## standard error

- standard error = standard deviation of a statistic
  - e.g., standard error of the mean
  - error = random variability due to random sampling ≠ mistake
- sampling distribution of the sample mean (  $\bar{x}$  ; based on n samples)
  - e.g., body temperature, normal, population mean 37, population sd 0.5
    - consider mean of 100 samples; varies from sample to sample; what is its distribution?
  - standard deviation of  $\bar{x} = \frac{\text{standard error}}{\text{standard error}}$  of the mean
    - $-\sigma_{\bar{x}} = \sigma/\sqrt{n}$
    - here,  $\sigma_{\bar{x}} = 0.5 / \sqrt{100} = 0.5/10 = 0.05$
  - if population is normal, then sampling distribution of mean is normal
  - central limit theorem: for n > 30, sampling distribution of the mean is approximately normally distributed, even if the population is not normally distributed!
  - what if we don't know the population standard deviation?
    - estimate it, with sample standard deviation s
    - then, estimate standard error:  $s_{\bar{x}} = s/\sqrt{n}$
    - e.g., our 100 samples of body temperature has s = 0.45  $s_{\bar{x}} = s/\sqrt{n} = 0.45$  / 10 = 0.045
- t value of the sample mean
  - $t = (x mu) / s_xbar$
  - if n > 120, t is approximately normally distributed with mean 0, sd 1
    - use last line of appendix B
  - if n <= 120, t is not normally distributed
    - follows the t distribution with df = n 1 "degrees of freedom"
    - t distribution is unimodal, symmetric, asymptotic; much like normal dist.
    - use appendix B
  - e.g., suppose we have 30 samples with mean 37.5 and standard deviation 0.52. if the population mean was 37, would this be in the top 5% of the sampling distribution? i.e., would this sample mean be unusually high?

$$s_{\bar{x}} = 0.52 / \sqrt{30} = 0.0949$$
  
t = (37.5 - 37) / 0.0949 = 5.27, df = 30 - 1 = 29

- appendix B shows that for the top 5%, we need  $t \ge 1.699$ ; so yes, the mean 37 is in the top 5% of the sampling distribution
- e.g., continuing above example, is the sample mean in the outer 20% of the sampling distribution of the mean? (i.e., is this unusually high or low?)
  - as before,  $s_{\bar{x}} = 0.0949$
  - as before, t = 5.27, df = 50 1 = 49
  - appendix B shows that we need |t| >= 1.311; so yes, the sample mean is in the outer 20% of the sampling distribution

## significance testing

- thinking through a one sample t test
  - we have a population; we don't know its mean or standard deviation
  - we have a hypothesis about its mean, say  $H_0$ :  $\mu = 20$ ; we want to test this
  - we collect n = 25 scores, and find the sample mean  $\bar{x}$  = 22 and sample standard deviation s = 2
  - how far is this sample mean from the hypothetical mean  $\mu = 20$ ?
    - sample mean has standard error  $s_{\bar{x}} = 2 / \sqrt{25} = 0.4$

$$t = (\bar{x} - \mu) / s_{\bar{x}} = (22 - 20) / 0.4 = 5$$

- is this sample mean (and its t statistic) consistent with the null hypothesis?
  - how large would the t statistic have to be in order to be in the outer 5% of the sampling distribution under the null hypothesis?
  - Appendix B: two-tailed, alpha = 0.05, df = 24: t = 2.064
  - we would need t < -2.064 or t > 2.064; and we do
- so we reject the null hypothesis; it is probably not true that mu = 20
- worked example with mean shoe size, pp. 38-39; modified for two-tailed t test
  - one-sample t test
  - null hypothesis  $H_0$ : population of shoe sizes is normally distributed with mean  $\mu = 9$
  - test this hypothesis in a two-tailed test with  $\alpha = 0.05$
  - sample: 30 shoe sizes; mean = 10, sd = 2
  - if mean is 9, and sd is 2, then sampling distribution of the mean is normal with  $\mu = 9$ ,  $s_{\bar{x}} = 2 / \sqrt{30} = 0.3651$
  - t value of sample mean: t = (10 9) / 0.3651 = 3.7390
  - appendix B: with  $\alpha$  = 0.05, two-tailed, df = 29, we need |t| > 2.045
  - so yes, we reject the null hypothesis at the p = 0.05 level
- how small should p be, before we reject the null hypothesis?
  - $\alpha$  level, e.g., p = 0.05
  - type I error rate; false alarm; increases with alpha level
  - type II error rate; miss; harder to estimate; depends on alternatives; decreases with alpha level