Tutorial for the dcglm package

Péter Sólymos

University of Alberta

Abstract

This tutorial package to demonstrates the capabilities of data cloning algorithm via the infrastructure provided by the **dclone** package. The functions developed here reproduce main features of the glm base function in R by using data cloning.

Keywords: Bayesian statistics, data cloning, maximum likelihood inference, generalized linear models, R.

1. Introduction

Data cloning is a statistical computing method introduced by Lele *et al.* (2007). It exploits the computational simplicity of the Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) algorithms used in the Bayesian statistical framework, but it provides valid frequentist inferences such as the maximum likelihood estimates and their standard errors for complex hierarchical models. The use of the data cloning algorithm is especially straightforward for complex models, where the number of unknowns increases with sample size (i.e. mixed models), because inference and prediction procedures are often hard to implement in such situations.

The **dclone** R package (Sólymos 2009) aims to provide low level functionality to easily implement more specific higher level procedures based on data cloning for users familiar with the Bayesian methodology. This tutorial, we develop high level functions to reproduce the glm base function of R by using the data cloning algorithm building on the infrastructure of the **dclone** package.

2. Data generation

We generate random data for Poisson and Binomal GLMs. First we define the number of locations (n) and the independent covariate (x). X represents the design matrix:

Parameters (beta1), linear predictor (mu1) and random response (Y1) for the Poisson case (log link function):

```
R> beta1 <- c(2, -1)
R> mu1 <- X %*% beta1
R> Y1 <- rpois(n, exp(mu1))
```

Parameters (beta2), linear predictor (mu2) and random response (Y2) for the Binomial (Bernoulli) case (logistic link function):

```
R> beta2 <- c(0, -1)
R> mu2 <- X %*% beta2
R> Y2 <- rbinom(n, 1, exp(mu2) / (1 + exp(mu2)))
```

3. GLM based on the glm function

Now we fit the Poisson and Binomail GLM by using the glm base function and inspect their summaries:

```
R> m1 <- glm(Y1 ~ x, family=poisson)</pre>
R> summary(m1)
Call:
glm(formula = Y1 ~ x, family = poisson)
Deviance Residuals:
   Min 1Q Median
                              3Q
                                      Max
-1.6336 -0.8138 -0.2134
                         0.5154
                                    2.0309
Coefficients:
           Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
(Intercept) 1.94162 0.09482 20.478 < 2e-16 ***
           -1.27648
                       0.16017 -7.969 1.60e-15 ***
X
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
(Dispersion parameter for poisson family taken to be 1)
   Null deviance: 90.897 on 19 degrees of freedom
Residual deviance: 19.060 on 18 degrees of freedom
AIC: 99.543
Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
R > m2 <- glm(Y2 ~ x, family=binomial)
R> summary(m2)
glm(formula = Y2 ~ x, family = binomial)
Deviance Residuals:
                           3Q
   Min
        1Q Median
                                      Max
```

```
-1.7850 -0.8787 -0.5794
                           1.0077
                                    1.5424
Coefficients:
           Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
(Intercept) -0.3388 0.5021 -0.675
                                         0.500
            -1.7377
                        1.0186 -1.706
                                         0.088 .
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
(Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
    Null deviance: 27.526 on 19 degrees of freedom
Residual deviance: 24.097 on 18 degrees of freedom
AIC: 28.097
Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
```

4. The full Bayesian model for GLM and data cloning

Here is the JAGS model for the Poisson case, with flat Normal priors for the regression coefficients:

The data will be represented as a list (if we want to use data cloning consistently, we have to use the list format instead of the global environment):

```
R> dat1 <- list(n = length(Y1), Y = Y1, X = X, np = ncol(X))
R> str(dat1)

List of 4
$ n : int 20
$ Y : num [1:20] 14 3 2 4 5 6 25 22 3 9 ...
$ X : num [1:20, 1:2] 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 ...
..- attr(*, "dimnames")=List of 2
....$ : chr [1:20] "1" "2" "3" "4" ...
....$ : chr [1:2] "(Intercept)" "x"
..- attr(*, "assign")= int [1:2] 0 1
$ np: int 2
```

Now let's clone the data set (note that n should be multiplied, while np must remain unchanged):

```
R> n.clones <- 5
R> dcdat1 <- dclone(dat1, n.clones, multiply = "n", unchanged = "np")
R> str(dcdat1)
List of 4
 $ n : atomic [1:1] 100
  ..- attr(*, "n.clones") = atomic [1:1] 5
  .. ..- attr(*, "method")= chr "multi"
 $ Y : atomic [1:100] 14 3 2 4 5 6 25 22 3 9 ...
  ..- attr(*, "n.clones")= atomic [1:1] 5
  .. ..- attr(*, "method")= chr "rep"
 $ X : num [1:100, 1:2] 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 ...
  ..- attr(*, "dimnames")=List of 2
  ....$ : chr [1:100] "1_1" "2_1" "3_1" "4_1" ...
  .. ..$ : chr [1:2] "(Intercept)" "x"
  ..- attr(*, "n.clones")= atomic [1:1] 5
  .. ..- attr(*, "method")= chr "rep"
 $ np: int 2
To fit the model to the data with data cloning is as easy as this:
R> mod1 <- jags.fit(dcdat1, "beta", glm.pois, n.iter = 1000)
R> summary(mod1)
Iterations = 1001:2000
Thinning interval = 1
Number of chains = 3
Sample size per chain = 1000
Number of clones = 5
1. Empirical mean and standard deviation for each variable,
   plus standard error of the mean:
                       DC SD Naive SE Time-series SE R hat
beta[1] 1.940 0.04385 0.09804 0.0008005
                                              0.001800 1.005
beta[2] -1.276 0.07336 0.16403 0.0013393
                                               0.002854 1.005
2. Quantiles for each variable:
          2.5%
                  25%
                         50%
                                75% 97.5%
beta[1] 1.852 1.912 1.942 1.970 2.023
beta[2] -1.423 -1.325 -1.274 -1.228 -1.133
Let's compare this mcmc.list (more accurately an mcmc.list.dc) object with the glm results:
R> cbind(true.values=beta1,
        glm.estimates=coef(m1), glm.se=summary(m1)$coefficients[,2],
        dc.estimates=coef(mod1), dc.se=dcsd(mod1))
            true.values glm.estimates
                                          glm.se dc.estimates
                     2
                            1.941624 0.09481704
(Intercept)
                                                    1.940468 0.09804054
                            -1.276479 0.16017431
                     -1
                                                   -1.275982 0.16403481
```

Here is the JAGS model for the Binomial (Bernoulli, because only one trial) case:

```
R> glm.bin <- function() {</pre>
        for (i in 1:n) {
            Y[i] ~ dbin(p[i], k)
            logit(p[i]) <- inprod(X[i,], beta[1,])</pre>
        for (j in 1:np) {
            beta[1,j] ~ dnorm(0, 0.001)
    }
Putting together the data set is similar to the Poisson case:
R > dat2 < -list(n = length(Y2), Y = Y2, k = 1, X = X, np = ncol(X))
R> str(dat2)
List of 5
 $ n : int 20
 $ Y : num [1:20] 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 ...
 $ k : num 1
 $ X : num [1:20, 1:2] 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 ...
  ..- attr(*, "dimnames")=List of 2
  .. ..$ : chr [1:20] "1" "2" "3" "4" ...
  .. ..$ : chr [1:2] "(Intercept)" "x"
  ..- attr(*, "assign")= int [1:2] 0 1
 $ np: int 2
but data cloning setup is a bit different. We don't have to repeat the data vectors or columns
in the design matrix {\tt n.clones} times, because there is an easier way. We multiply Y and k
with n.clones and leave the other elements unchanged:
\mathbb{R} dcdat2 <- dclone(dat2, n.clones, multiply = c("Y","k"), unchanged = c("n", "np", "X"))
R> str(dcdat2)
List of 5
 $ n : int 20
 $ Y : atomic [1:20] 5 0 0 5 0 5 0 5 0 0 ...
  ..- attr(*, "n.clones")= atomic [1:1] 5
  .. ..- attr(*, "method")= chr "multi"
 $ k : atomic [1:1] 5
  ..- attr(*, "n.clones")= atomic [1:1] 5
  .. ..- attr(*, "method")= chr "multi"
 $ X : num [1:20, 1:2] 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 ...
  ..- attr(*, "dimnames")=List of 2
  .. ..$ : chr [1:20] "1" "2" "3" "4" ...
  .. ..$ : chr [1:2] "(Intercept)" "x"
  ..- attr(*, "assign")= int [1:2] 0 1
 $ np: int 2
Now fit the model to the data with data cloning:
R> mod2 <- jags.fit(dcdat2, "beta", glm.bin, n.iter = 1000)
R> summary(mod2)
```

```
Iterations = 1001:2000
Thinning interval = 1
Number of chains = 3
Sample size per chain = 1000
Number of clones = 5
1. Empirical mean and standard deviation for each variable,
   plus standard error of the mean:
                    SD DC SD Naive SE Time-series SE R hat
beta[1] -0.3528 0.2287 0.5114 0.004176
                                             0.005744 1.0004
beta[2] -1.7878 0.4631 1.0355 0.008455
                                             0.011879 0.9998
2. Quantiles for each variable:
           2.5%
                    25%
                                    75%
                            50%
                                           97.5%
beta[1] -0.8183 -0.5061 -0.3491 -0.1949 0.08165
beta[2] -2.7756 -2.0775 -1.7726 -1.4760 -0.92991
and compare results with glm results:
R> cbind(true.values=beta2,
        glm.estimates=coef(m2), glm.se=summary(m2)$coefficients[,2],
        dc.estimates=coef(mod2), dc.se=dcsd(mod2))
                                         glm.se dc.estimates
            true.values glm.estimates
(Intercept)
                      0
                           -0.3388286 0.5020926 -0.3527595 0.5114491
                           -1.7377202 1.0185540
                     -1
                                                  -1.7878365 1.0355356
```

5. The 'custommodel' function

The custommodel function enables us to reuse the same JAGS model with minor modifications. For example we combine the above Poisson and Binomial model into one.

If we want to use this in the jags.fit function, it would give the error message about the attempt to define the nodes more than onece. To avoid this, we tell the function which line(s) should be excluded:

```
R> custommodel(glm.model, c(4,6))
```

```
[1] "model {"
[2] "
         for (i in 1:n) {"
[3] "
              Y[i] ~ dpois(lambda[i])"
[4] "
              log(lambda[i]) <- inprod(X[i,], beta[1,])"</pre>
[5] "
[6] "
         for (j in 1:np) {"
[7] "
              beta[1,j] ~ dnorm(0, 0.001)"
[8] "
[9] "}"
attr(,"class")
[1] "custommodel"
R > custommodel(glm.model, c(3,5))
[1] "model {"
[2] "
         for (i in 1:n) {"
[3] "
              Y[i] ~ dbin(p[i], k)"
[4] "
             logit(p[i]) <- inprod(X[i,], beta[1,])"</pre>
[5] "
[6] "
         for (j in 1:np) {"
[7] "
             beta[1,j] ~ dnorm(0, 0.001)"
[8] "
[9] "}"
attr(,"class")
[1] "custommodel"
```

so eventually we get back our original models. But these are not functions, but character vectors of the class 'custommodel'. jags.fit will recognize this.

Why do we want to complicate our lives with the custommodel? Because dpois, dbin, and inprod are not recognised as valid R objects or functions. This is, however, a requisite of building a valid R package that that passes R CMD check. A way to overcome this is to define fake objects as e.g. inprod <- function() NULL, but this option should be regaded as ugly and inefficient (unnecessary) as compared to a clean custommodel approach that will be presented in the next section.

6. The main function dcglm

Here is our main function for the data cloning based estimating procedure for the Poisson and Binomial GLMs:

```
for (j in 1:np) {",
                               beta[1,j] ~ dnorm(0, 0.001)",
                     "7")
    family <- match.arg(family)</pre>
    lhs <- formula[[2]]</pre>
    formula.orig <- formula</pre>
    Y <- eval(lhs, data)
    formula[[2]] <- NULL</pre>
    rhs <- model.frame(formula, data)</pre>
    X <- model.matrix(attr(rhs, "terms"), rhs)</pre>
    if (family == "poisson") {
        dat \leftarrow list(n = length(Y), Y = Y, X = X, np = ncol(X))
        dcdat <- dclone(dat, n.clones, multiply = "n", unchanged = "np")</pre>
        model <- dclone:::custommodel(glm.model, c(4,6))</pre>
    } else {
        dat \leftarrow list(n = length(Y), Y = Y, X = X, np = ncol(X), k = 1)
        dcdat \leftarrow dclone(dat, n.clones, multiply = c("Y", "k"), unchanged = c("n", "np", "X"))
        model <- dclone:::custommodel(glm.model, c(3,5))</pre>
    }
    mod <- jags.fit(dcdat, "beta", model, ...)</pre>
    COEF <- coef(mod)
    SE <- dcsd(mod)
    names(COEF) <- names(SE) <- colnames(X)</pre>
    mu <- X %*% COEF
    if (family == "poisson") {
        fitval <- drop(exp(mu))</pre>
        11 <- sum(dpois(Y, fitval, log=TRUE))</pre>
    } else {
        fitval <- drop(exp(mu) / (1 + exp(mu)))</pre>
        11 <- sum(dbinom(Y, 1, fitval, log=TRUE))</pre>
    rval <- list(call=match.call(),</pre>
        mcmc = mod,
        y = Y,
        x = rhs,
        model = X,
        fitted.values = fitval,
        linear.predictors = mu,
        formula = formula.orig,
        coefficients = COEF,
        std.error = SE,
        loglik = 11,
        family = family,
        df.residual = length(Y) - length(COEF),
        df.null = length(Y) - 1)
    class(rval) <- c("dcglm")</pre>
    rval
}
```

Let'g go through this function step-by-step as pseudo-code:

1. glm.model is the custommodel version of the BUGS model, unifying the Poisson and

Binomial cases, as we have seen before.

- 2. The family argument is recognized, and as a result, it can be given not only in full (e.g. family = "p" is equivalent of family = "poisson").
- 3. 1hs is the left-hand-side of the formula, Y is the value as a result of evaluating 1hs in data (that is the parent frame, which is usually the global environment if not called from inside of a function).
- 4. formula[[2]] <- NULL removes the left-hand-side from the formula (that's why we keep a copy of it named as formula.orig).
- 5. rhs is the right-hand-side, that is a model frame with variables defined in data.
- 6. The design matrix X is a result of using the "terms" attribute of rhs and evaluated in rhs.
- 7. The the Bayesian (dat) and data cloned (dcdat) data representation, and model depends on the family argument.
- 8. mod is the fitted mcmc.list object. Dots (...) represents all the additional arguments that can be passed, including n.update, n.iter, and n.chains.
- 9. COEF is the coef method evaluated on the mcmc.list object mod. SD is the data cloned standard error (scaled by \sqrt{k}). Names of COEF and SD follow column names of X.
- 10. mu is the linear predictor (on log/logit scale), while fitval is the fitted value (response scale after using the appropriate inverse link function) and 11 is the log-likelihood calculated from the probability mass function.
- 11. rval is the return value, that is a list with elements commonly applied in objects representing model fit (cf. for example element names with names (m1)):

call the function call,

mcmc the fitted mcmc.list object,

y the response,

 \mathbf{x} the model frame (right-hand-side),

model the design matrix,

fitted.values fitted values,

linear.predictors linear predictors,

formula the formula argument of the call,

coefficients means of the joint posterior distribution (maximum likelihood estimates), std.error standard errors of the MLE,

loglik log-likelihood,

family family argument of the call,

df.residual residual degrees of freedom,

df.null degrees of freedom in the null model.

12. Finally, we attach the class attribute and return rval.

Fun, isn't it? See if it is actually working:

```
R> dcm1 <- dcglm(Y1 ~ x, n.iter = 1000)
R> dcm2 <- dcglm(Y2 ~ x, family = "binomial", n.iter = 1000)
```

If we are about to inspect these objects, well, it is a mess without some additional helper functions. The most basic such functions (called methods in R jargon) are print and summary. For our convenience, we also define some other methods, too. These are based on the so called S3 method dispatch system. That is, if a generic function is defined, we can add class specific methods to it.

In our case, the most simple methods are the coef and fitted, because these only extract an element from the objects¹:

```
R> coef.dcglm <- function(object, ...) object$coefficients
R> fitted.dcglm <- function(object, ...) object$fitted.values</pre>
```

Compare with the glm results:

```
R> rbind(glm=coef(m1), dcglm=coef(dcm1))
```

```
(Intercept) x
glm 1.941624 -1.276479
dcglm 1.939610 -1.278539
```

R> rbind(glm=coef(m2), dcglm=coef(dcm2))

```
glm -0.3388286 -1.737720
dcglm -0.3485690 -1.801005
```

R> rbind(glm=fitted(m1), dcglm=fitted(dcm1))

```
2
                               3
                                                                     7
      18.68691 5.100808 5.273269 5.086763 2.773811 4.871574 24.38239
glm
dcglm 18.67900 5.087980 5.260289 5.073948 2.764118 4.858962 24.38253
             8
                      9
                               10
                                        11
                                                 12
                                                          13
      13.79645 4.561353 6.721032 4.252018 6.214003 12.13746 2.364617
dcglm 13.78387 4.549061 6.707113 4.240080 6.200350 12.12388 2.355747
                     16
                               17
                                        18
      11.84414 2.946219 12.02980 12.64069 15.50880 13.80789
dcglm 11.83042 2.936208 12.01617 12.62738 15.49758 13.79531
```

R> rbind(glm=fitted(m2), dcglm=fitted(dcm2))

```
1 2 3 4 5 6 7
glm 0.7317898 0.3178060 0.3276999 0.3169928 0.1689386 0.3043920 0.7967153
dcglm 0.7394043 0.3123635 0.3225281 0.3115285 0.1612997 0.2986009 0.8050611
8 9 10 11 12 13 14
```

¹Note that these are identical to the coef.default and fitted.default functions, but shown here for didactic purposes.

```
0.6435203 0.2857649 0.4041127 0.2666583 0.3786844 0.6025899 0.1405842
dcglm 0.6490319 0.2795280 0.4013359 0.2600158 0.3750602 0.6068348 0.1331049
             15
                       16
                                  17
                                             18
                                                       19
      0.5945881 0.1807789 0.5996819 0.6157557 0.6791673 0.6437790
dcglm 0.5985702 0.1731434 0.6038318 0.6204232 0.6856496 0.6492982
For the logLik method, it is necessary to follow the standard rules, because AIC calculations
depend on this method (this means, that we don't have to define a method for AIC if the
logLik method exists for a class):
R> logLik.dcglm <- function (object, ...)</pre>
        structure(object$loglik,
            df = object$df.null + 1 - object$df.residual,
            nobs = object$df.null + 1,
            class = "logLik")
Compare with the glm results:
R> logLik(m1)
'log Lik.' -47.77174 (df=2)
R> logLik(dcm1)
'log Lik.' -47.77196 (df=2)
R> logLik(m2)
'log Lik.' -12.04875 (df=2)
R> logLik(dcm2)
'log Lik.' -12.05068 (df=2)
R > AIC(m1, dcm1, m2, dcm2)
     df
             AIC
m1
      2 99.54347
dcm1 2 99.54392
      2 28.09749
dcm2 2 28.10137
Now it is possible to write the print method:
R> print.dcglm <- function(x, digits = max(3, getOption("digits") - 3), ...) {</pre>
        cat("\nCall: ", departs(x$call), "\n')
        cat("Coefficients:\n")
```

print.default(format(x\$coefficients, digits = digits), print.gap = 2, quote = FALSE)

cat("Log Likelihood:\t ", format(signif(x\$loglik, digits)), "\n")

 $cat("\nDegrees of Freedom:", x$df.null, "Total (i.e. Null); ", x$df.residual, "Residual\n", x$df.null, "Total (i.e. Null); ", x$df.n$

Let's have a look at the resulting objects of our dcglm function:

invisible(x)

}

Well done so far!

```
R> dcm1
Call: dcglm(formula = Y1 ~ x, n.iter = 1000)
Coefficients:
(Intercept)
     1.940
                 -1.279
Degrees of Freedom: 19 Total (i.e. Null); 18 Residual
Log Likelihood:
                          -47.77
R> dcm2
Call: dcglm(formula = Y2 ~ x, family = "binomial", n.iter = 1000)
Coefficients:
(Intercept)
   -0.3486
              -1.8010
Degrees of Freedom: 19 Total (i.e. Null); 18 Residual
Log Likelihood:
                          -12.05
```

7. Methods for inference

The summary method returns the ML estimates, data cloning standard errors, and Wald-type z statistics and p-values:

The return value here is also a list, repeating some of the elements of the fitted object. To appropriately format the summary, we use the print method for the object class 'summary.dcglm':

```
R> print.summary.dcglm <-
+ function (x, digits = max(3, getOption("digits") - 3),
+ signif.stars = getOption("show.signif.stars"), ...)
+ {
+ cat("\nCall:\n")</pre>
```

```
cat(paste(deparse(x$call), sep = "\n", collapse = "\n"), "\n", sep = "")
       cat("\nCoefficients:\n")
       printCoefmat(x$coefficients, digits = digits,
           signif.stars = signif.stars, na.print = "NA", ...)
       cat("\nDegrees of Freedom:", x$df.null, "Total (i.e. Null); ",
           x$df.residual, "Residual\n")
       cat("Log Likelihood:\t ", format(signif(x$loglik, digits)), "\n")
       invisible(x)
   }
Summaries of the glm() results and our models:
R> summary(m1)
Call:
glm(formula = Y1 ~ x, family = poisson)
Deviance Residuals:
                Median
            1Q
                              3Q
                                      Max
-1.6336 -0.8138 -0.2134 0.5154
                                   2.0309
Coefficients:
          Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
(Intercept) 1.94162 0.09482 20.478 < 2e-16 ***
          X
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
(Dispersion parameter for poisson family taken to be 1)
   Null deviance: 90.897 on 19 degrees of freedom
Residual deviance: 19.060 on 18 degrees of freedom
AIC: 99.543
Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
R> summary(dcm1)
Call:
dcglm(formula = Y1 ~ x, n.iter = 1000)
Coefficients:
           Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
(Intercept) 1.93961 0.09578 20.251 < 2e-16 ***
           -1.27854
                      0.16201 -7.892 2.98e-15 ***
х
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
Degrees of Freedom: 19 Total (i.e. Null); 18 Residual
Log Likelihood:
                         -47.77
R> summary(m2)
```

```
Call:
glm(formula = Y2 ~ x, family = binomial)
Deviance Residuals:
    Min
         1Q Median
                                3Q
                                        Max
-1.7850 -0.8787 -0.5794
                          1.0077
                                     1.5424
Coefficients:
            Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
(Intercept) -0.3388
                       0.5021 -0.675
                                           0.500
            -1.7377
                         1.0186 -1.706
                                           0.088 .
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
(Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
    Null deviance: 27.526 on 19 degrees of freedom
Residual deviance: 24.097 on 18 degrees of freedom
AIC: 28.097
Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
R> summary(dcm2)
Call:
dcglm(formula = Y2 ~ x, family = "binomial", n.iter = 1000)
Coefficients:
           Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
(Intercept) -0.3486 0.5160 -0.675 0.4994
            -1.8010
                         1.0362 -1.738 0.0822 .
х
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
Degrees of Freedom: 19 Total (i.e. Null); 18 Residual
Log Likelihood:
                           -12.05
Piece of cake!
For the confint, we use the asymptotic normality result of the data cloning theory (Lele
et al. 2007), and the confint method defined for the data cloned mcmc.list part of the
fitted model object:
R> confint.dcglm <- function(object, parm, level = 0.95, ...) {</pre>
        rval <- confint(object$mcmc, parm, level, ...)</pre>
        rownames(rval) <- names(coef(object))</pre>
        rval
    }
The 95% confidence intervals for the model estimates are:
R> confint(m1)
                2.5 %
                         97.5 %
(Intercept) 1.748410 2.120651
           -1.596540 -0.968007
```

```
R> confint(dcm1)

2.5 % 97.5 %

(Intercept) 1.751886 2.1273333

x -1.596064 -0.9610133

R> confint(m2)

2.5 % 97.5 %

(Intercept) -1.404579 0.62478977

x -4.014429 0.09589234

R> confint(dcm2)

2.5 % 97.5 %

(Intercept) -1.359989 0.6628509

x -3.831866 0.2298568
```

Differences are due to the fact, that confint for glm uses profile likelihood, while dcglm confidence intervals are based on the asymptotic normality assumption. Profile likelihood can be computed based on data cloning (Ponciano *et al.* 2009) but that procedure is not covered here.

8. Prediction based on the joint posterior distribution

In the prediction, we use MCMC. The likelihood part of the BUGS model for the prediction is the same as for the estimation. The only difference is in the prior specification:

Note that we denote lambda or p as z, this will make life easier later. We use again the custommodel approach to differentiate between the Poisson and Binomial cases:

R> custommodel(glm.pred, c(4,6))

```
[1] "model {"
[2] " for (i in 1:n) {"
[3] " Y[i] ~ dpois(z[i])"
[4] " log(z[i]) <- mu[i]"
[5] " mu[i] <- inprod(X[i,], beta[1,])"
[6] " }"
[7] " beta[1,1:np] <- mvn[1:np]"</pre>
```

```
mvn[1:np] ~ dmnorm(coefs[], prec[,])"
[8] "
[9] "}"
attr(,"class")
[1] "custommodel"
R > custommodel(glm.pred, c(3,5))
[1] "model {"
[2] "
         for (i in 1:n) \{"
             Y[i] ~ dbin(z[i], k)"
[3] "
[4] "
             logit(z[i]) <- mu[i]"</pre>
[5] "
             mu[i] <- inprod(X[i,], beta[1,])"</pre>
[6] "
[7] "
         beta[1,1:np] <- mvn[1:np]"
[8] "
         mvn[1:np] ~ dmnorm(coefs[], prec[,])"
[9] "}"
attr(,"class")
[1] "custommodel"
```

Let's consider the Poisson case only (the Binomial differs from it only by the specification of the model argument based on the custommodel approach, and the fitted model used). The prediction can be done by jags.fit, only the data specification is somewhat different. We will define the model parameters based on the MLE (coefs) and the variance-covariance matrix. We define a Multivariate Normal node for all the model parameters, by using the inverse of the variance-covariance matrix as a precision matrix (prec). Be careful, the check for symmetry in JAGS is stricter than the usual numerical precision in R, consequently we ensure that this condition is met by using the make.symmetric function. The data specification will look like (note, we are using the observed data in X, but algorithmically, this doesn't make any difference):

The resuling mcmc.list object contains the conditional posterior distribution for our Poisson GLM based prediction with prediction intervals.

9. Methods for prediction

For our convenience, we can write a vcov method. We simply use the vcov method defined for the mcmc.list part of the fitted model object and do some cosmetics on the names:

```
R> vcov.dcglm <- function(object, ...) {
+    rval <- vcov(object$mcmc, ...)
+    rownames(rval) <- colnames(rval) <- names(coef(object))
+    rval
+ }</pre>
```

Comparison of the glm and dcglm approaches:

```
R> vcov(m1)
             (Intercept)
(Intercept) 0.008990272 0.009590252
             0.009590252 0.025655809
R> vcov(dcm1)
             (Intercept)
(Intercept) 0.009173621 0.009808561
             0.009808561 0.026245824
R > vcov(m2)
             (Intercept)
(Intercept)
               0.2520969 0.1053385
               0.1053385 1.0374523
R> vcov(dcm2)
             (Intercept)
               0.2662973 0.1083411
(Intercept)
               0.1083411 1.0736542
Quite similar as we expected.
The predict function will look like:
R> predict.dcglm <-
    function(object, newdata = NULL,
    type = c("link", "response"), se = FALSE, ...)
         glm.pred <- c("model {",</pre>
                      for (i in 1:n) {",
                          Y[i] ~ dpois(z[i])",
                          Y[i] ~ dbin(z[i], k)",
                          log(z[i]) \leftarrow mu[i]",
                          logit(z[i]) \leftarrow mu[i]",
                          mu[i] <- inprod(X[i,], beta[1,])",</pre>
                      }",
                      beta[1,1:np] <- mvn[1:np]",
                      mvn[1:np] ~ dmnorm(coefs[], prec[,])",
        prec <- make.symmetric(solve(vcov(object)))</pre>
         coefs <- coef(object)</pre>
        if (is.null(newdata)) {
             X \leftarrow object model
        } else {
             formul <- object$formula</pre>
             formul[[2]] <- NULL</pre>
             rhs <- model.frame(formul, newdata)</pre>
             X <- model.matrix(attr(rhs, "terms"), rhs)</pre>
```

```
type <- match.arg(type)
    params <- switch(type,
        "link" = "mu",
        "response" = "z")
    model <- switch(object$family,
        "poisson" = custommodel(glm.pred, c(4,6)),
        "binomial" = custommodel(glm.pred, c(3,5))
    prdat \leftarrow list(n = nrow(X), X = X,
        np = ncol(X), coefs = coefs, prec = prec)
    if (object$family == "binomial")
        prdat[["k"]] <- 1</pre>
    prval <- jags.fit(prdat, params, model, ...)</pre>
    if (!se) {
        rval <- coef(prval)
    } else {
        rval <- list(fit = coef(prval),
            se.fit = mcmcapply(prval, sd))
    }
    rval
}
```

The pseudo-code for predict is:

- 1. glm.predict is the familiar custommodel specification.
- 2. prec and coefs are needed for the data specification.
- 3. If newdata is NULL, we use the extracted design matrix of our fitted model (object). Else, we create the design matrix corresponding to our model from newdata (a data frame, containing the same covariates, but possibly with different values). For this extraction, we use the formula of the fitted model object.
- 4. Based on the type argument, we will monitor (sample) the nodes mu (if type = "link") or z (if type = "response"). mu corresponds to the values on the scale of the linear predictors, while z corresponds to the values on the response scale.
- 5. model is determined by the family of the fitted model object.
- 6. prdat is the data, prmod is the fitted MCMC object (we use k only in case of the Bionimal family, otherwise rjags warns us that k is unused for the Poisson case).
- 7. If the se argument is FALSE, the return value will be the point estimate vector of the prediction. If the se argument is TRUE, the return value will be a list including point estimates (fit) and standard errors (se.fit). Then, return the value.

Now let's do the prediction for a range of x values from -1 to 1 (call it px):

```
3 -0.555556
  -0.3333333
5 -0.1111111
6
   0.1111111
7
    0.3333333
    0.555556
    0.7777778
10 1.0000000
The glm based predictions are:
R> pm1link <- predict(m1, newdata=px, type="link", se=TRUE)</pre>
R> pm1resp <- predict(m1, newdata=px, type="response", se=TRUE)</pre>
R> pm2link <- predict(m2, newdata=px, type="link", se=TRUE)</pre>
R> pm2resp <- predict(m2, newdata=px, type="response", se=TRUE)</pre>
The dcglm based predictions are:
R> pdcm1link <- predict(dcm1, newdata=px, type="link",</pre>
        se=TRUE, n.iter = 1000)
R> pdcm1resp <- predict(dcm1, newdata=px, type="response",</pre>
        se=TRUE, n.iter = 1000)
R> pdcm2link <- predict(dcm2, newdata=px, type="link",</pre>
        se=TRUE, n.iter = 1000)
R> pdcm2resp <- predict(dcm2, newdata=px, type="response",</pre>
        se=TRUE, n.iter = 1000)
```

Fig. 1 shows prediction results.

2 -0.7777778

10. Making the dcglm package

The easiest part now comes:

Follow this workflow for your own model and estimating procedure, then edit the files (read the *Writing R Extensions* manual) in the package directory, run R CMD check and R CMD build, distribute your package, and go out for a walk!

References

Lele SR, Dennis B, Lutscher F (2007). "Data cloning: easy maximum likelihood estimation for complex ecological models using Bayesian Markov chain Monte Carlo methods." *Ecology Letters*, **10**, 551–563.

Ponciano JM, Taper ML, Dennis B, Lele SR (2009). "Hierarchical models in ecology: confidence intervals, hypothesis testing, and model selection using data cloning." *Ecology*, **90**, 356–362.




Figure 1: Prediction results based on the glm and dcglm approaches for the Poisson GLM. Points are prediction estimates, whiskers are prediction standard errors.

Sólymos P (2009). dclone: Data Cloning and MCMC Tools for Maximum Likelihood Methods. R package version 1.0-0, URL http://cran.r-project.org/packages=dclone.

Affiliation:

Péter Sólymos
Alberta Biodiversity Monitoring Institute
Department of Biological Sciences
CW 405, Biological Sciences Bldg
University of Alberta
Edmonton, Alberta, T6G 2E9, Canada
E-mail: solymos@ualberta.ca