1920S MA482

Criteria for Successfully Completing an Article Review

Article Reviews are guided critiques of research articles presented in the literature. Successfully completing an article review allows you to participate more fully in the class discussion covering the article. An Article Review is to be completed individually.

Criteria:

Article reviews are conducted using the **Article Rubric Developed for this Course**. Successful completion of an Article Review entails a "good faith effort." Specifically, the following is required to satisfy a good faith effort:

- Your scores with associated comments are uploaded by the due date.
- At least one substantial comment is made regarding each category. A comment is substantial if, in the judgment of the instructor, an honest attempt was made to critique the paper (positively or negatively) **and** evidence from the paper is cited.

Note: your opinion of the article does not factor into your grade (you can love it or hate it and still receive credit for the assignment).

Example:

The key part of the above description is that the comments must cite evidence from the paper. For example, consider the following two comments related to the "Graphical Summaries" portion of the rubric:

- 8: The graphics were easy to read.
- 6: The graphics were easy to interpret, but not without the context of the paper. The caption for Graphic 1 did not explain what the graphic was trying to establish. The x-axis on Graphic 2 was not properly labeled.

Answer (1) does not represent a comment which cites evidence because it does not reference specifics about the graphic or discuss where the evidence can be obtained. Answer (2), even if incorrect, cites which graphics to examine and where on the graphics the issues were encountered. Answer (2) would have met the criteria for citing evidence.

Last modified: Friday, March 6, 2020, 9:06 AM

ⓘ Moodle Docs for this page

You are logged in as Eric Reyes (Log out)
1920S MA482-01

Data retention summary
Get the mobile app