# CS325 - Project 1

## Group 6 William Jernigan, Alexander Merrill, Sean Rettig

## October 2014

## Proof of Claim 1

Claim 1:  $y_i$  is not visible iff  $\exists j, k$  such that j < i < k and  $y * > m_i x * + b_i$  where (x \*, y \*) is the intersection of  $y_i$  and  $j_k$ .

 $A \equiv y_i$  is not visible

 $B \equiv \exists j, k \text{ such that } j < i < k \text{ and } y* < m_i x* + b_i \text{ where } (x*, y*) \text{ is the intersection of } y_j \text{ and } y_k.$  $A \Leftrightarrow B$ 

### First Prove $A \Rightarrow B$

#### **Direct Proof:**

Let  $y_i$  be a line that is not visible.

Then l < i < n because  $y_l$  and  $y_n$  are always visible.

Let k be the smallest index greater than i such that  $y_k$  is visible.

e.g.  $y_1, y_2, ..., y_k, y_{k+1}, ..., y_{n-1}, y_n$ 

Let (x\*, y\*) be the left most point on  $y_k$  that is visible.

Let j be the greatest index such that  $y_i$  intersects  $y_k$  at (x\*, y\*) is visible.

Because  $y_i$  through  $y_{k-1}$  are not visible (by definition of  $k_j$ ) j < i < k.

Since x\*, y\* is visible and  $y_i$  is not visible,  $m_i x + b_i < y*$ .

#### Prove $B \Rightarrow A$

#### **Direct Proof:**

Since  $m_i < m_k$ , the intersection point of  $y_i$  and  $y_k$  is left of x\*.

Since  $m_i < m_k$ ,  $m_i x + b_i < m_k x + b_k \ \forall x > \bar{x}$ .

Likewise since  $m_i > m_j$ ,  $y_i$  and  $y_j$  intersect at  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$  right of  $x*(\bar{x} > x*)$ .

 $\therefore m_i x + b_i < m_j x + b_j; \forall x < \bar{\bar{x}}.$ 

 $\therefore y_i$  is not visible.

 $y_k + y_i$  intersect at  $m_k x + b_k = m_i x + b_i$ 

$$x = \frac{(b_j - b_k)}{(m_k - m_j)}$$

$$x = \frac{(b_j - b_k)}{(m_k - m_j)}$$
Is  $m_j \left(\frac{b_j - b_k}{m_k - m_j}\right) + b_j > m_i \left(\frac{b_j - b_k}{m_k - m_j}\right) + b_i$ 

If  $m_k > m_j$  then instead compare  $m_i(b_i - b_k) + b_i(m_k - m_i) > m_i(b_i + b_k)$ 

### Proof of Claim 2

Claim 2: If  $\{y_{j_1}, y_{j_2}, ..., y_{j_t}\}$  is the visible subset of  $\{y_1, y_2, ..., y_{i-1}\}$   $(t \le i-1)$  then  $\{y_{j_1}, y_{j_2}, ..., y_{j_k}, y_i\}$ is the visible subset of  $\{y_1, y_2, ..., y_i\}$  where  $y_{j_k}$  is the last line such that  $y_{j_k}(x^*) > y_i(x^*)$  where  $(x*, y_{j_k}(x*))$  is the point of intersection of lines  $y_{j_k}$  and  $y_{j_{k-1}}$ .

### **Direct Proof**

## **0.1** Prove that $y_i \in V^+k$

Let  $A^+ = A\{y_i\}.$ 

Because  $m_i > m_n, n < i, y_i$  is visible by the Claim 1 proof in the "Visible Line Notes" handout. Since  $y_i$  is visible and  $y_i \in A^+, y_i$  must also be in  $V^+$ , the visible subset of  $A^+$ .

## **0.2** Prove that $y_{i_k} \in V^+k$

Let  $(x^*, y_{j_k}(x^*))$  be the point of intersection of the lines  $y_{j_k}$  and  $y_{j_{k-1}}$ . Since  $y_{j_k}(x^*)y_i(x^*)$  by definition,  $y_{j_k}$  is visible with respect to  $y_i$ . Since  $y_{j_k}$  was already in V, it is defined to be visible with respect to all other elements.  $\therefore y_{j_k} \in V^+$ .

# **0.3** Prove that $y_{i_n} \in V^+, 0 < n < k$

Because  $y_{j_n} \in V$ , it is defined to be visible with all other elements. So we must show that  $y_{j_n}$  is visible with respect to  $y_i$  as well. Let  $(x_n^*, y_{j_n}(x_n^*))$  be the point of intersection of the lines  $y_{j_n}$  and  $y_{j_{n+1}}$ . By definition,  $m_{j_n} < m_{j_{n+1}}$ , so  $\forall x_n < x_n^*, y_{j_n}(x_n) > y_{j_{n+1}}(x_n)$ .  $\therefore y_{j_1}(x_{1,2}^*) = y_{j_2}(x_{1,2}^*) \geq y_{j_3}(x_{1,2}^*), y_{j_2}(x_{2,3}^*) = y_{j_3}(x_{2,3}^*) \geq y_{j_4}(x_{2,3}^*), ..., y_{j_{n-1}}(x_{n-1,n}^*) = y_{j_n}(x_{n-1,n}^*)$   $y_{j_{n+1}}(x_{n-1,n}^*), ..., y_{j_{k-1}}(x_{k-1,k}^*) = y_{j_k}(x_{k-1,k}^*) \geq y_{j_i}(x_{k-1,k}^*)$ Since  $y_{j_n}$  was already in V, it is defined to be visible with respect to all other elements.  $y_{j_n} \in V^+$ .

# **0.4** Prove that $y_{j_n} \in V^+, 0 < n < k$

Because  $y_{j_n} \in V$ , it is defined to be visible with all other elements. So we must show that  $y_{j_n}$  is visible with respect to  $y_i$  as well. Let  $(x_n^*, y_{j_n}(x_n^*))$  be the point of intersection of the lines  $y_{j_n}$  and  $y_{j_{n+1}}$ . By definition,  $m_{j_n} < m_{j_{n+1}}$ , so  $\forall x_n < x_n^*, y_{j_n}(x_n) > y_{j_{n+1}}(x_n)$ .  $\therefore y_{j_1}(x_{1,2}^*) = y_{j_2}(x_{1,2}^*) \ge y_{j_3}(x_{1,2}^*), y_{j_2}(x_{2,3}^*) = y_{j_3}(x_{2,3}^*) \ge y_{j_4}(x_{2,3}^*), \dots, y_{j_{n-1}}(x_{n-1,n}^*) = y_{j_n}(x_{n-1,n}^*)$   $\ge y_{j_{n+1}}(x_{n-1,n}^*), \dots, y_{j_{k-1}}(x_{k-1,k}^*) = y_{j_k}(x_{k-1,k}^*) \ge y_{j_i}(x_{k-1,k}^*)$ Since  $y_{j_n}$  was already in V, it is defined to be visible with respect to all other elements.  $\therefore y_{j_n} \in V^+$ .