SOLUTIONS TO INTRO TO ALGORITHMS MIDTERM

Total: 122 points

Hint: Do not try to earn 122 points. Try to earn 100 points instead.

- 1 True or false. You *must* justify your answers. *No justifications, no credits.* (16%)
 - a) $O(n) = \{f(n) : \exists c > 0 \text{ such that } 0 \le f(n) \le cn \text{ for all } n > 0\}$

Hint: Compare it with book's definition on big-0.

$$O(n) = \{ f(n) : \exists c > 0 \ n_0 > 0 \text{ such that } 0 \le f(n) \le cn \text{ for all } n \ge n_0 \}$$

Solution: *True*

Let $\hat{O}(n) = \text{book's definition on big-}0$.

We show that $O(n) = \hat{O}(n)$

$$O(n) \subseteq \hat{O}(n)$$

This is trivial – simply pick $n_0 = 1$.

$$\hat{O}(n) \subseteq O(n)$$

Let
$$f(n) = \hat{O}(n)$$

Then, $0 \le f(n) \le cn \ \forall \ n \ge n_0$, for some c and n_0

For $n < n_0$, it is possible that f(n) > cn.

However, we may choose a large enough constant c' to handle these cases.

For each
$$0 < i < n_0$$
, let $c_i = f(i)/i$

Let
$$c' = \max\{c, \max_{0 < i < n_0} c_i\}$$

Then,
$$0 \le f(n) \le c'n \ \forall \ n > 0$$

b) $O(n^k) = O(n)^k$ for any integer k

Hint: Consider
$$k < 0$$
, $k = 0$, and $k > 0$

Solution: False

$$1 O(n^k) = O(n)^k for k > 0$$

$$2 O(n^0) \neq O(n)^0$$

$$: O(n^0) = O(1).$$

But,
$$O(n)^0 = \{f^0(n) : f(n) = O(n)\}$$

= $\{g(n) : g(n) = 1 \text{ for all large enough } n\}$

$$3 \quad \mathcal{O}(n^k) \neq \mathcal{O}(n)^k \text{ for } k < 0.$$

$$O(n)^k = \Omega(n^k)$$
 for $k < 0$

c) Let
$$S(n) = S(n-1) + O(1)$$

 $T(n) = T(n/2 + \sqrt{n}) + n$
Then, $S(n) = \Theta(T(n))$

Solution: False

$$S(n) = O(n)$$
 and $T(n) = \Theta(n) \Rightarrow S(n) = O(T(n))$

Proof of S(n) = O(n)

$$S(n) = S(n-1) + O(1)$$

= $S(n-2) + O(1) + O(1)$
- ...

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} O(1) = O\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} 1\right) = O(n)$$

[HW#2, 4a]

Proof of $T(n) = \Theta(n)$

Let
$$S(n) = S(n/2) + n$$

$$U(n) = U(2n/3) + n$$

Then, by the master theorem, $S(n) = \Theta(n)$ and $U(n) = \Theta(n)$

Since $S(n) \le T(n) \le U(n)$ for n large enough, we have $T(n) = \Theta(n)$.

d) Heapsort is a good choice for sorting a linked list.

Solution: False

Since the parent and its children within a heap aren't located in consecutive memory, Heapsort relies strongly on random access and runs poorly on sequential access media.

- 2 For each algorithm below, give a recurrence that describes its running time and give a tight bound or upper bound of the running time. You need not justify your answers. Note that
 - this problem asks for running time, rather than worst-case running time, and
 - give a tight bound whenever possible. (12%)
 - a) Strassen's algorithm

Solution: [Chap04, p6]

$$T(n) = 7T(n/2) + \Theta(n^2) \Rightarrow T(n) = \Theta(n^{\lg 7})$$

b) Randomized Quicksort

Solution: [Chap07, p18]

$$T(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} X_k \cdot (T(k-1) + T(n-k) + \Theta(n))$$

where $X_k = I\{\text{the pivot is the } k\text{th smallest element}\}$ $T(n) = O(n^2)$, since the worst-case running time of quicksort is $\Theta(n^2)$.

c) Binary search

Solution: [HW#2, 6]

$$T(n) \le T\left(\left\lceil \frac{n-1}{2}\right\rceil\right) + \Theta(1)$$

or, a simpler recurrence

$$T(n) \le T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + \Theta(1)$$

Clearly,
$$T(n) = O(\lg n)$$

Prove by the definition of big-0 that $(2n + 3) \times O(n) = O(n^2)$. (8%) **Hint:** Be sure to specify the constants required by the big-0 definition.

Solution

Let
$$f(n) = O(n)$$

We have to show that $(2n+3) \cdot f(n) = O(n^2)$

$$(2n+3) \cdot f(n) \le (2n+3) \cdot cn \qquad \forall n \ge n_0 \text{ for some } n_0$$

$$= 2cn^2 + 3cn$$

$$= 3cn^2 - (cn^2 - 3cn)$$

$$\le 3cn^2$$

as long as

$$cn^2 - 3cn \ge 0 \Rightarrow cn - 3c \ge 0 \Rightarrow n \ge 3$$

Thus,

$$(2n+3) \cdot f(n) \le 3cn^2 \quad \forall \ n \ge \max(n_0, 3)$$

as desired.

4 Given

$$T(n) = 8T(n/2) + O(n^2)$$

Use constructive induction to show that $T(n) = O(n^3)$. (8%)

Hint: Try $T(n) \le dn^3 - d'n^2$.

Solution: [Chap04, p33]

We shall prove that $T(n) \le dn^3 - d'n^2$

$$T(n) = 8T(n/2) + O(n^2)$$

$$\leq 8T(n/2) + cn^2$$

$$\leq 8(d(n/2)^3 - d'(n/2)^2) + cn^2$$

$$= dn^3 - 2d'n^2 + cn^2$$

$$= dn^3 - d'n^2 - (d'n^2 - cn^2)$$

$$\leq dn^3 - d'n^2$$

as long as

$$d'n^2 - cn^2 \ge 0 \Rightarrow d' \ge c$$

5 Consider the mergesort recurrence

$$T(n) = T\left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor\right) + T\left(\left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil\right) + O(n)$$

Prove that $T(n) = O(n \lg n)$ by domain transformation.

That is, define $S(n) = T(n + \alpha)$, where α is a constant, chosen to make S(n) satisfy a simpler recurrence. (8%)

Hint:

$$T(n) = T\left(\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor\right) + T\left(\left\lceil \frac{n}{2}\right\rceil\right) + O(n) \le 2T\left(\left\lceil \frac{n}{2}\right\rceil\right) + O(n) \le 2T\left(\frac{n}{2} + 1\right) + O(n)$$

Solution: [HW#2, 1]

Let
$$S(n) = T(n + \alpha)$$

and suppose $S(n) \leq 2S(n/2) + O(n)$

Then

$$T(n+\alpha) = S(n) \le 2S(n/2) + O(n) = 2T(n/2 + \alpha) + O(n)$$
 ... (1)

and

$$T(n+\alpha) \le 2T\left(\frac{n+\alpha}{2}+1\right) + O(n) \quad \cdots \quad (2)$$

From (1) and (2), we have

$$n/2 + \alpha = \frac{n+\alpha}{2} + 1 \Rightarrow \alpha = 2$$

Thus,
$$S(n) = T(n+2)$$

By the master theorem, $S(n) = O(n \lg n)$

It follows that

$$T(n) = S(n-2)$$

$$= O((n-2)\lg(n-2))$$

$$= O(n-2)O(\lg(n-2)) = O(n)O(\lg n) = O(n\lg n)$$

Consider a variant of mergesort that divides an array of n elements into \sqrt{n} subarrays, each having \sqrt{n} elements. The \sqrt{n} sorted subarrays are then merged simultaneously with the help of a min-priority queue.

Mergesort(A[1..n])

- 1 **for** i=1 to \sqrt{n} do // \sqrt{n} subarrays

 MERGESORT $\left(A[(i-1)\sqrt{n}+1..i\sqrt{n}]\right)$ // sort the ith subarray
- 2 MERGE(A[1..n])

MERGE(A[1..n]) // A[1..n] contains \sqrt{n} sorted subarrays

- 1 Let B[1..n] be a new array
- Build a min-priority queue Q on the \sqrt{n} smallest elements, one from each sorted subarray
- 3 **for** k = 1 to n do

$$B[k] = \text{EXTRACT-MIN}(Q)$$

// Suppose the element just extracted comes from the *i*th subarray

if the ith subarray is not empty **then**

INSERT(*Q*, the next element of the *i*th subarray)

- 4 Copy B[1..n] back to A[1..n]
- a) Show that the running time of MERGE(A[1..n]) is $O(n \lg \sqrt{n})$. (4%)

Solution

Step 2 takes a time in $O(\lg \sqrt{n})$.

Step 4 takes a time in O(n).

Analysis of step 3

There are O(n) EXTRACT-MIN and INSERT operations, each taking $O(\lg|Q|)$ running time. Since $|Q| \le \sqrt{n}$, step 3 takes a time in

$$O(n) \times O(\lg \sqrt{n}) = O(n \lg \sqrt{n})$$

Thus, the total time is

$$O(\lg \sqrt{n}) + O(n) + O(n \lg \sqrt{n}) = O(n \lg \sqrt{n})$$

b) Let T(n) be the running time of MERGESORT(A[1..n]), then

$$T(n) = \sqrt{n}T(\sqrt{n}) + O(n\lg\sqrt{n})$$

Give an asymptotic upper bound for T(n). (8%)

Hint: Range transformation S(n) = T(n)/n and change of variable

Solution: [HW#2, 5]

We shall solve this recurrence in two steps.

Step 1: Range transformation

Let
$$S(n) = T(n)/n$$

Then,

$$T(n) = \sqrt{n}T(\sqrt{n}) + O(n\lg\sqrt{n}) \Rightarrow T(n)/n = T(\sqrt{n})/\sqrt{n} + O(\lg\sqrt{n})$$
$$\Rightarrow S(n) = S(\sqrt{n}) + O(\lg\sqrt{n})$$

Step 2: Change of variable

Next, let
$$U(m) = S(2^m)$$
 (i.e. rename $m = \lg n$)

Then.

$$U(m) = S(2^m) = S(2^{m/2}) + O(\lg 2^{m/2}) = U(m/2) + O(m)$$

By the master theorem, U(m) = O(m)

Finally, we have

$$T(n) = nS(n) = nU(\lg n) = n \times O(\lg n) = O(n \lg n)$$

7 Consider the insertion sort

INSERTION-SORT(A, n)

for
$$i = 2$$
 to n

$$key = A[i]$$

$$j = i - 1$$

while j > 0 and A[j] > key // key (i. e. A[i]) is compared to A[j]

$$A[j+1] = A[j]$$

$$j = j - 1$$

$$A[i+1] = key$$

a) Draw the decision tree for insertion sort on 3 elements. (8%)

Solution: [Chap08, p2]

b) In terms of the number of comparisons, for what value of n is insertion sort an optimal comparison sort in the worst case? (6%)

Hint: First, find the number of comparisons taken by insertion sort in the worst case. Then, compare it with the theoretical lower bound.

Solution: [Chap02, p7; Chap08, pp6~7]

Let T(n) = the number of comparisons taken by INSERTION-SORT(A, n) in the worst case. Then,

$$T(n) = \sum_{i=2}^{n} (i-1) = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$$

On the other hand, the minimal number of comparisons needed is $[\lg n!]$.

We have

$$T(1) = 0 = [\lg 1!]$$

$$T(2) = 1 = [\lg 2!]$$

$$T(3) = 3 = [\lg 3!]$$

$$T(4) = 6 > [\lg 4!] = 5$$

Thereafter, $T(n) > \lceil \lg n! \rceil$, since T(n) grows faster than $\lceil \lg n! \rceil$.

Thus, insertion sort is optimal for n = 1,2,3.

8 (Continuing 7)

Assume that the n elements are distinct and each of the n! possible inputs is equally likely.

a) Define the indicator random variable

 $X_{ij} = I\{A[i] \text{ is compared to } A[j]\}, \quad 2 \le i \le n, 1 \le j \le i-1$

where A[i] and A[j] denote the values held in variables key and A[j], respectively, at the time A[j] > key is evaluated.

What is the value of $E[X_{ij}]$? (4%)

Solution

First of all, the n elements are distinct and each of the n! possible inputs is equally likely \Rightarrow any element in the subarray A[1..i] is equally likely to be the kth smallest element in that subarray, $1 \le k \le i$.

Also, A[i] is compared to $A[j] \Rightarrow A[i]$ is the kth smallest element in the subarray A[1..i] for some k, $1 \le k \le j+1 \le i$ It follows that

$$E[X_{ij}] = Pr\{A[i] \text{ is compared to } A[j]\} = \frac{j+1}{i}$$

b) Let X be a random variable denoting the total number of times A[j] > key is compared in the course of executing INSERTION-SORT(A, n).

Show that
$$E[X] = n^2/2 + \Theta(n)$$
. (6%)

Hint:
$$X = \sum_{i=2}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} X_{ij}$$

Solution

Since
$$X = \sum_{i=2}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} X_{ij}$$
, we have
$$E[X] = E\left[\sum_{i=2}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} X_{ij}\right] = \sum_{i=2}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} E[X_{ij}] = \sum_{i=2}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \frac{j+1}{i}$$

$$= \sum_{i=2}^{n} \frac{\sum_{j=2}^{i} j}{i} = \sum_{i=2}^{n} \frac{i(i+1)/2 - 1}{i} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=3}^{n+1} i - \sum_{i=2}^{n} \frac{1}{i}$$

$$= \frac{n^2 + 3n - 4}{2} - \sum_{i=2}^{n} \frac{1}{i} = \frac{n^2}{2} + \Theta(n)$$

9 Consider the following MSD radix sort on A[1..n] in which each number A[i] has d digits $x_d ... x_2 x_1$. The value of each digit x_i satisfies $0 \le x_i \le k$ for some constant k.

 $MSD_RADIX_SORT(A[1..n])$

for i = d downto 1

for each pile having the same digit x_{i+1} , sort digit x_i by counting sort

a) What is the running time of $MSD_RADIX_SORT(A[1..n])$? (6%) **Hint:** Compare it with LSD radix sort

Solution: [Chap08, p16]

When sorting digit x_i , suppose the counting sort is called j times on arrays of length $n_1, n_2, ..., n_j$ such that $\sum_{l=1}^{j} n_l = n$.

Then, the total time spent on sorting digit x_i is

$$\sum_{l=1}^{j} \Theta(n_l + k) = \Theta\left(\sum_{l=1}^{j} (n_l + k)\right) = \Theta\left(\sum_{l=1}^{j} n_l + k\right) = \Theta(n + k)$$

The running time of MSD radix sort is $\Theta(d(n+k))$.

Note: MSD radix sort and LSD radix sort have the same time complexity, except that MSD radix sort needs $\Theta(d)$ stack spaces.

b) Suppose each A[i] is a 32-bit unsigned integer, what is the best value of d and the resulting running time? (4%)

Hint: Compare it with LSD radix sort

Solution: [Chap08, p17]

Like LSD radix sort, the best way is to treat each digit as a $\lg n$ -bit number. Thus, the best value of $d = \lceil 32/\lg n \rceil$.

And, the resulting running time is

$$\Theta\left(\frac{32}{\lg n}\left(n+2^{\lg n}\right)\right) = \Theta\left(\frac{32}{\lg n}\left(n+n\right)\right) = \Theta(n/\lg n)$$

10 Prove the following theorem.

THEOREM Finding the minimum and maximum of n elements needs at least $\lceil 3n/2 \rceil - 2$ comparisons in the worst case. (10%)

Solution: [Chap09, pp5~10]

Recall that, in the worst case, quicksort makes extremely unbalanced partitions (0:n-1 split) that cause the depth of the recursion tree to be about n. But, in the best case, it makes balanced partitions ((n-1)/2:(n-1)/2 split) that reduce the depth of the recursion tree to $\lg n$. Thus, to speed up quicksort, the depth of the recursion tree shall be limited.

The introspective sort (introsort) is a variant of quicksort that

- puts an $O(\lg n)$ limit on the depth of the recursion tree, and
- switches to heapsort when the depth limit is reached.

The following pseudocode also resorts to insertion sort when the array size is smaller than some threshold value.

```
\begin{split} & \text{Introsort}(A[p..r], depth\_limit) \\ & \text{if } (1 < r - p + 1 \leq threshold) \text{ Insertion\_sort}(A[p..r]) \\ & \text{else if } (depth\_limit == 0) \text{ Heapsort}(A[p..r]) \\ & \text{else} \\ & q = \text{Partition}(A[p..r]) \\ & \text{Introsort}(A[p..q-1], depth\_limit-1) \\ & \text{Introsort}(A[q+1..r], depth\_limit-1) \end{split}
```

a) What is the best value for the constant *threshold*? (2%)

- 1) 4
- 2) 16
- 3) 128
- 4) 256

Hint: HW#1

Solution: 2)

16 is a reasonable choice, based on our experience in profiling insertion sort and merge sort in Chap02.

Note: Introsort is used by C++ STL sort function template. The threshold value is 16 in SGI C++ STL, and 32 in VC++.

b) Consider the call

INTROSORT(A[1..n], $c \lg n$)

What is the best value for the constant c? (2%)

1.0

1) 0.5 2)

3) 1.5

4) 5.0

Hint: Don't call heapsort and insertion sort too frequently.

Solution: 3)

If the limit is too small, the algorithm will call heapsort too frequently. If the limit is too large, the algorithm will call insertion sort too frequently.

Note: c = 1.5 in VC++, and c = 2.0 as suggested by David Musser, the inventor of introsort.

Musser, David, Introspective Sorting and Selection Algorithms. *Software: Practice and Experience*, **27** (8), 983–993, 1997.

Prove that the call in b) takes $O(n \lg n)$ time in the worst case. (6%) **Hint:** Count the running time of all calls to HEAPSORT and the running time of all calls to PARTITION.

Solution

INTROSORT is called $O(\lg n)$ times. Each call spends $\Theta(1)$ time, excluding INSERTION_SORT, HEAPSORT, or PARTITION time. Thus, the total time for INTROSORT is $O(\lg n)$.

PARTITION is called $O(\lg n)$ times. Each call spends O(n) time. Thus, the total time for PARTITION is $O(n \lg n)$.

Suppose Heapsort is called j times on arrays of length $n_1, n_2, ..., n_j$. Then, the total time for Heapsort is

$$\sum_{i=1}^{j} O(n_i \lg n_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{j} O(n_i \lg n) \quad \because n_i \le n$$

$$= \lg n \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{j} O(n_i)$$

$$= \lg n \cdot O\left(\sum_{i=1}^{j} n_i\right) \quad \because \sum_{i=1}^{j} n_i \le n$$

$$= \lg n \cdot O(n) = O(n \lg n)$$

Suppose Insertion_sort is called k times. Then, k = O(n), since each call sorts at least one element. Each call takes O(1) time, since it sorts an array of length $\leq threshold$. Thus, the total time for Insertion_sort is O(n).

In conclusion, the running time of the call INTROSORT(A[1..n], $c \lg n$) is $O(\lg n) + O(n \lg n) + O(n \lg n) + O(n \lg n) = O(n \lg n)$ It follows that the worst-case running time is $O(n \lg n)$.

d) Prove that the call in b) takes $\Omega(n \lg n)$ time in the worst case. (4%)

Solution 1

Since introsort is a comparison sort and any comparison sort takes at least $\Omega(n \lg n)$ time in the worst case to sort n elements.

Solution 2

In the best case of balanced partitions, the depth of the recursion tree is at least $\Omega(\lg n)$. To see this, let k be the depth of the best-case recursion tree. Then,

 $n/2^k \le threshold \Rightarrow n/threshold \le 2^k \Rightarrow \lg(n/threshold) \le k$ Since threshold is a constant, it follows that $k = \Omega(\lg n)$.

Also, the calls to Partition in each level of the recursion tree take $\Omega(n)$ time in total. Thus, the total time for Partition is $\Omega(n \lg n)$.

Finally,

the worst case running time

- ≥ the best case running time
- \geq the total time for PARTITION in the base case
- $= \Omega(n \lg n)$