

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Ultraviolet & X-ray Radiation in Astrophysics	1
1.1.1	Single Stars	4
1.1.2	Compact Binary Systems	8
1.2	The Galactic Bulge Survey (GBS)	16
2	The Galactic Bulge Survey: a <i>GALEX</i> Ultraviolet Counter-	
	part Catalogue	18
2.1	Introduction	19
2.1.1	The Galactic Bulge Survey: Purpose & Progress	19
2.1.2	SED Fitting the GBS via UV Counterparts	21
2.2	UV Counterparts to the GBS	23
2.2.1	<i>GALEX</i> : Photometry & Astrometry	24
2.2.2	<i>GALEX</i> : Data Collection & Reduction	26
2.2.3	<i>GALEX/Chandra</i> Counterpart Match Algorithm	27
2.2.4	Quantifying the <i>GALEX/Chandra</i> Chance-Match Prob-	
	ability	31
2.3	Optical & Infrared Counterparts to the GBS	34
2.3.1	Data: Optical Counterparts	35
2.3.2	Data: Infrared Counterparts	39
2.3.3	Complementary UV Data: <i>Swift</i> UVOT	41
2.4	Identification of Compact Binaries via the SED	42

2.4.1	SED Modeling and Goodness-of-Fit	42
2.4.2	Multi-Component Modeling: A Bayesian Approach . . .	47
2.5	UV & X-ray Emission of Chromospherically Active Stars	48
2.6	Results & Discussion	54
2.6.1	Chromospherically Active Population	57
2.6.2	Poorly Fit, UV-Excess Systems	65
2.6.3	Individual Sources	66
2.7	Summary & Conclusions	94
3	Thesis Conclusions & Future Research Directions	96
	Appendices	120
A	Full Chandra/Galex Dataset	121

List of Tables

2.1	Specifications of the <i>GALEX</i> NUV detector.	26
2.2	Expected numbers of <i>GALEX/Chandra</i> positional chance matches.	34
2.3	Spectral type vs. expected X-ray and optical fluxes.	54
2.4	Number of optical, infrared counterparts to <i>GALEX/Chandra</i> systems in each survey used.	55
2.5	Final list of UV excess sources.	67
A.1	Full <i>GALEX/Chandra</i> dataset.	130

List of Figures

1.1	Relation between Rossby Number (R_0), rotational period (P_{rot}), X-ray luminosity (L_X) for coronally active stars.	6
1.2	L_X as a function of stellar mass M_\odot for coronally active stars.	7
2.1	<i>GALEX</i> NUV filter transmission profile.	25
2.2	<i>GALEX</i> NUV coverage of the Galactic Bulge Survey.	28
2.3	Distribution of <i>Chandra</i> positional error.	30
2.4	Distribution of positional offsets between <i>GALEX</i> / <i>Chandra</i> matches.	33
2.5	VPHAS+ Galactic footprint.	38
2.6	Example of stellar template fitting for a single source, using two different values of $E(B - V)$	44
2.7	Synthetic observation of HD154712 from the BPGS spectral li- brary, fitted with Pickles template spectra.	46
2.8	MCMC model of CX93, showing fitted model and corner plot for visualizing parameter degeneracy.	49
2.9	Synthetic observations and modelling of active binaries RS CVn, Algol and BY Dra.	52
2.10	Effectiveness of <i>GALEX</i> -matching algorithm (distribution of coun- terpart numbers).	56
2.11	$(J - H)$ vs. $(H - K)$ colour-colour diagram.	58
2.12	$(NUV - B)$ vs. $(B - V)$ colour-colour diagram.	59
2.13	$(J - H)$ vs. $(B - V)$ colour-colour diagram.	60

2.14	Distribution of best-fit spectral classes for the single stellar population.	62
2.15	Comparison of NUV excess levels for 5 systems to the M-dwarf NUV relation from Stelzer et al. (2013).	63
2.16	SEDs of known compact binaries in our dataset.	68
2.16	SEDs of 18 UV excess systems.	70
2.17	SED model of CX93 with MCMC (large figure).	72
2.18	SED model of CX118 with MCMC.	74
2.19	SED model of CX137 with MCMC.	76
2.20	SED model and optical image of CX388.	78
2.21	Optical image of CX398.	79
2.22	SED model of CX417 with MCMC.	81
2.23	IR and optical images of CX417.	82
2.24	IR and optical images of CX645.	85
2.25	IR and optical images of CX673.	87
2.26	IR and optical images of CX886.	88
2.27	3 different SED models for CX886.	89
2.28	Optical image of CX1029.	90
2.29	Optical image of CX1042.	91
2.30	Optical image of CX1229.	92
2.31	SED model of CXB208 with MCMC.	93