CS341 Algorithms

Assignment 1

REUBEN DRUMMOND

- 1. (a) [9 marks] Prove or disprove each of the following statements.
 - i. if $\log(f(n)) \in \Omega(\log(g(n)))$ then $f(n) \in \Omega(g(n))$.

Consider functions for $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$f(n) = n^k$$
$$g(n) = n^{k+1}$$

We have that,

$$\log f(n) = kn$$
$$\log g(n) = (k+1) n$$

It is true that $\log f(n) \in \Omega(g(n))$ since,

$$\exists c, n_0 > 0 : \forall n > n_0, c \log g\left(n\right) \le \log f\left(n\right) \tag{1}$$

And we can select a $c \forall n_0$ which satisfies the definition,

$$\begin{split} c\log g\left(n\right) & \leq \log f\left(n\right) \\ ckn & \leq \left(k+1\right)n \\ c & \leq \frac{\left(k+1\right)}{k} \quad \text{(since } n>0\text{)} \end{split}$$

Assume that $f(n) \in \Omega g(n)$. By ??, we can see that,

$$cg(n) \le f(n)$$

$$cn^{k+1} \le n^{k}$$

$$c \le \frac{n^{k+1}}{n^{k}} = n$$

Clearly, we cannot select a finite value of c for which the condition for 1 hold, which is a contradiction to the claim that $f(n) \in \Omega g(n)$.

Since we have found a counter example we can disprove the original statement.

ii. If
$$f(n) \in O(h(n))$$
 and $g(n) \in O(h(n))$ then $\frac{f(n)}{g(n)} \in O(1)$. Consider the functions,

$$f(n) = n$$
$$g(n) = 1$$
$$h(n) = n^{2}$$

Clearly, $f(n), g(n) \in h(n)$ since,

$$\begin{split} \exists c_{1},n_{0}:\forall n>n_{0},&f\left(n\right)\leq c_{1}h\left(n\right)\\ \exists c_{2},n_{0}:\forall n>n_{0},&g\left(n\right)\leq c_{2}h\left(n\right) \end{split}$$

Now consider,

$$\frac{f\left(n\right)}{g\left(n\right)} = \frac{n}{1} = n \notin O1$$

We can therefore disprove the original statement by counter example.

iii. If $f(n) \in o(g(n))$ then $\log(f(n)) \in o(\log(g(n)))$. Consider functions such that $f(n) \in o(g(n))$,

$$f(n) = n$$
$$q(n) = n^2$$

If $\log f(n) \in o(\log g(n))$ we must have $\forall c > 0$,

$$\log f(n) \le c \log g(n)$$

$$\log n \le c \log n^2$$

$$\log n \le c 2 \log n$$

$$1 \le c 2 \quad \text{since } n > 0$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \le c$$

$$c \ge \frac{1}{2}$$

Since $c \geq \frac{1}{2}$, we conclude that $\log f(n) \notin o(\log g(n))$. Therefore, we have disproven the original statement by counter example.

(b) [6 marks] Analyze the following pseudocode and give a tight (Θ) bound on the running time as a function of n. You can assume that all individual instructions (including logarithm) are elementary, i.e., take constant time. Show your work.

```
\begin{array}{lll} l & := & 0; \\ \text{for } i = n+1 & \text{to} & n^2 & \text{do} \\ & \text{for } j = 1 & \text{to} & \lceil \log i \rceil & \text{do} \\ & l & := & l+1 \\ & \text{od} \\ & \text{od}. \end{array}
```

There are two loops which can be expressed as a nested sum. Note that the execution time of operations are denoted as c_1 through to c_4 in order. Expressed as a sum, we have that the running time is,

running time =
$$c_1 + \sum_{i=n+1}^{n^2} \left(c_2 + \sum_{j=0}^{\log i} (c_3 + c_4) \right)$$

= $c_1 + \sum_{i=n+1}^{n^2} (c_2 + (c_3 + c_4) \log i)$

We can say that,

$$\sum_{i=n+1}^{n^2} \left(c_2 + (c_3 + c_4) \log i \right) \leq \int_x^{x^2} \left(c_2 + (c_3 + c_4) \log x \right) dx$$

Since $\log x$ is monotonically increasing and the sum can be viewed as a Riemann sum of width 1.

Noting that,

$$\int \log_b x dx = \frac{1}{\ln b} \left(x \ln x - x \right) + c$$

we have,

$$\begin{split} \text{running time} & \leq c_1 + \int_n^{n^2} \left(c_2 + (c_3 + c_4) \log_b x \right) dx \\ & \leq c_1 + \left[c_2 x + (c_3 + c_4) \, \frac{1}{\ln b} \left(x \ln x - x \right) \right]_n^{n^2} \\ & = c_1 + c_2 \left(n^2 - n \right) + (c_3 + c_4) \, \frac{1}{\ln b} \left[\left(n^2 \ln n^2 - n^2 \right) - \left(n \ln n - n \right) \right] \end{split}$$

Clearly, the highest order term is proportional to $n^2 \log n$. Therefore, we can conclude the tight bound is $\Theta(n^2 \log n)$.

Appendices