INTRO TO ALGORITHMS MIDTERM

Total: 122 points

Hint: Do not try to earn 122 points. Try to earn 100 points instead.

- 1 True or false. You *must* justify your answers. *No justifications, no credits.* (16%)
 - a) $O(n) = \{f(n) : \exists c > 0 \text{ such that } 0 \le f(n) \le cn \text{ for all } n > 0\}$

Hint: Compare it with book's definition on big-0.

$$O(n) = \{ f(n) : \exists c > 0 \ n_0 > 0 \text{ such that } 0 \le f(n) \le cn \text{ for all } n \ge n_0 \}$$

b) $O(n^k) = O(n)^k$ for any integer k

Hint: Consider k < 0, k = 0, and k > 0

c)* Let
$$S(n) = S(n-1) + O(1)$$

 $T(n) = T(n/2 + \sqrt{n}) + n$
Then, $S(n) = \Theta(T(n))$

- d)* Heapsort is a good choice for sorting a linked list.
- 2 For each algorithm below, give a recurrence that describes its running time and give a tight bound or upper bound of the running time. You need not justify your answers. Note that
 - this problem asks for running time, rather than worst-case running time, and
 - give a tight bound whenever possible. (12%)
 - a)* Strassen's algorithm
 - b) Randomized Quicksort
 - c) Binary search
- 3* Prove by the definition of big-0 that $(2n+3) \times O(n) = O(n^2)$. (8%)

Hint: Be sure to specify the constants required by the big-0 definition.

4* Given

$$T(n) = 8T(n/2) + O(n^2)$$

Use constructive induction to show that $T(n) = O(n^3)$. (8%)

Hint: Try $T(n) \le dn^3 - d'n^2$.

5* Consider the mergesort recurrence

$$T(n) = T\left(\left|\frac{n}{2}\right|\right) + T\left(\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]\right) + O(n)$$

Prove that $T(n) = O(n \lg n)$ by domain transformation.

That is, define $S(n) = T(n + \alpha)$, where α is a constant, chosen to make S(n) satisfy a simpler recurrence. (8%)

Hint:

$$T(n) = T\left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor\right) + T\left(\left\lceil \frac{n}{2}\right\rceil\right) + O(n) \le 2T\left(\left\lceil \frac{n}{2}\right\rceil\right) + O(n) \le 2T\left(\frac{n}{2} + 1\right) + O(n)$$

Consider a variant of mergesort that divides an array of n elements into \sqrt{n} subarrays, each having \sqrt{n} elements. The \sqrt{n} sorted subarrays are then merged simultaneously with the help of a min-priority queue.

Mergesort(A[1..n])

- 1 **for** i=1 to \sqrt{n} do // \sqrt{n} subarrays

 MERGESORT $\left(A[(i-1)\sqrt{n}+1...i\sqrt{n}]\right)$ // sort the ith subarray
- 2 Merge(A[1..n])

MERGE(A[1..n]) // A[1..n] contains \sqrt{n} sorted subarrays

- 1 Let B[1..n] be a new array
- Build a min-priority queue Q on the \sqrt{n} smallest elements, one from each sorted subarray
- 3 **for** k = 1 to n do

$$B[k] = \text{EXTRACT-MIN}(Q)$$

// Suppose the element just extracted comes from the ith subarray

if the ith subarray is not empty then

INSERT(Q, the next element of the ith subarray)

- 4 Copy B[1..n] back to A[1..n]
- a) Show that the running time of MERGE(A[1..n]) is $O(n \lg \sqrt{n})$. (4%)
- b)* Let T(n) be the running time of MERGESORT(A[1..n]), then $T(n) = \sqrt{n}T(\sqrt{n}) + O(n \lg \sqrt{n})$

Give an asymptotic upper bound for T(n). (8%)

Hint: Range transformation S(n) = T(n)/n and change of variable

7 Consider the insertion sort

INSERTION-SORT(A, n)

for
$$i = 2$$
 to n
 $key = A[i]$
 $j = i - 1$
while $j > 0$ and $A[j] > key$ // key (i. e. $A[i]$) is compared to $A[j]$
 $A[j + 1] = A[j]$
 $j = j - 1$
 $A[j + 1] = key$

- a)* Draw the decision tree for insertion sort on 3 elements. (8%)
- b)* In terms of the number of comparisons, for what value of n is insertion sort an optimal comparison sort in the worst case? (6%)

Hint: First, find the number of comparisons taken by insertion sort in the worst case. Then, compare it with the theoretical lower bound.

8 (Continuing 7)

Assume that the n elements are distinct and each of the n! possible inputs is equally likely.

- a) Define the indicator random variable
 - $X_{ij} = I\{A[i] \text{ is compared to } A[j]\}, \quad 2 \le i \le n, 1 \le j \le i-1$ where A[i] and A[j] denote the values held in variables key and A[j], respectively, at the time A[j] > key is evaluated.

What is the value of $E[X_{ij}]$? (4%)

b) Let X be a random variable denoting the total number of times A[j] > key is compared in the course of executing INSERTION-SORT(A, n).

Show that
$$E[X] = n^2/2 + \Theta(n)$$
. (6%)

Hint: $X = \sum_{i=2}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} X_{ij}$

9 Consider the following MSD radix sort on A[1..n] in which each number A[i] has d digits $x_d ... x_2 x_1$. The value of each digit x_i satisfies $0 \le x_i \le k$ for some constant k.

$$MSD_{RADIX_SORT}(A[1..n])$$

for i = d downto 1

for each pile having the same digit x_{i+1} , sort digit x_i by counting sort

a) What is the running time of $MSD_RADIX_SORT(A[1..n])$? (6%)

Hint: Compare it with LSD radix sort

b)* Suppose each A[i] is a 32-bit unsigned integer, what is the best value of d and the resulting running time? (4%)

Hint: Compare it with LSD radix sort

10* Prove the following theorem.

THEOREM Finding the minimum and maximum of n elements needs at least $\lceil 3n/2 \rceil - 2$ comparisons in the worst case. (10%)

Recall that, in the worst case, quicksort makes extremely unbalanced partitions (0:n-1 split) that cause the depth of the recursion tree to be about n. But, in the best case, it makes balanced partitions ((n-1)/2:(n-1)/2 split) that reduce the depth of the recursion tree to $\lg n$. Thus, to speed up quicksort, the depth of the recursion tree shall be limited.

The introspective sort (introsort) is a variant of quicksort that

- puts an $O(\lg n)$ limit on the depth of the recursion tree, and
- switches to heapsort when the depth limit is reached.

The following pseudocode also resorts to insertion sort when the array size is smaller than some threshold value.

```
Introsort(A[p..r], depth\_limit)

if (1 < r - p + 1 \le threshold) Insertion_sort(A[p..r])

else if (depth\_limit == 0) Heapsort(A[p..r])

else

q = \text{Partition}(A[p..r])

Introsort(A[p..q - 1], depth\_limit - 1)

Introsort(A[q + 1..r], depth\_limit - 1)
```

- a)* What is the best value for the constant *threshold*? (2%)
 - 1) 4
- 2) 16
- 3) 128
- 4) 256

Hint: HW#1

b) Consider the call

INTROSORT(A[1..n], $c \lg n$)

What is the best value for the constant c? (2%)

- 1) 0.5
- 2) 1.0
- 3) 1.5
- 4) 5.0

Hint: Don't call heapsort and insertion sort too frequently.

c) Prove that the call in b) takes $O(n \lg n)$ time in the worst case. (6%)

Hint: Count the running time of all calls to HEAPSORT and the running time of all calls to PARTITION.

d)* Prove that the call in b) takes $\Omega(n \lg n)$ time in the worst case. (4%)

Hint: Part c) and theoretical lower bound