## coin: A computational framework for conditional inference

Torsten Hothorn<sup>1</sup>, Kurt Hornik<sup>2</sup>, Mark van de Wiel<sup>3</sup> and Achim Zeileis<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institut für Medizininformatik, Biometrie und Epidemiologie Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg Waldstraße 6, D-91054 Erlangen, Germany Torsten.Hothorn@R-project.org

<sup>2</sup>Institut für Statistik und Mathematik, Wirtschaftsuniversität Wien Augasse 2-6, A-1090 Wien, Austria Kurt.Hornik@R-project.org
Achim.Zeileis@R-project.org

<sup>3</sup> Department of Mathematics and Computer Science Eindhoven University of Technology HG 9.25, P.O. Box 513 5600 MB Eindhoven, The Netherlands markvdw@win.tue.nl

## 1 Introduction

## 2 Permutation Tests

$$(\mathbf{Y}_i, \mathbf{X}_i, w_i, b_i), i = 1, \ldots, n$$

$$\mathbf{T} = \operatorname{vec}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} g(\mathbf{X}_{i}) h(\mathbf{Y}_{i}, (\mathbf{Y}_{1}, \dots, \mathbf{Y}_{n}))^{\top}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{p} q$$
(1)

The conditional expectation  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^{pq}$  and covariance  $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{pq \times pq}$  of **T** under

 $H_0$  given all permutations  $\sigma \in S$  of the responses are derived by ?:

$$\mu = \mathbb{E}(\mathbf{T}|S) = \operatorname{vec}\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}g(\mathbf{X}_{i})\right) \mathbb{E}(h|S)^{\top}\right),$$

$$\Sigma = \mathbb{V}(\mathbf{T}|S)$$

$$= \frac{\mathbf{w}.}{\mathbf{w}. - 1} \mathbb{V}(h|S) \otimes \left(\sum_{i} w_{i}g(\mathbf{X}_{i}) \otimes w_{i}g(\mathbf{X}_{i})^{\top}\right)$$

$$- \frac{1}{\mathbf{w}. - 1} \mathbb{V}(h|S) \otimes \left(\sum_{i} w_{i}g(\mathbf{X}_{i})\right) \otimes \left(\sum_{i} w_{i}g(\mathbf{X}_{i})\right)^{\top}$$
(2)

where  $\mathbf{w}_{\cdot} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i$  denotes the sum of the case weights, and  $\otimes$  is the Kronecker product. The conditional expectation of the influence function is

$$\mathbb{E}(h|S) = \mathbf{w}_{\cdot}^{-1} \sum_{i} w_{i} h(\mathbf{Y}_{i}, (\mathbf{Y}_{1}, \dots, \mathbf{Y}_{n})) \in \mathbb{R}^{q}$$

with corresponding  $q \times q$  covariance matrix

$$\mathbb{V}(h|S) = \mathbf{w}_{\cdot}^{-1} \sum_{i} w_{i} \left( h(\mathbf{Y}_{i}, (\mathbf{Y}_{1}, \dots, \mathbf{Y}_{n})) - \mathbb{E}(h|) \right)$$
$$\left( h(\mathbf{Y}_{i}, (\mathbf{Y}_{1}, \dots, \mathbf{Y}_{n})) - \mathbb{E}(h|S) \right)^{\top}.$$

Having the conditional expectation and covariance at hand we are able to standardize a linear statistic  $\mathbf{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{pq}$  of the form (1). Univariate test statistics c mapping an observed linear statistic  $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^{pq}$  into the real line can be of arbitrary form. An obvious choice is the maximum of the absolute values of the standardized linear statistic

$$c_{\max}(\mathbf{t}, \mu, \Sigma) = \max \left| \frac{\mathbf{t} - \mu}{\operatorname{diag}(\Sigma)^{1/2}} \right|$$

utilizing the conditional expectation  $\mu$  and covariance matrix  $\Sigma$ . The application of a quadratic form  $c_{\text{quad}}(\mathbf{t}, \mu, \Sigma) = (\mathbf{t} - \mu)\Sigma^+(\mathbf{t} - \mu)^\top$  is one alternative, although computationally more expensive because the Moore-Penrose inverse  $\Sigma^+$  of  $\Sigma$  is involved.

The conditional distribution and thus the P-value of the statistics  $c(\mathbf{t}, \mu, \Sigma)$  can be computed in several different ways. For some special forms of the linear statistic, the exact distribution of the test statistic is trackable. Conditional Monte-Carlo procedures can be used to approximate the exact distribution. ? proved (Theorem 2.3) that the conditional distribution of linear statistics  $\mathbf{T}$  with conditional expectation  $\mu$  and covariance  $\Sigma$  tends to a multivariate normal distribution with parameters  $\mu$  and  $\Sigma$  as  $n,s\to\infty$ . Thus, the asymptotic conditional distribution of test statistics of the form  $c_{\max}$  is normal and can be computed directly in the univariate case (pq=1) or approximated by means of quasi-randomized Monte-Carlo procedures in the multivariate setting (?). For quadratic forms  $c_{\text{quad}}$  which follow a  $\chi^2$  distribution with degrees of freedom given by the rank of  $\Sigma$  (Theorem 6.20, ?), exact probabilities can be computed efficiently.

## 3 Examples

**Independent** K-Sample Problems Y is univariate numeric (or censored) and X a factor at K levels. g is the dummy matrix and h by be arbitrary.

```
R> library(coin)
Loading required package: survival
Loading required package: splines
Loading required package: mvtnorm
R> YOY <- data.frame(length = c(46, 28, 46, 37, 32, 41, 42, 45,
      38, 44, 42, 60, 32, 42, 45, 58, 27, 51, 42, 52, 38, 33, 26,
      25, 28, 28, 26, 27, 27, 27, 31, 30, 27, 29, 30, 25, 25, 24,
      27, 30), site = factor(c(rep("I", 10), rep("II", 10), rep("III",
      10), rep("IV", 10))))
R> kruskal_test(length ~ site, data = YOY)
        Asymptotical Kruskal-Wallis Test
data: length by groups I, II, III, IV
T = 22.8524, df = 3, p-value = 4.335e-05
R> it <- independence_test(length ~ site, data = YOY, ytrafo = function(data) trafo(data,
      numeric_trafo = rank), teststat = "quadtype")
R> statistic(it, "linear")
    [,1]
Ι
     278
ΙΙ
     307
III 119
ΙV
     116
R> expectation(it)
    [,1]
     205
Ι
     205
ΙI
III
     205
ΙV
     205
R> covariance(it)
          [,1]
                    [,2]
                               [,3]
                                         [,4]
[1,] 1019.0385 -339.6795 -339.6795 -339.6795
[2,] -339.6795 1019.0385 -339.6795 -339.6795
[3,] -339.6795 -339.6795 1019.0385 -339.6795
[4,] -339.6795 -339.6795 -339.6795 1019.0385
```

```
R> statistic(it, "standardized")
```

[,1]

I 2.286797

II 3.195250

III -2.694035

IV -2.788013

R> statistic(it)

[1] 22.85242

R> pvalue(it)

[1] 4.334659e-05

Independence in Contingency Tables

Ordered Alternatives

Multivariate Problems