?? find new reference for RQ times ?? put in eigen ?? clean up warnings ?? try simple problem with different sum constraints e.g. sum vs sum of squares

Optimization problems constrained by parameter sums

Gabor Grothendieck, GKX Group, John C. Nash, Telfer School of Management, University of Ottawa, and Ravi Varadhan, Johns Hopkins University Medical School

November 2016

Abstract

This article presents a discussion of optimization problems where the objective function $f(\mathbf{x})$ has parameters that are constrained by some scaling, so that $q(\mathbf{x}) = constant$, where this function q() involves a sum of the parameters, their squares, or similar simple function.

1 Background

We consider problems where we want to minimize or maximize a function subject to a constraint that the sum of some function of the parameters, e.g., their sum of squares, must equal some constant. We refer to these problems as **sumscale** optimization problems. We have observed questions about problems like this on the R-help mailing list:

```
Jul 19, 2012 at 10:24 AM, Linh Tran <Tranlm@berkeley.edu> wrote:
> Hi fellow R users,
>
> I am desperately hoping there is an easy way to do this in R.
>
> Say I have three functions:
>
> f(x) = x^2
> f(y) = 2y^2
> f(z) = 3z^2
```

```
> constrained such that x+y+z=c (let c=1 for simplicity).
> I want to find the values of x,y,z that will minimize f(x) + f(y) + f(z).
```

If the parameters x, y and z are non-negative, this problem can actually be solved as a Quadratic Program. We revisit this problem at the end of this article.

Other examples of this type of objective function are:

- The maximum volume of a regular polyhedron where the sum of the lengths of the sides is fixed.
- The minimum negative log likelihood for a multinomial model.
- The Rayleigh Quotient for the maximal or minimal eigensolutions of a matrix, where the eigenvectors should be normalized so the square norm of the vector is 1.

For the moment, let us consider a basic example, which is

Problem A: Minimize $(-\prod \mathbf{x})$ subject to $\sum \mathbf{x} = 1$ It is **assumed** for multinomial problems that the x elements are positive.

This is a very simplified version of the multinomial maximum likelihood problem.

Because these problems all have an objective that is dependent on a scaled set of parameters where the scale is defined by a sum, sum of squares, or similar sum of the parameters, we will refer to them as **sumscale** optimization problems. The condition that the parameters must be positive is often implicit. In practice it can be important to have it imposed explicitly if it is part of the actual problem.

2 Using general optimization with sumscale problems

Let us use the basic example above to consider how we might formulate Problem A to try to find a computational solution with R.

2.1 A direct approach

One possibility is to select one of the parameters and solve for it in terms of the others. Let this be the last parameter x_n , so that the set of parameters to be optimized is $\mathbf{y} = (x_1, x_1, ..., x_{n-1})$ where n is the original size of our problem. We now have the unconstrained problem

```
minimize(-(\prod \mathbf{y}) * (1 - \sum y))
```

This is easily coded and tried. We will use a very simple start, namely, the sequence 1, 2, ..., (n-1) scaled by $1/n^2$. We will also specify that the gradient is to be computed by a central approximation (Nash, 2013). At this point we are not requiring positive parameters, but our methods do return solutions with all positive parameters when started as in the example directly below.

```
cat("try loading optimrx\n")
## try loading optimrx
require(optimrx, quietly=TRUE)
pr <- function(y) {</pre>
- prod(y)*(1-sum(y))
cat("test the simple product for n=5\n")
## test the simple product for n=5
meth <- c("Nelder-Mead", "BFGS")</pre>
n < -5
  st<-1:(n-1)/(n*n)
  ans<-opm(st, pr, gr="grcentral", control=list(trace=0))</pre>
   ao <- summary (ans, order=value)
print(ao)
                                 p2
                                           рЗ
                                                      p4
                                                            value fevals gevals
                       р1
             0.2000000 0.1999986 0.2000037 0.1999986 -0.00032
## BFGS
                                                                     102
                                                                              96
## Nelder-Mead 0.2000034 0.1999983 0.2000017 0.2000021 -0.00032
                                                                              NA
##
               convergence kkt1 kkt2 xtime
## BFGS
                          O TRUE TRUE 0.008
## Nelder-Mead
                          O TRUE TRUE 0.004
```

While these codes work fine for small n, it is fairly easy to see that there will be computational difficulties as the size of the problem increases. Since the sum of the parameters is constrained to be equal to 1, the parameters are of the order of 1/n, and the function therefore of the order of $1/(n^n)$, which underflows around n = 144 in R.

We also do need to think about the positivity of the parameters. Let us start with a different set of values, some of which are negative. If pairs are negative, the product is still positive.

```
stm \leftarrow st*((-1)^{(1:4)})
print(stm)
## [1] -0.04 0.08 -0.12 0.16
ansm<-opm(stm, pr, gr="grcentral", control=list(trace=0))</pre>
aom <- summary(ansm, order=value)</pre>
print(aom)
##
                           р1
                                        p2
                                                       рЗ
## BFGS
               -2.816974e+61 2.586487e+61 -2.817157e+61 2.545195e+61
## Nelder-Mead -3.463499e+47 2.033752e+47 -1.467349e+46 1.532923e+47
##
                        value fevals gevals convergence kkt1 kkt2 xtime
               -2.624926e+306
                                  363
                                           63
                                                        O TRUE FALSE 0.004
## Nelder-Mead -6.901428e+233
                                 1001
                                           NA
                                                        1 TRUE FALSE 0.004
```

Clearly this is not what we intended.

2.2 A log-likelihood approach

Traditionally, statisticians solve maximum likelihood problems by **minimizing** the negative log-likelihood. That is, the objective function is formed as (-1) times the logarithm of the likelihood. Using this idea, we convert our product to a sum. Choosing the first parameter to be the one determined by the summation constraint, we can write the function and gradient quite easily. Programs that try to find the minimum may try sets of parameters where some are zero or negative, so that logarithms of non-positive numbers are attempted, so we have put some safeguards in the function nll below. At this point we have assumed the gradient calculation is only attempted if the function can be computed satisfactorily, so we have not put similar safeguards in the gradient.

We can easily try several optimization methods using the opm() function of optimrx package. Here are the calls, which overall did not perform as well as we would like. Note that we do not ask for method="ALL" as we

found that some of the methods, in particular those using Powell's quadratic approximation methods, seem to get "stuck". The reasons for this have not been sufficiently understood to report at this time.

```
require(optimrx, quietly=TRUE)
n < -5
mset<-c("L-BFGS-B", "BFGS", "CG", "spg", "ucminf", "nlm", "nlminb", "Rvmmin", "Rcgmin")</pre>
a5<-opm(2:n/n^2, nll, gr="grfwd", method=mset, control=list(dowarn=FALSE))
a5g<-opm(2:n/n^2, nll, nll.g, method=mset, control=list(dowarn=FALSE))
a5gb<-opm(2:n/n^2, n11, n11.g, lower=0, upper=1, method=mset, control=list(dowarn=FALSE))
## Warning in optimr(par, fn, gr, method = meth, lower = lower, upper = upper, : optimr:
optim() with bounds ONLY uses L-BFGS-B
## Warning in optimr(par, fn, gr, method = meth, lower = lower, upper = upper, : optimr:
optim() with bounds ONLY uses L-BFGS-B
\#-a5x \leftarrow opm(2:n/n^2, nll, nll.g, method="ALL", control=list(dowarn=FALSE))
summary(a5,order=value)
                          p2
                                    рЗ
                                             p4
                 p1
                                                        value fevals
## Rcgmin
           0.2000000 0.2000000 0.2000000 0.2000000
                                                 8.047190e+00
          0.2000000 0.2000000 0.2000000 0.2000000 8.047190e+00
## Rymmin
           0.2000000 0.2000000 0.2000000 0.2000000 8.047190e+00
## spg
## ucminf 0.2000000 0.2000000 0.2000000 8.047190e+00
## CG
           0.2000000 0.2000000 0.2000000 0.2000001 8.047190e+00
                                                                 59
## nlm
           0.2000005 0.1999995 0.2000000 0.2000000 8.047190e+00
## BFGS
           0.2000007 0.1999989 0.2000011 0.1999981 8.047190e+00
                                                                 33
## nlminb 0.2000004 0.1999999 0.1999999 0.1999992 8.047190e+00
                                                                 23
## L-BFGS-B NA NA NA NA 8.988466e+307
                                                                 NΑ
##
           gevals convergence kkt1 kkt2 xtime
           18 0 TRUE TRUE 0.004
13 0 TRUE TRUE 0.004
## Rcgmin
## Rvmmin
## spg
             13
                         O TRUE TRUE 0.040
                         O TRUE TRUE 0.004
## ucminf
            14
## CG
              21
                          O TRUE TRUE 0.004
                          O TRUE TRUE 0.000
## nlm
              11
## BFGS
              9
                         O TRUE TRUE 0.000
                      O TRUE TRUE 0.000
             12
## nlminb
## L-BFGS-B
                      9999 NA NA 0.004
              NA
summary(a5g,order=value)
##
                                                        value fevals
                 p1
                           p2
                                    рЗ
                                              p4
           0.2000000 0.2000000 0.2000000 0.2000000
## ucminf
                                                 8.047190e+00
## Rymmin
          0.2000000 0.2000000 0.2000000 0.2000000 8.047190e+00
## spg
           0.2000000 0.2000000 0.2000000 0.2000000 8.047190e+00
## Rcgmin 0.2000000 0.2000000 0.2000000 0.2000000 8.047190e+00
           0.2000000 0.2000000 0.2000000 0.2000000 8.047190e+00
## CG
                                                                 59
## nlm
           0.2000006 0.1999995 0.2000000 0.2000000
                                                 8.047190e+00
## BFGS
           0.2000007 0.1999989 0.2000012 0.1999981 8.047190e+00
                                                                 33
## nlminb 0.2000004 0.1999990 0.1999999 0.1999992 8.047190e+00
                                                                  23
## L-BFGS-B NA NA NA
                                             NA 8.988466e+307
                                                                 NA
##
           gevals convergence kkt1 kkt2 xtime
## ucminf
           14 0 TRUE TRUE 0.000
                          O TRUE TRUE 0.004
## Rymmin
              12
```

```
O TRUE TRUE 0.044
## spg
                             O TRUE TRUE 0.000
## Rcgmin
                12
## CG
                21
                             O TRUE TRUE 0.000
## nlm
                11
                             O TRUE TRUE 0.000
## BFGS
                             O TRUE TRUE 0.000
                9
## nlminb
                12
                             O TRUE TRUE 0.000
## I.-BFGS-B
                NΑ
                          9999
                                NΑ
                                      NA 0.004
summary(a5gb,order=value)
##
                   р1
                            p2
                                      ъЗ
                                                 ъ4
                                                            value fevals
            0.2000000 0.200000 0.2000000 0.2000000
## Rymmin
                                                     8.047190e+00
                                                                      38
            0.2000000 0.200000 0.2000000 0.2000000
                                                     8.047190e+00
## Rcgmin
                                                                      18
            0.2000000 0.200000 0.2000000 0.2000000
                                                     8.047190e+00
## spg
                                                                      18
## nlminb
            0.2000004 0.199999 0.1999989 0.1999992 8.047190e+00
                                                                      23
## L-BFGS-B
                  NA
                            NA
                                      NA
                                                NA 8.988466e+307
                                                                      NA
## BFGS
                   NA
                            NA
                                      NA
                                                 NA 8.988466e+307
                                                                      NA
## CG
                   NA
                            NA
                                      NA
                                                 NA 8.988466e+307
                                                                      NA
## ucminf
                                                 NA 8.988466e+307
                   NΑ
                            NΑ
                                      NA
                                                                      NΑ
## nlm
                   NA
                            NA
                                      NA
                                                 NA 8.988466e+307
                                                                      NA
##
            gevals convergence kkt1 kkt2 xtime
## Rvmmin
               14
                             O TRUE TRUE 0.004
## Rcgmin
                10
                             O TRUE TRUE 0.004
## spg
                             O TRUE TRUE 0.040
                1.3
## nlminb
                12
                            O TRUE TRUE 0.000
## L-BFGS-B
                NA
                          9999
                                NA
                                      NA 0.000
## BFGS
                NA
                          9999
                                 NA
                                      NA 0.000
## CG
                NA
                          9999
                                 NA
                                      NA 0.000
## ucminf
                          9999
                NA
                                 NA
                                      NA 0.000
                          9999
                                      NA 0.000
## nlm
#- summary(a5x, order=value)
```

Most, but not all, of the methods find the solution for the n=5 case. The exception (L-BFGS-B) is due to the optimization method trying to compute the gradient where $\operatorname{sum}(x)$ is greater than 1. We have not tried to determine the source of this particular issue. However, it is almost certainly a consequence of too large a step. The particular form of $\log(1-\operatorname{sum}(x))$ is undefined once the argument of the logarithm is negative. Indeed, this is the basis of logarithmic barrier functions for constraints. There is a similar issue if any of the n-1 parameters approach or pass zero. Negative parameter values are inadmissible in this formulation.

Numerical gradient approximations can similarly fail, particularly as step sizes are often of the order of 1E-7 in size. There is generally no special check within numerical gradient routines to apply bounds. Note also that a lower bound of 0 on parameters is not adequate, since log(0) is undefined. Choosing a bound large enough to avoid the logarithm of a zero or negative argument while still being small enough to allow for parameter optimization is non-trivial.

2.3 Transformed problems or parameters

When problems give difficulties, it is common to re-formulate them by transformations of the function or the parameters.

Objective functions defined by $(-1)*\prod \mathbf{x}$ or $(-1)*\sum log(\mathbf{x})$ will change with the scale of the parameters. Moreover, the constraint $\sum \mathbf{x} = 1$ effectively imposes the scaling $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{scaled}} = \mathbf{x}/\sum \mathbf{x}$. The optimizer \mathbf{spg} from package BB allows us to project our search direction to satisfy constraints. Thus, we could use the following approach.

```
require(BB, quietly=TRUE)
nllrv <- function(x) {- sum(log(x))}</pre>
nllrv.g <- function(x) {- 1/x }
proj \leftarrow function(x) \{x/sum(x)\}
n <- 5
tspg<-system.time(aspg <- spg(par=(1:n)/n^2, fn=nllrv, gr=nllrv.g, project=proj))[[3]]
## iter: 0 f-value: 11.30689 pgrad: 0.3607565
tspgn<-system.time(aspgn <- spg(par=(1:n)/n^2, fn=nllrv, gr=NULL, project=proj))[[3]]
## iter: 0 f-value: 11.30689 pgrad: 0.1333334
cat("Times: with gradient =",tspg," using numerical approx.=", tspgn,"\n")
## Times: with gradient = 0.046
                                 using numerical approx.= 0.04
cat("F_optimal: with gradient=",aspg$value," num. approx.=",aspgn$value,"\n")
## F_optimal: with gradient= 8.04719 num. approx.= 8.04719
pbest<-rep(1/n, n)</pre>
cat("fbest = ",nllrv(pbest)," when all parameters = ", pbest[1],"\n")
## fbest = 8.04719 when all parameters = 0.2
cat("deviations: with gradient=",max(abs(aspg$par-pbest))," num. approx.=",max(abs(aspgn$par-pbest)),"\n")
## deviations: with gradient= 3.81244e-06
                                           num. approx.= 6.367897e-08
```

Here the projection proj is the key to success of method spg. The near-equality of timings with and without analytic gradient is because the approximation attempt only uses one iteration and two function evaluations to finish. In fact, the solution with approximate gradient is actually better, and this seems to carry over to cases with more parameters.

```
n<-100
tspgh<-system.time(aspgh <- spg(par=(1:n)/n^2, fn=nllrv, gr=nllrv.g, project=proj))[[3]]</pre>
```

```
## iter: 0 f-value: 557.2947 pgrad: 0.1925703

tspgnh<-system.time(aspgnh <- spg(par=(1:n)/n^2, fn=nllrv, gr=NULL, project=proj))[[3]]

## iter: 0 f-value: 557.2947 pgrad: 0.00980205

cat("Times: with gradient =",tspgh," using numerical approx.=", tspgnh,"\n")

## Times: with gradient = 0.055 using numerical approx.= 0.042

cat("F_optimal: with gradient=",aspgh$value," num. approx.=",aspgnh$value,"\n")

## F_optimal: with gradient= 460.517 num. approx.= 460.517

pbesth<-rep(1/n, n)
 cat("fbest = ",nllrv(pbesth)," when all parameters = ", pbesth[1],"\n")

## fbest = 460.517 when all parameters = 0.01

cat("deviations: with gradient=",max(abs(aspgh$par-pbesth))," num. approx.=",max(abs(aspgnh$par-pbesth)),"\n")

## deviations: with gradient= 5.055715e-07 num. approx.= 7.006446e-08</pre>
```

Larger n values eventually give difficulties as non-positive parameters are produced at intermediate stages of the optimization.

Minimization methods other than spg do not have the flexibility to impose the projection directly. We would need to carefully build the projection into the function(s) and/or the method codes. This was done by Geradin (1971) for the Rayleigh quotient problem, but requires a number of changes to the program code.

2.4 log() transformation of parameters

A common method to ensure parameters are positive is to use a log transform. In the present case, optimizing over parameters that are the logarithms of the parameters above ensures we have positive arguments to most of the elements of the negative log likelihood. Here is the code. Note that the parameters used in optimization are "lx" and not x.

```
enll <- function(lx) {
    x<-exp(lx)
    fval<- - sum( log( x/sum(x) ) )
}
enll.g <- function(lx) {
    x<-exp(lx)
    g<-length(x)/sum(x) - 1/x
    gval<-g*exp(lx)</pre>
```

}

But where is our constraint that the sum of parameters must be 1? Here we have noted that we could define the objective function only to within the scaling $\mathbf{x}/\sum(\mathbf{x})$. There is a minor nuisance, in that we need to re-scale our parameters after solution to have them in a standard form. This is most noticeable if one uses optimrx function opm() and displays the results of method = "ALL". In the following, we extract the best solution for the 5-parameter problem.

```
require(optimrx, quietly=TRUE) # just to be sure
st<-1:5/10 # 5 parameters, crude scaling to start
a5x<-opm(st, enll, enll.g, method="ALL", control=list(trace=0))
a5xbyvalue<-summary(a5x, order=value, par.select=1:2)
print(a5xbyvalue)
                             p2 value fevals gevals convergence kkt1
                    р1
## BFGS
             0.3000000 0.3000000 8.04719 10 4
                                                             0 TRUE
## L-BFGS-B
             0.3000000 0.3000000 8.04719
                                           6
                                                  6
                                                             O TRUE
## nlminb
             0.3000000 0.3000000 8.04719
                                            5
                                                  5
                                                             O TRUE
                                        7
                                                             O TRUE
## Rtnmin
             0.3000268 0.3000268 8.04719
                                                  7
## Rvmmin
            0.3000000 0.3000000 8.04719
                                        22
                                                            O TRUE
                                        80
             0.2921003 0.2921003 8.04719
## newuoa
                                                 NA
                                                             O TRUE
## hjn
             0.5500000 0.5500000 8.04719
                                          290
                                                 NA
                                                             O TRUE
## lbfgs
             0.3000000 0.3000000 8.04719
                                           NA
                                                  NA
                                                             O TRUE
## ucminf
           0.3000000 0.3000000 8.04719
                                          6
                                                             O TRUE
                                                 6
## Rcgmin 0.2999999 0.3000000 8.04719
                                                            O TRUE
             0.1248163 0.1248164 8.04719
                                          74
                                                 NA
                                                            O TRUE
## bobyqa
## CG
             0.2999999 0.3000000 8.04719
                                           11
                                                  6
                                                             O TRUE
## spg
             0.2999998 0.2999999 8.04719
                                           5
                                                  3
                                                             O TRUE
## hjkb
             0.4725586 0.4725601 8.04719
                                                            O TRUE
                                          570
                                                 NA
## nlm
            0.3000024 0.3000018 8.04719
                                         NA
                                                 3
                                                            O TRUE
## lbfgsb3
            0.3000061 0.3000050 8.04719
                                                  5
                                                             O TRUE
                                          5
## Nelder-Mead 0.3077801 0.3078824 8.04719
                                                             O TRUE
                                          116
                                                 NA
## nmkb
           0.4735085 0.4723272 8.04719
                                          115
                                                 NA
                                                              O TRUE
##
              kkt2 xtime
## BFGS
             FALSE 0.000
## L-BFGS-B
             FALSE 0.000
             FALSE 0.000
## nlminb
## Rtnmin
             FALSE 0.004
## Rvmmin
             FALSE 0.000
## newuoa
             FALSE 0.008
             FALSE 0.004
## hjn
## lbfgs
             FALSE 0.004
## ucminf
             FALSE 0.000
             FALSE 0.004
## Rcgmin
## bobyqa
             FALSE 0.004
## CG
             FALSE 0.000
## spg
             FALSE 0.040
## hjkb
             FALSE 0.004
## nlm
             FALSE 0.000
## lbfgsb3
           FALSE 0.000
```

```
## Nelder-Mead FALSE 0.000
## nmkb FALSE 0.008

xnor<-a5xbyvalue[1, 1:5] # get the 5 parameters of "best" solution
xnor<-xnor/sum(xnor)
cat("normalized parameters:")

## normalized parameters:

print(xnor)

## p1 p2 value fevals gevals
## BFGS 0.01324668 0.01324668 0.3553284 0.4415559 0.1766224</pre>
```

While there are reasons to think that the indeterminacy might upset the optimization codes, in practice, the objective and gradient above are generally well-behaved, though they did reveal that tests of the size of the gradient used, in particular, to decide to terminate iterations in Rcgmin were too hasty in stopping progress for problems with larger numbers of parameters. A user-specified tolerance is now allowed; for example control=list(tol=1e-12).

Let us try a larger problem in 100 parameters. We emply the conjugate gradient algorithm in package Rcgmin.

```
require(Rcgmin, quietly=TRUE)
st<-1:100/1e3 # large
stenll<-enll(st)
cat("Initial function value =",sten11,"\n")
## Initial function value = 460.5587
tym<-system.time(acgbig<-Rcgmin(st, enll, enll.g, control=list(trace=0, tol=1e-32)))[[3]]
cat("Time = ",tym," fval=",acgbig$value,"\n")
## Time = 0.082 fval= 460.517
xnor<-acgbig$par/sum(acgbig$par)</pre>
print(xnor)
 [99] 0.01 0.01
```

We have a solution. However, a worrying aspect of this solution is that the objective function at the start and end differ by a tiny amount.

2.5 Another transformation

A slightly different transformation or projection is inspired by spherical coordinates. We solve problems in 5 and 100 parameters using the spg() function from package BB, and by not specifying a gradient function use an internal approximation.

```
## ?? need to explain this better
proj2 <- function(theta) {
    theta2 <- theta^2
    s2 <- theta^2 / (1 + theta2)
        cumprod(c(1, s2)) * c(1-s2, 1)
}
obj <- function(theta) - sum(log(proj2(theta)))
n <- 5
ans <- spg(seq(n-1), obj)

## iter: 0 f-value: 11.15175 pgrad: 3
## iter: 10 f-value: 8.78015 pgrad: 0.5806909
## iter: 20 f-value: 8.04719 pgrad: 3.925749e-06

proj2(ans$par)

## [1] 0.2000000 0.2000007 0.2000002 0.1999996 0.1999995</pre>
```

```
library(BB)
n<-100
ans100 <- spg(seq(n-1), obj, control=list(trace=FALSE), quiet=TRUE)</pre>
proj2( (ans100$par) )
##
     [1] 0.009999999 0.010000001 0.010000000 0.010000000 0.009999999
##
     [6] 0.010000003 0.010000000 0.010000001 0.010000000 0.010000000
    [11] 0.010000001 0.010000000 0.010000000 0.010000002 0.010000002
##
    [16] 0.010000001 0.009999999 0.010000002 0.010000000 0.010000002
##
## [21] 0.010000000 0.010000000 0.010000002 0.010000001 0.010000001
## [26] 0.010000001 0.010000000 0.010000002 0.010000001 0.010000001
    [31] 0.010000000 0.010000001 0.010000002 0.010000002 0.010000001
##
    [36] 0.010000002 0.010000001 0.010000000 0.010000001 0.010000001
##
    [41] 0.009999999 0.009999996 0.010000002 0.010000002 0.010000002
##
## [46] 0.009999996 0.0099999997 0.0099999999 0.010000000 0.0099999999
## [51] 0.009999998 0.010000002 0.010000000 0.009999999 0.010000000
##
    [56] 0.009999996 0.010000002 0.010000002 0.009999998 0.010000000
##
    [61] 0.010000000 0.009999999 0.010000000 0.010000000 0.010000001
    [66] 0.009999999 0.010000000 0.010000000 0.010000000 0.010000000
##
## [71] 0.009999999 0.010000000 0.009999999 0.010000000 0.009999999
## [76] 0.010000000 0.010000000 0.009999999 0.010000000 0.009999999
    [81] 0.009999999 0.009999999 0.010000000 0.010000001 0.009999999
##
    [86] 0.009999999 0.010000000 0.010000001 0.010000000 0.009999998
    [91] 0.009999998 0.009999999 0.010000000 0.010000000 0.010000000
##
    [96] 0.009999997 0.010000038 0.009999935 0.010000003 0.010000023
```

In the above, we note that the transformation is embedded into the objective function, so we could run any of the optimizers in optimizer as

follows. This can take some time, and the derivative-free methods do an awful lot of work with this formulation, though they do seem to get the best solution. Moreover, Rcgmin and Rvmmin are not recommended when an analytic gradient is not provided. Here we have specified that a simple forward difference approximation to the gradient should be used.

```
allans<- opm(seq(n-1), obj, gr="grfwd", method="ALL", control=list(dowarn=FALSE))
## Warning in commonArgs(par + 0, fn, control, environment()): maxfun < 10 * length(par)^2</pre>
is not recommended.
## Warning in commonArgs(par, fn, control, environment()): maxfun < 10 * length(par)^2
is not recommended.
## Warning in nmk(par = spar, fn = efn, control = mcontrol, ...): Nelder-Mead should
not be used for high-dimensional optimization
## Warning in optimr(par, fn, gr, method = meth, lower = lower, upper = upper, : Maximum
number of fevals exceeded Restarts for stagnation =0
## summary(allans, order = "list(round(value, 3), fevals)", par.select = FALSE)
summary(allans, order = value, par.select = FALSE)
##
                     value fevals gevals convergence kkt1 kkt2 xtime
## hjn
              4.605170e+02 23292
                                   NA 0 TRUE TRUE 0.592
## bobyqa
              4.605170e+02
                                     NA
                                                 0 TRUE TRUE 7.828
                            21493
## hjkb
              4.605170e+02 20612
                                                O TRUE TRUE 0.428
                                     NA
## Rvmmin
              4.605170e+02
                            398
                                    272
                                                2 TRUE TRUE 0.660
## spg
                                    212
              4.605170e+02
                              230
                                                O TRUE TRUE 0.460
              4.605170e+02
                             310
                                                 O TRUE
## ucminf
                                    310
                                                          TRUE 0.576
## CG
              4.605170e+02
                             4039
                                    1723
                                                 O TRUE
                                                          TRUE 3.020
## Rcgmin
              4.605170e+02
                            1612
                                   1023
                                                O TRUE TRUE 1.808
              4.605170e+02
                                                O TRUE TRUE 0.680
## nlm
                             NA
                                    203
                                                O TRUE TRUE 0.624
## lbfgsb3
              4.605170e+02
                              267
                                    267
## L-BFGS-B
              4.605170e+02
                              280
                                    280
                                                 O TRUE
                                                          TRUE 0.520
## BFGS
              4.605170e+02
                              312
                                    217
                                                 O TRUE TRUE 0.392
                                                3 TRUE FALSE 2.528
## Rtnmin
              4.756232e+02
                              926
                                    926
## nlminb
              4.822372e+02
                             189
                                    151
                                                1 FALSE FALSE 0.304
                                                 1 TRUE FALSE 2.460
## nmkb
              7.202616e+02
                             5045
                                     NΑ
## lbfgs
               7.326367e+02
                              NA
                                     NA
                                              -1001 TRUE FALSE 0.024
## Nelder-Mead 7.439745e+02
                             5002
                                     NA
                                               1 TRUE FALSE 0.112
## newuoa 8.988466e+307
                             NA
                                               9999 NA NA 0.004
                                     NA
```

2.6 Use the gradient equations

Another approach is to "solve" the gradient equations as a nonlinear equations problem. We could do this with a sum of squares minimizer, though the nls function in R is specifically NOT useful as it cannot deal with small or zero residuals. However, nlfb from package nlmrt is capable of dealing with such problems. Unfortunately, it will be slow as it has to generate the Jacobian by numerical approximation unless we can provide a function to prepare the Jacobian analytically. Moreover, the determination

of the Jacobian is still subject to the unfortunate scaling issues we have been confronting throughout this article. A better approach would likely be via package nleqslv, which is constructed to attempt solutions of nonlinear equations problems. At the time of writing all nonlinear equations approaches remain to be tried.

3 The Rayleigh Quotient

The maximal and minimal eigensolutions of a symmetric matrix A are extrema of the Rayleigh Quotient

```
R(x) = (x'Ax)/(x'x)
```

We can also deal with generalized eigenproblems of the form

```
Ax = eBx
```

where B is symmetric and positive definite by using the Rayleigh Quotient

```
R_q(x) = (x'Ax)/(x'Bx)
```

Once again, the objective is scaled by the parameters, this time by their sum of squares. Alternatively, we may think of requiring the **normalized** eigensolution, which is given as

```
x_{normalized} = x/sqrt(x'x)
```

We will first try the projected gradient method spg from BB. Below is the code, where our test uses a matrix called the Moler matrix (Nash, 1979, Appendix 1). We caution that there are faster ways to compute this matrix in R (Nash, 2012) where different approaches to speed up R computations are discussed. Here we are concerned with getting the solutions correctly rather than the speed of so doing. Note that to get the solution with the most-positive eigenvalue, we minimize the Rayleigh quotient of the matrix multiplied by -1. This is solution tmax.

```
molerbuild<-function(n){ # Create the moler matrix of order n
    # A[i,j] = i for i=j, min(i,j)-2 otherwise
A <- matrix(0, nrow = n, ncol = n)
    j <- 1:n
    for (i in 1:n) {
        A[i, 1:i] <- pmin(i, 1:i) - 2
    }
    A <- A + t(A)
    diag(A) <- 1:n
    A
}

raynum<-function(x, A) {
    rayquo<-as.numeric((t(x)%*%A)%*%x)
}</pre>
```

```
proj<-function(x) { x/sqrt(crossprod(x)) }</pre>
require(BB, quietly=TRUE)
n<-10
x < -rep(1,n)
A<-molerbuild(n)
tmin<-system.time(asprqmin<-spg(x, fn=raynum, project=proj, A=A))[[3]]</pre>
## iter: 0 f-value: 205 pgrad: 3.089431e-09
## Warning in spg(x, fn = raynum, project = proj, A = A): convergence tolerance satisified
at intial parameter values.
tmax<-system.time(asprqmax<-spg(x, fn=raynum, project=proj, A=-A))[[3]]</pre>
## iter: 0 f-value: -205 pgrad: 0.6324555
## Warning in spg(x, fn = raynum, project = proj, A = -A): Unsuccessful convergence.
cat("maximal eigensolution: Value=",asprqmax$value,"in time ",tmax,"\n")
## maximal eigensolution: Value= -205 in time 0.3
print(asprqmax$par)
## [1] 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278
         [8] 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278
cat("minimal eigensolution: Value=",asprqmin$value,"in time ",tmin,"\n")
## minimal eigensolution: Value= 205 in time 0.046
print(asprqmin$par)
         [1] 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278
          [8] 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278
```

For the record, these results compare well with eigenvalues from eigen(). If we ignore the constraint, and simply perform the optimization, we can get satisfactory solutions, though comparisons require that we normalize the parameters post-optimization. We can check if the scale of the eigenvectors is becoming large by computing the norm of the final parameter vector. In tests on the Moler matrix up to dimension 100, none grew to a worrying size.

For comparison, we also ran a specialized Geradin routine as implemented in R by one of us (JN). This gave equivalent answers, albeit more efficiently. For those interested, the Geradin routine is available as referenced in (Nash, 2012).

4 The R-help example

As a final example, let us use our present techniques to solve the problem posed by Lanh Tran on R-help. We will use only a method that scales the parameters directly inside the objective function and not bother with gradients for this small problem.

```
ssums<-function(x){
 n<-length(x)
  tt<-sum(x)
  ss<-1:n
  xx<-(x/tt)*(x/tt)
  sum(ss*xx)
cat("Try penalized sum\n")
## Try penalized sum
require(optimx)
st<-runif(3)
aos<-opm(st, ssums, gr="grcentral", method="ALL")</pre>
## Warning in optimr(par, fn, gr, method = meth, lower = lower, upper = upper, : Successful
convergence Restarts for stagnation =0
# rescale the parameters
nsol<-dim(aos)[1]</pre>
for (i in 1:nsol){
 tpar<-aos[i,1:3]
 ntpar<-sum(tpar)</pre>
 tpar<-tpar/ntpar
# cat("Method ",aos[i, "meth"]," gives fval =", ssums(tpar))
  aos[i, 1:3]<-tpar
summary(aos,order=value)[1:5,]
                            p2
##
                   p1
                                      рЗ
                                              value fevals gevals convergence
## Rvmmin 0.5454545 0.2727273 0.1818182 0.5454545 36 11 0
                                                     252
                                                                           0
## hjn 0.5454545 0.2727273 0.1818182 0.5454545
                                                               NA
                                                        13 13
8 8
## Rtnmin 0.5454545 0.2727273 0.1818182 0.5454545 13 ## nlminb 0.5454545 0.2727273 0.1818182 0.5454545 8
                                                                           0
## L-BFGS-B 0.5454546 0.2727273 0.1818182 0.5454545
## kkt1 kkt2 xtime
## Rvmmin TRUE FALSE 0.003
## hjn
            TRUE FALSE 0.004
## Rtnmin TRUE FALSE 0.003
## nlminb TRUE FALSE 0.001
## L-BFGS-B TRUE FALSE 0.000
```

```
ssum<-function(x){</pre>
 n<-length(x)
 ss<-1:n
 xx<-x*x
  sum(ss*xx)
proj.simplex <- function(y) {</pre>
\# project an n-dim vector y to the simplex Dn
\# Dn = \{ x : x \ n-dim, 1 \ge x \ge 0, sum(x) = 1 \}
# Ravi Varadhan, Johns Hopkins University
# August 8, 2012
n <- length(y)</pre>
sy <- sort(y, decreasing=TRUE)</pre>
csy <- cumsum(sy)</pre>
rho <- max(which(sy > (csy - 1)/(1:n)))
theta <- (csy[rho] - 1) / rho
return(pmax(0, y - theta))
as<-spg(st, ssum, project=proj.simplex)</pre>
## iter: 0 f-value: 2.37723 pgrad: 0.5182819
## iter: 10 f-value: 0.5454545 pgrad: 4.169998e-05
cat("Using project.simplex with spg: fmin=",as$value," at \n")
## Using project.simplex with spg: fmin= 0.5454545 at
print(as$par)
## [1] 0.5454557 0.2727265 0.1818179
```

Apart from the parameter rescaling, this is an entirely "doable" problem. Note that we can also solve the problem as a Quadratic Program using the quadprog package.

```
library(quadprog)
Dmat<-diag(c(1,2,3))
Amat<-matrix(c(1, 1, 1), ncol=1)
bvec<-c(1)
meq=1
dvec<-c(0, 0, 0)
ans<-solve.QP(Dmat, dvec, Amat, bvec, meq=0, factorized=FALSE)
ans

## $solution
## [1] 0.5454545 0.2727273 0.1818182
##
## $value
## [1] 0.2727273
##
## $unconstrained.solution
## [1] 0 0 0</pre>
```

```
##
## $iterations
## [1] 2 0
##
## $Lagrangian
## [1] 0.5454545
##
## $iact
## [1] 1
```

5 Conclusion

Sumscale problems can present difficulties for optimization (or function minimization) codes. These difficulties are by no means insurmountable, but they do require some attention.

While specialized approaches are "best" for speed and correctness, a general user is more likely to benefit from a simpler approach of embedding the scaling in the objective function and rescaling the parameters before reporting them. Another choice is to use the projected gradient via spg from package BB.

References

- M. Geradin. The computational efficiency of a new minimization algorithm for eigenvalue analysis. *J. Sound Vib.*, 19:319–331, 1971.
- J. C. Nash. Compact Numerical Methods for Computers: Linear Algebra and Function Minimisation. Adam Hilger, Bristol, 1979. Second Edition, 1990, Bristol: Institute of Physics Publications.
- J. C. Nash. Timing Rayleigh Quotient minimization in R, July 2012. This is a 'tip' on http://rwiki.sciviews.org/doku.php?id=tips:rqcasestudy. The files related to this study are http://macnash.telfer.uottawa.ca/~nashjc/RQtimes.pdf and http://macnash.telfer.uottawa.ca/~nashjc/RQtimes.Rnw.
- J. C. Nash. optextras: Tools to Support Optimization Possibly with Bounds and Masks, 2013. URL https://CRAN.R-project.org/package-optextras. R package version 2013-10.27.