

# Further Calculation of Tearing Mode Stability in an Inverse Aspect-Ratio Expanded Tokamak Plasma Equilibrium

Richard Fitzpatrick<sup>a</sup>

*Institute for Fusion Studies, Department of Physics,  
University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX 78712*

---

<sup>a</sup> rfitzp@utexas.edu

## I. INTRODUCTION

The calculation of the stability of a tokamak plasma equilibrium to tearing perturbations is most efficiently formulated as an asymptotic matching problem in which the plasma is divided into two distinct regions.<sup>1</sup> In the “outer region”, which comprises most of the plasma, the tearing perturbation is described by the equations of linearized, marginally-stable, ideal magnetohydrodynamics (a.k.a. the “ideal-MHD” equations). However, these equations become singular on “rational” magnetic flux-surfaces at which the perturbed magnetic field resonates with the equilibrium field. In the “inner region”, which consists of a set of narrow layers centered on the various rational surfaces, non-ideal-MHD effects such as plasma inertia, resistivity, viscosity, diamagnetic flows, and the ion sound radius, become important.<sup>2–5</sup> The resistive layer solutions in the various segments of the inner region must be simultaneously asymptotically matched to the ideal-MHD solution in the outer region to produce a matrix dispersion relation that determines the growth-rates and angular rotation frequencies of the various tearing modes to which the plasma is subject.<sup>6,7</sup> (See Sect. A 6.)

In general, the determination of the ideal-MHD contributions to the elements of the tearing mode dispersion relation is an exceptionally challenging computational task.<sup>8–23</sup> One way of greatly reducing the complexity of this task is to employ an inverse aspect-ratio expanded plasma equilibrium.<sup>24–26</sup> In such an equilibrium, the metric elements of the flux-coordinate system can be expressed analytically in terms of a relatively small number of flux-surface functions, which represents a major simplification.<sup>6</sup> Another significant advantage of an inverse aspect-ratio expanded equilibrium is that the magnetic perturbation in the plasma can be efficiently matched to an exterior vacuum solution that is expressed as an expansion in toroidal functions.<sup>10</sup> The aspect-ratio expansion approach to the tearing mode stability problem has been realized in the recently developed TJ code, which is described in Ref. 27.

## Appendix A: General Definitions

### 1. Normalization

All lengths are normalized to the major radius of the plasma magnetic axis,  $R_0$ . All magnetic field-strengths are normalized to the toroidal field-strength at the magnetic axis,  $B_0$ . All currents are normalized to  $B_0 R_0/\mu_0$ . All current densities are normalized to  $B_0/(\mu_0 R_0)$ . All plasma pressures are normalized to  $B_0^2/\mu_0$ . All toroidal electromagnetic torques are normalized to  $B_0^2 R_0^3/\mu_0$ . All energies are normalized to  $B_0^2 R_0^3/\mu_0$ .

### 2. Coordinates

Let  $R, \phi, Z$  be right-handed cylindrical coordinates whose Jacobian is  $(\nabla R \times \nabla \phi \cdot \nabla Z)^{-1} = R$ , and whose symmetry axis corresponds to the symmetry axis of the axisymmetric toroidal plasma equilibrium. Note that  $|\nabla \phi| = 1/R$ .

Let  $r, \theta, \phi$  be right-handed flux-coordinates whose Jacobian is

$$\mathcal{J}(r, \theta) \equiv (\nabla r \times \nabla \theta \cdot \nabla \phi)^{-1} = r R^2. \quad (\text{A1})$$

Note that  $r = r(R, Z)$  and  $\theta = \theta(R, Z)$ . The magnetic axis corresponds to  $r = 0$ , and the plasma-vacuum interface to  $r = a$ . Here,  $a \ll 1$  is the effective inverse aspect-ratio of the plasma.

### 3. Plasma Equilibrium

Consider an axisymmetric tokamak plasma equilibrium whose magnetic field takes the form

$$\mathbf{B}(r, \theta) = f(r) \nabla \phi \times \nabla r + g(r) \nabla \phi = f \nabla(\phi - q \theta) \times \nabla r, \quad (\text{A2})$$

where  $q(r) = r g/f$  is the safety-factor. Note that  $\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla r = 0$ , which implies that  $r$  is a magnetic flux-surface label. Furthermore,  $B^r = 0$ ,  $B^\theta = f/\mathcal{J}$  and  $B^\phi = f q/\mathcal{J}$ . Here, superscript/subscript denote contravariant/covariant vector components.

Equilibrium force balance requires that  $\nabla P = \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B}$ , where  $P(r)$  is the equilibrium scalar plasma pressure, and  $\mathbf{J} = \nabla \times \mathbf{B}$  the equilibrium plasma current density.

#### 4. Perturbed Magnetic Field

All perturbed quantities are assumed to vary toroidally as  $\exp(-i n \phi)$ , where the positive integer  $n$  is the toroidal mode number of the perturbation. According to Eqs. (47), (78), (99), and (101), of Ref. 27, the radial and toroidal components of the perturbed magnetic field are written

$$\mathcal{J} b^r = i \psi(r, \theta) = \sum_m \psi_m(r) \exp(i m \theta), \quad (\text{A3})$$

$$b_\phi = x(r, \theta) = n z(r, \theta) = n \sum_m z_m(r) \exp(i m \theta), \quad (\text{A4})$$

where

$$z_m(r) = \frac{Z_m(r) + k_m \psi_m(r)}{m - n q}. \quad (\text{A5})$$

Here, the (not necessarily positive) integer  $m$  is a poloidal mode number, and the sum is over all mode numbers included in the calculation. Moreover,  $k_m(r)$  is real, and is specified in Eq. (100) Ref. 27.

#### 5. Behavior in Vicinity of Rational Surface

Suppose that there are  $K$  rational surfaces in the plasma. Let the  $k$ th surface be located at  $r = r_k$ , and possess the resonant poloidal mode number  $m_k$ . By definition,  $m_k - n q(r_k) = 0$ . According to Sect. V.B of Ref. 27, the non-resonant poloidal harmonics of the solutions to the ideal-MHD equations in the outer region are continuous across the surface. On the other hand, the tearing parity components of the resonant poloidal harmonics of the solutions behave locally as

$$\psi_{m_k}(r_k + x) = A_{Lk} |x|^{\nu_{Lk}} + A_{Sk} |x|^{\nu_{Sk}}, \quad (\text{A6})$$

$$Z_{m_k}(r_k + x) = \frac{\nu_{Lk}}{L_0} A_{Lk} |x|^{\nu_{Lk}} + \frac{\nu_{Sk}}{L_0} A_{Sk} |x|^{\nu_{Sk}}, \quad (\text{A7})$$

where

$$\nu_{Lk} = \frac{1}{2} - \sqrt{-D_{Ik}}, \quad (\text{A8})$$

$$\nu_{Sk} = \frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{-D_{Ik}}, \quad (\text{A9})$$

$$D_{Ik} = - \left[ \frac{2(1-q^2)}{s^2} r \frac{dP}{dr} \right]_{r_k} - \frac{1}{4}, \quad (\text{A10})$$

$$L_0 = - \left( \frac{L_{m_k}^{m_k}}{m_k s} \right)_{r_k}, \quad (\text{A11})$$

$$L_{m_k}^{m_k}(r) = m_k^2 c_{m_k}^{m_k}(r) + n^2 r^2, \quad (\text{A12})$$

$$c_{m_k}^{m_k}(r) = \oint |\nabla r|^{-2} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}, \quad (\text{A13})$$

and  $s(r) = d \ln q / d \ln r$ . Here, the tearing parity component is such that  $\psi_{m_k}(r_k - x) = \psi_{m_k}(r_k + x)$  and  $Z_{m_k}(r_k - x) = Z_{m_k}(r_k + x)$ . Moreover,  $A_{Lk}$  is termed the coefficient of the “large” solution, whereas  $A_{Sk}$  is the coefficient of the “small” solution. Furthermore,  $D_{Ik}$  is the ideal Mercier interchange parameter (which needs to be negative to ensure stability to localized interchange modes),<sup>28–30</sup> and  $\nu_{Lk}$  and  $\nu_{Sk}$  are termed the Mercier indices.

It is helpful to define the quantities

$$\Psi_k = r_k^{\nu_{Lk}} \left( \frac{\nu_{Sk} - \nu_{Lk}}{L_{m_k}^{m_k}} \right)_{r_k}^{1/2} A_{Lk}, \quad (\text{A14})$$

$$\Delta\Psi_k = r_k^{\nu_{Sk}} \left( \frac{\nu_{Sk} - \nu_{Lk}}{L_{m_k}^{m_k}} \right)_{r_k}^{1/2} 2 A_{Sk}, \quad (\text{A15})$$

at each rational surface in the plasma. Here, the complex parameter  $\Psi_k$  is a measure of the reconnected helical magnetic flux at the  $k$ th rational surface, whereas the complex parameter  $\Delta\Psi_k$  is a measure of the strength of a localized current sheet that flows parallel to the equilibrium magnetic field at the surface. The net toroidal electromagnetic torque acting on the plasma is<sup>27</sup>

$$T_\phi = 2\pi^2 n \sum_{k=1, K} \text{Im}(\Psi_k^* \Delta\Psi_k). \quad (\text{A16})$$

## 6. Tearing Mode Dispersion Relation

According to Sect. VIII.D of Ref. 27, the tearing mode dispersion relation takes the form

$$\sum_{k'=1,K} (E_{kk'} - \Delta_k \delta_{kk'}) \Psi_{k'} = 0 \quad (\text{A17})$$

for  $k = 1, K$ , where  $E_{kk'}$  is an Hermitian matrix determined from the solution of the ideal-MHD equations in the outer region, and  $\Delta_k \equiv \Delta \Psi_k / \Psi_k$  is a complex quantity that characterizes the tearing parity response of the resistive layer at the  $k$ th rational surface to the outer solution. In general,  $\Delta_k$  is a function of the growth-rate and phase-velocity of the reconnected helical magnetic flux at the surface.

## Appendix B: Calculation of Ideal Stability

### 1. Plasma Perturbation

The perturbed magnetic field associated with an ideal perturbation is written<sup>31,32</sup>

$$\mathbf{b} = \nabla \times (\boldsymbol{\xi} \times \mathbf{B}), \quad (\text{B1})$$

where  $\boldsymbol{\xi}$  is the plasma displacement. According to Eqs. (2), (25), (26), and (101) of Ref. 27,

$$\mathcal{J} b^r = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - i n q \right) y = i \psi(r, \theta), \quad (\text{B2})$$

where

$$y(r, \theta) = f \xi^r. \quad (\text{B3})$$

Furthermore, Eqs. (38), (39), (48), and (78) of Ref. 27 yield

$$b_\theta = -\frac{\alpha_g}{i n} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - i n q \right) y + \alpha_p R^2 y + i \frac{\partial z}{\partial \theta}, \quad (\text{B4})$$

where

$$\alpha_p(r) = \frac{r dP/dr}{f^2}, \quad (\text{B5})$$

$$\alpha_g(r) = \frac{dg/dr}{f}. \quad (\text{B6})$$

Thus,

$$\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{b} - \xi^r \frac{dP}{dr} = B^\theta b_\theta + B^\phi b_\phi - \xi^r \frac{dP}{dr} = \frac{if}{\mathcal{J}} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - inq \right) \left( \frac{\alpha_g}{n} y + z \right). \quad (\text{B7})$$

## 2. Plasma Potential Energy

The perturbed ideal-MHD force operator in the plasma takes the well-known form<sup>31,32</sup>

$$\mathbf{F}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) = \nabla(\Gamma P \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}) - \mathbf{B} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{b}) + \nabla(\boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \nabla P) + \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{b}, \quad (\text{B8})$$

where  $\Gamma = 5/3$  is the plasma ratio of specific heats. The perturbed plasma potential energy in the region lying between the magnetic flux-surfaces whose labels are  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  can be written<sup>32</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} \delta W_{12} = & \frac{1}{2} \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \oint \oint [\Gamma P (\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}^*) (\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}) + \nabla \times (\boldsymbol{\xi}^* \times \mathbf{B}) \cdot \mathbf{b} + (\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}^*) (\boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \nabla P) \\ & + \mathbf{J} \times \boldsymbol{\xi}^* \cdot \mathbf{b}] \mathcal{J} dr d\theta d\phi. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B9})$$

Now,

$$\Gamma P (\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}^*) (\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}) = \nabla \cdot (\Gamma P \boldsymbol{\xi}^* \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}) - \boldsymbol{\xi}^* \cdot \nabla (\Gamma P \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}), \quad (\text{B10})$$

$$\nabla \times (\boldsymbol{\xi}^* \times \mathbf{B}) \cdot \mathbf{b} = \nabla \cdot [(\boldsymbol{\xi}^* \times \mathbf{B}) \times \mathbf{b}] + \boldsymbol{\xi}^* \times \mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{b}, \quad (\text{B11})$$

$$(\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}^*) (\boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \nabla P) = \nabla \cdot (\boldsymbol{\xi}^* \boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \nabla P) - \boldsymbol{\xi}^* \cdot \nabla (\boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \nabla P), \quad (\text{B12})$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \delta W_{12} = & \frac{1}{2} \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \oint \oint \{ \nabla \cdot [\Gamma P \boldsymbol{\xi}^* \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi} + (\boldsymbol{\xi}^* \times \mathbf{B}) \times \mathbf{b} + \boldsymbol{\xi}^* \boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \nabla P] \\ & - \boldsymbol{\xi}^* \cdot [\nabla(\Gamma P \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi}) - \mathbf{B} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{b}) + \nabla(\boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \nabla P) + \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{b}] \} \mathcal{J} dr d\theta d\phi, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B13})$$

which yields

$$\delta W_{12} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \oint \oint \mathcal{J} \nabla r \cdot [\Gamma P \boldsymbol{\xi}^* \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi} + (\boldsymbol{\xi}^* \times \mathbf{B}) \times \mathbf{b} + \boldsymbol{\xi}^* \boldsymbol{\xi} \cdot \nabla P] d\theta d\phi \right)_{r_1}^{r_2}$$

$$-\frac{1}{2} \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \oint \oint \boldsymbol{\xi}^* \cdot \mathbf{F}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \mathcal{J} dr d\theta d\phi, \quad (\text{B14})$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} \delta W_{12} = & \frac{1}{2} \left[ \oint \oint \mathcal{J} \xi^{r*} \left( \Gamma P \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi} - \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{b} + \xi^r \frac{dP}{dr} \right) d\theta d\phi \right]_{r_1}^{r_2} \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \oint \oint \boldsymbol{\xi}^* \cdot \mathbf{F}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \mathcal{J} dr d\theta d\phi, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B15})$$

where use has been made of the fact that  $\mathbf{B} \cdot \nabla r = 0$ . However, in the TJ code, the marginally-stable ideal-MHD plasma perturbation in the outer region is calculated assuming that  $\mathbf{F}(\boldsymbol{\xi}) = \mathbf{0}$  and  $\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\xi} = 0$ .<sup>27</sup> Thus, making use of Eqs. (B3) and (B7), we obtain

$$\delta W_{12} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \left[ -i y^* \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - i n q \right) \left( \frac{\alpha_g}{n} y + z \right) d\theta d\phi \right]_{r_1}^{r_2}, \quad (\text{B16})$$

which reduces to

$$\delta W_{12} = \pi^2 \left[ \sum_m y_m^* (m - n q) \left( \frac{\alpha_g}{n} y_m + z_m \right) \right]_{r_1}^{r_2}, \quad (\text{B17})$$

where  $y(r, \theta) = \sum_m y_m(r) \exp(i m \theta)$ .

Now, according to Eq. (98) of Ref. 27,

$$y_m(r) = \left( \frac{\psi_m}{m - n q} \right)_r. \quad (\text{B18})$$

Thus, we get

$$\delta W_{12} = \pi^2 \left( \sum_m \psi_m^* \chi_m \right)_{r_1}^{r_2} \quad (\text{B19})$$

where

$$\chi_m(r) = \left( \frac{\tilde{k}_m \psi_m + Z_m}{m - n q} \right)_r, \quad (\text{B20})$$

$$\tilde{k}_m(r) = \left( k_m + \frac{\alpha_g}{n} \right)_r = \left[ \frac{\alpha_g (m q c_m^m + n r^2) + \alpha_p m d_m^m}{m^2 c_m^m + n^2 r^2} \right]_r, \quad (\text{B21})$$

and use has been made of Eq. (100) Ref. 27. Here,  $\tilde{k}_m(r)$  is real, and

$$d_m^m(r) = \oint |\nabla r|^{-2} R^2 \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}. \quad (\text{B22})$$



### 3. Magnetic Axis

Let the  $\psi_m(r)$  and the  $\chi_m(r)$  be solutions of the ideal-MHD equations in the outer region that are well behaved at  $r = 0$ . Such solutions vary as  $r^{|m|}$ . (For the special case  $m = 0$ ,  $\chi_0 \sim 1$  and  $\psi_0 = 0$ .) It follows that<sup>27</sup>

$$\left( \sum_m \psi_m^* \chi_m \right)_0 = 0. \quad (\text{B23})$$

Hence,

$$\delta W_p(r) = \pi^2 \left( \sum_m \psi_m^* \chi_m \right)_r \quad (\text{B24})$$

is the perturbed plasma potential energy in the region lying between the magnetic axis and the magnetic flux-surface whose label is  $r$ .

### 4. Rational Surfaces

At the  $k$ th rational surface,  $r = r_k$ , the non-resonant components of  $\psi_m$  and  $\chi_m$  are continuous, the large solution is absent (i.e.,  $\Psi_k = 0$ ), but the small solution is present (i.e.,  $\Delta\Psi_k = 0$ ). (See Sect. A 5.) It is easily shown that  $\sum_m \psi_m^* \chi_m$  remains finite at  $r = r_k$  (which would not be the case if  $\Psi_k \neq 0$ ), and<sup>27</sup>

$$\left( \sum_m \psi_m^* \chi_m \right)_{r_{k-}}^{r_{k+}} = 0. \quad (\text{B25})$$

In other words, there is no contribution to the perturbed potential energy from the surface. Thus, Eq. (B24) holds even when the region between the magnetic axis and the flux-surface whose label is  $r$  contains rational surfaces. Thus, the total plasma potential energy is

$$\delta W_p = \pi^2 \left( \sum_m \psi_m^* \chi_m \right)_{a_-}, \quad (\text{B26})$$

where  $r = a_-$  corresponds to an equilibrium magnetic flux that lies just inside the plasma-vacuum interface.

## 5. Toroidal Electromagnetic Torque

The flux-surface averaged toroidal electromagnetic torque acting on the plasma is<sup>27,32</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} T_\phi &= i n \pi^2 \left( \sum_m \frac{Z_m^* \psi_m - \psi_m^* Z_m}{m - n q} \right)_{a-} = i n \pi^2 \left( \sum_m \chi_m^* \psi_m - \psi_m^* \chi_m \right)_{a-} \\ &= 2 n \text{Im}(\delta W_p), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B27})$$

where use has been made of Eqs. (B20) and (B24). However, according to Eq. (A16), this torque must be zero for ideal solutions, which are characterized by  $\Psi_k = 0$  (i.e., zero reconnected magnetic flux at the various rational surfaces in the plasma).<sup>27</sup> It follows that the total plasma potential energy,  $\delta W_p$ , is necessarily a real quantity.

## 6. Marginally-Stable Ideal Eigenfunctions

We can construct a complete set of marginally-stable ideal eigenfunctions in the outer region from the tearing eigenfunctions calculated by the TJ code as follows:

$$\psi_{m m'}^i(r) = \psi_{m m'}^a(r) - \sum_{k=1, K} \psi_{m k}^u(r) \Pi_{k m'}^a, \quad (\text{B28})$$

$$Z_{m m'}^i(r) = Z_{m m'}^a(r) - \sum_{k=1, K} Z_{m k}^u(r) \Pi_{k m'}^a. \quad (\text{B29})$$

Here,  $m$  labels the poloidal harmonic of the solution,  $m'$  labels the solution itself, and  $k$  labels the various rational surfaces in the plasma. There are as many solutions as there are poloidal harmonics included in the calculation. All other quantities are defined in Sects. VIII.B–VIII.D of Ref. 27. It follows from the definitions of the various quantities in the previous two equations that the reconnected magnetic fluxes at the rational surfaces in the plasma associated with the ideal eigenfunctions are all zero (i.e.,  $\Psi_k = 0$  for all  $k$ ). We can also write

$$\chi_{m m'}^i(r) = \left( \frac{\tilde{k}_m \psi_{m m'}^i + Z_{m m'}^i}{m - n q} \right)_r, \quad (\text{B30})$$

where use has been made of Eq. (B20).

## 7. Plasma Energy Matrix

We can write a general ideal solution just inside the plasma-vacuum interface as

$$\psi_m(a) = \sum_{m'} \psi_{mm'}^i(a) \alpha_{m'}, \quad (\text{B31})$$

$$\chi_m(a_-) = \sum_{m'} \chi_{mm'}^i(a_-) \alpha_{m'}, \quad (\text{B32})$$

where the  $\alpha_m$  are arbitrary complex coefficients. Note that the  $\psi_m(r)$  are continuous across the plasma-vacuum interface, whereas the  $\chi_m(r)$  are generally discontinuous. The previous two equations can be written more succinctly as

$$\underline{\psi} = \underline{\underline{\psi}}_i \underline{\alpha}, \quad (\text{B33})$$

$$\underline{\chi} = \underline{\underline{\chi}}_i \underline{\alpha}, \quad (\text{B34})$$

where  $\underline{\psi}$  is the column vector of the  $\psi_m(a)$  values,  $\underline{\chi}$  is the column vector of the  $\chi_m(a_-)$  values,  $\underline{\underline{\psi}}_i$  is the matrix of the  $\psi_{mm'}^i(a)$  values,  $\underline{\underline{\chi}}_i$  is the matrix of the  $\chi_{mm'}^i(a_-)$  values, and  $\underline{\alpha}$  the column vector of the  $\alpha_m$  values. Equation (B26) then becomes

$$\delta W_p = \pi^2 \underline{\underline{\psi}}^\dagger \underline{\chi}, \quad (\text{B35})$$

or

$$\delta W_p = \pi^2 \underline{\alpha}^\dagger \underline{\underline{\psi}}^\dagger \underline{\underline{\chi}}_i \underline{\alpha}. \quad (\text{B36})$$

The fact that  $\delta W_p$  is real implies that

$$\underline{\underline{\psi}}^\dagger \underline{\underline{\chi}}_i = \underline{\underline{\chi}}_i^\dagger \underline{\underline{\psi}}. \quad (\text{B37})$$

Let us define the plasma energy matrix,  $\underline{\underline{W}}_p$ , such that

$$\underline{\underline{\chi}}_i = \underline{\underline{W}}_p \underline{\underline{\psi}}_i. \quad (\text{B38})$$

It is easily seen that

$$\underline{\underline{\psi}}^\dagger \underline{\underline{\chi}}_i = \underline{\underline{\psi}}^\dagger \underline{\underline{W}}_p \underline{\underline{\psi}}_i, \quad (\text{B39})$$

$$\underline{\underline{\chi}}_i^\dagger \underline{\underline{\psi}}_i = \underline{\underline{\psi}}_i^\dagger \underline{\underline{W}}_p^\dagger \underline{\underline{\psi}}_i. \quad (\text{B40})$$

Making use of Eq. (B37), we obtain

$$\underline{\underline{\psi}}_i^\dagger \underline{\underline{W}}_p \underline{\underline{\psi}}_i = \underline{\underline{\psi}}_i^\dagger \underline{\underline{W}}_p^\dagger \underline{\underline{\psi}}_i, \quad (\text{B41})$$

which implies that  $\underline{\underline{W}}_p$  is an Hermitian matrix. Equations (B36) and (B38) yield

$$\delta W_p = \pi^2 \underline{\underline{\alpha}}^\dagger \underline{\underline{\psi}}_i^\dagger \underline{\underline{W}}_p \underline{\underline{\psi}}_i \underline{\underline{\alpha}}. \quad (\text{B42})$$

## 8. Total Potential Energy

The total perturbed potential energy of the plasma-vacuum system can be written<sup>31,32</sup>

$$\delta W = \delta W_p + \delta W_v, \quad (\text{B43})$$

where

$$\delta W_v = \frac{1}{2} \int_{a_+}^{\infty} \oint \oint \mathbf{b}^* \cdot \mathbf{b} \mathcal{J} dr d\theta d\phi \quad (\text{B44})$$

is the perturbed potential energy of the surrounding vacuum.<sup>31,32</sup> Here,  $r = a_+$  corresponds to an equilibrium magnetic flux-surface that lies just outside the plasma-vacuum interface.

## 9. Vacuum Potential Energy

In the vacuum region, we can write the curl- and divergence-free perturbed magnetic field in the form  $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{i} \nabla V$ , where  $\nabla^2 V = 0$ . Hence, the vacuum potential energy is

$$\begin{aligned} \delta W_v &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{a_+}^{\infty} \oint \oint \nabla V \cdot \nabla V^* \mathcal{J} dr d\theta d\phi \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{a_+}^{\infty} \oint \oint \nabla \cdot (V \nabla V^*) \mathcal{J} dr d\theta d\phi = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \oint \oint \mathcal{J} \nabla r \cdot \nabla V^* V d\theta d\phi \right)_{a_+}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B45})$$

assuming that  $V(r, \theta) \rightarrow 0$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$  if there is no wall surrounding the plasma, or  $\nabla V \cdot d\mathbf{S} = 0$  if a perfectly-conducting wall surrounds the plasma. But, Eq. (210) of Ref. 27 implies that

$$\mathcal{J} \nabla V \cdot \nabla r = \psi, \quad (\text{B46})$$

so we deduce that

$$\delta W_v = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \oint \oint \psi^* V d\theta d\phi \right)_{a_+} = -\pi^2 \left( \sum_m \psi_m^* V_m \right)_{a_+}, \quad (\text{B47})$$

where  $V(r, \theta) = \sum_m V_m(r) \exp(\mathrm{i} m \theta)$ . However, making use of Eq. (214) of Ref. 27, we get

$$\delta W_v = -\pi^2 \left( \sum_m \psi_m^* \chi_m \right)_{a_+}, \quad (\text{B48})$$

where

$$\chi_m = \frac{Z_m}{m - n q}. \quad (\text{B49})$$

Note that the previous equation is consistent with Eq. (B20) because, according to Eq. (B21),  $\tilde{k}_m = 0$  in the vacuum region, given that  $\alpha_g = \alpha_p = 0$  in the vacuum.

Combining Eqs. (B26), (B43), and (B48), we deduce that

$$\delta W = \pi^2 \left( \sum_m \psi_m^* J_m \right)_\epsilon, \quad (\text{B50})$$

where

$$J_m = -[\chi_m]_{a_-}^{a_+}. \quad (\text{B51})$$

## 10. Boundary Current Sheet

Now,  $\alpha_p = \alpha_g = 0$  at the plasma-vacuum interface, assuming that the equilibrium current is zero there, which implies that  $k_m = \tilde{k}_m = 0$  at the interface, where use has been made of Eq. (B21), as well as Eq. (100) of Ref. 27. It follows from Eqs. (78) and (99) of Ref. 27, combined with Eq. (B49), that

$$x_m = n \chi_m \quad (\text{B52})$$

at the plasma-vacuum interface, where  $x(r, \theta) = \sum_m x_m(r) \exp(\mathrm{i} m \theta)$ . Suppose that there is a perturbed current sheet on the interface. Thus, if  $\mathbf{K}$  is the current sheet density then Eqs. (66) and (67) of Ref. 27 suggest that

$$\mathcal{J} K_m^\theta = n J_m, \quad (\text{B53})$$

$$\mathcal{J} K_m^\phi = m J_m, \quad (\text{B54})$$

where use has been made of Eqs. (B51) and (B52). Let us write

$$\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{i} \nabla J \times \nabla r, \quad (\text{B55})$$

where  $J(\theta, \phi) = J(\theta) \exp(-\mathbf{i} n \phi)$ , which ensures that  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{K} = 0$ . It follows from (A8) and (A9) of Ref. 27 that

$$\mathcal{J} K^\theta = \mathbf{i} \frac{\partial J}{\partial \phi}, \quad (\text{B56})$$

$$\mathcal{J} K^\phi = -\mathbf{i} \frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta}. \quad (\text{B57})$$

Hence, we reproduce Eqs. (B53) and (B54). We conclude that the  $J_m$  are the Fourier components of the  $J(\theta)$  function introduced in Eq. (B55).

As described in Ref. 32, the marginally-stable ideal eigenfunctions feature a perturbed current sheet on the vacuum-plasma interface because they do not satisfy the perturbed pressure-balance boundary condition. However, these current sheets are entirely fictitious. The true ideal eigenfunctions (which, unlike the marginally-stable ideal eigenfunctions, take plasma inertia into account) satisfy the pressure-balance boundary condition (and, therefore, have no associated current sheets). Current sheets are permitted because the marginally-stable ideal eigenfunctions are “trial solutions” used to determine ideal stability, rather than actual physical solutions.

## 11. Determination of Ideal Stability

According to Eq. (215) of Ref. 27, combined with Eq. (B49),

$$\chi_m(a_+) = \sum_{m'} H_{mm'} \psi_{m'}(a), \quad (\text{B58})$$

where the “vacuum matrix”,  $H_{mm'}$ , is Hermitian. (See Sect. VI.G of Ref. 27.) Hence, it follows from Eq. (B51) that

$$J_m = \chi_m(a_-) - \sum_{m'} H_{mm'} \psi_m(a). \quad (\text{B59})$$

Making use of Eq. (B50), we can write

$$\delta W = \pi^2 \underline{\psi}^\dagger \underline{J}, \quad (\text{B60})$$

where

$$\underline{J} = \underline{\chi} + \underline{\underline{W}}_v \underline{\psi}. \quad (\text{B61})$$

Here,  $\underline{J}$  is the column vector of the  $J_m$  values, and  $\underline{\underline{W}}_v$  the Hermitian matrix of the  $-H_{mm'}$  values. Making use of Eqs. (B33), (B34), and (B38), we get

$$\underline{J} = \underline{\underline{W}} \underline{\psi}, \quad (\text{B62})$$

and

$$\delta W = \underline{\alpha}^\dagger \underline{\underline{\psi}}_i^\dagger (\underline{\chi}_i + \underline{\underline{W}}_v \underline{\psi}_i) \underline{\alpha} = \underline{\alpha}^\dagger \underline{\underline{\psi}}_i^\dagger \underline{\underline{W}} \underline{\psi}_i \underline{\alpha}, \quad (\text{B63})$$

where

$$\underline{\underline{W}} = \underline{\underline{W}}_p + \underline{\underline{W}}_v. \quad (\text{B64})$$

Note that  $\underline{\underline{W}}_p$  and  $\underline{\underline{W}}_v$  are both Hermitian, so  $\underline{\underline{W}}$  is also Hermitian. Thus the total energy matrix,  $\underline{\underline{W}}$ , possesses real eigenvalues and orthonormal eigenvectors,  $\underline{\beta}_m$ . Let  $(\underline{\beta}_{m'})_m = \beta_{mm'}$ , and let  $\underline{\underline{\beta}}$  be the matrix of the  $\beta_{mm'}$  values. It follows that  $\underline{\underline{\beta}}^\dagger \underline{\underline{\beta}} = \underline{\underline{1}}$ . We conclude that there are as many linearly independent ideal eigenmodes of the plasma as there are poloidal harmonics included in the calculation. The  $m$ th eigenmode has the associated energy

$$\delta W_m = \delta W_{pm} + \delta W_{vm}, \quad (\text{B65})$$

where

$$\delta W_m = \underline{\beta}_m^\dagger \underline{\underline{W}} \underline{\beta}_m, \quad (\text{B66})$$

$$\delta W_{pm} = \underline{\beta}_m^\dagger \underline{\underline{W}}_p \underline{\beta}_m, \quad (\text{B67})$$

$$\delta W_{vm} = \underline{\beta}_m^\dagger \underline{\underline{W}}_v \underline{\beta}_m. \quad (\text{B68})$$

Note that  $\delta W_m$ ,  $\delta W_{pm}$  and  $\delta W_{vm}$  are all real quantities. Moreover,  $\delta W_{pm}$  and  $\delta W_{vm}$  can be interpreted as the plasma and vacuum contributions to  $\delta W_m$ , respectively. Finally,

$$\delta W = \sum_m |\hat{\alpha}_m|^2 \delta W_m, \quad (\text{B69})$$

where  $\hat{\alpha} = \underline{\beta}^\dagger \underline{\psi} \underline{\alpha}$ . As is well known, the plasma is ideally unstable if  $\delta W < 0$  for any possible ideal perturbation.<sup>31,32</sup> Thus, given that the  $\hat{\alpha}_m$  are arbitrary, we deduce that if any of the  $\delta W_m$  are negative then the plasma is ideally unstable.

## Appendix C: Vacuum Solution

### 1. Toroidal Coordinates

Let  $\mu, \eta, \phi$  be right-handed toroidal coordinates defined such that

$$R = \frac{\sinh \mu}{\cosh \mu - \cos \eta}, \quad (\text{C1})$$

$$Z = \frac{\sin \eta}{\cosh \mu - \cos \eta}. \quad (\text{C2})$$

The scale-factors of the toroidal coordinate system are

$$h_\mu = h_\eta = \frac{1}{\cosh \mu - \cos \eta} \equiv h, \quad (\text{C3})$$

$$h_\phi = \frac{\sinh \mu}{\cosh \mu - \cos \eta} = h \sinh \mu. \quad (\text{C4})$$

Moreover,

$$\mathcal{J}' \equiv (\nabla \mu \times \nabla \eta \cdot \nabla \phi) = h^3 \sinh \mu. \quad (\text{C5})$$

### 2. Perturbed Magnetic Field

The curl-free perturbed magnetic field in the vacuum region is written  $\mathbf{b} = i \nabla V$ , where  $\nabla^2 V = 0$ . The most general solution to Laplace's equation is

$$V(z, \eta) = \sum_m (z - \cos \eta)^{1/2} U_m(z) e^{-i m \eta}, \quad (\text{C6})$$

$$U_m(z) = p_m \hat{P}_{|m|-1/2}^n(z) + q_m \hat{Q}_{m-1/2}^n(z), \quad (\text{C7})$$

where  $z = \cosh \mu$ , the  $p_m$  and  $q_m$  are arbitrary complex coefficients, and

$$\hat{P}_{|m|-1/2}^n(z) = \cos(|m| \pi) \frac{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(|m| + 1/2 - n) a^{|m|}}{2^{|m|-1/2} |m|!} P_{|m|-1/2}^n(z), \quad (\text{C8})$$



$$\hat{Q}_{|m|-1/2}^n(z) = \cos(n\pi) \cos(|m|\pi) \frac{2^{|m|-1/2} |m|!}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma(|m| + 1/2 + n) a^{|m|}} Q_{|m|-1/2}^n(z). \quad (\text{C9})$$

Here, the  $P_{m-1/2}^n(z)$  and  $Q_{|m|-1/2}^n(z)$  are toroidal functions,<sup>33</sup> and  $\Gamma(z)$  is a gamma function.<sup>34</sup>

### 3. Toroidal Electromagnetic Angular Momentum Flux

The outward flux of toroidal angular momentum across a constant- $z$  surface is

$$T_\phi(z) = - \oint \oint \mathcal{J}' b_\phi b^\mu d\eta d\phi \quad (\text{C10})$$

$$= i n \pi^2 \sum_m (p_m q_m^* - q_m p_m^*) (z^2 - 1) \mathcal{W}(\hat{P}_{|m|-1/2}^n, \hat{Q}_{|m|-1/2}^n), \quad (\text{C11})$$

where  $\mathcal{W}(f, g) \equiv f dg/dz - g df/dz$ . But,<sup>35</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}(\hat{P}_{|m|-1/2}^n, \hat{Q}_{|m|-1/2}^n) &= \cos(n\pi) \frac{\Gamma(|m| + 1/2 - n)}{\Gamma(|m| + 1/2 + n)} \mathcal{W}(P_{|m|-1/2}^n, Q_{|m|-1/2}^n) \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - z^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C12})$$

where use has been made of Eqs. (C8) and (C9), so

$$T_\phi(z) = 2\pi^2 n \sum_m \text{Im}(q_m^* p_m). \quad (\text{C13})$$

Note that  $T_\phi$  is independent of  $z$ , as must be the case because there are no angular momentum sources in the vacuum region.

### 4. Solution in Vacuum Region

In the large-aspect ratio limit,  $r \ll 1$ , it can be demonstrated that<sup>35</sup>

$$z \simeq \frac{1}{r}, \quad (\text{C14})$$

$$z^{1/2} \hat{P}_{-1/2}^n(z) \simeq \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{8z}{\zeta_n} \right), \quad (\text{C15})$$

$$z^{1/2} \hat{P}_{|m|-1/2}^n(z) \simeq \frac{\cos(|m|\pi) (\epsilon z)^{|m|}}{|m|}, \quad (\text{C16})$$

$$z^{1/2} \hat{Q}_{|m|-1/2}^n(z) \simeq \frac{\cos(|m| \pi) (\epsilon z)^{-|m|}}{2}, \quad (\text{C17})$$

$$\zeta_n = \exp \left( \sum_{j=1,n} \frac{2}{2j-1} \right). \quad (\text{C18})$$

Note that Eq. (C16) only applies to  $|m| > 0$ .

The plasma-vacuum interface lies at  $r = a$ . Suppose that the plasma is surrounded by a perfectly conducting wall at  $r = b_w a$ , where  $b_w \geq 1$ . In the vacuum region,  $a \leq r \leq b_w a$ , lying between the plasma and the wall, we can write

$$\underline{V}(r) = \underline{\underline{P}}(r) \underline{p} + \underline{\underline{Q}}(r) \underline{q}, \quad (\text{C19})$$

$$\underline{\psi}(r) = \underline{\underline{R}}(r) \underline{p} + \underline{\underline{S}}(r) \underline{q}, \quad (\text{C20})$$

where  $\underline{V}(r)$  is the vector of the  $V_m(r)$  values (see Sect. B 9),  $\underline{\psi}(r)$  is the vector of the  $\psi_m(r)$  values [see Eq. (A3)],  $\underline{\underline{P}}(r)$  is the matrix of the

$$\mathcal{P}_{mm'}(r) = \oint_r (z - \cos \eta)^{1/2} \hat{P}_{|m'|-1/2}^n(z) \exp[-i(m\theta + m'\eta)] \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \quad (\text{C21})$$

values,  $\underline{\underline{Q}}(r)$  is the matrix of the

$$\mathcal{Q}_{mm'}(r) = \oint_r (z - \cos \eta)^{1/2} \hat{Q}_{|m'|-1/2}^n(z) \exp[-i(m\theta + m'\eta)] \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \quad (\text{C22})$$

values,  $\underline{\underline{R}}(r)$  is the matrix of the

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_{mm'}(r) = & \oint_r \left\{ \left[ \frac{1}{2} (z - \cos \eta)^{-1/2} \hat{P}_{|m'|-1/2}^n(z) + (z - \cos \eta)^{1/2} \frac{d\hat{P}_{|m'|-1/2}^n}{dz} \right] \mathcal{J} \nabla r \cdot \nabla z \right. \\ & + \left. \left[ \frac{1}{2} (z - \cos \eta)^{-1/2} \sin \eta - i m' (z - \cos \eta)^{1/2} \right] \hat{P}_{|m'|-1/2}^n(z) \mathcal{J} \nabla r \cdot \nabla \eta \right\} \\ & \times \exp[-i(m\theta + m'\eta)] \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C23})$$

values,  $\underline{\underline{S}}(r)$  is the matrix of the

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{mm'}(r) = & \oint_r \left\{ \left[ \frac{1}{2} (z - \cos \eta)^{-1/2} \hat{Q}_{|m'|-1/2}^n(z) + (z - \cos \eta)^{1/2} \frac{d\hat{Q}_{|m'|-1/2}^n}{dz} \right] \mathcal{J} \nabla r \cdot \nabla z \right. \\ & + \left. \left[ \frac{1}{2} (z - \cos \eta)^{-1/2} \sin \eta - i m' (z - \cos \eta)^{1/2} \right] \hat{Q}_{|m'|-1/2}^n(z) \mathcal{J} \nabla r \cdot \nabla \eta \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\times \exp[-i(m\theta + m'\eta)] \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \quad (\text{C24})$$

values,  $\underline{p}$  is the vector of the  $p_m$  coefficients, and  $\underline{q}$  is the vector of the  $q_m$  coefficients. Here, the subscript  $r$  on the integrals indicates that they are taken at constant  $r$ .

## 5. Toroidal Electromagnetic Torque

According to Eq. (B27), (B49), and Eq. (214) of Ref. 27, the net toroidal electromagnetic torque acting on the plasma is

$$T_\phi = -2\pi^2 n \operatorname{Im}(\underline{V}^\dagger \underline{\psi}) = -\pi^2 n (\underline{V}^\dagger \underline{\psi} - \underline{\psi}^\dagger \underline{V}). \quad (\text{C25})$$

However, this torque must equal the flux of toroidal angular momentum into the vacuum region, so Eq. (C13) implies that

$$T_\phi = 2\pi^2 n \operatorname{Im}(\underline{q}^\dagger \underline{p}) = \pi^2 n (\underline{q}^\dagger \underline{p} - \underline{p}^\dagger \underline{q}). \quad (\text{C26})$$

Now, Eqs. (C19), (C20), and (C25) give

$$\begin{aligned} T_\phi = -\pi^2 n \left[ \underline{p}^\dagger (\underline{\mathcal{P}}^\dagger \underline{\mathcal{R}} - \underline{\mathcal{R}}^\dagger \underline{\mathcal{P}}) \underline{p} + \underline{p}^\dagger (\underline{\mathcal{P}}^\dagger \underline{\mathcal{S}} - \underline{\mathcal{R}}^\dagger \underline{\mathcal{Q}}) \underline{q} \right. \\ \left. - \underline{q}^\dagger (\underline{\mathcal{S}}^\dagger \underline{\mathcal{P}} - \underline{\mathcal{Q}}^\dagger \underline{\mathcal{R}}) \underline{p} + \underline{q}^\dagger (\underline{\mathcal{Q}}^\dagger \underline{\mathcal{S}} - \underline{\mathcal{S}}^\dagger \underline{\mathcal{R}}) \underline{q} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C27})$$

The previous equation is consistent with Eq. (C26) provided that

$$\underline{\mathcal{P}}^\dagger \underline{\mathcal{R}} = \underline{\mathcal{R}}^\dagger \underline{\mathcal{P}}, \quad (\text{C28})$$

$$\underline{\mathcal{Q}}^\dagger \underline{\mathcal{S}} = \underline{\mathcal{S}}^\dagger \underline{\mathcal{Q}}, \quad (\text{C29})$$

$$\underline{\mathcal{P}}^\dagger \underline{\mathcal{S}} - \underline{\mathcal{R}}^\dagger \underline{\mathcal{Q}} = \underline{1}. \quad (\text{C30})$$

The previous three equations can be combined with Eqs. (C19) and (C20) to give

$$\underline{p} = \underline{\mathcal{S}}^\dagger \underline{V} - \underline{\mathcal{Q}}^\dagger \underline{\psi}, \quad (\text{C31})$$

$$\underline{q} = -\underline{\mathcal{R}}^\dagger \underline{V} + \underline{\mathcal{P}}^\dagger \underline{\psi}. \quad (\text{C32})$$

However, the previous two equations are only consistent with Eqs. (C19) and (C20) provided

$$\underline{\underline{\mathcal{Q}}} \underline{\underline{\mathcal{P}}}^\dagger = \underline{\underline{\mathcal{P}}} \underline{\underline{\mathcal{Q}}}^\dagger, \quad (\text{C33})$$

$$\underline{\underline{\mathcal{R}}} \underline{\underline{\mathcal{S}}}^\dagger = \underline{\underline{\mathcal{S}}} \underline{\underline{\mathcal{R}}}^\dagger, \quad (\text{C34})$$

$$\underline{\underline{\mathcal{P}}} \underline{\underline{\mathcal{S}}}^\dagger - \underline{\underline{\mathcal{Q}}} \underline{\underline{\mathcal{R}}}^\dagger = \underline{\underline{1}}. \quad (\text{C35})$$

Note that Eqs. (C28)–(C30) and (C33)–(C35) hold throughout the vacuum region.

## 6. Ideal-Wall Matching Condition

If the wall is perfectly conducting then  $\underline{\psi}(b_w \epsilon) = 0$ . It follows from Eq. (C20) that

$$\underline{q} = \underline{\underline{I}}_b \underline{p}, \quad (\text{C36})$$

where

$$\underline{\underline{I}}_b = -\underline{\underline{\mathcal{S}}}_b^{-1} \underline{\underline{\mathcal{R}}}_b \quad (\text{C37})$$

is termed the wall matrix. Here,  $\underline{\underline{\mathcal{S}}}_b = \underline{\underline{\mathcal{S}}}(b_w \epsilon)$ , et cetera. Equation (B37) ensures that  $\underline{\underline{I}}_b$  is Hermitian. It immediately follows from Eq. (C26) that  $T_\phi = 0$ . In other words, zero net toroidal electromagnetic torque is exerted on a plasma surrounded by a perfectly conducting wall. As described in Ref. 27, the fact that  $T_\phi = 0$  ensures that the tearing stability matrix,  $E_{kk'}$ , introduced in Sect. A 6 is Hermitian.

Making use of Eqs. (C19) and (C20), the matching condition at the plasma-vacuum interface for an ideal wall becomes

$$\underline{V}(a_+) = \underline{\underline{H}} \underline{\psi}(a), \quad (\text{C38})$$

where

$$\underline{\underline{H}} = (\underline{\underline{\mathcal{P}}}_a + \underline{\underline{\mathcal{Q}}}_a \underline{\underline{I}}_b) (\underline{\underline{\mathcal{R}}}_a + \underline{\underline{\mathcal{S}}}_a \underline{\underline{I}}_b)^{-1} \quad (\text{C39})$$

is the vacuum matrix introduced in Sect. B 11. Here,  $\underline{\underline{\mathcal{P}}}_a = \underline{\underline{\mathcal{P}}}(a_+)$ , et cetera. Making use of Eqs. (B33)–(C30), it is easily demonstrated that

$$\underline{\underline{H}} - \underline{\underline{H}}^\dagger = -[(\underline{\underline{\mathcal{R}}}_a + \underline{\underline{\mathcal{S}}}_a \underline{\underline{I}}_b)^{-1}]^\dagger (\underline{\underline{I}}_b - \underline{\underline{I}}_b^\dagger) (\underline{\underline{\mathcal{R}}}_a + \underline{\underline{\mathcal{S}}}_a \underline{\underline{I}}_b)^{-1} \quad (\text{C40})$$

Thus, the vacuum matrix,  $\underline{\underline{H}}$ , is Hermitian because the wall matrix,  $\underline{\underline{I}}_b$ , is Hermitian.

## 7. Model Wall Matrix

Equations (C14)–(C18), (C23), and (C24) suggest that

$$\underline{\underline{\mathcal{R}}}_b = \underline{\underline{\mathcal{R}}}_\epsilon \underline{\underline{\rho}}^{-1}, \quad (\text{C41})$$

$$\underline{\underline{\mathcal{S}}}_b = \underline{\underline{\mathcal{S}}}_\epsilon \underline{\underline{\rho}}, \quad (\text{C42})$$

where

$$\rho_{mm'} = \delta_{mm'} \rho_m, \quad (\text{C43})$$

$$\rho_0 = 1 + \ln b_w, \quad (\text{C44})$$

$$\rho_{m \neq 0} = b_w^{|m|}. \quad (\text{C45})$$

Hence,

$$\underline{\underline{I}}_b = -\underline{\underline{\rho}}^{-1\dagger} \underline{\underline{\mathcal{S}}}_a^{-1} \underline{\underline{\mathcal{R}}}_a \underline{\underline{\rho}}^{-1}. \quad (\text{C46})$$

Note that  $\underline{\underline{I}}_b$  is Hermitian, given that  $\underline{\underline{\mathcal{S}}}_a^{-1} \underline{\underline{\mathcal{R}}}_a$  is Hermitian. [See Eq. (C34).] Our model wall matrix allows us to smoothly interpolate between a plasma with no wall (which corresponds to  $b_w \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\underline{\underline{H}} = \underline{\underline{\mathcal{P}}}_a \underline{\underline{\mathcal{R}}}_a^{-1}$ <sup>27</sup>), and a fixed boundary plasma (which corresponds to  $b_w = 1$  and  $\underline{\underline{H}}^{-1} = \underline{\underline{0}}$ ).

---

<sup>1</sup> H.P. Furth, J. Killeen and M.N. Rosenbluth, Phys. Fluids **6**, 459 (1963).

<sup>2</sup> R.D. Hazeltine, M. Kotschenreuther, and P.G. Morrison, Phys. Fluids **2**, 2466 (1985).

<sup>3</sup> R. Fitzpatrick, and F.L. Waelbroeck, Phys. Plasmas **12**, 022307 (2005).

<sup>4</sup> A. Cole, and R. Fitzpatrick, Phys. Plasmas **13**, 032503 (2006).

<sup>5</sup> R. Fitzpatrick, Phys. Plasmas **29**, 032507 (2022).

<sup>6</sup> J.W. Connor and R.J. Hastie, *The Effect of Shaped Plasma Cross Sections on the Ideal Kink Mode in a Tokamak* (Rep. CLM-M106, Culham Laboratory, Abingdon UK, 1985).

<sup>7</sup> J.W. Connor, R.J. Hastie and J.B. Taylor, Phys. Fluids B **3**, 1539 (1991).

- <sup>8</sup> J.W. Connor, S.C. Cowley, R.J. Hastie, T.C. Hender, A. Hood and T.J. Martin, Phys. Fluids **31**, 577 (1988).
- <sup>9</sup> A. Pletzer and R.L. Dewar, J. Plasma Physics **45**, 427 (1991).
- <sup>10</sup> R. Fitzpatrick, R.J. Hastie, T.J. Martin and C.M. Roach, Nucl. Fusion **33**, 1533 (1993).
- <sup>11</sup> A. Pletzer, A. Bondeson and R.L. Dewar, J. Comput. Phys. **115**, 530 (1994).
- <sup>12</sup> Y. Nishimura, J.D. Callen and C.C. Hegna, Phys. Plasmas **5**, 4292 (1998).
- <sup>13</sup> S.A. Galkin, A.D. Turnbull, J.M. Greene and M.S. Chu, Phys. Plasmas **7**, 4070 (2000).
- <sup>14</sup> S. Tokuda, Nucl. Fusion **41**, 1037 (2001).
- <sup>15</sup> D.P. Brennan, R.J. La Haye, A.D. Turnbull, M.S. Chu, T.H. Jensen, L.L. Lao, T.C. Luce, P.A. Politzer and E.J. Strait, Phys. Plasmas **10**, 1643 (2003).
- <sup>16</sup> C.J. Ham, Y.Q. Liu, J.W. Connor, S.C. Cowley, R.J. Hastie, T.C. Hender and T.J. Martin, Plasma Phys. Controlled Fusion **54**, 105014 (2012).
- <sup>17</sup> C.J. Ham, J.W. Connor, S.C. Cowley, C.G. Gimblett, R.J. Hastie, T.C. Hender and T.J. Martin, Plasma Phys. Controlled Fusion **54**, 025009 (2012).
- <sup>18</sup> C.J. Ham, J.W. Connor, S.C. Cowley, R.J. Hastie, T.C. Hender and Y.Q. Liu, Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion **55** 125015 (2013).
- <sup>19</sup> A.H. Glasser, Z.R. Wang and J.-K. Park, Phys. Plasmas **23**, 112506 (2016).
- <sup>20</sup> R. Fitzpatrick, Phys. Plasmas **24**, 072506 (2017).
- <sup>21</sup> A.S. Glasser, E. Kolemen and A.H. Glasser, Phys. Plasmas **25**, 032507 (2018).
- <sup>22</sup> A.S. Glasser and E. Koleman, Phys. Plasmas **25**, 082502 (2018).
- <sup>23</sup> Z. Wang, A.H. Glasser, D. Brennan, Y. Liu and J.-K. Park, Phys. Plasmas **27**, 122503 (2020).
- <sup>24</sup> J.M. Greene, J.L. Johnson and K.E. Weimer, Phys. Fluids **14**, 671 (1971).
- <sup>25</sup> R. Fitzpatrick, C.G. Gimblett and R.J. Hastie, Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion **34**, 161 (1992).
- <sup>26</sup> R. Fitzpatrick, Phys. Plasmas **31**, 082505 (2024).
- <sup>27</sup> R. Fitzpatrick, Phys. Plasmas **31**, 102507 (2024).
- <sup>28</sup> C. Mercier, Nucl. Fusion **1**, 47 (1960).

- <sup>29</sup> A.H. Glasser, J.M. Greene, and J.L. Johnson, Phys. Fluids **18**, 875 (1975).
- <sup>30</sup> A.H. Glasser, J.M. Greene, and J.L. Johnson, Phys. Fluids **19**, 567 (1976).
- <sup>31</sup> J.P. Freidberg, Rev. Mod. Phys. 54, **801** (1982).
- <sup>32</sup> R. Fitzpatrick, Phys. Plasmas **31**, 112502 (2024).
- <sup>33</sup> M. Abramowitz and I.A. Stegun, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions* (Dover, New York NY, 1964), sect. 8.11.
- <sup>34</sup> M. Abramowitz and I.A. Stegun, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions* (Dover, New York NY, 1964), ch. 6.
- <sup>35</sup> P.M. Morse and H. Feshbach, *Methods of Theoretical Physics* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1953), pp. 1302–1329.