

INTRO TO ALGORITHMS MIDTERM

- 1 True or False. You *must* justify your answers. *No justifications, no credits.* (30%)

- a) $f(n) + o(f(n)) = \Theta(f(n))$
- b) $T(n) \leq O(n)$ iff $T(n) = O(n)$
- c) $T_1(n) = \Theta(T_2(n))$, where $T_1(n)$ and $T_2(n)$ are defined below:

$$T_1(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & n = 1 \\ 2T_1(n/2) + n & n > 1 \end{cases}$$

$$T_2(n) = \begin{cases} n^2 & n \leq 9999 \\ 2T_2(n/2) + 9999n & n > 9999 \end{cases}$$

- d) The two statements
 "The running time of **HEAPESORT** is $O(n \lg n)$." , and
 "The worst-case running time of **HEAPSORT** is $O(n \lg n)$."
 are equivalent.
- e) When sorting *singed* integers by radix sort, the signed bits have to be treated differently. Therefore, the *best* way to sort 65536 32-bit *signed* integers by radix sort is to partition each signed integer as a 3-digit number $d_2d_1d_0$, where d_2 is the signed bit, d_1 is a 15-bit digit, and d_0 is a 16-bit digit.
- f) In the worst case, insertion sort takes a time $\leq cn^2$ for *each* sufficiently large n . Thus, there are only a *finite* number of instances of size n on which insertion sort takes a time $> cn^2$.
 Similarly, in the worst case, insertion sort takes a time $\geq dn^2$ for *each* sufficiently large n . Thus, there are only a *finite* number of instances of size n on which insertion sort takes a time $< dn^2$.

- 2 a) Prove that $2^n = \omega((3/2)^n)$ by the definition of ω . (4%)
- b) Rank the following functions by order of growth. Justify your answers.
 n^2 $\lg n!$ $(\lg n)!$ (6%)

- 3 We may partition an $n \times n$ matrix into nine $n/3 \times n/3$ matrices and use a divide-and-conquer approach to multiply two $n \times n$ matrices.

Let $T(n)$ be the running time of that divide-and-conquer algorithm. Then,

$$T(n) = kT(n/3) + \Theta(n^2)$$

where $k \geq 1$ is an integer.

For what value of k can the divide-and-conquer algorithm beat the remarkable Strassen's algorithm that runs in $\Theta(n^{\lg 7})$ or $O(n^{2.81})$ time? (8%)

Hint: Use the master theorem. Note: $\lg 7 \approx 2.8073549 < 2.81$

- 4 Given
 $T(n) = 4T(n/3) + n$
 Prove by the substitution method that $T(n) = O(n^{\log_3 4})$ (6%)
- 5 Consider RANDOMIZED-QUICKSORT on n distinct elements.
 Let X be the random variable that denotes the total number of comparisons performed in all calls to PARTITION.
 Prove that $E[X] = O(n \lg n)$. (8%)
- 6 Show that finding the minimum and maximum of n elements needs *at most* $\lceil 3n/2 \rceil - 2$ comparisons in the worst case. (8%)

- 7 Consider the following function that turns an array $A[1..n]$ into a max heap

```
BUILD-MAX-HEAP( $A, n$ )
for  $i = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$  downto 1
    MAX-HEAPIFY( $A, i, n$ )
```

Let $T(n)$ = the running time of BUILD-MAX-HEAP on an array of n elements

Clearly, $T(n) = \Omega(n)$, due to the **for** loop.

In this problem, we shall show that $T(n) = O(n)$ *by recurrence*, even though BUILD-MAX-HEAP is an iterative function.

- a) Let

$T'(k)$ = the time needed to build a heap of height k by BUILD-MAX-HEAP

Write down a recurrence for $T'(k)$ and show that $T'(k) = O(2^k)$ (6%)

Hint

The height of the left subheap is $k - 1$. The height of the right subheap is $k - 1$ or $k - 2$. And, we are interested in the upper bound.

- b) Use a) to show that $T(n) = O(n)$. (4%)

Note: Part b) is independent of part a), i.e. you may solve part b) without solving part a).

- 8 In this problem, we shall prove the following lower bound theorem by *decision tree model*.

THEOREM

Any comparison-based algorithm for merging two n -element sorted lists needs *at least* $2n - o(n)$ comparisons in the worst case, assuming that all the $2n$ elements are distinct.

- 8 a) Prove that there are **at least** $\binom{2n}{n}$ leaves in the decision tree of a comparison-based merging algorithm working on two n -element sorted lists, assuming that all the $2n$ elements are distinct. (4%)
- b) Use a) to prove the theorem. (6%)
- Hint:** $\binom{2n}{n}$ is the maximum term in the summation $\sum_{i=0}^{2n} \binom{2n}{i}$
- Note:** Part b) is independent of part a), i.e. you may solve part b) without solving part a).
- 9 a) Design a **comparison-based** sorting algorithm to sort n integers in $\Theta(n)$ time in the worst case, knowing that all integers are in the range 1 to 10. (6%)
- Hint:** Partition (6%)
- b) Why is the lower bound $\Omega(n \lg n)$ for comparison-based sorting algorithms not satisfied in this case? (4%)
- Note:** Part b) is independent of part a), i.e. you may answer part b) without solving part a).