

# Tutorial 4

## COMP 5361: Discrete Structures and Formal Languages

Mohammad Reza Davari

Concordia University

- 1 Introduction to Proofs
- 2 Proof Methods and Strategy

# Contents of the section

## 1 Introduction to Proofs

## 2 Proof Methods and Strategy

# Definition

- **Theorem:** A theorem is a statement that can be shown to be true and is reserved for a statement that is considered at least somewhat important.
- **Propositions:** Propositions are theorems with less importance.
- **Proof:** Demonstrates whether a statement (theorem) is true.
- **Axioms:** Axioms or postulates are statements we assume to be true.
- **Lemma:** Lemma is a less important theorem that is helpful in the proof of other results.
- **Corollary** A corollary is a theorem that can be established directly from a theorem that has been proved.
- **Conjecture** A conjecture is a statement that is being proposed to be a true statement.

## Strategy

In a direct proof of a conditional statement  $p \rightarrow q$ :

- 1 Assume that  $p$  is true.
- 2 Take advantage of rules of inference.
- 3 Show that  $q$  must also be true.

## Strategy

In a direct proof of a conditional statement  $p \rightarrow q$ :

- 1 Assume that  $p$  is true.
- 2 Take advantage of rules of inference.
- 3 Show that  $q$  must also be true.

## Example

Give a direct proof of the theorem “If  $n$  is an odd integer, then  $n^2$  is odd.”

# Proof by Contraposition

## Strategy

Instead of proving  $p \rightarrow q$ , prove its contrapositive,  $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$  (since they are equivalent).

- 1 Assume  $\neg q$  is true.
- 2 Take advantage of rules of inference.
- 3 Show that  $\neg p$  must also be true.

# Proof by Contraposition

## Strategy

Instead of proving  $p \rightarrow q$ , prove its contrapositive,  $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$  (since they are equivalent).

- 1 Assume  $\neg q$  is true.
- 2 Take advantage of rules of inference.
- 3 Show that  $\neg p$  must also be true.

## Example

Prove that if  $n$  is an integer and  $3n + 2$  is odd, then  $n$  is odd.



## Caution

While proving  $p \rightarrow q$  if you realize  $p$  is false then you can conclude the statement.

## Caution

While proving  $p \rightarrow q$  if you realize  $p$  is false then you can conclude the statement.

## Example

Show that the proposition  $P(0)$  is true, where  $P(n)$  is “If  $n > 1$ , then  $n^2 > n$ ” and the domain consists of all integers.

## Caution

While proving  $p \rightarrow q$  if we can show  $q$  is true then you can conclude the statement.

## Caution

While proving  $p \rightarrow q$  if we can show  $q$  is true then you can conclude the statement.

## Example

Let  $P(n)$  be “If  $a$  and  $b$  are positive integers with  $a \geq b$ , then  $a^n \geq b^n$ ” where the domain consists of all non-negative integers. Show that  $P(0)$  is true.

# Proofs by Contradiction

## Strategy

Suppose we want to prove that a statement  $p$  is true.

- 1 Find a contradiction  $q$  such that  $\neg p \rightarrow q$  is true.
- 2 Since  $q$  is false and  $\neg p \rightarrow q$  is true, then  $\neg p$  is false.
- 3 Conclude  $p$  is true.

Contradiction  $q$  is usually of the form  $r \wedge \neg r$  for some proposition  $r$ .

# Proofs by Contradiction

## Strategy

Suppose we want to prove that a statement  $p$  is true.

- 1 Find a contradiction  $q$  such that  $\neg p \rightarrow q$  is true.
- 2 Since  $q$  is false and  $\neg p \rightarrow q$  is true, then  $\neg p$  is false.
- 3 Conclude  $p$  is true.

Contradiction  $q$  is usually of the form  $r \wedge \neg r$  for some proposition  $r$ .

## Example

- 1 Show that at least four of any 22 days must fall on the same day of the week.

# Proofs by Contradiction

## Strategy

Suppose we want to prove that a statement  $p$  is true.

- 1 Find a contradiction  $q$  such that  $\neg p \rightarrow q$  is true.
- 2 Since  $q$  is false and  $\neg p \rightarrow q$  is true, then  $\neg p$  is false.
- 3 Conclude  $p$  is true.

Contradiction  $q$  is usually of the form  $r \wedge \neg r$  for some proposition  $r$ .

## Example

- 1 Show that at least four of any 22 days must fall on the same day of the week.
- 2 Prove that  $\sqrt{2}$  is irrational.

# Proofs by Contradiction

## Strategy

Suppose we want to prove that a statement  $p$  is true.

- 1 Find a contradiction  $q$  such that  $\neg p \rightarrow q$  is true.
- 2 Since  $q$  is false and  $\neg p \rightarrow q$  is true, then  $\neg p$  is false.
- 3 Conclude  $p$  is true.

Contradiction  $q$  is usually of the form  $r \wedge \neg r$  for some proposition  $r$ .

## Example

- 1 Show that at least four of any 22 days must fall on the same day of the week.
- 2 Prove that  $\sqrt{2}$  is irrational.
- 3 Prove if  $3n + 2$  is odd, then  $n$  is odd.



# Proofs of Equivalence

## Strategy

In order to prove a statement of the form  $p \leftrightarrow q$  we need to:

- 1 Show  $p \rightarrow q$  is true.
- 2 Show  $q \rightarrow p$  is true.

# Proofs of Equivalence

## Strategy

In order to prove a statement of the form  $p \leftrightarrow q$  we need to:

- 1 Show  $p \rightarrow q$  is true.
- 2 Show  $q \rightarrow p$  is true.

## Example

Prove the theorem “If  $n$  is an integer, then  $n$  is odd if and only if  $n^2$  is odd.”

# Counter Example

## Strategy

In order to prove a statement of the form  $\forall x P(x)$  is false, you can find a  $c$  for which  $P(c)$  is false.

# Counter Example

## Strategy

In order to prove a statement of the form  $\forall x P(x)$  is false, you can find a  $c$  for which  $P(c)$  is false.

## Example

Show that the statement “Every positive integer is the sum of the squares of two integers” is false.

# Watch Out for Mistakes

## One important fallacy

Many incorrect arguments are based on a fallacy called **begging the question**. This fallacy occurs when one or more steps of a proof are based on the truth of the statement being proved. In other words, this fallacy arises when a statement is proved using itself, or a statement equivalent to it. That is why this fallacy is also called **circular reasoning**.

# Contents of the section

1 Introduction to Proofs

2 Proof Methods and Strategy

# Exhaustive Proof and Proof by Cases

## Idea

It is based on the following tautology:

$$[(p_1 \vee p_2 \vee \cdots \vee p_n) \rightarrow q] \leftrightarrow [(p_1 \rightarrow q) \wedge (p_2 \rightarrow q) \wedge \cdots \wedge (p_n \rightarrow q)]$$

It let us proceed with the proof with extra assumptions!

# Exhaustive Proof and Proof by Cases

## Idea

It is based on the following tautology:

$$[(p_1 \vee p_2 \vee \cdots \vee p_n) \rightarrow q] \leftrightarrow [(p_1 \rightarrow q) \wedge (p_2 \rightarrow q) \wedge \cdots \wedge (p_n \rightarrow q)]$$

Let us proceed with the proof with extra assumptions!

## Example

- 1 Prove that  $(n + 1)^3 \geq 3^n$  if  $n$  is a positive integer with  $n \leq 4$ .



# Exhaustive Proof and Proof by Cases

## Idea

It is based on the following tautology:

$$[(p_1 \vee p_2 \vee \cdots \vee p_n) \rightarrow q] \leftrightarrow [(p_1 \rightarrow q) \wedge (p_2 \rightarrow q) \wedge \cdots \wedge (p_n \rightarrow q)]$$

It let us proceed with the proof with extra assumptions!

## Example

- 1 Prove that  $(n+1)^3 \geq 3^n$  if  $n$  is a positive integer with  $n \leq 4$ .
- 2 Prove that if  $n$  is an integer, then  $n^2 \geq n$

## WLOG

We assert that by proving one case of a theorem, no additional argument is required to prove other specified cases. That is, other cases follow by making straightforward changes to the argument, or by filling in some straightforward initial step.

# Caution

## WLOG

We assert that by proving one case of a theorem, no additional argument is required to prove other specified cases. That is, other cases follow by making straightforward changes to the argument, or by filling in some straightforward initial step.

## Danger

Although this will heavily simplify the proofs involving proof by cases, an incorrect use of it will lead to an invalid proof. Be very careful with this statement!

## Definition

A proof of the form  $\exists xP(x)$  is called an existence proof.

## Definition

A proof of the form  $\exists xP(x)$  is called an existence proof.

## Strategies

- **Constructive proof:** The proof can be given by finding an element (also called an example or a witness) for which the statement is true.
- **Non-constructive proof:** You do not look for an example but rather approach the problem using other methods discussed earlier. One common method of giving non-constructive proof is proof by contradiction.

## ① A Constructive Existence Proof:

- Show that there is a positive integer that can be written as the sum of cubes of positive integers in two different ways.

## ① A Constructive Existence Proof:

- Show that there is a positive integer that can be written as the sum of cubes of positive integers in two different ways.
- $1729 = 10^3 + 9^3 = 12^3 + 1^3$

## ① A Constructive Existence Proof:

- Show that there is a positive integer that can be written as the sum of cubes of positive integers in two different ways.
- $1729 = 10^3 + 9^3 = 12^3 + 1^3$

## ② A Nonconstructive Existence Proof:

- Show that there exist irrational numbers  $x$  and  $y$  such that  $x^y$  is rational.
- *Hint:*  $\sqrt{2}$