biomvRCNS: Copy Number study and Segmentation for multivariate biological data.

Yang Du*

February 3, 2014

Abstract

With high throughput experiments like tiling array and NGS, researchers are looking for continuous homogeneous segments or signal peaks, which would represent chromatin states, methylation ratio, transcripts or genome regions of deletion and amplification. While in a normal experimental set-up, these profiles would be generated for multiple samples or conditions with replicates. In the package biomvRCNS, a Hidden Semi Markov Model and one homogeneous segmentation model are implemented and tailored to handle multiple genomic profiles, with the aim of assisting in transcripts detection using high throughput technology like RNA-seq or tiling array, and copy number analysis using aCGH or targeted sequencing.

1 Introduction

To begin with biomvRCNS, load the package and read the manual page.

> library(biomvRCNS)

In the package, 3 main functions are provided for the batch processing of multiple chromosome regions across samples: biomvRhsmm, a hidden semi Markov model (HSMM); biomvRseg, a maximum likelihood based homogeneous segmentation model; and a third biomvRmgmr, custom batch function using max-gap-min-run algorithm. In the following sections we will illustrate their functionalities using example data. Currently the package does not deal with data correction, so input should be normalized by reference or paired sample and corrected for factor of interest before passing down.

2 Example of array CGH data set of Coriell cell lines

Extracted from packge *DNACopy* [Olshen et~al., 2004], the coriell data contains two aCGH studies (GM05296 and GM13330) of Corriel cell lines taken from Snijders et~al. [2001]. In particular, with 2271 mapped features in total across 22 autosomes and chromosome X.

All three main functions accept common data matrix plus positional information as input or a *GRanges* object with data matrix stored in the meta columns. To get started, we first build a *GRanges* object from *data.frame*.

```
> data('coriell', package='biomvRCNS')
```

> head(coriell, n=3)

^{*}email: duyang@fbn-dummerstorf.de

```
Clone Chromosome Position Coriell.05296 Coriell.13330
1 GS1-232B23
                   1
                          1
                                  0.000359
                                                0.207470
2 RP11-82d16
                    1
                          469
                                   0.008824
                                                0.063076
3 RP11-62m23
                    1
                         2242
                                  -0.000890
                                                0.123881
> xgr<-GRanges(seqnames=coriel1[,2],
         IRanges(start=coriell[,3], width=1, names=coriell[,1]))
> values(xgr)<-DataFrame(coriell[,4:5], row.names=NULL)
> xgr<-sort(xgr)</pre>
> head(xgr, n=3)
GRanges with 3 ranges and 2 metadata columns:
            seqnames
                         ranges strand | Coriell.05296 Coriell.13330
              <Rle>
                       <IRanges>
                                 <Rle> |
                                            <numeric>
                                                         <numeric>
 GS1-232B23
                  1 [
                                     * |
                                             0.000359
                                                           0.20747
                       1,
                             1]
 RP11-82d16
                  1 [ 469,
                           469]
                                                          0.063076
                                     * |
                                             0.008824
 RP11-62m23
                  1 [2242, 2242]
                                     * |
                                             -0.00089
                                                          0.123881
  seqlengths:
   1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23
```

Please be sure that the data is sorted with respect to their positions before feeding to the models.

2.1 Genomic segmentation with Hidden-semi Markov model

First we use the hidden-semi Markov model with the batch function biomvRhsmm, which will sequentially process each chromosome identified by the seqnames (using dummy name when no GRanges supplied in x or xRange), thus for non-continuous regions on the same chromosome user should give different seqnames to each part of the data. Within this package, there is one argument grp, for all main batch functions, which is used to assign data columns to groups according to the experimental design, say technical replicates or biological replicates. Sample columns within the same group could be treated simultaneously in the modelling process as well as iteratively. ¹ In this example, the two profiles are considered independent and not similar, thus been given different values in the grp vector. Additionally there is a built-in automatic grouping method, given a valid clustering method cluster.m and grp set to NULL. By default, all data columns are assumed to be from the same group.

 $^{^{1} \}text{Simultaneous treatment within group is currently available for } \textit{emis.type} \text{ equals 'mvnorm' or 'mvt' in } \textbf{biomvRhsmm}, \textit{poolGrp} = TRUE \text{ in biomvRmgmr} \text{ and } \textit{twoStep} = FALSE \text{ in biomvRseg}.$

```
<Rle>
                    <IRanges>
                               <Rle>
                                                <Rle> <Rle>
                                                                <Rle>
 [1]
            1 [
                    1, 108746]
                                        Coriell.05296
                                                            0.0091220
                                                          2
 [2]
            1 [112204, 218166]
                                       | Coriell.05296
                                                          2
                                                            0.0138270
 [3]
            1 [110293, 110293]
                                        Coriell.05296
                                                          1
                                                           -0.0791300
 [4]
            1 [220439, 240001]
                                        Coriell.05296
                                                          1 -0.0083905
 [5]
            1 [
                    1,
                       36207]
                                        Coriell.13330
                                                          3
                                                            0.0874010
 [98]
           22
              [
                20553,
                       33001]
                                        Coriell.13330
                                                          3
                                                             0.130433
             Γ
[99]
           23
                   1, 155001]
                                   *
                                        Coriel1.05296
                                                          3
                                                             0.676184
[100]
           23 [
                   1,
                       98906]
                                        Coriell.13330
                                                            -0.053510
[101]
           23 [125572, 155001]
                                       | Coriell.13330
                                                          2
                                                            -0.012260
[102]
           23 [103194, 122966]
                                       | Coriell.13330
                                                            -0.101480
seqlengths:
            5
              6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23
```

In the above run, we limit the model complexity by setting the maxbp to 1E5, which will restrict the maximum sojourn time to maxbp. J is the number of states in the HSMM model, this argument can be given explicitly or estimated from prior information provided in xAnno. Argument soj.type defines the type of sojourn distribution; with Gamma distributed sojourn, the neighbouring position will tend to have the same state, and transit to other states if far apart. In this way the sojourn distribution fully incorporate the positional information into the probabilistic framework.

Argument emis.type controls the distribution of emission probability, in this case the log2 ratio of aCGH data is considered to follow Normal distribution. The emission density could be estimated using all data or only data on the respective region or chromosome (identified by unique seqnames), controlling via com.emis. In this case, the ratios cross chromosomes are directly comparable, thus com.emis was set to true. The prior of the emission parameters could be controlled by supplying q.alpha and r.var with prior.m='quantile', or automatically determined through a clustering process with prior.m='cluster'.


The function will then call C codes and estimate the most likely state sequence, with either cMethod='F-B' or cMethod='Viterbi'. The F-B method (default) uses a forward-backward algorithm described in Guédon [2003], which gives a smooth state sequence, whereas the Viterbi algorithm with cMethod='Viterbi' will use the state profile estimated by the forward-backward algorithm and rebuild the most likely state sequence. The parameter maxit controls the maximum iteration of the EM algorithm. When assessing aCGH data, the quantile method should be able to give a good estimation of the emission desity priors, one can also adjust q.alpha and r.var for better control over the mean-variance relationships in extreme states. Since we are not training a prediction model, but trying to derive the most likely state sequence, one iteration of the EM procedure is sufficient.

The function returns an object of class biomvRCNS, in which the res slot is a GRanges object containing the summary of each estimated segments. There are three meta columns: column SAMPLE gives the column name of which sample this segment belongs to; column STATE, the estimated state for each segment, the lower state number represents state with lower mean value, thus in this example, a state of 1 could represent region of deletion and 3 for region of duplication, whereas state 2 could be considered copy neutral; column AVG, gives the segment average value, which could take the form of (trimmed) mean or median controlled by avg.m. The original input is also kept and returned in slot x with the estimated most likely state assignment and associated probability.

A plot method has been implemented for biomvRCNS object using package Gviz, by default the plot method tries to output graphics to multiple EPS/PDF files for each chromosome region and sample. Multiple samples could also be overlaid on the same image, by passing sampleInOne=TRUE in the plot method. Here we set tofile=FALSE to output graphics to the current device, and only show resulting graphics for chromosome 11 from sample Coriell.05296.

```
> obj<-biomvRGviz(exprgr=xgr[seqnames(xgr)=='11', 'Coriell.05296'],
+ seggr=rhsmm@res[mcols(rhsmm@res)[,'SAMPLE']=='Coriell.05296'], tofile=FALSE)</pre>
```

11:0-146000@Coriell.05296



2.2 Using other methods provided in the package

In this section, we use the other two batch functions to process the coriell data. First we use biomvRseg, in which a similar segmentation method like in the package tillingArray [Huber et~al., 2006] is implemented and extended to handle Poisson and Negative binomial distributed data. The function shares several argument with biomvRhsmm, like maxbp and grp. The maxseg gives the maximum number of segment per chromosome region, while the optimal number of segment per chromosome region is determined internally by assessing the likelihood with optional penalty terms, by default penalty='BIC' is used. Another option is to use modified Bayes information criterion penalty='mBIC' [Zhang and Siegmund, 2007], as in the CBS algorithm used in DNAcopy. The function proceed in the following manner: assuming within each group sample columns exhibit similar patterns, and thus be processed simultaneously in the first step. By maximizing the likelihood the optimal number of segments is selected for each group. And in a second step if twoStep=TRUE or merging is necessary, the candidate segments produced in the first step are merged with respect to each sample, thus forcing sample columns in the same group to have a more unified segmentation result yet keeping it possible to have sample specific pattern.

- > rseg<-biomvRseg(x=xgr, maxbp=4E4, maxseg=10, family='norm', grp=c(1,2))
- > head(rseg@res)

GRanges with 6 ranges and 3 metadata columns:

	seqnames				rang	es	stra	and	1			SAN	1PLE	3			AVG			STATE	3
	<rle></rle>			<	IRange	s>	<r.< td=""><td>le></td><td>1</td><td><</td><td>char</td><td>act</td><td>er></td><td>٠ ٠</td><td><nu< td=""><td>ner</td><td>ic></td><td><c]< td=""><td>nara</td><td>cter</td><td>></td></c]<></td></nu<></td></r.<>	le>	1	<	char	act	er>	٠ ٠	<nu< td=""><td>ner</td><td>ic></td><td><c]< td=""><td>nara</td><td>cter</td><td>></td></c]<></td></nu<>	ner	ic>	<c]< td=""><td>nara</td><td>cter</td><td>></td></c]<>	nara	cter	>
[1]	1	[1,	3500	1]		*	1	Cor	iell	.05	5296	3 (0.0	045	915			LOV	J
[2]	1	[3510	6,	3620	7]		*	1	Cor	iell	.05	5296	3 (0.1	335	200			HIGH	ł
[3]	1	[3711	7,	8583	3]		*	1	Cor	iell	.05	5296	3 (0.0	129	660			HIGH	ł
[4]	1	[8721	5,	8949	3]		*	1	Cor	iell	.05	5296	5 -(0.10	010	400			LOV	J
[5]	1	[9100	1,	13214	8]		*	1	Cor	iell	.05	5296	3 (0.0	066	565			LOV	J
[6]	1	[:	13217	1,	13694	2]		*	1	Cor	iell	.05	5296	3 (0.14	421	530			HIGH	ł
	_																				
sec	lengths:																				
1	2 3 4	į	5 6	7	8 9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23		

After the example run, the function returns a biomvRCNS object, containing similar information as the previous biomvRhsmm run, except that the STATE column now only have a binary state value of either "HIGH" or "LOW", which is simply graded as 'HIGH' if the segment average is higher than the grand average of the whole region, and 'LOW' otherwise.

It is also possible to use the simple max-gap-min-run algorithm to segment aCGH profiles, by calling biomvRmgmr. But due to the binary nature of the algorithm, one have to run twice in order to get both extremely high and low segments, then combine the resulting *GRanges* manually.

```
> rmgmrh<-biomvRmgmr(xgr, q=0.9, high=T, maxgap=1000, minrun=2500, grp=c(1,2))
> rmgmrl<-biomvRmgmr(xgr, q=0.1, high=F, maxgap=1000, minrun=2500, grp=c(1,2))
> res<-c(rmgmrh@res, rmgmrl@res)</pre>
```

3 Example of transcript detection with RNA-seq data from ENCODE

The data contains gene expressions and transcript annotations in the region of the human TP53 gene (chr17:7,560,001-7,610,000 from the Human February 2009 (GRCh37/hg19) genome assembly), which is part of the long RNA-seq data generated by ENCODE [Consortium, 2004] /Cold Spring Harbor Lab, containing 2 cell types (GM12878 and K562) with 2 replicates each. The libraries were sequenced on the Illumina GAIIx platform as paired-ends for 76 or 101 cycles for each read. The average depth of sequencing was ~200 million reads (100 million paired-ends). The data were mapped against hg19 using Spliced Transcript Alignment and Reconstruction (STAR).

To generate local read counts, alignment files were pulled from UCSC (http://hgdownload.cse.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeCshlLongRnaSeq/) using package Rsamtools. And subsequently reads were counted in each non-overlapping unit sized window for the region (chr17:7,560,001-7,610,000). In the pre-compiled data encodeTP53, a window size of 25bp was used with the chunk of code below.

```
+ mcols(cgr)<-DataFrame(mcols(cgr), DOC=countOverlaps(cgr, frdgr))
+ }</pre>
```

Alternatively one can also operate on base pair resolution, in which case a Rle object should be preferred to store the count data for lower memory footprint and better efficiency. Also to speed things up, one could set useMC=T to enable parallel processing of multiple seqnames, the number of parallel process could be set by options(mc.cores=n).

The pre-compiled data encodeTP53 also includes the regional annotation of TP53 RNAs isoforms, gmgr, which were derived from the ENCODE Gene Annotations (GENCODE), http://hgdownload.cse.ucsc.edu/goldenPath/hg19/encodeDCC/wgEncodeGencodeV4/wgEncodeGencodeManualV4.gtf.gz), and subset to only isoforms of TP53 gene and neighboring genes in the region. The annotation object gmgr could be rebuilt with the following lines using the included file under extdata. The additional file 'gmodTP53.gff' can also be directly imported as GRanges using rtracklayer.

We first load the encodeTP53 data, poll the read counts for each cell type and add 1 to the base count to increase stability.

For count data from sequencing, the emis.type could be set to either 'pois' or 'nbinom', though 'pois' is preferred for sharp boundary detection. For the sojourn settings, instead of using the uninformative flat prior, we here use estimates from other data source as a prior. We load the TxDb.Hsapiens.UCSC.hg19.knownGene known gene database, and pass the TranscriptDb object to xAnno. Then internally sojourn parameters and state number J will be estimated from xAnno by calling function sojournAnno. When given a TranscriptDb object to xAnno, state number would be set to 3 and each represents 'intergenic', 'intron' and 'exon'. One can also supply a named list object with initial values for parameters of distribution specified by soj.type. For emission, since the highly dispersed nature of count data, we set the prior for emission mean to be more extreme, with q.alpha=0.01.

```
> library(TxDb.Hsapiens.UCSC.hg19.knownGene)
> txdb<-TxDb.Hsapiens.UCSC.hg19.knownGene
> rhsmm<-biomvRhsmm(x=cgr, xAnno=txdb, maxbp=1E3, soj.type='gamma',
+ emis.type='pois', prior.m='quantile', q.alpha=0.01)</pre>
```

As in the ENCODE guide [Consortium, 2011], the study identified the p53 isoform observed in K562 cells has a longer 3'UTR than the isoform seen in the GM12878 cell line. So here we plot our model estimates and consider the third state, namely 'exon', to represent detected transcripts. And the HSMM model clearly picked up the extra transcripts of the K562 cell line at the 3'UTR.

> rhsmm@res[mcols(rhsmm@res)[,'STATE']=='exon']

```
GRanges with 52 ranges and 3 metadata columns:
```


```
seqnames
                                                 SAMPLE STATE
                                                                 AVG
                            ranges strand
        <Rle>
                         <IRanges>
                                     <Rle>
                                                  <Rle> <Rle>
 [1]
        chr17 [7571801, 7572125]
                                                Gm12878
                                                                 312
                                                          exon
        chr17 [7572251, 7572350]
 [2]
                                                Gm12878
                                                                  96
                                                          exon
        chr17 [7572426, 7572550]
                                                Gm12878
 [3]
                                                          exon
                                                                  61
        chr17 [7572601, 7572625]
 [4]
                                                Gm12878
                                                          exon
                                                                  60
        chr17 [7572851, 7573050]
 [5]
                                                Gm12878
                                                          exon
                                                                 127
 . . .
           . . .
                                                    . . .
                                                           . . .
                                                                  . . .
        chr17 [7588951, 7589400]
[48]
                                                                20.0
                                                   K562
                                                          exon
        chr17 [7589426, 7589525]
[49]
                                                   K562
                                                          exon
                                                                 6.0
        chr17 [7589676, 7589825]
[50]
                                                   K562
                                                          exon
                                                                 9.0
        chr17 [7590701, 7590800]
[51]
                                                   K562
                                                          exon
                                                                14.5
[52]
        chr17 [7592026, 7592050]
                                                   K562
                                                          exon
                                                                 6.0
seqlengths:
chr17
    NA
```

```
> g<-mcols(rhsmm@res)[,'STATE']=='exon' & mcols(rhsmm@res)[,'SAMPLE']=='Gm12878'
```

> obj<-biomvRGviz(exprgr=cgr[,'Gm12878'], gmgr=gmgr,</pre>

⁺ seggr=rhsmm@res[g], plotstrand='-', regionID='TP53', tofile=FALSE)

253@chr17:7560000-7610000@Gm128




> k<-mcols(rhsmm@res)[,'STATE']=='exon' & mcols(rhsmm@res)[,'SAMPLE']=='K562'</pre>

> obj<-biomvRGviz(exprgr=cgr[,'K562'], gmgr=gmgr,</pre>

⁺ seggr=rhsmm@res[k], plotstrand='-', regionID='TP53', tofile=FALSE)

TP53@chr17:7560000-7610000@K562



Now we can locate those novel detected fragments in K562 cell line comparing to the annotation and those detected in Gm12878 cell line. One can then follow up those findings either by gene structure prediction using local nucleotides composition or by experimental validation.

- > nK2gm<-findOverlaps(rhsmm@res[k], gmgr)@queryHits</pre>
- > nK2G<-findOverlaps(rhsmm@res[k], rhsmm@res[g])@queryHits</pre>
- > rhsmm@res[k][setdiff(seq_len(sum(k)), unique(c(nK2G, nK2gm)))]

GRanges with 19 ranges and 3 metadata columns:

SI	SAMPLE	- 1	strand	ranges		seqnames	
<f< td=""><td><rle></rle></td><td>- 1</td><td><rle></rle></td><td><pre><iranges></iranges></pre></td><td></td><td><rle></rle></td><td></td></f<>	<rle></rle>	- 1	<rle></rle>	<pre><iranges></iranges></pre>		<rle></rle>	
e	K562	- 1	-	7569225]	[7569151,	chr17	[1]
e	K562	- 1	-	7569925]	[7569651,	chr17	[2]
e	K562	- 1	-	7570550]	[7570301,	chr17	[3]
e	K562	- 1	-	7570850]	[7570751,	chr17	[4]
e	K562	- 1	-	7571000]	[7570901,	chr17	[5]
e	K562	- 1	-	7587225]	[7587201,	chr17	[15]
e	K562	- 1	-	7588850]	[7588826,	chr17	[16]
e	K562	- 1	-	7589525]	[7589426,	chr17	[17]
6	K562	- 1	_	7589825]	[7589676,	chr17	[18]

```
[19] chr17 [7592026, 7592050] - | K562 exon 6 --- seqlengths: chr17 NA
```

The other 2 batch functions could also be similarly applied here.

```
> rseg<-biomvRseg(x=cgr, maxbp=1E3, maxseg=20, family='pois')
> rmgmr<-biomvRmgmr(x=cgr, q=0.99, maxgap=50, minrun=100)</pre>
```

NA

NA

4 Example of differentially methylated region (DMR) detection

As an example, we include a toy dataset extracted from BiSeq [Hebestreit et~al., 2013], which is a small subset of a published study [Schoofs et~al., 2013], comprising intermediate differential methylation results prior to DMR detection. We first load the variosm data, the data contains a GRanges object variosm with two meta columns: 'meth.diff', methylation difference between the two sample groups; 'p.val', significance level from the Wald test. What we will show here latter could be applied on other pipelines as well, using similar data input.

```
> data(variosm)
> head(variosm, n=3)
GRanges with 3 ranges and 2 metadata columns:
      segnames
                                                                              p.val
                         ranges strand
                                                   meth.diff
         <Rle>
                      <IRanges>
                                  <Rle> |
                                                    <numeric>
                                                                          <numeric>
  [1]
          chr1 [872335, 872335]
                                      * | 0.0889114878437597
                                                               0.00113176519852419
  [2]
          chr1 [872369, 872369]
                                      * | 0.0970307417273086 0.000767802697850362
                                           0.096558901255241 0.000834745131903375
  [3]
          chr1 [872370, 872370]
  seqlengths:
   chr1 chr2
```

In the *BiSeq* work-flow, they use an approach similar to the max-gap-min-run algorithm to define the DMR boundaries, by prior filtering and comparing the differential test statistics with a user specified significance level in the candidate regions. The positional information of methylation sites is taking into account by locating and testing highly correlated cluster regions in the filtering process.

We now use the biomvRhsmm model to detect DMR, since there are mainly two types of measurement associated with differential methylation studies like we have here, one is the difference in the methylation ratio and the other one is the significance level from differential test. The methylation difference gives information about the directionality of the change as well as the size, and the significance level gives the confidence in claiming differential events.


So here we utilize both information for the DMR detection. We implicitly ask the model to give 3 states, since J is default to 3, in which case the three states may each represent hypomethylated regions, undefined null regions, and hypermethylated regions respectively when modelling meth.diff; While modelling significance level these states would represent highly confident regions, lowly confident regions or / and null results. For both scenarios, we are more interested in extreme states where we have consistent differences and low P-values. However the distribution of p.val and meth.diff are both highly asymmetric, we thus enable the cluster mode for emission prior initialization by setting prior.m='cluster'. And due to the non-uniformly located CpG sites, one may split inter-spreading long segments with parameter maxqap=100.

```
segnames
                       ranges strand |
                                                            meth.diff
                                              DMR
                                                                                      p.val
      <Rle>
                    <IRanges>
                              <Rle> | <integer>
                                                            <numeric>
                                                                                  <numeric>
[1]
        chr1 [875227, 875470]
                                   * |
                                                1
                                                    0.319474180010203 6.67719258580069e-06
[2]
        chr1 [876807, 876958]
                                   * |
                                                2 -0.0610821869609038
                                                                        0.0650032810337598
        chr1 [877684, 877738]
                                                3 -0.0612300842467785
[3]
                                   * |
                                                                          0.028446386208542
Γ41
        chr2 [ 46126, 46280]
                                                4 0.410085244128014 1.81853017591375e-07
                                   * |
        chr2 [ 46389, 46558]
[5]
                                   * |
                                                5
                                                    0.448231719164192 1.89081904128487e-06
seqlengths:
chr1 chr2
  NA
       NA
```

After the model fitting, by intersecting regions with extreme meth.diff and regions with low p.val, we can locate those detected DMRs, returned with their average meth.diff and p.val. Comparing to the regions detected in the BiSeq vignette, the two sets of regions are largely similar except for one region (chr1:872335,872386), which in our case the meth.diff has not been considered high enough. However it is worth mentioning that due to the filtering applied in their work-flow, they built wider regions out of a smaller set of more significant sites; while in our case, the regions are more refined and especially we identified two hypomethylated regions.

```
> s<-seqnames(rhsmm@res) == 'chr1'
> e<-seqnames(variosm) == 'chr1'
> obj<-biomvRGviz(exprgr=variosm[e], seggr=rhsmm@res[s], regionID='DMR', tofile=FALSE)</pre>
```

R@chr1:872000-878000@meth.diff&p



5 More

To be continued ...

6 Session information

> sessionInfo()

R Under development (unstable) (2014-02-03 r64919) Platform: x86_64-unknown-linux-gnu (64-bit)

locale:

[7] LC_PAPER=en_US.UTF-8 LC_NAME=C LC_ADDRESS=C

[10] LC_TELEPHONE=C LC_MEASUREMENT=en_US.UTF-8 LC_IDENTIFICATION=C

```
attached base packages:
[1] grid
              parallel stats
                                   graphics grDevices utils
                                                                  datasets methods
                                                                                      base
other attached packages:
 [1] cluster_1.14.4
                                               TxDb.Hsapiens.UCSC.hg19.knownGene_2.10.1
 [3] GenomicFeatures_1.15.6
                                               AnnotationDbi_1.25.9
 [5] Biobase_2.23.3
                                               XVector_0.3.6
                                               Gviz_1.7.4
 [7] biomvRCNS_1.3.2
                                               IRanges_1.21.24
 [9] GenomicRanges_1.15.26
[11] BiocGenerics_0.9.3
loaded via a namespace (and not attached):
                                                          BiocParallel_0.5.8
 [1] BatchJobs_1.1-1135
                               BBmisc_1.5
                               Biostrings_2.31.12
 [4] biomaRt_2.19.2
                                                          biovizBase_1.11.9
 [7] bitops_1.0-6
                               brew_1.0-6
                                                          BSgenome_1.31.11
[10] codetools_0.2-8
                                colorspace_1.2-4
                                                          DBI_0.2-7
                                                          fail_1.2
[13] dichromat_2.0-0
                                digest_0.6.4
[16] foreach_1.4.1
                               Formula_1.1-1
                                                          GenomicAlignments_0.99.19
[19] Hmisc_3.14-0
                                                          labeling_0.2
                                iterators_1.0.6
[22] lattice_0.20-24
                                latticeExtra_0.6-26
                                                          munsell_0.4.2
[25] mvtnorm_0.9-9997
                               plyr_1.8
                                                          RColorBrewer_1.0-5
[28] RCurl_1.95-4.1
                               Rsamtools_1.15.26
                                                          RSQLite_0.11.4
[31] rtracklayer_1.23.10
                                scales_0.2.3
                                                          sendmailR_1.1-2
                                stats4_3.1.0
[34] splines_3.1.0
                                                          stringr_0.6.2
[37] survival_2.37-7
                               tools_3.1.0
                                                          XML_3.98-1.1
[40] zlibbioc_1.9.0
```

References

The ENCODE Project Consortium. The ENCODE (ENCyclopedia of DNA elements) project. Science, 306(5696): 636–640, 2004.

The ENCODE Project Consortium. A User's Guide to the Encyclopedia of DNA Elements (ENCODE). *PLoS Biol*, 9(4):e1001046, 04 2011. doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1001046. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1371%2Fjournal.pbio.1001046.

Yann Guédon. Estimating Hidden Semi-Markov Chains from Discrete Sequences. *Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics*, 12(3):604–639, 2003. ISSN 10618600. doi: 10.2307/1391041. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/1391041.

Katja Hebestreit, Martin Dugas, and Hans-Ulrich Klein. Detection of Significantly Differentially Methylated Regions in Targeted Bisulfite Sequencing Data. *Bioinformatics*, 2013. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btt263. URL http://bioinformatics.oxfordjournals.org/content/early/2013/05/08/bioinformatics.btt263.abstract.

Wolfgang Huber, Joern Toedling, and Lars M. Steinmetz. Transcript mapping with high-density oligonucleotide tiling arrays. *Bioinformatics*, 22:1963–1970, 2006.

Adam^{*}B. Olshen, E. *S. Venkatraman, Robert Lucito, and Michael Wigler. Circular binary segmentation for the analysis of array-based DNA copy number data. *Biostatistics*, 5(4):557–572, 2004. doi: 10.1093/biostatistics/kxh008. URL http://biostatistics.oxfordjournals.org/content/5/4/557.abstract.

Till Schoofs, Christian Rohde, Katja Hebestreit, Hans-Ulrich Klein, Stefanie Göllner, Isabell Schulze, Mads Lerdrup, Nikolaj Dietrich, Shuchi Agrawal-Singh, Anika Witten, Monika Stoll, Eva Lengfelder, Wolf-Karsten Hofmann, Peter Schlenke, Thomas Büchner, Klaus Hansen, Wolfgang E. Berdel, Frank Rosenbauer, Martin Dugas, and Carsten Müller-Tidow. DNA methylation changes are a late event in acute promyelocytic leukemia and coincide with loss of transcription factor binding. *Blood*, 121(1):178–187, 2013. doi: 10.1182/blood-2012-08-448860. URL http://bloodjournal.hematologylibrary.org/content/121/1/178.abstract.

Antoine M Snijders, Norma Nowak, Richard Segraves, Stephanie Blackwood, Nils Brown, Jeffrey Conroy, Greg Hamilton, Anna Katherine Hindle, Bing Huey, Karen Kimura, et al. Assembly of microarrays for genome-wide measurement of DNA copy number. *Nature genetics*, 29:263–264, 2001.

Nancy R. Zhang and David O. Siegmund. A Modified Bayes Information Criterion with Applications to the Analysis of Comparative Genomic Hybridization Data. *Biometrics*, 63(1):22–32, 2007. ISSN 1541-0420. doi: 10.1111/j.1541-0420.2006.00662.x. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1541-0420.2006.00662.x.