EasyABC: a R package to perform efficient approximate Bayesian computation sampling schemes

Franck Jabot, Thierry Faure, Nicolas Dumoulin EasyABC version 1.2.99, 2014-02-26

Contents

1	Summary	2
2	Overview of the package EasyABC 2.1 The standard rejection algorithm of Pritchard et al. (1999)	2 2 2 2
3	Installation and requirements 3.1 Installing the package 3.2 The simulation code - for use on a single core 3.3 The simulation code - for use with multiple cores 3.4 Management of pseudo-random number generators 3.5 Encoding the prior distributions 3.6 Adding constraints to prior distributions 3.7 The target summary statistics 3.8 The option verbose 3.9 Building a R function calling a C/C++ program 3.10 Example of integration of an external program: fastsimcoal 3.11 Example of integration of a java model	3 3 3 3 4 4 5 5 5 5 6 7
4	A first worked example 4.1 The toy model	7 7 8 10 15
5	A second worked example 5.1 The trait model	20 20 21 23 28 32
6	Troubleshooting and development	32
7	Programming Acknowledgements	32
8	References	32

¹This document is included as a vignette (a LATEX document created using the R function Sweave) of the

1 Summary

The aim of this vignette is to present the features of the EasyABC package. Section 2 describes the different algorithms available in the package. Section 3 details how to install the package and the formatting requirements. Sections 4 and 5 present two detailed worked examples.

2 Overview of the package EasyABC

EasyABC enables to launch various ABC schemes and to retrieve the ouputs of the simulations, so as to perform post-processing treatments with the various R tools available. EasyABC is also able to launch the simulations on multiple cores of a multi-core computer. Three main types of ABC schemes are available in EasyABC: the standard rejection algorithm of Pritchard et al. (1999), sequential schemes first proposed by Sisson et al. (2007), and coupled to MCMC schemes first proposed by Marjoram et al. (2003). Four different sequential algorithms are available: the ones of Beaumont et al. (2009), Drovandi and Pettitt (2011), Del Moral et al. (2012) and Lenormand et al. (2012). Three different MCMC schemes are available: the ones of Marjoram et al. (2003), Wegmann et al. (2009a) and a modification of Marjoram et al. (2003)'s algorithm in which the tolerance and proposal range are determined by the algorithm, following the modifications of Wegmann et al. (2009a). Details on how to implement these various algorithms with EasyABC are given in the manual pages of each function and two examples are detailed in Sections 4 and 5. We provide below a short presentation of each implemented algorithm.

2.1 The standard rejection algorithm of Pritchard et al. (1999)

This sampling scheme consists in drawing the model parameters in the prior distributions, in using these model parameter values to launch a simulation and in repeating this two-step procedure nb_simul times. At the end of the nb_simul simulations, the simulations closest to the target (or at a distance smaller than a tolerance threshold) in the space of the summary statistics are retained to form an approximate posterior distribution of the model parameters.

2.2 Sequential algorithms

Sequential algorithms for ABC have first been proposed by Sisson et al. (2007). These algorithms aim at reducing the required number of simulations to reach a given quality of the posterior approximation. The underlying idea of these algorithms is to spend more time in the areas of the parameter space where simulations are frequently close to the target. Sequential algorithms consist in a first step of standard rejection ABC, followed by a number of steps where the sampling of the parameter space is not anymore performed according to the prior distributions of parameter values. Various ways to perform this biased sampling have been proposed, and four of them are implemented in the package EasyABC.

2.3 Coupled to MCMC algorithms

The idea of ABC-MCMC algorithms proposed by Marjoram et al. (2003) is to perform a Metropolis-Hastings algorithm to explore the parameter space, and in replacing the step of likelihood ratio computation by simulations of the model. The original algorithm of Marjoram et al. (2003) is implemented in the method "Marjoram_original" in EasyABC. Wegmann et al. (2009) later proposed a number of improvements to the original scheme of Marjoram et al. (2003): they proposed to perform a calibration step so that the algorithm automatically determines the tolerance threshold, the scaling of the summary statistics and the scaling of the jumps in the parameter space during the MCMC. These improvements have been implemented in the method "Marjoram". Wegmann

package EasyABC. It is automatically dowloaded together with the package and can be accessed through R typing vignette("EasyABC").

et al. (2009) also proposed additional modifications, among which a PLS transformation of the summary statistics. The complete Wegmann et al. (2009)'s algorithm is implemented in the method "Wegmann".

3 Installation and requirements

3.1 Installing the package

A version of R greater than or equal to 2.15.0 is required. The package has been tested on Windows 32 and Linux, but not on Mac. To install the EasyABC package from R, simply type:

> install.packages("EasyABC")

Once the package is installed, it needs to be loaded in the current R session to be used:

> library(EasyABC)

For online help on the package content, simply type:

> help(package="EasyABC")

For online help on a particular command (such as the function ABC_sequential), simply type:

> help(ABC_sequential)

3.2 The simulation code - for use on a single core

Users need to develop a simulation code with minimal compatibility constraints. The code can either be a R function or a binary executable file.

If the code is a R function, its argument must be a vector of parameter values and it must return a vector of summary statistics. If the option use_seed=TRUE is chosen, the first parameter value passed to the simulation code corresponds to the seed value to be used by the simulation code to initialize the pseudo-random number generator. The following parameters are the model parameters.

If the code is a binary executable file, it needs to read the parameter values in a file named 'input' in which each line contains one parameter value, and to output the summary statistics in a file named 'output' in which each summary statistics must be separated by a space or a tabulation. If the code is a binary executable file, a wrapper R function named 'binary_model' is available to interface the executable file with the R functions of the EasyABC package (see section 5 below).

Alternatively, users may prefer building a R function calling their binary executable file. A short tutorial is provided in section 3.9 to call a C/C++ program.

3.3 The simulation code - for use with multiple cores

Users need to develop a simulation code with minimal compatibility constraints. The code can either be a R function or a binary executable file.

If the code is a R function, its argument must be a vector of parameter values and it must return a vector of summary statistics. The first parameter value passed to the simulation code corresponds to the seed value to be used by the simulation code to initialize the pseudo-random number generator. The following parameters are the model parameters. This means that the option use_seed must be turned to TRUE when using EasyABC with multiple cores.

If the code is a binary executable file, it needs to have as its single argument a positive integer k. It has to read the parameter values in a file named 'inputk' (where k is the integer passed as argument to the binary code: 'input1', 'input2'...) in which each line contains one parameter value, and to output the summary statistics in a file named 'outputk' (where k is the integer passed as argument to the binary code: 'output1', 'output2'...) in which each summary

statistics must be separated by a space or a tabulation. This construction avoids multiple cores to read/write in the same files. If the code is a binary executable file, a wrapper R function named 'binary_model_cluster' is available to interface the executable file with the R functions of the EasyABC package (see section 5 below).

Alternatively, users may prefer building a R function calling their binary executable file. A short tutorial is provided in section 3.9 to call a C/C++ program.

3.4 Management of pseudo-random number generators

To insure that stochastic simulations are independent, the simulation code must either possess an internal way of initializing the seeds of its pseudo-random number generators each time the simulation code is launched. This can be achieved for instance by initializing the seed to the clock value. It is often desirable though to have a way to re-run some analyses with similar seed values. If this option is chosen, a seed value is provided in the input file as a first (additional) parameter, and incremented by 1 at each call of the simulation code. This means that the simulation code must be designed so that the first parameter is a seed initializing value. In the worked example (Section 5), the simulation code trait_model makes use of this package option, and in the first example (Section 4), the way this option can be used with a simple R function is demonstrated.

NB: Note that when using multicores with the package functions (n_cluster=x with x larger than 1), the option use_seed=TRUE is forced, since the seed value is also used to distribute the tasks to each core.

3.5 Encoding the prior distributions

A list encoding the prior distributions used for each model parameter must be supplied by the user. Each element of the list corresponds to a model parameter and can be defined in two ways:

- 1. By using predefined prior distributions. In this case, the list element must be a vector whose first argument determines the type of prior distribution followed by the argument of the distribution function, possible values are:
 - "unif" for a uniform distribution on a segment, followed by two numbers the minimum and maximum values of the uniform distribution
 - "normal" for a normal distribution, followed by two numbers the mean and standard deviation of the normal distribution
 - "lognormal" for a lognormal distribution, followed by two numbers: the mean and standard deviation on the log scale of the lognormal distribution
 - "exponential" for an exponential distribution, followed by one number: the rate of the exponential distribution

```
> my_prior=list(c("unif",0,1),c("normal",1,2))
[[1]]
[1] "unif" "0" "1"

[[2]]
[1] "normal" "1" "2"
```

2. By providing the user-defined sampling and density function. In this case, each list element must be itself a list of two elements: the sampling function and the density function. For example, a uniform distribution can be defined using this approach with the following code (equivalent to my_prior=list(c("unif",0,1))):

```
> my_prior=list(list(c("runif",1,0,1), c("dunif",0,1)))
```

```
[[1]]
[[1]][[1]]
[1] "runif" "1" "0" "1"

[[1]][[2]]
[1] "dunif" "0" "1"
```

3.6 Adding constraints to prior distributions

To add constraints to prior distributions (for instance, parameter 1 < parameter 2), users need to use the parameter prior_test in the ABC functions of the package (see their online documentation). This parameter prior_test will be evaluated as a logical expression, you can use all the logical operators including "<", ">", ... to define whether a parameter set respects the constraint. Each parameter should be designated with "X1", "X2", ... in the same order as in the prior definition.

Here is an example where the second parameter should be greater than the first one:

```
prior = list(c("unif",0,1),c("unif",0,10))
ABC_rejection(model=a_model,prior=prior,nb_simul=3, prior_test="X2 > X1")
```

3.7 The target summary statistics

A vector containing the summary statistics of the data must be supplied. The statistics must be in the same order as in the simulation outputs.

3.8 The option verbose

Intermediary results can be written in output files in the working directory. Users solely need to choose the option verbose=TRUE when launching the EasyABC functions (otherwise, the default value for verbose is FALSE). Intermediary results consist in the progressive writing of simulation outputs for the functions ABC_rejection and ABC_mcmc and in the writing of intermediary results at the end of each step for the function ABC_sequential. Additional details are provided in the help files of the functions.

3.9 Building a R function calling a C/C++ program

Users having a C/C++ simulation code may wish to construct a R function calling their C/C++ program, instead of using the provided wrappers (see sections 3.2 and 3.3). The procedure is abundantly described in the 'Writing R Extensions' manual. In short, this can be done by:

• Adapt your C/C++ program by wrapping your main method into a extern "C" { ... } block. Here is an excerpt of the source code of the trait model provided in this package, in the folder src:

```
extern "C" {
  void trait_model(double *input,double *stat_to_return){
    // compute output and fill the array stat_to_return
  }
}
```

Build your code into a binary library (.so under Linux or .dll under Windows) with the R
 CMD SHLIB command. In our example, the command for compiling the trait model and the given output are:

```
$ R CMD SHLIB trait_model_rc.cpp
g++ -I/usr/share/R/include -DNDEBUG -fpic -02 -pipe -g -c trait_model_rc.cpp
-o trait_model_rc.o
g++ -shared -o trait_model_rc.so trait_model_rc.o -L/usr/lib/R/lib -lR
```

• Load the builded library in your session with the dyn.load function.

```
> dyn.load("trait_model_rc.so")
```

• Use the .C function for calling your program, like we've done in our trait_model function:

```
trait_model <- function(input=c(1,1,1,1,1,1)) {
   .C("trait_model",input=c(input[1], 500, input[2:3], 1, input[4:5]),
    stat_to_return=array(0,4))$stat_to_return
}</pre>
```

You can also notice how we have fixed the parameter of the model.

3.10 Example of integration of an external program: fastsimcoal

This example is provided by an EasyABC user Albert Min-Shan Ko (currently at the Department of genetics, Max Planck Institute of Evolutionary Anthropology, Leipzig, Germany). The purpose is to plug a third-party software related to population genetics into the EasyABC workflow. This software needs input data in a given format, so the idea is to wrap the call to the fastsimcoal software into a script that will link EasyABC to fastsimcoal.

Here are the scripts as provided by courtesy of Albert Min-Shan Ko.

• First, a R script reformats the parameters to be used by fastsimcoal (here named mod.input.r).

```
r<-read.table('input',head=F)
sink('mod.input')
cat(paste('1','p1','unif',round(r[1,],0),round(r[1,],0),sep='\t'))
cat('\n')
cat(paste('1','p2','unif',round(r[2,],0),round(r[2,],0),sep='\t'))
cat('\n')
cat(paste('1','p3','unif',round(r[3,],0),round(r[3,],0),sep='\t'))
sink()</pre>
```

• Second, a GNU Bash script (here names run_sim.sh) invokes the latter R script and builds a parameter file for fastsimcoal (sim.est), runs fastsimcoal and computes some summary statistics with the arlequin program.

Then, the user can invoke EasyABC like this:

```
prior=list(c("unif",500,1000),c("unif",100,500),c("unif",50,200))
ABC_sim<-ABC_rejection(model=binary_model('./run_sim.sh'),prior=prior,nb_simul=3)</pre>
```

3.11 Example of integration of a java model

If your model runs with a Java Virtual Machine (can be written in Java, Scala, Groovy, ...), you can of course use the binary_model wrapper to run the JVM within your model. But, you can achieve a tighter integration that will simplify the process and save computing time. This section propose to use the R package rJava.

Let's consider the toy model written in Java (in a file named Model.java):

```
public class Model {
  public static double[] run(double[] x) {
      double[] result = new double[2];
      result[0] = x[0] + x[1];
      result[1] = x[0] * x[1];
      return result;
  }
}
We can compile it with the command: javac Model.java and then define our wrapper in R:
mymodel <- function(x) {</pre>
  library("rJava")
  .jinit(classpath=".")
  result = .jcall(J("Model"),"[D","run",.jarray(x))
  result
}
   Then, the user can invoke EasyABC like this:
prior=list(c("unif",0,1),c("normal",1,2))
```

4 A first worked example

4.1 The toy model

We here consider a very simple stochastic model coded in the R language:

ABC_sim<-ABC_rejection(model=mymodel,prior=prior,nb_simul=3)

```
> toy_model<-function(x){
+          c( x[1] + x[2] + rnorm(1,0,0.1) , x[1] * x[2] + rnorm(1,0,0.1) )
+ }

function(x){
          c( x[1] + x[2] + rnorm(1,0,0.1) , x[1] * x[2] + rnorm(1,0,0.1) )
}</pre>
```

We will use two different types of prior distribution for the two model parameters (x[1] and x[2]: a uniform distribution between 0 and 1 and a normal distribution with mean 1 and standard deviation 2.

```
> toy_prior=list(c("unif",0,1),c("normal",1,2))
```

```
[[1]]
[1] "unif" "0" "1"

[[2]]
[1] "normal" "1" "2"
```

And we will consider an imaginary dataset of two summary statistics that the toy_model is aiming at fitting:

```
> sum_stat_obs=c(1.5,0.5)
[1] 1.5 0.5
```

4.2 Performing a standard ABC-rejection procedure

A standard ABC-rejection procedure can be simply performed with the function $\mathtt{ABC_rejection}$, in precising the number n of simulations to be performed and the proportion of simulations which are to be retained p:

```
> set.seed(1)
NULL
> n=10
[1] 10
> p=0.2
[1] 0.2
> ABC_rej<-ABC_rejection(model=toy_model, prior=toy_prior, nb_simul=n,
+ summary_stat_target=sum_stat_obs, tol=p)
$param
           [,1]
                      [,2]
param 0.6927316 0.8877425
param 0.3162717 1.0934523
$stats
                    [,2]
         [,1]
[1,] 1.564895 0.4678920
[2,] 1.386153 0.2915392
$weights
[1] 0.5 0.5
$stats_normalization
[1] 0.7266951 0.5603033
$nsim
[1] 10
$nrec
[1] 2
$computime
[1] 0.001316309
```

Alternatively, ABC_rejection can be used to solely launch the simulations and to store the simulation outputs without performing the rejection step. This option enables the user to make use of the R package abc (Csilléry et al. 2012) which offers an array of more sophisticated post-processing treatments than the simple rejection procedure:

```
> # Run the ABC rejection on the model
> set.seed(1)
NULL
> n=10
[1] 10
> ABC_rej<-ABC_rejection(model=toy_model, prior=toy_prior, nb_simul=n)
$param
                    [,2]
           [,1]
param 0.2655087 0.3475333
param 0.6607978 1.6590155
param 0.7698414 0.9884657
param 0.2121425 1.7796865
param 0.8696908 0.1769783
param 0.6684667 2.6424424
param 0.7829328 1.2666727
param 0.6927316 0.8877425
param 0.3162717 1.0934523
param 0.3323947 1.7753432
$stats
                     [,2]
           [,1]
 [1,] 0.7460219 0.21951603
 [2,] 2.2377665 1.14501671
 [3,] 1.9987724 0.83732115
 [4,] 1.9297049 0.15607719
 [5,] 1.0718915 0.06472432
 [6,] 3.3702993 1.85828258
 [7,] 2.1300244 0.98600890
 [8,] 1.5648945 0.46789202
 [9,] 1.3861534 0.29153921
[10,] 2.1023574 0.45240868
$weights
 $stats_normalization
[1] 0.7266951 0.5603033
$nsim
[1] 10
$computime
[1] 0.0008394718
> # Install if needed the "abc" package
> install.packages("abc")
```

```
> # Post-process the simulations outputs
> library(abc)
 [1] "EasyABC"
                 "abc"
                              "MASS"
                                          "quantreg"
                                                      "SparseM"
                                                                   "nnet"
 [7] "stats"
                             "grDevices" "utils"
                 "graphics"
                                                      "datasets"
                                                                   "methods"
[13] "base"
> rej<-abc(sum_stat_obs, ABC_rej$param, ABC_rej$stats, tol=0.2, method="rejection")
abc(target = sum_stat_obs, param = ABC_rej$param, sumstat = ABC_rej$stats,
    tol = 0.2, method = "rejection")
Method:
Rejection
Parameters:
P1, P2
Statistics:
S1, S2
Total number of simulations 10
Number of accepted simulations: 2
> # simulations selected:
> rej$unadj.values
           [,1]
param 0.6927316 0.8877425
param 0.3162717 1.0934523
> # their associated summary statistics:
> rej$ss
                   [,2]
         [,1]
[1,] 1.564895 0.4678920
[2,] 1.386153 0.2915392
> # their normalized euclidean distance to the data summary statistics:
> rej$dist
 [1] 1.6103923 1.9542368 1.2025193 1.0981535 1.2163667 4.6145013 1.5879393
 [8] 0.1448057 0.4716867 1.2112846
```

4.3 Performing a sequential ABC scheme

Other functions of the EasyABC package are used in a very similar manner. To perform the algorithm of Beaumont et al. (2009), one needs to specify the sequence of tolerance levels tolerance_tab and the number nb_simul of simulations to obtain below the tolerance level at each iteration:

```
> n=10
[1] 10
> tolerance=c(1.25,0.75)
```

```
[1] 1.25 0.75
> ABC_Beaumont<-ABC_sequential(method="Beaumont", model=toy_model,
+ prior=toy_prior, nb_simul=n, summary_stat_target=sum_stat_obs,
+ tolerance_tab=tolerance)
$param
           [,1]
                     [,2]
 [1,] 0.7800180 0.4830061
 [2,] 0.3181763 2.3673583
 [3,] 0.1811065 2.6700808
 [4,] 0.8456229 0.2467030
 [5,] 0.1418500 1.9097104
 [6,] 0.3282295 2.8256064
 [7,] 0.1976839 1.2863106
 [8,] 0.3323167 2.3794734
 [9,] 0.2252921 1.5824478
[10,] 0.6077307 0.4225812
$stats
           [,1]
                       [,2]
 [1,] 1.3838109 0.49279371
 [2,] 2.6442826 0.65600856
 [3,] 2.8649926 0.47168981
 [4,] 1.0743703 0.19859866
 [5,] 2.0575765 0.21200292
 [6,] 3.0582520 0.80427662
 [7,] 1.4783048 0.06284696
 [8,] 2.6188539 0.64199282
 [9,] 1.7743399 0.35304040
[10,] 0.8912953 0.24977382
$weights
 [1] 0.09597405 0.09105900 0.10164109 0.10169384 0.12145842 0.08442337
 [7] 0.11758458 0.08987372 0.11027429 0.08601765
$stats_normalization
[1] 2.290391 1.433019
$epsilon
[1] 0.5079519
$nsim
[1] 31
$computime
[1] 0.006841421
```

To perform the algorithm of Drovandi and Pettitt (2011), one needs to specify four arguments: the initial number of simulations nb_simul , the final tolerance level $tolerance_tab$, the proportion α of best-fit simulations to update the tolerance level at each step, and the target proportion c of unmoved particles during the MCMC jump. Note that default values alpha=0.5 and c=0.01 are used if not specified, following Drovandi and Pettitt (2011).

> n=10

```
[1] 10
> tolerance=0.75
[1] 0.75
> c_drov=0.7
[1] 0.7
> ABC_Drovandi <- ABC_sequential (method="Drovandi", model=toy_model,
+ prior=toy_prior, nb_simul=n, summary_stat_target=sum_stat_obs,
+ tolerance_tab=tolerance, c=c_drov)
$param
           [,1]
                    [,2]
 [1,] 0.6988245 0.8190878
 [2,] 0.6860284 0.1430693
 [3,] 0.3080524 2.0202168
 [4,] 0.4009210 0.8702183
 [5,] 0.4295584 0.4770350
 [6,] 0.6366469 0.8473816
 [7,] 0.9931522 0.2698854
 [8,] 0.3444874 0.5230873
 [9,] 0.5484915 1.4070225
[10,] 0.3991026 0.7969931
$stats
          [,1]
                    [,2]
 [1,] 1.5335613 0.4986674
 [2,] 0.9236163 0.1415199
 [3,] 2.3118317 0.6644022
 [4,] 1.3034399 0.4532500
 [5,] 0.8410152 0.2013222
 [6,] 1.5010774 0.4530792
 [7,] 1.3363126 0.3626958
 [8,] 1.0170970 0.2974128
 [9,] 1.9362341 0.9295290
[10,] 1.2003607 0.1584102
$weights
 $stats_normalization
[1] 1.953418 1.214883
$epsilon
[1] 0.1910321
$nsim
[1] 45
```

\$computime [1] 0.00853014

To perform the algorithm of Del Moral et al. (2012), one needs to specify five arguments: the initial number of simulations nb_simul , the number α controlling the decrease in effective sample size of the particle set at each step, the number M of simulations performed for each particle, the minimal effective sample size $nb_threshold$ below which a resampling of particles is performed and the final tolerance level $tolerance_target$. Note that default values $alpha=0.5,\ M=1$ and $nb_threshold=nb_simul/2$ are used if not specified.

```
> n=10
[1] 10
> alpha_delmo=0.5
[1] 0.5
> tolerance=0.75
[1] 0.75
> ABC_Delmoral <- ABC_sequential (method="Delmoral", model=toy_model,
+ prior=toy_prior, nb_simul=n, summary_stat_target=sum_stat_obs,
+ alpha=alpha_delmo, tolerance_target=tolerance)
$param
            [,1]
                       [,2]
 [1,] 0.20305029 1.5083895
 [2,] 0.36154201 2.4887627
 [3,] 0.07295993 2.5253925
 [4,] 0.34874081 1.2126412
 [5,] 0.35066476 1.4262540
 [6,] 0.31188902 0.8378975
 [7,] 0.74261427 0.6621932
 [8,] 0.17663039 1.4794365
 [9,] 0.28307740 0.4146026
[10,] 0.30339615 1.2495415
$stats
           [,1]
                       [,2]
 [1,] 1.6007504 0.34104430
 [2,] 2.8064978 0.74901158
 [3,] 2.3885641 0.08381627
 [4,] 1.5005152 0.39277779
 [5,] 1.7063282 0.56293875
 [6,] 1.2908525 0.23887366
 [7,] 1.4279571 0.44951689
 [8,] 1.6061781 0.41628474
 [9,] 0.5003865 0.16883178
[10,] 1.6210776 0.39343083
$weights
 [1] 0.1428571 0.0000000 0.0000000 0.1428571 0.1428571 0.1428571 0.1428571
 [8] 0.1428571 0.0000000 0.1428571
$stats_normalization
```

[1] 1.8358809 0.9232688

```
$epsilon
[1] 0.579182

$nsim
[1] 33

$computime
[1] 0.01124501
```

To perform the algorithm of Lenormand et al. (2012), one needs to specify three arguments: the initial number of simulations nb_simul , the proportion α of best-fit simulations to update the tolerance level at each step, and the stopping criterion p_acc_min . Note that default values alpha = 0.5 and $p_acc_min = 0.05$ are used if not specified, following Lenormand et al. (2012). Also note that the method "Lenormand" is only supported with uniform prior distributions (since it performs a Latin Hypercube sampling at the beginning). Here, we therefore need to alter the prior distribution of the second model parameter:

```
> toy_prior2=list(c("unif",0,1),c("unif",0.5,1.5))
[[1]]
[1] "unif" "0"
                  "1"
[[2]]
[1] "unif" "0.5" "1.5"
> n=10
Γ17 10
> pacc=0.4
[1] 0.4
> ABC_Lenormand<-ABC_sequential(method="Lenormand", model=toy_model,
+ prior=toy_prior2, nb_simul=10, summary_stat_target=sum_stat_obs,
+ p_acc_min=pacc)
$param
                    [,2]
          [,1]
[1,] 0.8237476 0.5849024
[2,] 0.4160954 1.0966607
[3,] 0.7553623 0.7348280
[4,] 0.5068938 1.0954342
[5,] 0.3190382 1.4274196
$stats
         [,1]
                   [,2]
[1,] 1.425087 0.5150743
[2,] 1.521030 0.4079272
[3,] 1.439068 0.4819419
[4,] 1.538216 0.6017148
[5,] 1.561419 0.4230363
$weights
[1] 0.23733034 0.14067343 0.17774541 0.07987218 0.36437864
```

```
$stats_normalization
[1] 0.5239028 0.4742201
$epsilon
[1] 0.05132637
$nsim
[1] 25
$computime
```

[1] 0.03874993

4.4 Performing a ABC-MCMC scheme

To perform the algorithm of Marjoram et al. (2003), one needs to specify five arguments: the

```
number of sampled points n\_rec in the Markov Chain, the number of chain points between two
sampled points n_between_sampling, the maximal distance accepted between simulations and data
dist_max, a vector tab_normalization precising the scale of each summary statistics, and a vector
proposal_range precising the maximal distances in each dimension of the parameter space for a
jump of the MCMC. All these arguments have default values (see the package help for the function
ABC_mcmc), so that ABC_mcmc will work without user-defined values.
> n=10
[1] 10
> ABC_Marjoram_original <-ABC_mcmc(method="Marjoram_original", model=toy_model,
+ prior=toy_prior, summary_stat_target=sum_stat_obs, n_rec=n)
[1] "Warning: summary statistics are normalized by default through a division by the target summary
[1] "Consider providing normalization constants for each summary statistics in the option 'tab_no
[1] "Warning: default values for proposal distributions are used - they may not be appropriate to
[1] "Consider providing proposal range constants for each parameter in the option 'proposal_range
[1] "Warning: a default value for the tolerance has been computed - it may not be appropriate to
[1] "Consider providing a tolerance value in the option 'dist_max' or using the method 'Marjoram'
$param
            [,1]
                       [,2]
 [1,] 0.8317970 0.40196067
 [2,] 0.8430522 0.71768105
 [3,] 0.8328462 0.61306184
 [4,] 0.7769068 0.36002714
 [5,] 0.8012433 0.42191136
 [6,] 0.6822033 0.54983883
```

[7,] 0.5796818 0.40380947 [8,] 0.5889011 0.02405639 [9,] 0.5334421 0.96633208 [10,] 0.4498754 0.82192801 \$stats [,1][,2]

[1,] 1.1938685 0.2700179 [2,] 1.4682132 0.6392331 [3,] 1.4681226 0.5504494 [4,] 1.1547353 0.2631310 [5,] 1.1562598 0.2370403

```
[6,] 1.0530494 0.5105416
 [7,] 0.8445110 0.0867706
 [8,] 0.6359042 0.0241923
 [9,] 1.5398737 0.2806817
[10,] 1.4081396 0.1782471
$dist
 [1] 0.25321879 0.07799246 0.01063219 0.27740885 0.32910550 0.08922886
 [7] 0.87399673 1.23742145 0.19310864 0.41785010
$stats_normalization
[1] 1.5 0.5
$epsilon
[1] 1.237421
$nsim
[1] 94
$n_between_sampling
[1] 10
$computime
[1] 0.01816559
```

To perform the algorithm of Marjoram et al. (2003) in which some of the arguments ($dist_max$, $tab_normalization$ and $proposal_range$) are automatically determined by the algorithm via an initial calibration step, one needs to specify three arguments: the number $n_calibration$ of simulations to perform at the calibration step, the tolerance quantile $tolerance_quantile$ to be used for the determination of $dist_max$ and the scale factor $proposal_phi$ to determine the proposal range. These modifications are drawn from the algorithm of Wegmann et al. (2009a), without relying on PLS regressions. The arguments are set by default to: $n_calibration = 10000$, $tolerance_quantile = 0.01$ and $proposal_phi = 1$. This way of automatic determination of $dist_max$, $tab_normalization$ and $proposal_range$ is strongly recommended, compared to the crude automatic determination proposed in the method Marjoram_original.

```
> n=10
[1] 10
> ABC_Marjoram<-ABC_mcmc(method="Marjoram", model=toy_model,
+ prior=toy_prior, summary_stat_target=sum_stat_obs, n_rec=n)
$param
                     [,2]
           [,1]
 [1,] 0.9436740 0.3857562
 [2,] 0.9512167 0.4761225
 [3,] 0.8840624 0.5810278
 [4,] 0.9808250 0.4389589
 [5,] 0.9808250 0.4389589
 [6,] 0.9648414 0.5186737
 [7,] 0.9395353 0.5361347
 [8,] 0.9776870 0.6805973
 [9,] 0.9776870 0.6805973
[10,] 0.8445182 0.6513990
```

```
[,2]
          [,1]
 [1,] 1.435866 0.4474246
 [2,] 1.443442 0.4502120
 [3,] 1.526806 0.5061449
 [4,] 1.581459 0.5066206
 [5,] 1.581459 0.5066206
 [6,] 1.599728 0.4861425
 [7,] 1.582246 0.4582088
 [8,] 1.512087 0.5347551
 [9,] 1.512087 0.5347551
[10,] 1.512870 0.5477377
$dist
 [1] 0.0028856561 0.0024702715 0.0001983389 0.0016221809 0.0016221809
 [6] 0.0025181657 0.0028226982 0.0008648076 0.0008648076 0.0016051786
$stats_normalization
[1] 2.041549 1.206546
$epsilon
[1] 0.002885656
$nsim
[1] 10091
$n_between_sampling
[1] 10
$computime
[1] 0.5761971
```

\$stats

To perform the algorithm of Wegmann et al. (2009a), one needs to specify four arguments: the number $n_calibration$ of simulations to perform at the calibration step, the tolerance quantile $tolerance_quantile$ to be used for the determination of $dist_max$, the scale factor $proposal_phi$ to determine the proposal range and the number of components numcomp to be used in PLS regressions. The arguments are set by default to: $n_calibration = 10000$, $tolerance_quantile = 0.01$, $proposal_phi = 1$ and numcomp = 0, this last default value encodes a choice of a number of PLS components equal to the number of summary statistics.

- [6,] 0.8031323 0.6032927
- [7,] 0.8928010 0.6036441
- [8,] 0.9774969 0.5163571
- [9,] 0.8048285 0.6753265
- [10,] 0.7620397 0.6476327

\$stats

- [,1] [,2]
- [1,] 1.560480 0.5291186
- [2,] 1.560480 0.5291186
- [3,] 1.523476 0.5156394
- [4,] 1.502733 0.4595832
- [5,] 1.471184 0.4926479
- [6,] 1.465062 0.4932555
- [7,] 1.539625 0.5058244
- [8,] 1.521221 0.5176769
- [9,] 1.475461 0.5184589
- [10,] 1.518328 0.4794737

\$dist

- $\hbox{\tt [1]} \ \ 0.0014766829 \ \ 0.0014766829 \ \ 0.0003116307 \ \ 0.0012394742 \ \ 0.0002297513$
- $\hbox{ \hbox{$[6]$ $0.0003116143 $0.0003813162 $0.0003403183 $0.0003915500 0.0003930526 }$

\$epsilon

[1] 0.001476683

\$nsim

[1] 10091

\$n_between_sampling

[1] 10

\$min_stats

[1] -5.486476 -5.041452

\$max_stats

[1] 8.829101 7.486474

\$lambda

[1] 0.6060606 0.6060606

$geometric_mean$

[1] 1.478637 1.437367

\$boxcox_mean

[1] 0.5190551 0.4700116

\$boxcox_sd

[1] 0.14207611 0.09452448

\$pls_transform

[,1] [,2]

- [1,] -0.7120694 -0.7049637
- [2,] -0.6571955 0.7537201

```
$n_component
[1] 2
$computime
[1] 73.60799
```

4.5 Using multiple cores

The functions of the package EasyABC can launch the simulations on multiple cores of a computer: users have to indicate the number of cores they wish to use in the argument n_cluster of the functions, and they have to use the option use_seed=TRUE. Users also need to design their code in a slightly different way so that it is compatible with the option use_seed=TRUE (see Section 3.3 for additional details). For the toy model above, the modifications needed are the following:

```
> toy_model_parallel<-function(x){</pre>
          set.seed(x[1]) # so that each core is initialized with a different seed value.
          c(x[2] + x[3] + rnorm(1,0,0.1), x[2] * x[3] + rnorm(1,0,0.1))
+ }
function(x){
        set.seed(x[1]) # so that each core is initialized with a different seed value.
        c(x[2] + x[3] + rnorm(1,0,0.1), x[2] * x[3] + rnorm(1,0,0.1))
}
> set.seed(1)
NULL
> n=10
[1] 10
> p=0.2
[1] 0.2
> ABC_rej<-ABC_rejection(model=toy_model_parallel, prior=toy_prior,
+ nb_simul=n, summary_stat_target=sum_stat_obs, tol=p, n_cluster=2,
+ use_seed=TRUE)
$param
          [,1]
                    [,2]
[1,] 0.6870228 0.4105591
[2,] 0.2121425 1.7796865
$stats
         [,1]
                   [,2]
[1,] 1.013496 0.4204994
[2,] 1.983370 0.4615872
$weights
[1] 0.5 0.5
$stats_normalization
[1] 1.420082 0.687775
```

```
$nsim
[1] 10

$nrec
[1] 2

$computime
[1] 0.2990191
```

5 A second worked example

5.1 The trait model

We turn now to a stochastic ecological model hereafter called trait_model to illustrate how to use EasyABC with models not initially coded in the R language. trait_model represents the stochastic dynamics of an ecological community where each species is represented by a set of traits (i.e. characteristics) which determine its competitive ability. A detailed description and analysis of the model can be found in Jabot (2010). The model requires four parameters: an immigration rate I, and three additional parameters $(h, A \text{ and } \sigma)$ describing the way traits determine species competitive ability. The model additionally requires two fixed variables: the total number of individuals in the local community J and the number of traits used n_t . The model outputs four summary statistics: the species richness of the community S, its Shannon's index H, the mean of the trait value among individuals MTV and the skewness of the trait value distribution STV.

NB: Three parameters $(I, A \text{ and } \sigma)$ have non-uniform prior distributions: instead, their log-transformed values have a uniform prior distribution. The simulation code trait_model therefore takes an exponential transform of the values proposed by EasyABC for these parameters at the beginning of each simulation.

In the following, we will use the values J=500 and $n_-t=1$, and uniform prior distributions for ln(I) in [3;5], h in [-25;125], ln(A) in [ln(0.1);ln(5)] and $ln(\sigma)$ in [ln(0.5);ln(25)]. The simulation code trait_model reads sequentially J, I, A, n_-t , h and σ .

NB: Note that the fixed variables J and n_t have been fixed (see section RClink) into the function trait_model (in versions previous to 1.3, they was included in the prior list using uniform distributions with a trivial ranges)

```
> trait_prior=list(c("unif",3,5),c("unif",-2.3,1.6),
+ c("unif",-25,125), c("unif",-0.7,3.2))
\lceil \lceil 1 \rceil \rceil
[1] "unif" "3"
[[2]]
[1] "unif" "-2.3" "1.6"
[[3]]
[1] "unif" "-25" "125"
[[4]]
[1] "unif" "-0.7" "3.2"
   We will consider an imaginary dataset whose summary statistics are (S, H, MTV, STV) =
(100, 2.5, 20, 30000):
> sum_stat_obs=c(100,2.5,20,30000)
[1]
                  2.5
                          20.0 30000.0
      100.0
```

5.2 Performing a standard ABC-rejection procedure

A standard ABC-rejection procedure can be simply performed with the function $\mathtt{ABC_rejection}$, in precising the number n of simulations to be performed and the proportion p of retained simulations. Note that the option $\mathtt{use_seed=TRUE}$ is used, since $\mathtt{trait_model}$ requires a seed initializing value for its pseudo-random number generator:

```
> set.seed(1)
NULL
> n=10
[1] 10
> p=0.2
[1] 0.2
> ABC_rej<-ABC_rejection(model=trait_model, prior=trait_prior, nb_simul=n,
+ summary_stat_target=sum_stat_obs, tol=p, use_seed=TRUE)
$param
         [,1]
                    [,2]
                              [,3]
                                       [,4]
[1,] 4.435237 1.568434 32.00528 2.332036
[2,] 3.534441 -0.794155 -22.99145 0.791313
$stats
                       [,3]
     [,1]
              [,2]
                                [,4]
[1,] 116 4.104226 32.9882 3029.800
[2,]
       84 3.994615 49.1732 1950.147
$weights
[1] 0.5 0.5
$stats_normalization
[1] 3.981680e+01 6.631974e-01 1.451333e+01 1.526571e+04
$nsim
[1] 10
$nrec
[1] 2
$computime
[1] 4.567058
```

Alternatively, ABC_rejection can be used to solely launch the simulations and to store the simulation outputs without performing the rejection step. This option enables the user to make use of the R package abc (Csilléry et al. 2012) which offers an array of more sophisticated post-processing treatments than the simple rejection procedure:

```
> install.packages("abc")
> library(abc)

[1] "EasyABC" "abc" "MASS" "quantreg" "SparseM" "nnet"
[7] "stats" "graphics" "grDevices" "utils" "datasets" "methods"
[13] "base"
```

```
> set.seed(1)
NULL
> n=10
[1] 10
> p=0.2
[1] 0.2
> ABC_rej<-ABC_rejection(model=trait_model, prior=trait_prior, nb_simul=n, use_seed=TRUE)
$param
         [,1]
                    [,2]
                               [,3]
                                          [.4]
 [1,] 3.531017 -0.8487168 60.928005 2.84201038
 [2,] 3.403364 1.2037198 116.701290 1.87711139
 [3,] 4.258228 -2.0590335 5.896186 -0.01142867
 [4,] 4.374046 -0.8019955 90.476213 1.24102704
 [5,] 4.435237 1.5684338 32.005277 2.33203636
 [6,] 4.869410 -1.4726442 72.751065 -0.21033513
 [7,] 3.534441 -0.7941550 -22.991450 0.79131303
 [8,] 4.739382 -0.9726389 47.312017
                                   1.63830672
 [9,] 3.987083 -1.5737514 99.105998 1.90702028
[10,] 4.588480 -1.8790199 83.556642 0.90397028
$stats
     [,1]
              [,2]
                      [,3]
                                 [,4]
 [1,]
      90 3.614738 58.8120 -6071.7199
 [2,]
      63 2.602216 77.6068 -37081.9028
 [3,] 140 4.502762 48.8376 -2900.4530
 [4,] 125 3.694395 75.3258 -31065.1721
 [5,] 116 4.104226 32.9882 3029.7998
      180 4.828517 47.7092
 [6,]
                            -842.1061
      84 3.994615 49.1732
 [7,]
                            1950.1471
 [8,] 164 4.532558 50.4868
                           2525.3002
 [9,]
      101 3.818715 74.3012 -27249.2048
[10,] 171 4.716238 57.1520 -14206.1651
$weights
 $stats_normalization
[1] 3.981680e+01 6.631974e-01 1.451333e+01 1.526571e+04
$nsim
[1] 10
$computime
[1] 4.521956
> rej<-abc(sum_stat_obs, ABC_rej$param, ABC_rej$stats,
+ tol=0.2, method="rejection")
```

```
abc(target = sum_stat_obs, param = ABC_rej$param, sumstat = ABC_rej$stats,
    tol = 0.2, method = "rejection")
Method:
Rejection
Parameters:
P1, P2, P3, P4
Statistics:
S1, S2, S3, S4
Total number of simulations 10
Number of accepted simulations: 2
> # simulations selected:
> rej$unadj.values
         [,1]
                    [,2]
                               [,3]
                                        [,4]
[1,] 4.435237 1.568434 32.00528 2.332036
[2,] 3.534441 -0.794155 -22.99145 0.791313
> # their associated summary statistics:
> rej$ss
     [,1]
               [,2]
                       [,3]
                                 [,4]
[1,] 116 4.104226 32.9882 3029.800
      84 3.994615 49.1732 1950.147
[2.]
\gt # their normalized euclidean distance to the data summary statistics:
> rej$dist
 [1] 6.030324 9.400513 5.613765 8.993603 3.847707 5.885814 4.960505 5.605648
 [9] 8.706420 7.113637
   Note that a simulation code My_simulation_code can be passed to the function ABC_rejection
in several ways depending on its nature:
   • if it is a R function
     ABC_rejection(My_simulation_code, prior, nb_simul,...)
   • if it is a binary executable file and a single core is used (see section 3.2 for compatibility
     constraints)
```

5.3 Performing a sequential ABC scheme

constraints)

use_seed=TRUE)

Call:

Other functions of the EasyABC package are used in a very similar manner. To perform the algorithm of Beaumont et al. (2009), one needs to specify the sequence of tolerance levels $tolerance_tab$ and the number nb_simul of simulations to obtain below the tolerance level at each iteration:

• if it is a binary executable file and multiple cores are used (see section 3.3 for compatibility

ABC_rejection(binary_model("./My_simulation_code"), prior, nb_simul, use_seed=TRUE,...)

ABC_rejection(binary_model_cluster("./My_simulation_code"), prior, nb_simul, n_cluster=2,

```
> n=10
[1] 10
> tolerance=c(8,5)
[1] 8 5
> ABC_Beaumont<-ABC_sequential(method="Beaumont", model=trait_model,
+ prior=trait_prior, nb_simul=n, summary_stat_target=sum_stat_obs,
+ tolerance_tab=tolerance, use_seed=TRUE)
$param
                     [,2]
          [,1]
                                [,3]
                                              [,4]
 [1,] 3.362110 -1.9163965 23.0654334 -0.599666827
 [2,] 3.543818 0.9455070 17.2848491
                                      0.716968486
                                     0.779964720
 [3,] 3.260380 -0.9270426 25.3968857
 [4,] 3.398492 0.4703746 15.1099903 0.938328200
 [5,] 3.017150 -1.8711144 26.1860829 -0.002416091
 [6,] 4.053298 -1.7809923 14.1823387 -0.159038819
 [7,] 4.398392 1.0919829 5.7191547 2.949045622
 [8,] 4.358355 0.7294188 14.7202497
                                     2.627312598
 [9,] 4.694502
               1.1509709 0.9577352
                                      3.095833860
[10,] 3.672407 0.7490497 13.0817551 3.067217787
$stats
      [,1]
               [,2]
                       [,3]
 [1,]
        60 2.406482 35.3478 15610.260
        52 1.891610 21.0018 12123.542
 [2,]
 [3,]
        50 1.762070 31.1942 10202.962
 [4,]
        45 2.162352 17.7182 11280.426
 [5,]
       46 1.682788 34.1128 12557.523
      119 3.615008 36.6096 13923.754
 [6,]
 [7,]
      122 4.144510 14.6302 17235.203
 [8,]
      118 4.079771 19.0042 12605.343
 [9,]
      149 4.212484 14.8760 20535.516
[10,]
       86 3.506517 17.2494 6748.929
$weights
 [1] 0.11597293 0.06118753 0.07779398 0.05992568 0.15439436 0.07654255
 [7] 0.11863352 0.08190165 0.18240961 0.07123820
$stats_normalization
[1] 4.530833e+01 9.914929e-01 1.550687e+01 1.311587e+04
$epsilon
[1] 4.782638
$nsim
[1] 72
$computime
[1] 25.20616
```

To perform the algorithm of Drovandi and Pettitt (2011), one needs to specify four arguments: the initial number of simulations nb_simul , the final tolerance level $tolerance_tab$, the proportion

 α of best-fit simulations to update the tolerance level at each step, and the target proportion c of unmoved particles during the MCMC jump. Note that default values alpha=0.5 and c=0.01 are used if not specified, following Drovandi and Pettitt (2011).

> n=10

\$nsim

```
[1] 10
> tolerance=3
[1] 3
> c_drov=0.7
[1] 0.7
> ABC_Drovandi <- ABC_sequential (method="Drovandi", model=trait_model,
+ prior=trait_prior, nb_simul=n, summary_stat_target=sum_stat_obs,
+ tolerance_tab=tolerance, c=c_drov, use_seed=TRUE)
$param
          [,1]
                      [,2]
                                 [,3]
                                             [,4]
 [1,] 4.372120 -0.001121019 5.4715372 -0.12568710
 [2,] 4.051867 -0.125022011 12.1207510 -0.67154259
 [3,] 4.357840 -0.158636879 4.0214488 -0.14801890
 [4,] 4.003453 0.155106599 11.8676334 -0.43394316
 [5,] 4.497001 0.296629792 0.4179174 0.04238219
 [6,] 4.614254 -0.421605678 11.7121630 -0.13055283
 [7,] 4.255693 -0.030613125 13.7085732 -0.09558018
 [8,] 4.320190 0.177067473 15.5170178 0.26745481
 [9,] 4.704030 -0.007652111 9.6886842 0.34755989
[10,] 4.202139 -0.031661415 9.6060166 -0.11315922
$stats
      [,1]
               [,2]
                      [,3]
                               [,4]
 [1,]
       96 2.038106 16.2344 24729.78
 [2,]
       76 1.519483 22.5206 27628.05
 [3,]
       95 2.213074 16.8628 31063.59
 [4,]
       65 1.960228 18.8398 21922.02
 [5,]
       93 2.712320 13.6572 37907.42
      110 2.657473 25.9604 20921.48
 [6,]
       91 1.819804 22.4596 19743.10
 [7,]
 [8,]
       85 2.351128 21.5434 15320.82
 [9,]
      124 2.648244 22.0450 26811.07
[10,]
       85 2.017672 17.5428 20111.10
$weights
 $stats_normalization
                                18.184548 14175.858029
[1]
      45.687583
                    1.130895
$epsilon
[1] 1.204596
```

25

[1] 40

\$computime

[1] 10.46042

To perform the algorithm of Del Moral et al. (2012), one needs to specify five arguments: the initial number of simulations nb_simul , the number α controlling the decrease in effective sample size of the particle set at each step, the number M of simulations performed for each particle, the minimal effective sample size $nb_threshold$ below which a resampling of particles is performed and the final tolerance level $tolerance_target$. Note that default values alpha = 0.5, M = 1 and $nb_threshold = nb_simul/2$ are used if not specified.

```
> n=10
[1] 10
> alpha_delmo=0.5
[1] 0.5
> tolerance=3
[1] 3
> ABC_Delmoral <- ABC_sequential (method="Delmoral", model=trait_model,
+ prior=trait_prior, nb_simul=n, summary_stat_target=sum_stat_obs,
+ alpha=alpha_delmo, tolerance_target=tolerance, use_seed=TRUE)
$param
                                              [,4]
          [,1]
                       [,2]
                                 [,3]
 [1,] 4.340312 0.27803253 12.362276
                                       1.38257144
 [2,] 4.142546 -0.85552836
                            8.562840
                                       0.51965039
 [3,] 4.137010 0.08351261
                            3.671017
                                       2.36717400
 [4,] 4.108617 -0.06937268 10.516238 -0.03101547
 [5,] 4.254593
                0.35733560 13.356750
                                       1.44322454
 [6,] 4.129874 0.13015864 10.201600 -0.10552508
 [7,] 4.157252 -0.16565665
                             4.865025
                                       1.99019890
 [8,] 4.034561 -0.46067583
                             5.810586
                                       1.22583149
 [9,] 4.186188 -0.13743236
                            7.820983
                                       1.35175359
[10,] 4.254593 0.35733560 13.356750
                                       1.44322454
$stats
      [,1]
               [,2]
                        [,3]
 [1,]
       101 3.233236 20.2856 22151.89
 [2,]
        93 2.580371 22.1176 22572.67
 [3,]
       107 3.402067 15.8006 32264.44
        77 1.631609 19.8354 25750.84
 [4,]
 [5,]
        87 3.080927 19.0860 17732.19
 [6,]
        81 2.024566 17.0106 18401.83
 [7,]
        97 3.042123 14.1450 26042.48
 [8,]
        87 3.104230 14.3686 28931.67
        97 2.808620 17.5424 28791.39
 [9,]
[10,]
        87 3.080927 19.0860 17732.19
```

\$weights

```
$stats_normalization
                                   14.887083 13081.213750
[1]
       32.616288
                      1.050966
$epsilon
[1] 1.37042
$nsim
[1] 52
$computime
[1] 14.03641
   To perform the algorithm of Lenormand et al. (2012), one needs to specify three arguments:
the initial number of simulations nb\_simul, the proportion \alpha of best-fit simulations to update
the tolerance level at each step, and the stopping criterion p\_acc\_min. Note that default values
alpha = 0.5 and p\_acc\_min = 0.05 are used if not specified, following Lenormand et al. (2012).
> n=10
[1] 10
> pacc=0.4
[1] 0.4
> ABC_Lenormand <- ABC_sequential (method="Lenormand", model=trait_model,
+ prior=trait_prior, nb_simul=n, summary_stat_target=sum_stat_obs,
+ p_acc_min=pacc, use_seed=TRUE)
$param
         [,1]
                     [,2]
                                  [,3]
                                             [,4]
[1,] 4.254358 0.67734880 -16.8617382 2.6479600
                            0.4900064 1.2325553
[2,] 4.445944 0.56201781
[3,] 4.311286 0.80106938 -8.8084257 1.8764795
[4,] 4.435050 1.09891586
                           -8.8751332 1.7323782
[5,] 4.015515 0.09330785
                            8.2441773 0.7349239
$stats
     [,1]
               [,2]
                        [,3]
                                 [,4]
       97 2.350220 14.5432 38810.13
[1,]
       99 2.932686 10.8976 31649.37
[2,]
[3,]
       92 2.022476 13.4914 37207.87
[4,]
     104 2.453041 14.7336 30082.29
[5,]
       78 2.565734 16.4970 24526.29
```

\$weights

 $\hbox{\tt [1]} \ \ 0.998046231893 \ \ 0.000437068797 \ \ 0.000943254729 \ \ 0.000570850134 \ \ 0.000002594446 \\$

\$stats_normalization

[1] 32.028460 0.789409 18.695073 20209.843809

\$epsilon

[1] 0.6767142

\$nsim

[1] 30

\$computime [1] 7.717272

5.4 Performing a ABC-MCMC scheme

To perform the algorithm of Marjoram et al. (2003), one needs to specify five arguments: the number of sampled points n_obs in the Markov Chain, the number of chain points between two sampled points $n_between_sampling$, the maximal distance accepted between simulations and data $dist_max$, a vector $tab_normalization$ precising the scale of each summary statistics, and a vector $proposal_range$ precising the maximal distances in each dimension of the parameter space for a jump of the MCMC. All these arguments have default values (see the package help for the function ABC_mcmc), so that ABC_mcmc will work without user-defined values.

```
> n=10
```

[1] 10

```
> ABC_Marjoram_original <- ABC_mcmc (method="Marjoram_original", model=trait_model, + prior=trait_prior, summary_stat_target=sum_stat_obs, n_rec=n, use_seed=TRUE)
```

- [1] "Warning: summary statistics are normalized by default through a division by the target summary
- [1] "Consider providing normalization constants for each summary statistics in the option 'tab_no
- [1] "Warning: default values for proposal distributions are used they may not be appropriate to [1] "Consider providing proposal range constants for each parameter in the option 'proposal_range
- [1] "Warning: a default value for the tolerance has been computed it may not be appropriate to
- [1] "Consider providing a tolerance value in the option 'dist_max' or using the method 'Marjoram' \$param

```
[,1] [,2] [,3] [,4]
[1,] 3.984570 -1.578408 14.256927 0.6581642
```

- [2,] 3.969757 -1.615593 8.883852 0.4808648
- [3,] 4.050718 -1.635453 1.154231 0.4598898
- [4,] 3.952810 -1.477809 2.848626 0.3693518
- [5,] 3.902162 -1.324620 9.587037 0.4132991
- [6,] 3.786937 -1.169397 5.559542 0.1600895
- [7,] 3.734713 -1.076094 1.868093 0.3708209
- [8,] 3.772987 -1.302925 3.604081 0.7205216
- [9,] 3.767133 -1.558852 6.068112 1.0466644
- [10,] 3.747369 -1.619245 5.327671 0.6117989

\$stats

\$dist

```
[1] 0.43724649 0.47262333 0.23370176 0.18473307 0.32390316 0.28577873
 [7] 0.07520636 0.64006404 0.12016672 0.71801328
$stats_normalization
[1]
      100.0
                 2.5
                         20.0 30000.0
$epsilon
[1] 0.7180133
$nsim
[1] 117
$n_between_sampling
[1] 10
$computime
[1] 33.43741
   To perform the algorithm of Marjoram et al. (2003) in which some of the arguments (dist_max,
tab_normalization and proposal_range) are automatically determined by the algorithm via an ini-
tial calibration step, one needs to specify three arguments: the number n\_calibration of simulations
to perform at the calibration step, the tolerance quantile tolerance_quantile to be used for the de-
termination of dist_max and the scale factor proposal_phi to determine the proposal range. These
modifications are drawn from the algorithm of Wegmann et al. (2009a), without relying on PLS re-
gressions. The arguments are set by default to: n_{calibration} = 10000, tolerance_quantile = 0.01
and proposal\_phi = 1. This way of automatic determination of dist\_max, tab\_normalization
and proposal_range is strongly recommended, compared to the crude automatic determination
proposed in the method Marjoram_original.
> n=10
[1] 10
> n_calib=10
[1] 10
> tol_quant=0.2
[1] 0.2
> ABC_Marjoram<-ABC_mcmc(method="Marjoram", model=trait_model, prior=trait_prior,
+ summary_stat_target=sum_stat_obs,
+ n_rec=n, n_calibration=n_calib, tolerance_quantile=tol_quant, use_seed=TRUE)
$param
                      [,2]
           [,1]
                                 [,3]
                                           [,4]
 [1,] 4.115426 1.1865669 -14.54047 2.349663
 [2,] 4.289728 0.8985444 -14.21536 2.300746
 [3,] 4.075787 0.7751278 -13.41022 2.252856
 [4,] 4.028230 0.4111453 -14.37283 2.279049
 [5,] 3.776659 0.2202650 -14.75167 2.346337
 [6,] 4.147357 1.0586071 -14.84010 2.269477
```

[7,] 4.539625 0.7540618 -14.78166 2.277144 [8,] 4.535849 0.9051123 -14.33861 2.299759 [9,] 4.683974 1.4155578 -15.63771 2.354334

```
$stats
      [,1]
                [,2]
                         [,3]
                                   [,4]
 [1,]
        83 2.029417 12.5896 34728.17
 [2,]
        98 2.164385 15.7910 39733.34
 [3,]
        86 2.186348 11.8936 26936.54
 [4,]
        85 2.535419 13.3010 30819.58
 [5,]
        60 2.094319 10.2276 34978.01
 [6,]
        85 2.368022 12.7514 38007.69
 [7,]
       106 2.820272 14.8216 34880.34
 [8,]
       108 2.759367 14.0104 33008.43
       126 3.066390 19.0438 34065.71
 [9,]
[10,]
       135 2.795673 16.8298 36103.96
$dist
 [1] 0.6339966 0.4828550 0.4416867 0.2953621 1.7061686 0.5555346 0.2704325
 [8] 0.2336529 0.8490355 1.1706433
$stats_normalization
       36.150304
[1]
                      1.089173
                                    19.359279 16752.375923
$epsilon
[1] 1.706169
$nsim
[1] 101
$n_between_sampling
[1] 10
$computime
[1] 14.51544
   To perform the algorithm of Wegmann et al. (2009a), one needs to specify four arguments:
the number n_{-}calibration of simulations to perform at the calibration step, the tolerance quantile
tolerance_quantile to be used for the determination of dist_max, the scale factor proposal_phi
to determine the proposal range and the number of components numcomp to be used in PLS
regressions. The arguments are set by default to: n_calibration = 10000, tolerance_quantile =
0.01, proposal_phi = 1 and numcomp = 0, this last default value encodes a choice of a number of
PLS components equal to the number of summary statistics.
> n=10
[1] 10
> n_calib=10
[1] 10
> tol_quant=0.2
[1] 0.2
\verb|>ABC_Wegmann<-ABC_mcmc(method="Wegmann", model=trait_model, prior=trait_prior, |
```

[10,] 4.833111 1.5423433 -15.97945 2.339791

+ n_rec=n, n_calibration=n_calib, tolerance_quantile=tol_quant, use_seed=TRUE)

+ summary_stat_target=sum_stat_obs,

```
$param
                   [,2]
                              [,3]
                                         [,4]
          [,1]
 [1,] 4.225115 -1.381768 28.759221 -0.3101907
 [2,] 4.436673 -1.328295 24.400979 0.2475667
 [3,] 4.351628 -1.320486 34.460974 0.9455777
 [4,] 4.417238 -1.194618 32.129256 0.9623111
 [5,] 4.384594 -1.166664 -10.322963 1.4549720
 [6,] 4.329375 -1.098870 -8.919255 2.2419654
 [7,] 4.192275 -1.188336 -16.896695 3.1576779
 [8,] 4.041720 -1.276073 16.852170 2.0168759
 [9,] 4.117776 -1.310829 30.413170 2.5659969
[10,] 4.123259 -1.426421 42.968055 0.9686041
$stats
     [,1]
              [,2]
                      [,3]
 [1,] 127 3.929350 46.3498 6912.529
 [2,] 135 4.189612 45.8662 12936.989
 [3,] 128 4.062813 44.5150 8370.946
 [4,]
      126 3.868675 40.9250 8595.739
 [5,]
      147 4.576483 42.6156 7195.583
      141 4.160727 39.8326 7773.300
 [6,]
 [7,] 119 4.295466 34.1300 19515.267
 [8,]
       98 3.624219 28.1252 17693.454
 [9,] 125 4.324740 41.6464 9662.371
[10,] 118 3.897027 45.2468 4250.056
$dist
 [1] 274.0430 269.6363 264.7562 242.0988 264.8865 238.2733 183.9820 107.3151
 [9] 250.6253 270.5716
$epsilon
[1] 274.043
```

\$nsim

[1] 101

\$n_between_sampling

[1] 10

\$min_stats

[1] 39.000000 1.265209 45.204200 -30671.542592

\$max_stats

[1] 167.000000 4.379303 86.895000 6912.529042

\$lambda

[1] 1.818182 6.666667 -1.818182 1.818182

\$geometric_mean

[1] 1.488403 1.724166 1.341237 1.570146

\$boxcox_mean

[1] 0.4700921 0.3964014 0.4530387 0.5441397

```
$boxcox_sd
[1] 0.2926750 0.2194902 0.3303628 0.3097827
$pls_transform
                      [,2]
                                             [,4]
           [,1]
                                  [,3]
[1,] 0.5095797 0.6422352 -0.5287851
                                       0.2341219
[2,] -0.4931136 -0.2050114 -0.4735561
[3,] 0.5895638 -0.4377380 0.3337282
[4,] 0.3330872 -0.6882035 -0.5341195 -0.3607565
$n_component
[1] 4
$computime
[1] 33.98933
```

5.5 Using multiple cores

The functions of the package EasyABC can launch the simulations on multiple cores of a computer: users only have to indicate the number of cores they wish to use in the argument $n_cluster$ of the functions. The compatibility constraints of the simulation code are slightly different when using multiple cores: please refer to section 3.3 for more information.

6 Troubleshooting and development

Please send comments, suggestions and bug reports to nicolas.dumoulin@irstea.fr or franck.jabot@irstea.fr Any new development of more efficient ABC schemes that could be included in the package is particularly welcome.

7 Programming Acknowledgements

The EasyABC package makes use of a number of R tools, among which:

- the R package lhs (Carnell 2012) for latin hypercube sampling.
- the R package MASS (Venables and Ripley 2002) for boxcox transformation.
- the R package mnormt (Genz and Azzalini 2012) for multivariate normal generation.
- the R package pls (Mevik and Wehrens 2011) for partial least square regression.
- the R script for the Wegmann et al. (2009a)'s algorithm drawn from the ABCtoolbox documentation (Wegmann et al. 2009b).

We thank Sylvie Huet, Albert Ko and Matteo Fasiolo for their suggestions and inputs in the development of version 1.3.

8 References

Beaumont, M. A., Cornuet, J., Marin, J., and Robert, C. P. (2009) Adaptive approximate Bayesian computation. *Biometrika*, **96**, 983–990.

Carnell, R. (2012) lhs: Latin Hypercube Samples. R package version 0.10. http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=lhs

Csilléry, K., François, O., and Blum, M.G.B. (2012) abc: an r package for approximate bayesian computation (abc). *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, **3**, 475–479.

Del Moral, P., Doucet, A., and Jasra, A. (2012) An adaptive sequential Monte Carlo method for approximate Bayesian computation. *Statistics and Computing*, **22**, 1009–1020.

Drovandi, C. C. and Pettitt, A. N. (2011) Estimation of parameters for macroparasite population evolution using approximate Bayesian computation. *Biometrics*, **67**, 225–233.

Genz, A., and Azzalini, A. (2012) mnormt: The multivariate normal and t distributions. R package version 1.4-5. http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=mnormt

Jabot, F. (2010) A stochastic dispersal-limited trait-based model of community dynamics. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, **262**, 650–661.

Lenormand, M., Jabot, F., Deffuant G. (2012) Adaptive approximate Bayesian computation for complex models. http://arxiv.org/pdf/1111.1308.pdf

Marjoram, P., Molitor, J., Plagnol, V. and Tavaré, S. (2003) Markov chain Monte Carlo without likelihoods. *PNAS*, **100**, 15324–15328.

Mevik, B.-H., and Wehrens, R. (2011) pls: Partial Least Squares and Principal Component regression. R package version 2.3-0. http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=pls

Pritchard, J.K., and M.T. Seielstad and A. Perez-Lezaun and M.W. Feldman (1999) Population growth of human Y chromosomes: a study of Y chromosome microsatellites. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, **16**, 1791–1798.

Sisson, S.A., Fan, Y., and Tanaka, M.M. (2007) Sequential Monte Carlo without likelihoods. *PNAS*, **104**, 1760–1765.

Venables, W.N., and Ripley, B.D. (2002) Modern Applied Statistics with S. Fourth Edition. Springer, New York.

Wegmann, D., Leuenberger, C. and Excoffier, L. (2009a) Efficient approximate Bayesian computation coupled with Markov chain Monte Carlo without likelihood. *Genetics*, **182**, 1207-1218.

Wegmann, D., Leuenberger, C. and Excoffier, L. (2009b) Using ABCtoolbox. http://cmpg.unibe.ch/software/abctoolbox/ABCtoolbox_manual.pdf