nlmrt-vignette

John C. Nash

August 11, 2012

Background

This vignette discusses the R package `nlmrt`, that aims to provide computationally robust tools for nonlinear least squares problems. Note that R already has the `nls()` function to solve nonlinear least squares problems, and this function has a large repertoire of tools for such problems. However, it is specifically NOT indicated for problems where the residuals are small or zero. Furthermore, it frequently fails to find a solution if starting parameters are provided that are not close enough to a solution. The tools of `nlmrt` are very much intended to cope with both these issues.

The functions are also intended to provide stronger support for bounds constraints and to introduce the capability for **masks**, that is, parameters that are fixed for a given run of the function.

`nlmrt` tools generally do not return the large nls-style object. However, we do provide a tool `wrapnls` that will run either `nlxb` followed by a call to `nls`. The call to `nls` is adjusted to use the `port` algorithm if there are bounds constraints.

1 An example problem and its solution

Let us try an example initially presented by (Ratkowsky 1983) and developed by (Huet et al. 1996). This is a model for the regrowth of pasture. We set up the computation by putting the data for the problem in a data frame, and specifying the formula for the model. This can be as a formula object, but I have found that saving it as a character string seems to give fewer difficulties. Note the " ~ " that implies "is modeled by". There must be such an element in the formula for this package (and for `nls()`). We also specify two sets of starting parameters, that is, the `ones` which is a trivial (but possibly unsuitable) start with all parameters set to 1, and `huetstart` which was suggested in (Huet et al. 1996). Finally we load the routines in the package `nlmrt`.

```
options(width = 60)
pastured <- data.frame(time = c(9, 14, 21, 28, 42, 57, 63, 70, 79), yield = c(8.93,
    10.8, 18.59, 22.33, 39.35, 56.11, 61.73, 64.62, 67.08))
regmod <- "yield ~ t1 - t2*exp(-exp(t3+t4*log(time)))"
```

```
ones <- c(t1 = 1, t2 = 1, t3 = 1, t4 = 1)  # all ones start
huetstart <- c(t1 = 70, t2 = 60, t3 = 0, t4 = 1)
require(nlmrt)

## Loading required package:  nlmrt

## Loading required package:  minpack.lm

## Loading required package:  optimx

## Loading required package:  numDeriv
```

Let us now call the routine `nlsmnqb` (even though we are not specifying bounds). We try both starts.

```
anmrt <- nlxb(regmod, start = ones, trace = FALSE, data = pastured)
print(anmrt)

## $resid
## [1]  0.48070  0.66931 -2.28433  0.84374  0.73458  0.06655
## [7] -0.98581 -0.02506  0.50032
##
## $jacobian
##       t1      t2     t3     t4
##  [1,]  1 -0.9816  1.126  2.475
##  [2,]  1 -0.9482  3.111  8.211
##  [3,]  1 -0.8698  7.485 22.787
##  [4,]  1 -0.7584 12.935 43.102
##  [5,]  1 -0.4843 21.659 80.956
##  [6,]  1 -0.2234 20.652 83.498
##  [7,]  1 -0.1493 17.515 72.569
##  [8,]  1 -0.0869 13.095 55.634
##  [9,]  1 -0.0385  7.735 33.798
##
## $feval
## [1] 76
##
## $jeval
## [1] 50
##
## $coeffs
## [1] 69.955 61.681 -9.209  2.378
##
## $ssquares
## [1] 8.376
##
```

```
anmrtx <- try(nlxb(regmod, start = huetstart, trace = FALSE,
    data = pastured))
print(strwrap(anmrtx))

##  [1] "c(0.480699476110992, 0.669309701586503,"
##  [2] "-2.28432650017661, 0.843738460841614,"
##  [3] "0.734575256138093, 0.0665546618861583,"
##  [4] "-0.985808933151056, -0.0250584603521418,"
##  [5] "0.500316337120296)"
##  [6] "c(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, -0.981567160420883,"
##  [7] "-0.948192289406167, -0.869783557170751,"
##  [8] "-0.758436212560273, -0.484272123696113,"
##  [9] "-0.223383622127412, -0.149331587423979,"
## [10] "-0.0869019449646661, -0.0385020596618461,"
## [11] "1.12642043233262, 3.11132895498809, 7.48468988716119,"
## [12] "12.9349083313689, 21.6594224095687, 20.652293670436,"
```

```
## [13] "17.51548586967, 13.0949252904654, 7.73503096811733,"
## [14] "2.47499865833493, 8.2109754835055, 22.7873063008638,"
## [15] "43.1017598804902, 80.9557650898109, 83.4982821079476,"
## [16] "72.56901775625, 55.6337277915341, 33.7978144524062)"
## [17] "61"
## [18] "39"
## [19] "c(69.9551789601637, 61.6814436396711,"
## [20] "-9.20893535565824, 2.37781880027694)"
## [21] "8.37588355893792"
```

Note that the standard `nls()` of R fails to find a solution from either start.

```
anls <- try(nls(regmod, start = ones, trace = FALSE, data = pastured))
print(strwrap(anls))

## [1] "Error in nlsModel(formula, mf, start, wts) : singular"
## [2] "gradient matrix at initial parameter estimates"
```

```
anlsx <- try(nls(regmod, start = huetstart, trace = FALSE, data = pastured))
print(strwrap(anlsx))

## [1] "Error in nls(regmod, start = huetstart, trace ="
## [2] "FALSE, data = pastured) : singular gradient"
```

In both cases, the `nls()` failed with a 'singular gradient'. This implies the Jacobian is effectively singular at some point. The Levenberg-Marquardt stabilization used in `nlxb` avoids this particular issue by augmenting the Jacobian until it is non-singular. The details of this common approach may be found elsewhere (Nash 1979). ?? Do we want a page ref?

There are some other tools for R that aim to solve nonlinear least squares problems. We have not yet been able to successfully use the INRA package `nls2`. This is a quite complicated package and is not installable as a regular R package using `install.packages()`. Note that there is a very different package by the same name on CRAN by Gabor Grothendieck.

2 The `nls` solution

We can call `nls` after getting a potential nonlinear least squares solution using `nlxb`. Package `nlmrt` has function `wrapnls` to allow this to be carried out automatically. Thus,

```
awnls <- wrapnls(regmod, start = ones, data = pastured)
print(awnls)

## Nonlinear regression model
##   model:  yield ~ t1 - t2 * exp(-exp(t3 + t4 * log(time)))
##    data:  data
##    t1    t2    t3    t4
## 69.96 61.68 -9.21  2.38
##  residual sum-of-squares: 8.38
##
## Number of iterations to convergence: 0
## Achieved convergence tolerance: 8.33e-08

cat("Note that the above is just the nls() summary result.\n")

## Note that the above is just the nls() summary result.
```

3 Problems specified by residual functions

The model expressions in R , such as

`yield ~ t1 - t2*exp(-exp(t3+t4*log(time)))`

are an extremely helpful feature of the language. Moreover, they are used to compute symbolic or automatic derivatives, so we do not have to rely on numerical approximations for the Jacobian of the nonlinar least squares problem. However, there are many situations where the expression structure is not flexible enough to allow us to define our residuals, or where the construction of the residuals is simply too complicated. In such cases it is helpful to have tools that work with R functions.

Once we have an R function for the residuals, we can use the safeguarded Marquardt routine `nlfb` from package `nlmrt` or else the routine `nls.lm` from package `minpack.lm` (Elzhov, Mullen, Spiess, and Bolker 2012). The latter is built on the Minpack Fortran codes of (Moré, Garbow, and Hillstrom 1980) implemented by Kate Mullen. `nlfb` is written entirely in R , and is intended to be quite aggessive in ensuring it finds a good minimum. Thus these two approaches have somewhat different characteristics.

Let us consider a slightly different problem, called WEEDS. Here the objective is to model a set of 12 data points (density y of weeds at annual time points tt) versus the time index. (A minor note: use of `t` rather than `tt` in R may encourage confusion with the transpose function `t()`, so I tend to avoid plain `t`.) The model suggested was a 3-parameter logistic function,

$y_{model} = b_1/(1 + b_2 exp(-b_3 tt))$

and while it is possible to use this formulation, a scaled version gives slightly better results

$y_{model} = 100 b_1/(1 + 10 b_2 exp(-0.1 b_3 tt))$

The residuals for this latter model (in form "model" minus "data") are coded in R in the following code chunk in the function `shobbs.res`. We have also coded the Jacobian for this model as `shobbs.jac`

```
shobbs.res <- function(x) {
    # scaled Hobbs weeds problem -- residual
    # This variant uses looping
    if (length(x) != 3)
        stop("hobbs.res -- parameter vector n!=3")
    y <- c(5.308, 7.24, 9.638, 12.866, 17.069, 23.192, 31.443,
        38.558, 50.156, 62.948, 75.995, 91.972)
    tt <- 1:12
    res <- 100 * x[1]/(1 + x[2] * 10 * exp(-0.1 * x[3] * tt)) -
        y
}

shobbs.jac <- function(x) {
    # scaled Hobbs weeds problem -- Jacobian
    jj <- matrix(0, 12, 3)
    tt <- 1:12
    yy <- exp(-0.1 * x[3] * tt)  # We don't need data for the Jacobian
    zz <- 100/(1 + 10 * x[2] * yy)
    jj[tt, 1] <- zz
    jj[tt, 2] <- -0.1 * x[1] * zz * zz * yy
    jj[tt, 3] <- 0.01 * x[1] * zz * zz * yy * x[2] * tt
    return(jj)
```

```
}
```

With package `nlmrt`, function `nlfb` can be used to estimate the parameters of the WEEDS problem as follows, where we use the naive starting point where all parameters are 1.

```
st <- c(b1 = 1, b2 = 1, b3 = 1)
ans1 <- nlfb(st, shobbs.res, shobbs.jac, trace = FALSE)
print(ans1)

## $resid
##  [1]  0.01190 -0.03276  0.09203  0.20878  0.39263 -0.05759
##  [7] -1.10573  0.71579 -0.10765 -0.34840  0.65259 -0.28757
##
## $jacobian
##          [,1]   [,2]    [,3]
##  [1,]  2.712 -1.054  0.5176
##  [2,]  3.674 -1.414  1.3885
##  [3,]  4.960 -1.884  2.7742
##  [4,]  6.664 -2.486  4.8814
##  [5,]  8.901 -3.240  7.9537
##  [6,] 11.792 -4.157 12.2438
##  [7,] 15.464 -5.224 17.9522
##  [8,] 20.019 -6.399 25.1294
##  [9,] 25.511 -7.594 33.5526
## [10,] 31.908 -8.683 42.6252
## [11,] 39.069 -9.513 51.3725
## [12,] 46.733 -9.948 58.6047
##
## $feval
## [1] 24
##
## $jeval
## [1] 15
##
## $coeffs
## [1] 1.962 4.909 3.136
##
## $ssquares
## [1] 2.587
##
```

This works very well, with almost identical iterates as given by `nlxb`. (Since the algorithms are the same, this should be the case.) Note that we turn off the `trace` output. There is also the possibility of interrupting the iterations to `watch` the progress. Changing the value of `watch` in the call to `nlfb` below allows this. In this code chunk, we use an internal numerical approximation to the Jacobian.

```
cat("No jacobian function -- use internal approximation\n")

## No jacobian function -- use internal approximation

ans1n <- nlfb(st, shobbs.res, trace = FALSE, control = list(watch = FALSE))  # NO jacfn
print(ans1n)

## $resid
##  [1]  0.01190 -0.03276  0.09203  0.20878  0.39263 -0.05759
##  [7] -1.10573  0.71579 -0.10765 -0.34840  0.65259 -0.28757
##
## $jacobian
```

```
##         [,1]   [,2]    [,3]
##  [1,]  2.712 -1.054  0.5176
##  [2,]  3.674 -1.414  1.3885
##  [3,]  4.960 -1.884  2.7742
##  [4,]  6.664 -2.486  4.8814
##  [5,]  8.901 -3.240  7.9537
##  [6,] 11.792 -4.157 12.2438
##  [7,] 15.464 -5.224 17.9522
##  [8,] 20.019 -6.399 25.1294
##  [9,] 25.511 -7.594 33.5526
## [10,] 31.908 -8.683 42.6252
## [11,] 39.069 -9.513 51.3725
## [12,] 46.733 -9.948 58.6047
##
## $feval
## [1] 29
##
## $jeval
## [1] 15
##
## $coeffs
## [1] 1.962 4.909 3.136
##
## $ssquares
## [1] 2.587
##
```

Note that we could also form the sum of squares function and the gradient and use a function minimization code. The next code block shows how this is done, creating the sum of squares function and its gradient, then using the `optimx` package to call a number of minimizers simultaneously.

```
shobbs.f <- function(x) {
    res <- shobbs.res(x)
    as.numeric(crossprod(res))
}
shobbs.g <- function(x) {
    res <- shobbs.res(x)  # This is NOT efficient -- we generally have res already calculated
    JJ <- shobbs.jac(x)
    2 * as.vector(crossprod(JJ, res))
}
require(optimx)
aopx <- optimx(st, shobbs.f, shobbs.g, control = list(all.methods = TRUE))

## Attaching package:  'RvmminCRAN'

## The following object(s) are masked from 'package:optimx':
##
## optansout

## end topstuff in optimxCRAN

optansout(aopx, NULL)  # no file output

##                    par
## 2  1.912, 4.825, 3.159
## 3  1.964, 4.912, 3.134
## 7  1.962, 4.909, 3.136
## 5  1.962, 4.909, 3.136
## 1  1.962, 4.909, 3.136
## 12 1.962, 4.909, 3.136
## 11 1.962, 4.909, 3.136
## 4  1.962, 4.909, 3.136
## 10 1.962, 4.909, 3.136
```

```
## 6  1.962, 4.909, 3.136
## 9  1.962, 4.909, 3.136
## 8  1.962, 4.909, 3.136
##    fvalues      method  fns grs itns conv  KKT1 KKT2 xtimes
## 2    2.668          CG  427 101 NULL    1 FALSE TRUE  0.016
## 3    2.588 Nelder-Mead  196  NA NULL    0 FALSE TRUE  0.004
## 7    2.587         spg  188  NA  150    0  TRUE TRUE  0.032
## 5    2.587         nlm   NA  NA   50    0  TRUE TRUE  0.004
## 1    2.587        BFGS  119  36 NULL    0  TRUE TRUE  0.004
## 12   2.587      bobyqa  705  NA NULL    0  TRUE TRUE  0.016
## 11   2.587      newuoa 1957  NA NULL    0  TRUE TRUE  0.052
## 4    2.587    L-BFGS-B   41  41 NULL    0  TRUE TRUE  0.004
## 10   2.587      Rvmmin   83  47 NULL    0  TRUE TRUE  0.012
## 6    2.587      nlminb   31  29   28    0  TRUE TRUE  0.004
## 9    2.587      Rcgmin  138  50 NULL    0  TRUE TRUE  0.012
## 8    2.587      ucminf   46  46 NULL    0  TRUE TRUE  0.004

## [1] TRUE

cat("\nNow with numerical gradient approximation or derivative free methods\n")

##
## Now with numerical gradient approximation or derivative free methods

aopxn <- optimx(st, shobbs.f, control = list(all.methods = TRUE))

## end topstuff in optimxCRAN

## Warning:  A NULL gradient function is being replaced with
## fwd diff for Rcgmin

## function(x) {
##     res <- shobbs.res(x)
##     as.numeric(crossprod(res))
## }

## Warning:  Numerical gradients may be inappropriate for
## Rvmmin

optansout(aopxn, NULL)  # no file output

##                    par
## 2  1.800, 4.597, 3.208
## 3  1.964, 4.912, 3.134
## 8  1.962, 4.909, 3.136
## 7  1.962, 4.909, 3.136
## 1  1.962, 4.909, 3.136
## 10 1.962, 4.909, 3.136
## 4  1.962, 4.909, 3.136
## 5  1.962, 4.909, 3.136
## 12 1.962, 4.909, 3.136
## 11 1.962, 4.909, 3.136
## 9  1.962, 4.909, 3.136
## 6  1.962, 4.909, 3.136
##    fvalues      method  fns grs itns conv  KKT1 KKT2 xtimes
## 2     3.83          CG  413 101 NULL    1 FALSE TRUE   0.02
## 3    2.588 Nelder-Mead  196  NA NULL    0 FALSE TRUE  0.004
## 8    2.587      ucminf   45  45 NULL    0 FALSE TRUE  0.004
## 7    2.587         spg  174  NA  135    0  TRUE TRUE  0.032
## 1    2.587        BFGS  118  36 NULL    0  TRUE TRUE  0.008
## 10   2.587      Rvmmin   83  44 NULL    0  TRUE TRUE  0.012
## 4    2.587    L-BFGS-B   45  45 NULL    0  TRUE TRUE  0.004
## 5    2.587         nlm   NA  NA   50    0  TRUE TRUE  0.004
## 12   2.587      bobyqa  705  NA NULL    0  TRUE TRUE   0.02
## 11   2.587      newuoa 1957  NA NULL    0  TRUE TRUE  0.056
## 9    2.587      Rcgmin  128  48 NULL    0  TRUE TRUE  0.068
## 6    2.587      nlminb   32  93   27    0  TRUE TRUE      0

## [1] TRUE
```

We see that most of the minimizers work with either the analytic or approximated gradient. The 'CG' option of function `optim()` does not do very well in either case. As the author of the original step and description and then Turbo Pascal code, I can say I was never very happy with this method and replaced it recently with `Rcgmin` from the package of the same name, in the process adding the possibility of bounds or masks constraints.

4 Converting an expression to a function

Clearly if we have an expression, it would be nice to be able to automatically convert this to a function, if possible also getting the derivatives. Indeed, it is possible to convert an expression to a function, and there are several ways to do this (references??). In package `nlmrt` we provide the tools `model2grfun.R`, `model2jacfun.R`, `model2resfun.R`, and `model2ssfun.R` to convert a model expression to a function to compute the gradient, Jacobian, residuals or sum of squares functions respectively. We do not provide any tool for converting a function for the residuals back to an expression, as functions can use structures that are not easily expressed as R expressions.

Below are code chunks to illustrate the generation of the residual, sum of squares, Jacobian and gradient code for the Ratkowsky problem used earlier in the vignette. The commented-out first line shows how we would use one of these function generators to output the function to a file named "testresfn.R". However, it is not necessary to generate the file.

First, let us generate the residuals. We must supply the names of the parameters, and do this via the starting vector of parameters `ones`. The actual values are not needed by `model2resfun`, just the names. Other names are drawn from the variables used in the model expression `regmod`.

```
# jres <- model2resfun(regmod, ones, funname='myxres',
# file='testresfn.R')
jres <- model2resfun(regmod, ones)
print(jres)

## function (prm, yield = NULL, time = NULL)
## {
##     t1 <- prm[[1]]
##     t2 <- prm[[2]]
##     t3 <- prm[[3]]
##     t4 <- prm[[4]]
##     resids <- as.numeric(eval(t1 - t2 * exp(-exp(t3 + t4 * log(time))) -
##         yield))
## }
## <environment: 0xa40166c>

valjres <- jres(ones, yield = pastured$yield, time = pastured$time)
cat("valjres:")

## valjres:

print(valjres)

## [1]  -7.93  -9.80 -17.59 -21.33 -38.35 -55.11 -60.73 -63.62
## [9] -66.08
```

Now let us also generate the Jacobian and test it using the numerical approximations from package `numDeriv`.

```
jjac <- model2jacfun(regmod, ones)
print(jjac)

## function (prm, yield = NULL, time = NULL)
## {
##     t1 <- prm[[1]]
##     t2 <- prm[[2]]
##     t3 <- prm[[3]]
##     t4 <- prm[[4]]
##     localdf <- data.frame(yield, time)
##     jstruc <- with(localdf, eval({
##         .expr1 <- log(time)
##         .expr4 <- exp(t3 + t4 * .expr1)
##         .expr6 <- exp(-.expr4)
##         .value <- t1 - t2 * .expr6 - yield
##         .grad <- array(0, c(length(.value), 4), list(NULL, c("t1",
##             "t2", "t3", "t4")))
##         .grad[, "t1"] <- 1
##         .grad[, "t2"] <- -.expr6
##         .grad[, "t3"] <- t2 * (.expr6 * .expr4)
##         .grad[, "t4"] <- t2 * (.expr6 * (.expr4 * .expr1))
##         attr(.value, "gradient") <- .grad
##         .value
##     }))
##     jacmat <- attr(jstruc, "gradient")
##     return(jacmat)
## }
## <environment: 0xa5394f4>

# Note that we now need some data!
valjjac <- jjac(ones, yield = pastured$yield, time = pastured$time)
cat("valjac:")

## valjac:

print(valjjac)

##       t1         t2        t3        t4
##  [1,]  1 -2.372e-11 5.804e-10 1.275e-09
##  [2,]  1 -2.968e-17 1.130e-15 2.981e-15
##  [3,]  1 -1.617e-25 9.232e-24 2.811e-23
##  [4,]  1 -8.811e-34 6.706e-32 2.235e-31
##  [5,]  1 -2.615e-50 2.986e-48 1.116e-47
##  [6,]  1 -5.123e-68 7.938e-66 3.209e-65
##  [7,]  1 -4.230e-75 7.243e-73 3.001e-72
##  [8,]  1 -2.304e-83 4.385e-81 1.863e-80
##  [9,]  1 -5.467e-94 1.174e-91 5.130e-91

# Now compute the numerical approximation
Jn <- jacobian(jres, ones, , yield = pastured$yield, time = pastured$time)
cat("maxabsdiff=", max(abs(Jn - valjjac)), "\n")

## maxabsdiff= 3.774e-10
```

As with the WEEDS problem, we can compute the sum of squares function and the gradient.

```
ssfn <- model2ssfun(regmod, ones)  # problem getting the data attached!
print(ssfn)

## function (prm, yield = NULL, time = NULL)
```

```
## {
##     t1 <- prm[[1]]
##     t2 <- prm[[2]]
##     t3 <- prm[[3]]
##     t4 <- prm[[4]]
##     resids <- as.numeric(eval(t1 - t2 * exp(-exp(t3 + t4 * log(time))) -
##         yield))
##     ss <- as.numeric(crossprod(resids))
## }
## <environment: 0xa475ddc>

valss <- ssfn(ones, yield = pastured$yield, time = pastured$time)
cat("valss: ", valss, "\n")

## valss:  17533

grfn <- model2grfun(regmod, ones)  # problem getting the data attached!
print(grfn)

## function (prm, yield = NULL, time = NULL)
## {
##     t1 <- prm[[1]]
##     t2 <- prm[[2]]
##     t3 <- prm[[3]]
##     t4 <- prm[[4]]
##     localdf <- data.frame(yield, time)
##     jstruc <- with(localdf, eval({
##         .expr1 <- log(time)
##         .expr4 <- exp(t3 + t4 * .expr1)
##         .expr6 <- exp(-.expr4)
##         .value <- t1 - t2 * .expr6 - yield
##         .grad <- array(0, c(length(.value), 4), list(NULL, c("t1",
##             "t2", "t3", "t4")))
##         .grad[, "t1"] <- 1
##         .grad[, "t2"] <- -.expr6
##         .grad[, "t3"] <- t2 * (.expr6 * .expr4)
##         .grad[, "t4"] <- t2 * (.expr6 * (.expr4 * .expr1))
##         attr(.value, "gradient") <- .grad
##         .value
##     }))
##     jacmat <- attr(jstruc, "gradient")
##     resids <- as.numeric(eval(t1 - t2 * exp(-exp(t3 + t4 * log(time))) -
##         yield))
##     grj <- as.vector(2 * crossprod(jacmat, resids))
## }
## <environment: 0x9dda370>

valgr <- grfn(ones, yield = pastured$yield, time = pastured$time)
cat("valgr:")

## valgr:

print(valgr)

## [1] -6.811e+02  3.763e-10 -9.205e-09 -2.023e-08

gn <- grad(ssfn, ones, yield = pastured$yield, time = pastured$time)
cat("maxabsdiff=", max(abs(gn - valgr)), "\n")

## maxabsdiff= 7.477e-08
```

Moreover, we can use the Huet starting parameters as a double check on our conversion of the expression to various optimization-style functions.

```r
cat("\n\nHuetstart:")
```

```
##
##
## Huetstart:
```

```r
print(huetstart)
```

```
## t1 t2 t3 t4
## 70 60  0  1
```

```r
valjres <- jres(huetstart, yield = pastured$yield, time = pastured$time)
cat("valjres:")
```

```
## valjres:
```

```r
print(valjres)
```

```
## [1] 61.06 59.20 51.41 47.67 30.65 13.89  8.27  5.38  2.92
```

```r
valss <- ssfn(huetstart, yield = pastured$yield, time = pastured$time)
cat("valss:", valss, "\n")
```

```
## valss: 13387
```

```r
valjjac <- jjac(huetstart, yield = pastured$yield, time = pastured$time)
cat("valjac:")
```

```
## valjac:
```

```r
print(valjjac)
```

```
##       t1         t2        t3        t4
##  [1,]  1 -1.234e-04 6.664e-02 1.464e-01
##  [2,]  1 -8.315e-07 6.985e-04 1.843e-03
##  [3,]  1 -7.583e-10 9.554e-07 2.909e-06
##  [4,]  1 -6.914e-13 1.162e-09 3.871e-09
##  [5,]  1 -5.750e-19 1.449e-15 5.415e-15
##  [6,]  1 -1.759e-25 6.015e-22 2.432e-21
##  [7,]  1 -4.360e-28 1.648e-24 6.828e-24
##  [8,]  1 -3.975e-31 1.670e-27 7.094e-27
##  [9,]  1 -4.906e-35 2.325e-31 1.016e-30
```

```r
Jn <- jacobian(jres, huetstart, , yield = pastured$yield, time = pastured$time)
cat("maxabsdiff=", max(abs(Jn - valjjac)), "\n")
```

```
## maxabsdiff= 5.395e-10
```

```r
valgr <- grfn(huetstart, yield = pastured$yield, time = pastured$time)
cat("valgr:")
```

```
## valgr:
```

```r
print(valgr)
```

```
## [1] 560.90509  -0.01517   8.22138  18.10084
```

```r
gn <- grad(ssfn, huetstart, yield = pastured$yield, time = pastured$time)
cat("maxabsdiff=", max(abs(gn - valgr)), "\n")
```

```
## maxabsdiff= 5.953e-08
```

Now that we have these functions, let us apply them with `nlfb`.

```
cat("All ones to start\n")

## All ones to start

anlfb <- nlfb(ones, jres, jjac, trace = FALSE, yield = pastured$yield,
    time = pastured$time)
print(strwrap(anlfb))

##  [1] "c(0.480699475409779, 0.669309701325741,"
##  [2] "-2.28432649983562, 0.843738461541676,"
##  [3] "0.734575256578069, 0.0665546616416748,"
##  [4] "-0.985808933450038, -0.0250584605193325,"
##  [5] "0.500316337308163)"
##  [6] "c(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, -0.981567160415026,"
##  [7] "-0.948192289394349, -0.869783557151951,"
##  [8] "-0.758436212539591, -0.484272123689345,"
##  [9] "-0.22338362214097, -0.14933158744104,"
## [10] "-0.086901944981799, -0.0385020596749348,"
## [11] "1.12642043272705, 3.1113289557883, 7.48468988842378,"
## [12] "12.9349083327494, 21.6594224104496, 20.6522936715837,"
## [13] "17.5154858712384, 13.0949252924535, 7.73503097021314,"
## [14] "2.47499865920158, 8.21097548561731, 22.7873063047078,"
## [15] "43.1017598850905, 80.9557650931036, 83.498282112588,"
## [16] "72.569017762748, 55.6337277999807, 33.7978144615637)"
## [17] "74"
## [18] "48"
## [19] "c(69.9551789612429, 61.6814436418531,"
## [20] "-9.20893535490747, 2.37781880008123)"
## [21] "8.37588355893788"

cat("Huet start\n")

## Huet start

anlfbh <- nlfb(huetstart, jres, jjac, trace = FALSE, yield = pastured$yield,
    time = pastured$time)
print(strwrap(anlfbh))

##  [1] "c(0.480699465869456, 0.669309697775223,"
##  [2] "-2.28432649519877, 0.84373847107085,"
##  [3] "0.734575262591456, 0.0665546583437617,"
##  [4] "-0.985808937499776, -0.0250584627932966,"
##  [5] "0.500316339841277)"
##  [6] "c(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, -0.981567160335378,"
##  [7] "-0.94819228923362, -0.869783556896137,"
##  [8] "-0.75843621225793, -0.484272123596337,"
##  [9] "-0.223383622324199, -0.149331587672017,"
## [10] "-0.0869019452139657, -0.0385020598524092,"
## [11] "1.12642043808933, 3.11132896666899, 7.48468990559557,"
## [12] "12.9349083515304, 21.6594224224275, 20.652293687139,"
## [13] "17.5154858924942, 13.0949253194057, 7.73503099863509,"
## [14] "2.47499867098372, 8.21097551433206, 22.7873063569877,"
## [15] "43.1017599476725, 80.9557651378729, 83.498282175479,"
## [16] "72.5690178508139, 55.6337279144867, 33.7978145857519)"
## [17] "60"
## [18] "37"
## [19] "c(69.9551789758633, 61.6814436714725,"
## [20] "-9.20893534470294, 2.37781879742191)"
## [21] "8.37588355893793"
```

5 Using bounds and masks

The manual for `nls()` tells us that bounds are restricted to the 'port' algorithm.

```
lower, upper: vectors of lower and upper bounds, replicated to be as
          long as 'start'.  If unspecified, all parameters are assumed
          to be unconstrained.  Bounds can only be used with the
          '"port"' algorithm.  They are ignored, with a warning, if
          given for other algorithms.
```

Later in the manual, there is the discomforting warning:

> ```
> The 'algorithm = "port"' code appears unfinished, and does not
> even check that the starting value is within the bounds.  Use with
> caution, especially where bounds are supplied.
> ```

We will base the rest of this discussion on the examples in man/nlmrt-package.Rd, and use an unscaled version of the WEEDS problem.

First, let us estimate the model with no constraints.

```
require(nlmrt)
# Data for Hobbs problem
ydat <- c(5.308, 7.24, 9.638, 12.866, 17.069, 23.192, 31.443,
    38.558, 50.156, 62.948, 75.995, 91.972)
tdat <- 1:length(ydat)
weeddata1 <- data.frame(y = ydat, tt = tdat)
start1 <- c(b1 = 1, b2 = 1, b3 = 1)  # name parameters for nlxb, nls, wrapnls.
eunsc <- y ~ b1/(1 + b2 * exp(-b3 * tt))
anlxb1 <- try(nlxb(eunsc, start = start1, data = weeddata1))
print(anlxb1)

## $resid
##  [1]  0.01190 -0.03276  0.09203  0.20878  0.39263 -0.05759
##  [7] -1.10573  0.71579 -0.10765 -0.34840  0.65259 -0.28757
##
## $jacobian
##             b1      b2      b3
##  [1,] 0.02712 -0.1054   5.176
##  [2,] 0.03674 -0.1414  13.885
##  [3,] 0.04960 -0.1884  27.742
##  [4,] 0.06664 -0.2486  48.814
##  [5,] 0.08901 -0.3240  79.537
##  [6,] 0.11792 -0.4157 122.438
##  [7,] 0.15464 -0.5224 179.522
##  [8,] 0.20019 -0.6399 251.294
##  [9,] 0.25511 -0.7594 335.526
## [10,] 0.31908 -0.8683 426.252
## [11,] 0.39069 -0.9513 513.725
## [12,] 0.46733 -0.9948 586.047
##
## $feval
## [1] 36
##
## $jeval
## [1] 22
##
## $coeffs
## [1] 196.1863  49.0916   0.3136
##
## $ssquares
## [1] 2.587
##
```

Now let us see if we can apply bounds. Note that we name the parameters in the vectors for the bounds. First we apply bounds that are NOT active at the unconstrained solution.

```
# WITH BOUNDS
startf1 <- c(b1 = 1, b2 = 1, b3 = 0.1)  # a feasible start when b3 <= 0.25
anlxb1 <- try(nlxb(eunsc, start = startf1, lower = c(b1 = 0,
    b2 = 0, b3 = 0), upper = c(b1 = 500, b2 = 100, b3 = 5), data = weeddata1))
print(anlxb1)

## $resid
##  [1]  0.01190 -0.03276  0.09203  0.20878  0.39263 -0.05759
##  [7] -1.10573  0.71579 -0.10765 -0.34840  0.65259 -0.28757
##
## $jacobian
##            b1      b2      b3
##  [1,] 0.02712 -0.1054   5.176
##  [2,] 0.03674 -0.1414  13.885
##  [3,] 0.04960 -0.1884  27.742
##  [4,] 0.06664 -0.2486  48.814
##  [5,] 0.08901 -0.3240  79.537
##  [6,] 0.11792 -0.4157 122.438
##  [7,] 0.15464 -0.5224 179.522
##  [8,] 0.20019 -0.6399 251.294
##  [9,] 0.25511 -0.7594 335.526
## [10,] 0.31908 -0.8683 426.252
## [11,] 0.39069 -0.9513 513.725
## [12,] 0.46733 -0.9948 586.047
##
## $feval
## [1] 29
##
## $jeval
## [1] 17
##
## $coeffs
## [1] 196.1863  49.0916   0.3136
##
## $ssquares
## [1] 2.587
##
```

We note that `nls()` also solves this case.

```
anlsb1 <- try(nls(eunsc, start = startf1, lower = c(b1 = 0, b2 = 0,
    b3 = 0), upper = c(b1 = 500, b2 = 100, b3 = 5), data = weeddata1,
    algorithm = "port"))
print(anlsb1)

## Nonlinear regression model
##   model:  y ~ b1/(1 + b2 * exp(-b3 * tt))
##    data:  weeddata1
##      b1      b2      b3
## 196.186  49.092   0.314
##  residual sum-of-squares: 2.59
##
## Algorithm "port", convergence message: relative convergence (4)
```

Now we will change the bounds so the start is infeasible.

```
## Uncon solution has bounds ACTIVE. Infeasible start
anlxb2i <- try(nlxb(eunsc, start = start1, lower = c(b1 = 0,
    b2 = 0, b3 = 0), upper = c(b1 = 500, b2 = 100, b3 = 0.25),
    data = weeddata1))
print(anlxb2i)
```

```
## [1] "Error in nlxb(eunsc, start = start1, lower = c(b1 = 0, b2 = 0, b3 = 0),  : \n  Infeasible start\n"
## attr(,"class")
## [1] "try-error"
## attr(,"condition")
## <simpleError in nlxb(eunsc, start = start1, lower = c(b1 = 0, b2 = 0, b3 = 0),     upper = c(b1 = 500, b2 = 100, b3 = 0.25), d

anlsb2i <- try(nls(eunsc, start = start1, lower = c(b1 = 0, b2 = 0,
    b3 = 0), upper = c(b1 = 500, b2 = 100, b3 = 0.25), data = weeddata1,
    algorithm = "port"))
print(anlsb2i)

## [1] "Error in nls(eunsc, start = start1, lower = c(b1 = 0, b2 = 0, b3 = 0),  : \n  Convergence failure: initial par violates c
## attr(,"class")
## [1] "try-error"
## attr(,"condition")
## <simpleError in nls(eunsc, start = start1, lower = c(b1 = 0, b2 = 0, b3 = 0),     upper = c(b1 = 500, b2 = 100, b3 = 0.25), da
```

Both `nlxb()` and `nls()` (with 'port') do the right thing and refuse to proceed. There is a minor "glitch" in the output processing of both `knitR` and `Sweave` here. Let us start them off properly and see what they accomplish.

```
## Uncon solution has bounds ACTIVE. Feasible start
anlxb2f <- try(nlxb(eunsc, start = startf1, lower = c(b1 = 0,
    b2 = 0, b3 = 0), upper = c(b1 = 500, b2 = 100, b3 = 0.25),
    data = weeddata1))

## Warning:  NaNs produced

print(anlxb2f)

## $resid
##  [1]  1.8873  1.9614  2.1153  2.1255  2.0179  1.0532 -0.7345
##  [8]  0.1965 -1.4661 -2.1116 -0.4888  0.9925
##
## $jacobian
##       b1       b2 b3
##  [1,]  0 -0.08064  0
##  [2,]  0 -0.10270  0
##  [3,]  0 -0.13051  0
##  [4,]  0 -0.16536  0
##  [5,]  0 -0.20875  0
##  [6,]  0 -0.26233  0
##  [7,]  0 -0.32774  0
##  [8,]  0 -0.40652  0
##  [9,]  0 -0.49974  0
## [10,]  0 -0.60761  0
## [11,]  0 -0.72893  0
## [12,]  0 -0.86056  0
##
## $feval
## [1] 32
##
## $jeval
## [1] 16
##
## $coeffs
## [1] 500.00  87.94   0.25
##
## $ssquares
## [1] 29.99
##

anlsb2f <- try(nls(eunsc, start = startf1, lower = c(b1 = 0,
    b2 = 0, b3 = 0), upper = c(b1 = 500, b2 = 100, b3 = 0.25),
    data = weeddata1, algorithm = "port"))
print(anlsb2f)
```

```
## Nonlinear regression model
##   model:  y ~ b1/(1 + b2 * exp(-b3 * tt))
##    data:  weeddata1
##     b1     b2     b3
## 500.00  87.94   0.25
##  residual sum-of-squares: 30
##
## Algorithm "port", convergence message: both X-convergence and relative convergence (5)
```

Both methods get essentially the same answer for the bounded problem, and this solution has parameters `b1` and `b3` at their upper bounds. The Jacobian elements for these parameters are zero as returned by `nlxb()`.

Let us now turn to **masks**, which functions from `nlmrt` are designed to handle. Masks are also available with packages `Rcgmin` and `Rvmmin`. I would like to hear if other packages offer this capability.

```
## TEST MASKS
anlsmnqm <- try(nlxb(eunsc, start = start1, lower = c(b1 = 0,
    b2 = 0, b3 = 0), upper = c(b1 = 500, b2 = 100, b3 = 5), masked = c("b2"),
    data = weeddata1))
print(anlsmnqm)  # b2 masked

## $resid
##  [1]  22.387  22.901  22.856  21.850  19.709  15.468   8.911
##  [8]   3.299  -6.981 -18.628 -30.690 -45.827
##
## $jacobian
##           b1 b2    b3
##  [1,] 0.5495  0 12.48
##  [2,] 0.5980  0 24.23
##  [3,] 0.6447  0 34.64
##  [4,] 0.6888  0 43.22
##  [5,] 0.7297  0 49.71
##  [6,] 0.7670  0 54.04
##  [7,] 0.8006  0 56.31
##  [8,] 0.8305  0 56.77
##  [9,] 0.8566  0 55.71
## [10,] 0.8793  0 53.48
## [11,] 0.8989  0 50.40
## [12,] 0.9156  0 46.76
##
## $feval
## [1] 57
##
## $jeval
## [1] 33
##
## $coeffs
## [1] 50.4018  1.0000  0.1986
##
## $ssquares
## [1] 6181
##

an1qm3 <- try(nlxb(eunsc, start = start1, data = weeddata1, masked = c("b3")))
print(an1qm3)  # b3 masked

## $resid
##  [1]  -5.2150  -6.9877  -8.9560 -11.0394 -12.2945 -11.4407
##  [7]  -6.0304   5.8440  11.0794   8.2119  -0.3233 -14.4932
##
## $jacobian
##             b1         b2 b3
##  [1,] 0.001184 -4.049e-05  0
```

```
##  [2,] 0.003211 -1.096e-04  0
##  [3,] 0.008680 -2.947e-04  0
##  [4,] 0.023248 -7.778e-04  0
##  [5,] 0.060766 -1.955e-03  0
##  [6,] 0.149563 -4.357e-03  0
##  [7,] 0.323435 -7.495e-03  0
##  [8,] 0.565121 -8.418e-03  0
##  [9,] 0.779365 -5.890e-03  0
## [10,] 0.905678 -2.926e-03  0
## [11,] 0.963101 -1.217e-03  0
## [12,] 0.986101 -4.694e-04  0
##
## $feval
## [1] 48
##
## $jeval
## [1] 31
##
## $coeffs
## [1]   78.57 2293.95    1.00
##
## $ssquares
## [1] 1031
##
```

```
# Note that the parameters are put in out of order to test
# code.
an1qm123 <- try(nlxb(eunsc, start = start1, data = weeddata1,
    masked = c("b2", "b1", "b3")))
print(an1qm123)  # ALL masked - fails!!
```

```
## [1] "Error in nlxb(eunsc, start = start1, data = weeddata1, masked = c(\"b2\",  : \n  All parameters are masked\n"
## attr(,"class")
## [1] "try-error"
## attr(,"condition")
## <simpleError in nlxb(eunsc, start = start1, data = weeddata1, masked = c("b2",     "b1", "b3")): All parameters are masked>
```

Finally (for `nlxb`) we combine the bounds and mask.

```
## BOUNDS and MASK
an1qbm2 <- try(nlxb(eunsc, start = startf1, data = weeddata1,
    lower = c(0, 0, 0), upper = c(200, 60, 0.3), masked = c("b2")))
```

```
## Warning:  NaNs produced
```

```
print(an1qbm2)
```

```
## $resid
##  [1]  22.387  22.901  22.856  21.850  19.709  15.468   8.911
##  [8]   3.299  -6.981 -18.628 -30.690 -45.827
##
## $jacobian
##           b1 b2    b3
##  [1,] 0.5495  0 12.48
##  [2,] 0.5980  0 24.23
##  [3,] 0.6447  0 34.64
##  [4,] 0.6888  0 43.22
##  [5,] 0.7297  0 49.71
##  [6,] 0.7670  0 54.04
##  [7,] 0.8006  0 56.31
##  [8,] 0.8305  0 56.77
##  [9,] 0.8566  0 55.71
## [10,] 0.8793  0 53.48
## [11,] 0.8989  0 50.40
## [12,] 0.9156  0 46.76
```

```
##
## $feval
## [1] 49
##
## $jeval
## [1] 27
##
## $coeffs
## [1] 50.4018  1.0000  0.1986
##
## $ssquares
## [1] 6181
##
```

```
an1qbm2x <- try(nlxb(eunsc, start = startf1, data = weeddata1,
    lower = c(0, 0, 0), upper = c(48, 60, 0.3), masked = c("b2")))
```

```
## Warning:  NaNs produced
```

```
## Warning:  NaNs produced
```

```
## Warning:  NaNs produced
```

```
## Warning:  NaNs produced
```

```
## Warning:  NaNs produced
```

```
print(an1qbm2x)
```

```
## $resid
##  [1]  21.274  21.864  21.876  20.901  18.761  14.494   7.885
##  [8]   2.200  -8.167 -19.913 -32.077 -47.317
##
## $jacobian
##       b1 b2    b3
##  [1,]  0  0 11.86
##  [2,]  0  0 22.91
##  [3,]  0  0 32.47
##  [4,]  0  0 40.05
##  [5,]  0  0 45.42
##  [6,]  0  0 48.59
##  [7,]  0  0 49.74
##  [8,]  0  0 49.19
##  [9,]  0  0 47.33
## [10,]  0  0 44.51
## [11,]  0  0 41.09
## [12,]  0  0 37.34
##
## $feval
## [1] 37
##
## $jeval
## [1] 19
##
## $coeffs
## [1] 48.000  1.000  0.216
##
## $ssquares
## [1] 6206
##
```

Turning to the function-based `nlfb`,

```
hobbs.res <- function(x) {
    # Hobbs weeds problem -- residual
```

```
    if (length(x) != 3)
        stop("hobbs.res -- parameter vector n!=3")
    y <- c(5.308, 7.24, 9.638, 12.866, 17.069, 23.192, 31.443,
        38.558, 50.156, 62.948, 75.995, 91.972)
    tt <- 1:12
    res <- x[1]/(1 + x[2] * exp(-x[3] * tt)) - y
}

hobbs.jac <- function(x) {
    # Hobbs weeds problem -- Jacobian
    jj <- matrix(0, 12, 3)
    tt <- 1:12
    yy <- exp(-x[3] * tt)
    zz <- 1/(1 + x[2] * yy)
    jj[tt, 1] <- zz
    jj[tt, 2] <- -x[1] * zz * zz * yy
    jj[tt, 3] <- x[1] * zz * zz * yy * x[2] * tt
    return(jj)
}
# Check unconstrained
ans1 <- nlfb(start1, hobbs.res, hobbs.jac)
ans1

## $resid
##  [1]  0.01190 -0.03276  0.09203  0.20878  0.39263 -0.05759
##  [7] -1.10573  0.71579 -0.10765 -0.34840  0.65259 -0.28757
##
## $jacobian
##          [,1]    [,2]    [,3]
##  [1,] 0.02712 -0.1054   5.176
##  [2,] 0.03674 -0.1414  13.885
##  [3,] 0.04960 -0.1884  27.742
##  [4,] 0.06664 -0.2486  48.814
##  [5,] 0.08901 -0.3240  79.537
##  [6,] 0.11792 -0.4157 122.438
##  [7,] 0.15464 -0.5224 179.522
##  [8,] 0.20019 -0.6399 251.294
##  [9,] 0.25511 -0.7594 335.526
## [10,] 0.31908 -0.8683 426.252
## [11,] 0.39069 -0.9513 513.725
## [12,] 0.46733 -0.9948 586.047
##
## $feval
## [1] 37
##
## $jeval
## [1] 24
##
## $coeffs
## [1] 196.1863  49.0916   0.3136
##
## $ssquares
## [1] 2.587
##

## No jacobian - use internal approximation
ans1n <- nlfb(start1, hobbs.res)
ans1n

## $resid
##  [1]  0.01190 -0.03276  0.09203  0.20878  0.39263 -0.05759
##  [7] -1.10573  0.71579 -0.10765 -0.34840  0.65259 -0.28757
##
## $jacobian
##          [,1]    [,2]    [,3]
##  [1,] 0.02712 -0.1054   5.176
##  [2,] 0.03674 -0.1414  13.885
```

```
##  [3,] 0.04960 -0.1884  27.742
##  [4,] 0.06664 -0.2486  48.814
##  [5,] 0.08901 -0.3240  79.537
##  [6,] 0.11792 -0.4157 122.438
##  [7,] 0.15464 -0.5224 179.522
##  [8,] 0.20019 -0.6399 251.294
##  [9,] 0.25511 -0.7594 335.526
## [10,] 0.31908 -0.8683 426.252
## [11,] 0.39069 -0.9513 513.725
## [12,] 0.46733 -0.9948 586.047
##
## $feval
## [1] 40
##
## $jeval
## [1] 22
##
## $coeffs
## [1] 196.1863  49.0916   0.3136
##
## $ssquares
## [1] 2.587
##

# Bounds -- infeasible start
ans2i <- nlfb(start1, hobbs.res, hobbs.jac, lower = c(b1 = 0,
    b2 = 0, b3 = 0), upper = c(b1 = 500, b2 = 100, b3 = 0.25))

## Error:  Infeasible start

ans2i

## Error:  object 'ans2i' not found

# Bounds -- feasible start
ans2f <- nlfb(startf1, hobbs.res, hobbs.jac, lower = c(b1 = 0,
    b2 = 0, b3 = 0), upper = c(b1 = 500, b2 = 100, b3 = 0.25))

## Warning:  NaNs produced

## Warning:  NaNs produced

## Warning:  NaNs produced

## Warning:  NaNs produced

ans2f

## $resid
##  [1]  1.8873  1.9614  2.1153  2.1255  2.0179  1.0532 -0.7345
##  [8]  0.1965 -1.4661 -2.1116 -0.4888  0.9925
##
## $jacobian
##       [,1]     [,2] [,3]
##  [1,]    0 -0.08064    0
##  [2,]    0 -0.10270    0
##  [3,]    0 -0.13051    0
##  [4,]    0 -0.16536    0
##  [5,]    0 -0.20875    0
##  [6,]    0 -0.26233    0
##  [7,]    0 -0.32774    0
##  [8,]    0 -0.40652    0
##  [9,]    0 -0.49974    0
## [10,]    0 -0.60761    0
## [11,]    0 -0.72893    0
## [12,]    0 -0.86056    0
```

```
##
## $feval
## [1] 31
##
## $jeval
## [1] 16
##
## $coeffs
## [1] 500.00  87.94   0.25
##
## $ssquares
## [1] 29.99
##

# Mask b2
ansm2 <- nlfb(start1, hobbs.res, hobbs.jac, maskidx = c(2))
ansm2

## $resid
##  [1]  22.387  22.901  22.856  21.850  19.709  15.468   8.911
##  [8]   3.299  -6.981 -18.628 -30.690 -45.827
##
## $jacobian
##         [,1] [,2]  [,3]
##  [1,] 0.5495    0 12.48
##  [2,] 0.5980    0 24.23
##  [3,] 0.6447    0 34.64
##  [4,] 0.6888    0 43.22
##  [5,] 0.7297    0 49.71
##  [6,] 0.7670    0 54.04
##  [7,] 0.8006    0 56.31
##  [8,] 0.8305    0 56.77
##  [9,] 0.8566    0 55.71
## [10,] 0.8793    0 53.48
## [11,] 0.8989    0 50.40
## [12,] 0.9156    0 46.76
##
## $feval
## [1] 56
##
## $jeval
## [1] 32
##
## $coeffs
## [1] 50.4018  1.0000  0.1986
##
## $ssquares
## [1] 6181
##

# Mask b3
ansm3 <- nlfb(start1, hobbs.res, hobbs.jac, maskidx = c(3))
ansm3

## $resid
##  [1]  -5.2150  -6.9877  -8.9560 -11.0394 -12.2945 -11.4407
##  [7]  -6.0304   5.8440  11.0794   8.2119  -0.3233 -14.4932
##
## $jacobian
##           [,1]       [,2] [,3]
##  [1,] 0.001184 -4.049e-05    0
##  [2,] 0.003211 -1.096e-04    0
##  [3,] 0.008680 -2.947e-04    0
##  [4,] 0.023248 -7.778e-04    0
##  [5,] 0.060766 -1.955e-03    0
##  [6,] 0.149563 -4.357e-03    0
##  [7,] 0.323435 -7.495e-03    0
```

```
##  [8,] 0.565121 -8.418e-03    0
##  [9,] 0.779365 -5.890e-03    0
## [10,] 0.905678 -2.926e-03    0
## [11,] 0.963101 -1.217e-03    0
## [12,] 0.986101 -4.694e-04    0
##
## $feval
## [1] 48
##
## $jeval
## [1] 31
##
## $coeffs
## [1]   78.57 2293.95    1.00
##
## $ssquares
## [1] 1031
##

# Mask all -- should fail
ansma <- nlfb(start1, hobbs.res, hobbs.jac, maskidx = c(3, 1,
    2))

## Error:  All parameters are masked

ansma

## Error:  object 'ansma' not found

# Bounds and mask
ansmbm2 <- nlfb(startf1, hobbs.res, hobbs.jac, maskidx = c(2),
    lower = c(0, 0, 0), upper = c(200, 60, 0.3))

## Warning:  NaNs produced

ansmbm2

## $resid
##  [1]  22.387  22.901  22.856  21.850  19.709  15.468   8.911
##  [8]   3.299  -6.981 -18.628 -30.690 -45.827
##
## $jacobian
##         [,1] [,2]  [,3]
##  [1,] 0.5495    0 12.48
##  [2,] 0.5980    0 24.23
##  [3,] 0.6447    0 34.64
##  [4,] 0.6888    0 43.22
##  [5,] 0.7297    0 49.71
##  [6,] 0.7670    0 54.04
##  [7,] 0.8006    0 56.31
##  [8,] 0.8305    0 56.77
##  [9,] 0.8566    0 55.71
## [10,] 0.8793    0 53.48
## [11,] 0.8989    0 50.40
## [12,] 0.9156    0 46.76
##
## $feval
## [1] 50
##
## $jeval
## [1] 28
##
## $coeffs
## [1] 50.4018  1.0000  0.1986
##
## $ssquares
## [1] 6181
##
```

```
# Active bound
ansmbm2x <- nlfb(startf1, hobbs.res, hobbs.jac, maskidx = c(2),
    lower = c(0, 0, 0), upper = c(48, 60, 0.3))

## Warning:  NaNs produced

## Warning:  NaNs produced

## Warning:  NaNs produced

ansmbm2x

## $resid
##  [1]  21.274  21.864  21.876  20.901  18.761  14.494   7.885
##  [8]   2.200  -8.167 -19.913 -32.077 -47.317
##
## $jacobian
##       [,1] [,2]  [,3]
##  [1,]    0    0 11.86
##  [2,]    0    0 22.91
##  [3,]    0    0 32.47
##  [4,]    0    0 40.05
##  [5,]    0    0 45.42
##  [6,]    0    0 48.59
##  [7,]    0    0 49.74
##  [8,]    0    0 49.19
##  [9,]    0    0 47.33
## [10,]    0    0 44.51
## [11,]    0    0 41.09
## [12,]    0    0 37.34
##
## $feval
## [1] 35
##
## $jeval
## [1] 17
##
## $coeffs
## [1] 48.000  1.000  0.216
##
## $ssquares
## [1] 6206
##
```

The results match those of `nlxb()`

Finally, let us check the results above with `Rvmmin` and `Rcgmin`. Note that this vignette cannot be created on systems that lack these codes.

```
require(Rcgmin)
require(Rvmmin)

## Loading required package:  Rvmmin

## Attaching package:  'Rvmmin'

## The following object(s) are masked from
## 'package:RvmminCRAN':
##
## Rvmmin

hobbs.f <- function(x) {
    res <- hobbs.res(x)
    as.numeric(crossprod(res))
}
```

```
hobbs.g <- function(x) {
    res <- hobbs.res(x)  # Probably already available
    JJ <- hobbs.jac(x)
    2 * as.numeric(crossprod(JJ, res))
}

# Check unconstrained
a1cg <- Rcgmin(start1, hobbs.f, hobbs.g)
a1cg

## $par
##       b1       b2       b3
## 196.1844  49.0909   0.3136
##
## $value
## [1] 2.587
##
## $counts
## [1] 1004  351
##
## $convergence
## [1] 1
##
## $message
## [1] "Too many function evaluations (> 1000) "
##

a1vm <- Rvmmin(start1, hobbs.f, hobbs.g)
a1vm

## $par
##       b1       b2       b3
## 196.1863  49.0916   0.3136
##
## $value
## [1] 2.587
##
## $counts
## [1] 199  52
##
## $convergence
## [1] 0
##
## $message
## [1] "Converged"
##

## No jacobian - use internal approximation
a1cgn <- Rcgmin(start1, hobbs.f)

## Warning:  A NULL gradient function is being replaced with
## fwd diff for Rcgmin

## function(x) {
##     res <- hobbs.res(x)
##     as.numeric(crossprod(res))
## }

a1cgn

## $par
##       b1       b2       b3
## 196.1862  49.0916   0.3136
##
## $value
## [1] 2.587
```

```
##
## $counts
## [1] 775 258
##
## $convergence
## [1] 0
##
## $message
## [1] "Rcgmin seems to have converged"
##

a1vmn <- Rvmmin(start1, hobbs.f)

## Warning:  A gradient calculation (analytic or numerical)
## MUST be provided for Rvmmin

a1vmn

## [[1]]
## b1 b2 b3
##  1  1  1
##
## [[2]]
## [1] NA
##
## [[3]]
## [1] 0 0
##
## [[4]]
## [1] 9999
##
## [[5]]
## [1] "No gradient function provided for Rvmmin"
##

# But
grfwd <- function(par, userfn, fbase = NULL, eps = 1e-07, ...) {
    # Forward different gradient approximation
    if (is.null(fbase))
        fbase <- userfn(par, ...)  # ensure we function value at par
    df <- rep(NA, length(par))
    teps <- eps * (abs(par) + eps)
    for (i in 1:length(par)) {
        dx <- par
        dx[i] <- dx[i] + teps[i]
        df[i] <- (userfn(dx, ...) - fbase)/teps[i]
    }
    df
}
a1vmn <- Rvmmin(start1, hobbs.f, gr = "grfwd")
a1vmn

## $par
##       b1       b2       b3
## 196.1870  49.0915   0.3136
##
## $value
## [1] 2.587
##
## $counts
## [1] 139  48
##
## $convergence
## [1] 0
##
## $message
## [1] "Converged"
##
```

```
# Bounds -- infeasible start Note: These codes move start
# to nearest bound
a1cg2i <- Rcgmin(start1, hobbs.f, hobbs.g, lower = c(b1 = 0,
    b2 = 0, b3 = 0), upper = c(b1 = 500, b2 = 100, b3 = 0.25))

## Warning:  x[3], set 1 to upper bound = 0.25

a1cg2i

## $par
##     b1     b2     b3
## 500.00  87.94   0.25
##
## $value
## [1] 29.99
##
## $counts
## [1] 87 45
##
## $convergence
## [1] 0
##
## $message
## [1] "Rcgmin seems to have converged"
##
## $bdmsk
## [1] -1  1 -1
##

a1vm2i <- Rvmmin(start1, hobbs.f, hobbs.g, lower = c(b1 = 0,
    b2 = 0, b3 = 0), upper = c(b1 = 500, b2 = 100, b3 = 0.25))

## Warning:  Parameter out of bounds has been moved to nearest
## bound

## Warning:  Too many function evaluations

a1vm2i  # Fails to get to solution!

## $par
##      b1      b2      b3
## 35.9647  1.1238  0.4096
##
## $value
## [1] 7220
##
## $counts
## [1] 3001    6
##
## $convergence
## [1] 1
##
## $message
## [1] "Too many function evaluations"
##
## $bdmsk
## [1] 1 1 1
##

# Bounds -- feasible start
a1cg2f <- Rcgmin(startf1, hobbs.f, hobbs.g, lower = c(b1 = 0,
    b2 = 0, b3 = 0), upper = c(b1 = 500, b2 = 100, b3 = 0.25))
a1cg2f
```

```
## $par
##     b1     b2     b3
## 500.00  87.94   0.25
##
## $value
## [1] 29.99
##
## $counts
## [1] 67 34
##
## $convergence
## [1] 0
##
## $message
## [1] "Rcgmin seems to have converged"
##
## $bdmsk
## [1] -1  1 -1
##

a1vm2f <- Rvmmin(startf1, hobbs.f, hobbs.g, lower = c(b1 = 0,
    b2 = 0, b3 = 0), upper = c(b1 = 500, b2 = 100, b3 = 0.25))

## Warning:  Too many function evaluations

a1vm2f  # Gets there, but only just!

## $par
##     b1     b2     b3
## 499.96  87.93   0.25
##
## $value
## [1] 29.99
##
## $counts
## [1] 3001  494
##
## $convergence
## [1] 1
##
## $message
## [1] "Too many function evaluations"
##
## $bdmsk
## [1]  1  1 -1
##

# Mask b2
a1cgm2 <- Rcgmin(start1, hobbs.f, hobbs.g, bdmsk = c(1, 0, 1))
a1cgm2

## $par
##      b1      b2      b3
## 50.4018  1.0000  0.1986
##
## $value
## [1] 6181
##
## $counts
## [1] 112  39
##
## $convergence
## [1] 0
##
## $message
## [1] "Rcgmin seems to have converged"
##
```

```
## $bdmsk
## [1] 1 0 1
##

a1vmm2 <- Rvmmin(start1, hobbs.f, hobbs.g, bdmsk = c(1, 0, 1))
a1vmm2

## $par
##      b1      b2      b3
## 50.4018  1.0000  0.1986
##
## $value
## [1] 6181
##
## $counts
## [1] 58 14
##
## $convergence
## [1] 0
##
## $message
## [1] "Converged"
##
## $bdmsk
## [1] 1 0 1
##


# Mask b3
a1cgm3 <- Rcgmin(start1, hobbs.f, hobbs.g, bdmsk = c(1, 1, 0))
a1cgm3

## $par
##      b1      b2      b3
##   78.57 2293.94    1.00
##
## $value
## [1] 1031
##
## $counts
## [1] 181  80
##
## $convergence
## [1] 0
##
## $message
## [1] "Rcgmin seems to have converged"
##
## $bdmsk
## [1] 1 1 0
##

a1vmm3 <- Rvmmin(start1, hobbs.f, hobbs.g, bdmsk = c(1, 1, 0))
a1vmm3

## $par
##      b1      b2      b3
##   78.57 2293.95    1.00
##
## $value
## [1] 1031
##
## $counts
## [1] 102  32
##
## $convergence
## [1] 0
```

```
##
## $message
## [1] "Converged"
##
## $bdmsk
## [1] 1 1 0
##


# Mask all -- should fail
a1cgma <- Rcgmin(start1, hobbs.f, hobbs.g, bdmsk = c(0, 0, 0))
a1cgma


## $par
## b1 b2 b3
##  1  1  1
##
## $value
## [1] 23521
##
## $counts
## [1] 1 1
##
## $convergence
## [1] 0
##
## $message
## [1] "Rcgmin seems to have converged"
##
## $bdmsk
## [1] 0 0 0
##


a1vmma <- Rvmmin(start1, hobbs.f, hobbs.g, bdmsk = c(0, 0, 0))
a1vmma


## $par
## b1 b2 b3
##  1  1  1
##
## $value
## [1] 23521
##
## $counts
## [1] 1 1
##
## $convergence
## [1] 0
##
## $message
## [1] "Converged"
##
## $bdmsk
## [1] 0 0 0
##



# Bounds and mask
ansmbm2 <- nlfb(startf1, hobbs.res, hobbs.jac, maskidx = c(2),
    lower = c(0, 0, 0), upper = c(200, 60, 0.3))


## Warning:  NaNs produced


ansmbm2
```

```
## $resid
##  [1]  22.387  22.901  22.856  21.850  19.709  15.468   8.911
##  [8]   3.299  -6.981 -18.628 -30.690 -45.827
##
## $jacobian
##         [,1] [,2]  [,3]
##  [1,] 0.5495    0 12.48
##  [2,] 0.5980    0 24.23
##  [3,] 0.6447    0 34.64
##  [4,] 0.6888    0 43.22
##  [5,] 0.7297    0 49.71
##  [6,] 0.7670    0 54.04
##  [7,] 0.8006    0 56.31
##  [8,] 0.8305    0 56.77
##  [9,] 0.8566    0 55.71
## [10,] 0.8793    0 53.48
## [11,] 0.8989    0 50.40
## [12,] 0.9156    0 46.76
##
## $feval
## [1] 50
##
## $jeval
## [1] 28
##
## $coeffs
## [1] 50.4018  1.0000  0.1986
##
## $ssquares
## [1] 6181
##
```

```
a1cgbm2 <- Rcgmin(start1, hobbs.f, hobbs.g, bdmsk = c(1, 0, 1),
    lower = c(0, 0, 0), upper = c(200, 60, 0.3))
```

```
## Warning:  x[3], set 1 to upper bound = 0.3
```

```
a1cgbm2
```

```
## $par
##      b1      b2      b3
## 50.4018  1.0000  0.1986
##
## $value
## [1] 6181
##
## $counts
## [1] 76 29
##
## $convergence
## [1] 0
##
## $message
## [1] "Rcgmin seems to have converged"
##
## $bdmsk
## [1] 1 0 1
##
```

```
a1vmbm2 <- Rvmmin(start1, hobbs.f, hobbs.g, bdmsk = c(1, 0, 1),
    lower = c(0, 0, 0), upper = c(200, 60, 0.3))
```

```
## Warning:  Parameter out of bounds has been moved to nearest
## bound
```

```
a1vmbm2
```

```
## $par
##      b1      b2      b3
## 50.4018  1.0000  0.1986
##
## $value
## [1] 6181
##
## $counts
## [1] 79 24
##
## $convergence
## [1] 0
##
## $message
## [1] "Converged"
##
## $bdmsk
## [1] 1 0 1
##

# Active bound
a1cgm2x <- Rcgmin(start1, hobbs.f, hobbs.g, bdmsk = c(1, 0, 1),
    lower = c(0, 0, 0), upper = c(48, 60, 0.3))

## Warning:  x[3], set 1 to upper bound = 0.3

a1cgm2x

## $par
##     b1     b2     b3
## 48.000  1.000  0.216
##
## $value
## [1] 6206
##
## $counts
## [1] 37 14
##
## $convergence
## [1] 0
##
## $message
## [1] "Rcgmin seems to have converged"
##
## $bdmsk
## [1] -1  0  1
##

a1vmm2x <- Rvmmin(start1, hobbs.f, hobbs.g, bdmsk = c(1, 0, 1),
    lower = c(0, 0, 0), upper = c(48, 60, 0.3))

## Warning:  Parameter out of bounds has been moved to nearest
## bound

a1vmm2x

## $par
##     b1     b2     b3
## 48.000  1.000  0.216
##
## $value
## [1] 6206
##
## $counts
## [1] 74 42
##
```

```
## $convergence
## [1] 0
##
## $message
## [1] "Converged"
##
## $bdmsk
## [1] 1 0 1
##
```

6 Brief example of `minpack.lm`

Recently Kate Mullen provided some capability for the package `minpack.lm` to include bounds constraints. I am particularly happy that this effort is proceeding, as there are significant differences in how `minpack.lm` and `nlmrt` are built and implemented. They can be expected to have different performance characteristics on different problems. A lively dialogue between developers, and the opportunity to compare and check results can only improve the tools.

The examples below are a very quick attempt to show how to run the Ratkowsky-Huet problem with `nls.lm` from `minpack.lm`.

```
require(minpack.lm)
anlslm <- nls.lm(ones, lower = rep(-1000, 4), upper = rep(1000,
    4), jres, jjac, yield = pastured$yield, time = pastured$time)
cat("anlslm from ones\n")

## anlslm from ones

print(strwrap(anlslm))

##  [1] "c(NaN, NaN, NaN, NaN)"
##  [2] "c(NaN, NaN, NaN, NaN, NaN, NaN, NaN, NaN, NaN, NaN,"
##  [3] "NaN, NaN, NaN, NaN, NaN, NaN)"
##  [4] "c(NaN, NaN, NaN, NaN, NaN, NaN, NaN, NaN, NaN)"
##  [5] "4"
##  [6] "The cosine of the angle between `fvec' and any column"
##  [7] "of the Jacobian is at most `gtol' in absolute value."
##  [8] "list(t1 = 3, t2 = 2.3723939879224e-11, t3 ="
##  [9] "5.8039519205899e-10, t4 = 1.27525858056086e-09)"
## [10] "3"
## [11] "c(17533.3402000004, 16864.5616372991, NaN,"
## [12] "1.112549661455e-308)"
## [13] "NaN"

anlslmh <- nls.lm(huetstart, lower = rep(-1000, 4), upper = rep(1000,
    4), jres, jjac, yield = pastured$yield, time = pastured$time)
cat("anlslmh from huetstart\n")

## anlslmh from huetstart

print(strwrap(anlslmh))

##  [1] "c(69.9551973916736, 61.6814877170941,"
##  [2] "-9.20891880263443, 2.37781455978467)"
##  [3] "c(9, -4.54037977686007, 105.318033221555,"
##  [4] "403.043210394647, -4.54037977686007,"
##  [5] "3.51002837648689, -39.5314537948583,"
##  [6] "-137.559566823766, 105.318033221555,"
```

```
##  [7] "-39.5314537948583, 1668.11894086464,"
##  [8] "6495.67702199832, 403.043210394647,"
##  [9] "-137.559566823766, 6495.67702199832,"
## [10] "25481.4530263827)"
## [11] "c(0.480682793156298, 0.669303022602289,"
## [12] "-2.28431914156848, 0.84375480165378,"
## [13] "0.734587578832198, 0.0665510313004845,"
## [14] "-0.985814877917491, -0.0250630130722556,"
## [15] "0.500317790294616)"
## [16] "1"
## [17] "Relative error in the sum of squares is at most"
## [18] "`ftol'."
## [19] "list(t1 = 3, t2 = 2.35105755434962, t3 ="
## [20] "231.250186433367, t4 = 834.778914353853)"
## [21] "42"
## [22] "c(13386.9099465603, 13365.3097414383,"
## [23] "13351.1970260154, 13321.6478455192, 13260.1135652244,"
## [24] "13133.6391318145, 12877.8542053848, 12373.5432344283,"
## [25] "11428.8257706578, 9832.87890178625, 7138.12187613238,"
## [26] "3904.51162830831, 2286.64875980737, 1978.18149980306,"
## [27] "1620.89081508973, 1140.58638304326, 775.173148616759,"
## [28] "635.256627921485, 383.73614705125, 309.34124999335,"
## [29] "219.735856060243, 177.39873817915, 156.718991828473,"
## [30] "135.513594568191, 93.4016394568244, 72.8219383036213,"
## [31] "66.331560983492, 56.2809616213412, 54.9453021619837,"
## [32] "53.6227655715772, 51.9760950696957, 50.1418078879664,"
## [33] "48.130702164752, 44.7097757109316, 42.8838792615125,"
## [34] "32.3474231559281, 26.5253835687528, 15.3528215541113,"
## [35] "14.7215507012991, 8.37980617628204, 8.37589765770224,"
## [36] "8.37588365348112, 8.37588355972579)"
## [37] "8.37588355972579"
```

References

Elzhov, T. V., K. M. Mullen, A.-N. Spiess, and B. Bolker (2012). *minpack.lm: R interface to the Levenberg-Marquardt nonlinear least-squares algorithm found in MINPACK, plus support for bounds*. R Project for Statistical Computing. R package version 1.1-6.

Huet, S. S. et al. (1996). *Statistical tools for nonlinear regression: a practical guide with S-PLUS examples*. Springer series in statistics.

Moré, J. J., B. S. Garbow, and K. E. Hillstrom (1980). ANL-80-74, User Guide for MINPACK-1. Technical report.

Nash, J. C. (1979). *Compact Numerical Methods for Computers: Linear Algebra and Function Minimisation*. Bristol: Adam Hilger. Second Edition, 1990, Bristol: Institute of Physics Publications.

Ratkowsky, D. A. (1983). *Nonlinear Regression Modeling: A Unified Practical Approach*. New York and Basel: Marcel Dekker Inc.