-19 pandemic. *BMJ Global Health* 2021;**6**:e006794. doi:10.1136/ bmjgh-2021-006794

Wilson, P. and Long, I (2018) *The Big Book of Blob Trees (Blobs)*. Routledge

Youseff, C and Luthans, F (2007) Positive Organizational Behavior in the Workplace: The Impact of Hope, Optimism, and Resilience , *Journal of Management* 33:5 (October 2007), pp. 774-800

# tight rope<sup>®</sup>

© Dr Roberta Evans and Richard Swann – creators and authors

First produced by Vlinder Consultancy Ltd 2016

Version 2 published 2022

[www.tightropetool.com](http://www.tightropetool.com)