## Model selection with MuMIn and GAMM

### Kamil Bartoń

August 3, 2011

# 1 Extending MuMIn's functionality to support gamm

The two principal functions in MuMIn, model.avg and dredge rely on availability of methods for a several generic function for the class of the given fitted model object. These generic functions include ones defined in package stats (logLik, formula, nobs, and optionally deviance which may simply return NULL), as well as ones defined in MuMIn itself (coeffs, getAllTerms and tTable). In some cases the default methods may work as well.

In case of gamm and gamm4, the returned object has no special class, it is a list with two items: lme or mer, and gam (with some information stripped from it). Therefore no specific methods can be applied.

The solution is to provide a wrapper function for gamm that evaluates the model and adds a class attribute onto it, e.g.:

```
> gamm <- function(...) structure(c(mgcv::gamm(...), list(call = match.call())),
+ class = c("gamm", "list"))
similarly for gamm4 (but assign the same class gamm):
> gamm4 <- function(...) structure(c(gamm4::gamm4(...), list(call = match.call())),
+ class = c("gamm", "list"))</pre>
```

As they have the same names as the actual functions, so it is invisible for the user, and masks the original functions on the level of .GlobalEnv.

In addition, the wrappers add a call element, containing the original call to the wrapper function. It is not necessary, but makes things easier later on for dredge.

Once we have an object of class gamm, it is possible to provide methods for it. First let us define the generic methods from stats.

```
> logLik.gamm <- function(object, ...) logLik(object[[if (is.null(object$lme)) "mer" else "lme"]],
+ ...)
> formula.gamm <- function(x, ...) formula(x$gam, ...)
> nobs.gamm <- function(object, ...) nobs(object$gam, ...)</pre>
```

It should be noted here that the issue of what the log-likelihood for GAMM should be is not entirely clear. The documentation for gamm states that the log-likelihood of lme is not the one of the fitted GAMM. However, comparing alternative models shows some evidence that it may be still appropriate for gamm. Namely the log-likelihood of fitted lme, and one of the lme part of gamm (including only linear terms to make the comparison adequate), have identical values.

```
> dat <- gamSim(6, n = 100, scale = 0.2, dist = "gaussian")</pre>
4 term additive + random effectGu & Wahba 4 term additive model
> fm1 <- gamm(y \sim x0 + x1 + x2 + x3, data = dat, random = list(fac = ~1),
     method = "ML")
> fm2 < -lme(y ~x0 + x1 + x2 + x3, data = dat, random = list(fac = ~1),
      method = "ML")
> logLik(fm1$lme)
'log Lik.' -214.5197 (df=7)
> logLik(fm2)
'log Lik.' -214.5197 (df=7)
   Likewise is in the generalised case of gamm4 and lmer:
> dat <- gamSim(6, n = 100, scale = 0.2, dist = "poisson")</pre>
4 term additive + random effectGu & Wahba 4 term additive model
> fmg1 < -gamm4(y \sim x0 + x1 + x2 + x3, family = poisson, data = dat,
      random = ~(1 | fac))
> fmg2 <- lmer(y ~x0 + x1 + x2 + x3 + (1 | fac), family = poisson,
      data = dat)
> logLik(fmg1$mer)
'log Lik.' -460.5087 (df=6)
> logLik(fmg2)
'log Lik.' -460.5087 (df=6)
   Similarly, comparison of gamm4 with a smooth term, with fixed two degrees of freedom gives
log-likelihood which is very close to that of lmer that includes a linear and quadratic term.
> fmgs1 < -gamm4(y \sim x0 + s(x1, k = 3, fx = TRUE) + x2 + x3, family = poisson,
      data = dat, random = ~(1 | fac))
> fmgs2 <- lmer(y \sim x0 + x1 + I(x1^2) + x2 + x3 + (1 | fac), family = poisson,
      data = dat)
> logLik(fmgs1$mer)
'log Lik.' -459.4854 (df=7)
> logLik(fmgs2)
'log Lik.' -460.3622 (df=7)
```

Normally, the object returned by gam inherits also from glm, so the nobs method for glm is called, but in case of gamm the gam element has only class gam, so we need to define method directly (it just calls nobs.glm):

```
> nobs.gam <- function(object, ...) stats:::nobs.glm(object, ...)
    Methods for generic functions defined in MuMIn:
> coeffs.gamm <- function(model) coef(model$gam)
> getAllTerms.gamm <- function(x, ...) getAllTerms(x$gam)
> tTable.gamm <- function(model, ...) tTable(model$gam)</pre>
```

(The name tTable is somewhat misleading, as the data.frame returned does not have to contain t-values, required are two columns 'Estimate' and 'Std. Error')

### 2 Model selection

Now we are ready to proceed with the model selection:

```
> fmgs1 < -gamm4(y ~s(x0) + s(x1) + s(x2) + s(x3), family = poisson,
     data = dat, random = ~(1 | fac))
> (dd <- dredge(fmgs1))</pre>
Global model: gamm4(y \sim s(x0) + s(x1) + s(x2) + s(x3), family = poisson, data = dat,
   random = (1 | fac)
Model selection table
   (Int) s(x0) s(x1) s(x2) s(x3) k AICc
                                          delta
                                                   weight
8 3.097 +
                                 8 197.6
                                             0.000 0.901
                                10 202.0
16 3.096 +
                                             4.427 0.099
7 3.119
                                 6
                                    258.5
                                           60.930 0.000
15 3.117
                                 8 264.5
                                           66.910 0.000
14 3.123 +
                                 8 526.7 329.200 0.000
13 3.136
                                 6 541.4
                                           343.800 0.000
6 3.139 +
                                    546.5
                                 6
                                           348.900 0.000
5 3.154
                                 4 570.4
                                           372.800 0.000
12 3.148 +
                                 8 698.4 500.800 0.000
                                           713.900 0.000
4 3.189 +
                                 6 911.5
11 3.200
                          +
                                 6 1007.0 809.800 0.000
10 3.209 +
                                 6 1153.0 955.000 0.000
3 3.237
                                 4 1210.0 1012.000 0.000
2 3.249 +
                                 4 1315.0 1117.000 0.000
9 3.261
                                 4 1410.0 1213.000 0.000
1 3.304
                                 2 1624.0 1426.000 0.000
```

<sup>&</sup>gt; summary(model.avg(dd, subset = delta <= 8))

```
Call: model.avg(object = dd, subset = delta <= 8)</pre>
```

#### Model summary:

Deviance AICc Delta Weight 1+2+3 197.56 0.00 0.9 1+2+3+4 201.99 4.43 0.1

#### Variables:

1 2 3 4 s(x0) s(x1) s(x2) s(x3)

## Model-averaged coefficients:

Model-averaged coefficients:					
	Coefficient	SE 2	z value	Pr(> z )	
(Intercept)	3.097e+00	3.174e-01	9.758	< 2e-16	***
s(x0).1	-2.940e-01	1.109e-01	2.651	0.008028	**
s(x0).2	-1.515e-01	3.174e-01	0.477	0.633289	
s(x0).3	9.648e-03	7.350e-02	0.131	0.895559	
s(x0).4	-1.059e-01	1.754e-01	0.604	0.545859	
s(x0).5	3.116e-02	5.853e-02	0.532	0.594481	
s(x0).6	-1.395e-01	1.584e-01	0.880	0.378622	
s(x0).7	5.167e-02	6.826e-02	0.757	0.449082	
s(x0).8	5.924e-01	3.963e-01	1.495	0.134994	
s(x0).9	2.113e-01	1.749e-01	1.208	0.227065	
s(x1).1	9.901e-03	3.950e-02	0.251	0.802062	
s(x1).2	1.714e-02	6.347e-02	0.270	0.787079	
s(x1).3	5.271e-03	2.023e-02	0.261	0.794462	
s(x1).4	1.647e-02	3.449e-02	0.477	0.633094	
s(x1).5	-7.000e-03	1.422e-02	0.492	0.622478	
s(x1).6	-1.773e-02	3.088e-02	0.574	0.565908	
s(x1).7	-2.885e-03	8.010e-03	0.360	0.718730	
s(x1).8	-9.510e-02	1.032e-01	0.922	0.356607	
s(x1).9	3.805e-01	5.020e-02	7.581	< 2e-16	***
s(x2).1	1.089e+00	1.952e-01	5.578	< 2e-16	***
s(x2).2	-2.615e+00	6.846e-01	3.819	0.000134	***
s(x2).3	-1.712e+00	2.503e-01	6.843	< 2e-16	***
s(x2).4	-4.566e-01	4.323e-01	1.056	0.290877	
s(x2).5	1.995e-01	1.751e-01	1.139	0.254537	
s(x2).6	-9.772e-01	4.896e-01	1.996	0.045955	*
s(x2).7	-4.775e-02	2.428e-01	0.197	0.844070	
s(x2).8	3.361e+00	1.074e+00	3.128	0.001758	**
s(x2).9	1.162e+00	4.706e-01	2.470	0.013527	*
s(x3).1	0.000e+00	4.560e-07	0.000	1.000000	
s(x3).2	6.407e-36	7.213e-07	0.000	1.000000	
s(x3).3	5.520e-37	1.428e-07	0.000	1.000000	
s(x3).4	-3.223e-36	4.225e-07	0.000	1.000000	

```
s(x3).5 5.615e-37 1.276e-07 0.000 1.000000 s(x3).6 -2.195e-36 3.703e-07 0.000 1.000000 s(x3).7 1.093e-36 1.875e-07 0.000 1.000000 s(x3).8 -1.437e-20 1.266e-06 0.000 1.000000 s(x3).9 1.391e-03 7.738e-03 0.180 0.857333 ---
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

Non-present predictors taken to be zero

Relative variable importance: s(x0) s(x1) s(x2) s(x3) 1.0 1.0 0.1