

Geo Tagging Twitter Users using Wikipedia

Revathy Krishnamurthy, Pavan Kapanipathi, and Amit Sheth

Kno.e.sis Center, CSE Department
Wright State University, Dayton, OH - USA

Abstract. As more and more people are taking to microblogging networks, like Twitter, as a primary source of their communication with the rest of the world, the analysis of user generated content has become increasingly significant for crisis management. To make the contents of a tweet actionable, we need to be able to determine the location of the user. A recent study has shown that approximately only 3.17% of tweets are tagged with the user location. As tweets are generally informal in nature and contain many acronyms and slang words, researchers have focussed on using statistical methods for identification of words with a strong geographic scope and then use these words to identify the location of a user. But the biggest challenge in this approach is the requirement of a training dataset and creation of the statistical model, which can be a time consuming process. To this end, we propose an approach that uses Wikipedia as a background knowledge to analyse tweets in order to predict the location of the users. The main advantage of the proposed approach is that the use of Wikipedia eliminates the need for a training dataset. We show that initial tests with this approach allows us to locate 30% of users within 100 miles of their actual location.

1 Introduction

The power of social media was demonstrated during Hurricane Sandy when more than 20 million tweets related to the hurricane were posted in a span of three days. It was reported that the volume of tweets doubled during these three days as compared to the previous two days. 35% of these tweets contained news from media channels, information from government sources and eyewitness accounts¹. The extensive usage of social networking platforms have opened new areas of research where the focus has been on the use of these platforms in disaster management. On the other hand, only 3.17% of tweets are tagged with geographical information [6]. In improving emergency response, using information from Twitter, the geographical location of an online user plays an important role.

Current approaches to detect location, based on the content of tweets, focus on building statistical models using a training dataset of tweets. In the event of a disaster, to identify user location in real time we need an approach that

¹ <http://www.journalism.org/2012/11/06/hurricane-sandy-and-twitter/>

can be easily adapted to any geographic location. To this end, we present an approach that utilizes Wikipedia as a source of knowledge to predict users' location based on their online content. Briefly, our approach uses the graph structure of Wikipedia to find entities with a local geographic scope. The presence of these entities in users' tweets help estimate their location. Our intuition is that, more the users talk about entities with a local geographic scope, more are their chances of belonging to that location. Preliminary evaluation of our approach with a random sample from the dataset shared by Cheng et al[3] has shown promise and performs better than their baseline.

In the rest of this paper, we will first discuss the related work on location prediction of Twitter users in Section 2, followed with a detailed explanation of our approach in Section 3. Section 4 discusses a preliminary evaluation of our approach and the paper concludes with discussion future directions we plan to take in Section 5.

2 Related Work

There have been two main approaches in addressing the problem of location identification of a twitter user: (1) Using the content of the tweets: based on the premise that the online content of a user is influenced by the geographical location of the user (2) Using the network information of the user: based on the assumption that the location of the people in a user's network and their online interaction can be used to determine the user's location.

Content-based location detection relies on a significantly large training dataset to build a statistical model that identifies words with a local scope. Use of these words in tweets are then used to narrow down the location of any user. Cheng et al. [3] proposed a probabilistic framework for estimating a Twitter user's city-level location based on the content of approximately 1000+ tweets of each user. The locality of terms was determined by its spatial variation across united states. Their approach on a test dataset of 130689 users with 1000+ tweets each, could locate 51% of the users within 100 miles. The disadvantage of this approach was the assumption that a "term" is spatially significant to only one location/city. This challenge was addressed by Chang et al. [2] by modeling the variations as a Gaussian mixture model. Their tests on the same test dataset showed an accuracy (within 100 miles) of 0.499 with 509.3 miles of average error distance.

Network based solutions requires the network information of a given user. McGee et al. [4] train an SVM classifier with features based on the information of users' followers-followees who have their location information available. They tested their approach on a random sample of 1000 users and reported 50.08% accuracy at the city level. However, the limitation of a network-based approaches is the availability of location information of people in the appropriate user's network.

The above mentioned approaches require prior training dataset (of either the content or network), which can be a bottleneck during disaster management. Our

goal is to overcome this requirement of training data for each city by leveraging Wikipedia as the knowledge source.

3 Approach

Previous research [3] [1] have established that the content of a user's posts reflects his/her geographical location. We propose to use the information available in Wikipedia to establish words that are most representative of a given location.

3.1 Dataset

We selected 1670 cities in the United States of America having population greater than 20000. We randomly selected 600 users containing 1000+ tweets each, from the dataset made publicly available by Cheng et al[3].

3.2 Creation of Background Knowledge

Wikipedia is a crowd sourced encyclopedia. Links to internal Wikipedia pages from a given page are an important feature of all Wikipedia pages. The aim of these links is to increase the understanding of a user about the given page. For instance, the Wikipedia page of *Boston, Massachusetts*² mentions the *Boston Red Sox*, in the Sports section. It also provides a hyperlink to Boston Red Sox, that allows the user to navigate to the Wikipedia page of *Boston Red Sox*. We base our approach on the assumption that these internal links share varying degrees of relevance to the Wikipedia page of the city. As in the previous example, the Wikipedia page of Boston also contains an internal link to *Major League Baseball* which would be less representative of Boston than the *Boston Red Sox*.

The entire collection of Wikipedia is available for download³. We use the dump dated 14-Feb-2014 to extract the internal links from the Wikipedia pages of all the cities in our dataset. Figure 1 shows the distribution of the count of internal links among all the city pages. From our dataset, *Pittsburgh* had 2684 as the largest count of internal links and *Round Lake Beach, Illinois* had 33 as the smallest count of internal links.

3.3 Scoring City-Specific Entities

Given a set of internal links for a city, we score each link to determine the degree of its relevance to the city. The more a given internal link is common to the cities in our dataset, the less it maybe relevant to one particular city. For example, in our dataset of 1650 cities, an internal link to the Wikipedia page of *Barack Obama* appears 105 times as opposed to *Southern California* and *Golden Gate Bridge* which appear 50 and 6 times respectively.

² <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Boston>

³ http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wikipedia:Database_download

Mendes et al. [5] proposed *Inverse Candidate Frequency* for the task of entity disambiguation in DBPedia Spotlight. The idea behind ICF is that "a word commonly co-occurring with many resources is less discriminative overall". We use this intuition to identify the discriminative ability of an internal link with respect to a city. Let C be the set of cities in our dataset. Let I be the set of internal links for a city $c \in C$. The ICF of an internal link $i \in I$, that appears in n cities, is defined as:

$$ICF(i) = \log |C| - \log n \quad (1)$$

3.4 Location Estimation

We used Zemanta⁴ to annotate tweets. It maps entities in the input text to Wikipedia pages.

For a user U , let T_u be the set of their tweets, $Z_u = \{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k\}$ be the set of entities annotated by Zemanta that map to a Wikipedia url. Let z_k represent the cardinality z_k in T_u . Let C be the set of cities in our dataset and $\forall c_j \in C$, let L be the set of its internal wiki links where $ICF(l_i)$ is the score $\forall l_i \in L$.

For the user U we compute the score of each city in our set as:

$$Score(c_j) = \sum_{i=1}^I |l_i| \times ICF(l_i) \quad \forall l_i \in Z_u \quad (2)$$

We tag the city with the maximum score as the location of the user.

4 Evaluation

4.1 Evaluation Metrics

We use the two metrics defined in [3] to evaluate our system (1) Accuracy (2) Average Error Distance Accuracy is defined as the number of users identified within 100 miles of their actual location. Error distance is the distance between the actual location of the user and the estimated location by our algorithm. Average Error Distance is the average of the error distance across all users.

4.2 Experimental Results

We evaluated our approach on 594 users with 1000+ tweets each. These users are distributed across United States. Figure X shows the distribution of the users. Using our approach we could locate 30% of the users within 100 miles of their actual location. Table 1 shows the local words identified using Wikipedia, in the tweets of these users.

⁴ <http://www.zemanta.com>

Location	Wikipedia Links from User Tweets
Portland, Maine	Portland Museum of Art; Old Port; Peaks Island; Portland Head Light
Las Vegas, Nevada	Bellagio (resort and casino); Hard Rock Cafe; Mandalay Bay; Las Vegas Hotel and Casino; Showgirls; McCarran International Airport
Detroit	Hockeytown; Detroit Red Wings; General Motors; Detroit Yacht Club; Belle Isle Park
Phoenix, Arizona	Chase Field; Downtown Phoenix; Cave Creek, Arizona; Maricopa County, Arizona
Pittsburgh	Forbes; Fort Pitt Tunnel; Pittsburgh Steelers; Luke Ravenstahl; University of Pittsburgh; Station Square; PNC Park; Pittsburgh Penguins;

Table 1. Wikipedia Links Annotated in Tweets

5 Conclusion and Future Work

In this paper, we have presented an approach that leverages Wikipedia to determine location of Twitter users. With a preliminary evaluation we have showed that the approach performs well with an accuracy of approximately 30% for 1000 random users selected from the datasets exposed by other existing approaches. This performance beats the baseline by over 10%. While the existing approaches (network-based and content-based) require training data for predicting users' locations, with this approach we have introduced an alternative that can perform the same task by leveraging crowd-sourced background knowledge.

In future we would like to explore other scoring techniques for entities for both 1. creating background knowledge for cities and 2. entities scoring from users' tweets. Specifically, the creating of background knowledge presently uses *ICF* which reflects the discriminative ability of the entity. However, we need to focus the usage of the entity for a particular city which is yet to be explored. We also acknowledge the limitation of this approach to be the coverage of Wikipedia., i.e. cities that are not present in Wikipedia will be ignored by our approach. We intend to explore other geo-datasets on LOD that can provide us with appropriate information to adapt to our approach.

References

1. HAN Bo and Paul COOK1 Timothy BALDWIN. Geolocation prediction in social media data by finding location indicative words. *Proceedings of COLING 2012: Technical Papers*, pages 1045–1062, 2012.
2. Hau-wen Chang, Dongwon Lee, Mohammed Eltaher, and Jeongkyu Lee. @ phillies tweeting from philly? predicting twitter user locations with spatial word usage. In *Proceedings of the 2012 International Conference on Advances in Social Networks Analysis and Mining (ASONAM 2012)*, pages 111–118. IEEE Computer Society, 2012.

3. Zhiyuan Cheng, James Caverlee, and Kyumin Lee. You are where you tweet: a content-based approach to geo-locating twitter users. In *Proceedings of the 19th ACM international conference on Information and knowledge management*, pages 759–768. ACM, 2010.
4. Jeffrey McGee, James Caverlee, and Zhiyuan Cheng. Location prediction in social media based on tie strength. In *Proceedings of the 22nd ACM international conference on Conference on information & knowledge management*, pages 459–468. ACM, 2013.
5. Pablo N Mendes, Max Jakob, Andrés García-Silva, and Christian Bizer. Dbpedia spotlight: shedding light on the web of documents. In *Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Semantic Systems*, pages 1–8. ACM, 2011.
6. Fred Morstatter, Jürgen Pfeffer, Huan Liu, and Kathleen M Carley. Is the sample good enough? comparing data from twitters streaming api with twitters firehose. *Proceedings of ICWSM*, 2013.