?? find new reference for RQ times ?? put in eigen ?? clean up warnings ?? try simple problem with different sum constraints e.g. sum vs sum of squares

```
## Warning in parse_objects(paths[1L]): file cache/__objects not
found
## Warning in parse_objects(paths[2L]): file cache/__globals not
found
```

# Optimization problems constrained by parameter sums

Gabor Grothendieck, GKX Group, John C. Nash, Telfer School of Management, University of Ottawa, and Ravi Varadhan, Johns Hopkins University Medical School

November 2016

#### Abstract

This article presents a discussion of optimization problems where the objective function  $f(\mathbf{x})$  has parameters that are constrained by some scaling, so that  $q(\mathbf{x}) = constant$ , where this function q() involves a sum of the parameters, their squares, or similar simple function.

# 1 Background

We consider problems where we want to minimize or maximize a function subject to a constraint that the sum of some function of the parameters, e.g., their sum of squares, must equal some constant. We refer to these problems as **sumscale** optimization problems. We have observed questions about problems like this on the R-help mailing list:

```
Jul 19, 2012 at 10:24 AM, Linh Tran <Tranlm@berkeley.edu> wrote:
> Hi fellow R users,
>
> I am desperately hoping there is an easy way to do this in R.
>
> Say I have three functions:
>
> f(x) = x^2
> f(y) = 2y^2
> f(z) = 3z^2
```

```
> constrained such that x+y+z=c (let c=1 for simplicity).
> I want to find the values of x,y,z that will minimize f(x) + f(y) + f(z).
```

If the parameters x, y and z are non-negative, this problem can actually be solved as a Quadratic Program. We revisit this problem at the end of this article.

Other examples of this type of objective function are:

- The maximum volume of a regular polyhedron where the sum of the lengths of the sides is fixed.
- The minimum negative log likelihood for a multinomial model.
- The Rayleigh Quotient for the maximal or minimal eigensolutions of a matrix, where the eigenvectors should be normalized so the square norm of the vector is 1.

For the moment, let us consider a basic example, which is

**Problem A**: Minimize  $(-\prod \mathbf{x})$  subject to  $\sum \mathbf{x} = 1$  It is **assumed** for multinomial problems that the x elements are positive.

This is a very simplified version of the multinomial maximum likelihood problem.

Because these problems all have an objective that is dependent on a scaled set of parameters where the scale is defined by a sum, sum of squares, or similar sum of the parameters, we will refer to them as **sumscale** optimization problems. The condition that the parameters must be positive is often implicit. In practice it can be important to have it imposed explicitly if it is part of the actual problem.

# 2 Using general optimization with sumscale problems

Let us use the basic example above to consider how we might formulate Problem A to try to find a computational solution with R.

#### 2.1 A direct approach

One possibility is to select one of the parameters and solve for it in terms of the others. Let this be the last parameter  $x_n$ , so that the set of parameters to be optimized is  $\mathbf{y} = (x_1, x_1, ..., x_{n-1})$  where n is the original size of our problem. We now have the unconstrained problem

```
minimize(-(\prod \mathbf{y}) * (1 - \sum y))
```

This is easily coded and tried. We will use a very simple start, namely, the sequence 1, 2, ..., (n-1) scaled by  $1/n^2$ . We will also specify that the gradient is to be computed by a central approximation (Nash, 2013). At this point we are not requiring positive parameters, but our methods do return solutions with all positive parameters when started as in the example directly below.

```
cat("try loading optimrx\n")
## try loading optimrx
require(optimrx, quietly=TRUE)
pr <- function(y) {</pre>
- prod(y)*(1-sum(y))
cat("test the simple product for n=5\n")
## test the simple product for n=5
meth <- c("Nelder-Mead", "BFGS")</pre>
n<-5
m < -n-1
 st < -1:m/(m*m)
  ans<-opm(st, pr, gr="grcentral", control=list(trace=0))</pre>
  ao<-summary(ans,order=value)</pre>
print(ao)
                                p2
##
                                           рЗ
                                                      p4
                      p1
                                                                 value fevals
## Nelder-Mead 0.1999973 0.1999963 0.2000065 0.2000013 -0.0003200000
         0.2000550 0.1999015 0.2000793 0.1999630 -0.0003199999
## BFGS
               gevals convergence kkt1 kkt2 xtime
##
## Nelder-Mead
                 NA O TRUE TRUE 0.004
## BFGS
                   17
                                O TRUE TRUE 0.000
par <- as.double(ao[1,1:m])</pre>
par <- c(par, 1-sum(par))</pre>
par <- par/sum(par)</pre>
cat("Best parameters:")
## Best parameters:
print(par)
## [1] 0.1999973 0.1999963 0.2000065 0.2000013 0.1999986
```

While these codes work fine for small n, it is fairly easy to see that there will be computational difficulties as the size of the problem increases. Since the sum of the parameters is constrained to be equal to 1, the parameters are of the order of 1/n, and the function therefore of the order of  $1/(n^n)$ , which underflows around n = 144 in R.

We do need to think about the positivity of the parameters. Let us start with a different set of values, some of which are negative. If pairs are negative, the product is still positive.

```
stm \leftarrow st*((-1)^{(1:4)})
print(stm)
## [1] -0.0625 0.1250 -0.1875 0.2500
ansm<-opm(stm, pr, gr="grcentral", control=list(trace=0))</pre>
aom <- summary(ansm, order=value)</pre>
print(aom)
##
                         p1
                                      p2
                                                     рЗ
## BFGS
              -2.954862e+57 2.003069e+57 -2.955447e+57 1.953545e+57
## Nelder-Mead -5.411717e+47 3.177738e+47 -2.292733e+46 2.395192e+47
                        value fevals gevals convergence kkt1 kkt2 xtime
## BFGS
              -6.676311e+286 300 30 0 TRUE FALSE 0.004
## Nelder-Mead -6.427455e+234 1001
                                         NA
                                                      1 TRUE FALSE 0.004
```

Clearly this is not what we intended.

## 2.2 A log-likelihood approach

Traditionally, statisticians solve maximum likelihood problems by **minimizing** the negative log-likelihood. That is, the objective function is formed as (-1) times the logarithm of the likelihood. Using this idea, we convert our product to a sum. Choosing the first parameter to be the one determined by the summation constraint, we can write the function and gradient quite easily. Programs that try to find the minimum may try sets of parameters where some are zero or negative, so that logarithms of non-positive numbers are attempted, so we have put some safeguards in the function nll below. At this point we have assumed the gradient calculation is only attempted if the function can be computed satisfactorily, so we have not put similar safeguards in the gradient. Note that we work with n-1 parameters in the optimization, and expand to the full set for reporting.

```
else - sum(log(y)) - log(1-sum(y))
}
nll.g <- function(y) { - 1/y + 1/(1-sum(y))} # so far not safeguarded</pre>
```

We can easily try several optimization methods using the opm() function of optimrx package. Here are the calls, which overall did not perform as well as we would like. Note that we do not ask for method="ALL". For one thing, this can take a lot of computing effort. Also, we found that some of the methods, in particular those using Powell's quadratic approximation methods, seem to get "stuck". The reasons for this have not been sufficiently understood to report at this time.

```
require(optimrx, quietly=TRUE)
## mset<-c("L-BFGS-B", "BFGS", "CG", "spg", "ucminf", "nlm", "nlminb", "Rummin", "Rcgmin")
mset<-c("L-BFGS-B", "spg", "nlm", "nlminb", "Rvmmin", "Rcgmin")</pre>
a5<-opm(2:n/n^2, nll, gr="grfwd", method=mset, control=list(dowarn=FALSE))
a5g<-opm(2:n/n^2, nll, nll.g, method=mset, control=list(dowarn=FALSE))
a5gb<-opm(2:n/n^2, n11, n11.g, lower=0, upper=1, method=mset, control=list(dowarn=FALSE))
\#-a5x < -opm(2:n/n^2, nll, nll.g, method="ALL", control=list(dowarn=FALSE))
summary(a5,order=value)
                  р1
                            p2
                                      рЗ
          0.2000000 0.2000000 0.2000000 0.2000000 8.047190e+00
## Rcgmin
                                                                    51
## Rvmmin
           0.2000000 0.2000000 0.2000000 0.2000000
                                                   8.047190e+00
## spg
           0.2000000 0.2000000 0.2000000 0.2000000 8.047190e+00
                                                                     17
## nlm
           0.2000005 0.1999995 0.2000000 0.2000000 8.047190e+00
                                                                    NA
## nlminb
           0.2000004 0.1999990 0.1999989 0.1999992 8.047190e+00
                                                                     23
              NA NA
## L-BFGS-B
                                    NΑ
                                               NA 8.988466e+307
                                                                    NΑ
##
           gevals convergence kkt1 kkt2 xtime
## Rcgmin
              18
                           O TRUE TRUE 0.004
               13
                           O TRUE TRUE 0.004
## Rvmmin
## spg
               13
                           0 TRUE TRUE 0.048
## nlm
                           O TRUE TRUE 0.000
               11
## nlminb
               12
                            O TRUE TRUE 0.004
                         9999 NA NA 0.000
## L-BFGS-B
               NA
summary(a5g,order=value)
                                                           value fevals
                            p2
                                      рЗ
                  р1
## Rymmin
           0.2000000 0.2000000 0.2000000 0.2000000 8.047190e+00
                                                                    43
           0.2000000 0.2000000 0.2000000 0.2000000
## spg
                                                    8.047190e+00
## Rcgmin
           0.2000000 0.2000000 0.2000000 0.2000000
                                                   8.047190e+00
                                                                    28
## nlm
           0.2000006 0.1999995 0.2000000 0.2000000 8.047190e+00
                                                                    NA
## nlminb
           0.2000004 0.1999990 0.1999989 0.1999992 8.047190e+00
                                                                    23
## L-BFGS-B
                NA
                           NA
                                      NA
                                                NA 8.988466e+307
                                                                    NΑ
##
           gevals convergence kkt1 kkt2 xtime
## Rymmin
                          O TRUE TRUE 0.000
              12
## spg
               13
                            O TRUE TRUE 0.040
## Rcgmin
               12
                           O TRUE TRUE 0.000
## nlm
               11
                            O TRUE TRUE 0.000
## nlminb
                12
                            O TRUE TRUE 0.004
## L-BFGS-B
               NΑ
                         9999 NA NA 0.000
```

```
summary(a5gb,order=value)
##
                   p1
                            p2
                                       рЗ
                                                 p4
                                                             value fevals
## Rvmmin
            0.2000000 0.200000 0.2000000 0.2000000
            0.2000000 0.200000 0.2000000 0.2000000
                                                     8.047190e+00
## Rcgmin
                                                                       18
## spg
            0.2000000 0.200000 0.2000000 0.2000000
                                                     8.047190e+00
                                                                       18
## nlminb
            0.2000004 0.199999 0.1999989 0.1999992
                                                     8.047190e+00
                                                                       23
## L-BFGS-B
                                                 NA 8.988466e+307
                   NA
                            NA
                                       NA
                                                                       NA
## nlm
                   NA
                            NA
                                       NA
                                                                       NA
##
            gevals convergence kkt1 kkt2 xtime
## Rvmmin
                14
                             O TRUE TRUE 0.004
## Rcgmin
                10
                             O TRUE TRUE 0.000
## spg
                             O TRUE TRUE 0.040
                1.3
## nlminb
                              O TRUE TRUE 0.000
## L-BFGS-B
                NΑ
                          9999
                                 NΑ
                                       NA 0.000
## nlm
                NA
                           9999
                                 NA
                                       NA 0.000
#- summary(a5x,order=value)
```

Most, but not all, of the methods find the solution for the n=5 case. The exception (L-BFGS-B) is due to the optimization method trying to compute the gradient where sum(x) is greater than 1. We have not tried to determine the source of this particular issue. However, it is almost certainly a consequence of too large a step. This method uses a quite sophisticated line search and its ability to use quite large search steps often results in very good performance. Here, however, the particular form of log(1-sum(x)) is undefined once the argument of the logarithm is negative. Indeed, this is the basis of logarithmic barrier functions for constraints. There is a similar issue if any of the n-1 parameters approach or pass zero. Negative parameter values are inadmissible in this formulation.

Numerical gradient approximations can similarly fail, particularly as step sizes are often of the order of 1E-7 in size. There is generally no special check within numerical gradient routines to apply bounds. Note also that a lower bound of 0 on parameters is not adequate, since log(0) is undefined. Choosing a bound large enough to avoid the logarithm of a zero or negative argument while still being small enough to allow for parameter optimization is non-trivial.

#### 2.3 Projected search directions

Objective functions defined by  $(-1)*\prod \mathbf{x}$  or  $(-1)*\sum log(\mathbf{x})$  will change with the scale of the parameters. Moreover, the constraint  $\sum \mathbf{x} = 1$  effectively imposes the scaling  $\mathbf{x_{scaled}} = \mathbf{x}/\sum \mathbf{x}$ . The optimizer spg from package BB allows us to project our search direction to satisfy constraints. Thus, we could use the following approach.

```
require(BB, quietly=TRUE)
nllrv <- function(x) {- sum(log(x))}</pre>
nllrv.g <- function(x) {- 1/x }
proj <- function(x) {x/sum(x)}</pre>
n <- 5
tspg<-system.time(aspg <- spg(par=(1:n)/n^2, fn=nllrv, gr=nllrv.g, project=proj))[[3]]
## iter: 0 f-value: 11.30689 pgrad: 0.3607565
tspgn<-system.time(aspgn <- spg(par=(1:n)/n^2, fn=nllrv, gr=NULL, project=proj))[[3]]
## iter: 0 f-value: 11.30689 pgrad: 0.1333334
cat("Times: with gradient =",tspg," using numerical approx.=", tspgn,"\n")
## Times: with gradient = 0.043 using numerical approx.= 0.041
cat("F_optimal: with gradient=",aspg$value," num. approx.=",aspgn$value,"\n")
## F_optimal: with gradient= 8.04719 num. approx.= 8.04719
pbest<-rep(1/n, n)
cat("fbest = ",nllrv(pbest)," when all parameters = ", pbest[1],"\n")
## fbest = 8.04719 when all parameters = 0.2
## deviations: with gradient= 3.81244e-06 num. approx.= 6.367897e-08
```

Here the projection proj is the key to success of method spg. The near-equality of timings with and without analytic gradient is because the approximation attempt only uses one iteration and two function evaluations to finish. In fact, the solution with approximate gradient is actually better, and this seems to carry over to cases with more parameters, e.g., 100 of them.

```
n<-100
tspgh<-system.time(aspgh <- spg(par=(1:n)/n^2, fn=nllrv, gr=nllrv.g, project=proj))[[3]]
## iter: 0 f-value: 557.2947 pgrad: 0.1925703

tspgnh<-system.time(aspgnh <- spg(par=(1:n)/n^2, fn=nllrv, gr=NULL, project=proj))[[3]]
## iter: 0 f-value: 557.2947 pgrad: 0.00980205

cat("Times: with gradient =",tspgh," using numerical approx.=", tspgnh,"\n")
## Times: with gradient = 0.054 using numerical approx.= 0.041</pre>
```

Larger n values eventually give difficulties as non-positive parameters are produced at intermediate stages of the optimization.

Minimization methods other than spg do not have the flexibility to impose the projection directly. We would need to carefully build the projection into the function(s) and/or the method codes. This was done by Geradin (1971) for the Rayleigh quotient problem, but requires a number of changes to the program code.

# 2.4 log() transformation of parameters

When problems give difficulties, it is common to re-formulate them by transformations of the function or the parameters. A common method to ensure parameters are positive is to use a log transform. In the present case, optimizing over parameters that are the logarithms of the parameters above ensures we have positive arguments to most of the elements of the negative log likelihood. Here is the code. Note that the parameters used in optimization are "lx" and not x.

```
enll <- function(lx) {
    x<-exp(lx)
    fval<- - sum( log( x/sum(x) ) )
}
enll.g <- function(lx) {
    x<-exp(lx)
    g<-length(x)/sum(x) - 1/x
    gval<-g*exp(lx)
}</pre>
```

But where is our constraint that the sum of parameters must be 1? Here we have noted that we could define the objective function only to within the scaling  $\mathbf{x}/\sum(\mathbf{x})$ . There is a minor nuisance, in that we need to re-scale our parameters after solution to have them in a standard form. This is

most noticeable if one uses optimrx function opm() and displays the results of method = mset, a collection of six gradient-based minimizers. In the following, we extract the best solution for the 5-parameter problem.

```
require(optimrx, quietly=TRUE) # just to be sure
st<-1:5/10 # 5 parameters, crude scaling to start
st<-log(st)
n < -5
mset<-c("L-BFGS-B", "spg", "nlm", "nlminb", "Rvmmin", "Rcgmin")</pre>
a5x<-opm(st, enll, enll.g, method=mset, control=list(trace=0))
a5xbyvalue<-summary(a5x, order=value)
print(a5xbyvalue)[(n+1):(n+7)]
##
                          p2
                                   рЗ
                                              р4
                                                       p5 value fevals
## spg
           -1.345087 -1.345087 -1.345087 -1.345087 -1.345087 8.04719
                                                                      6
## Rvmmin -1.345087 -1.345087 -1.345087 -1.345087 -1.345087 8.04719
                                                                      26
## Rcgmin -1.345087 -1.345087 -1.345087 -1.345087 -1.345087 8.04719
                                                                      11
## nlminb -1.345087 -1.345087 -1.345087 -1.345087 -1.345087 8.04719
                                                                      8
          -1.345084 -1.345085 -1.345086 -1.345088 -1.345091 8.04719
## nlm
                                                                      NA
## L-BFGS-B -1.345080 -1.345087 -1.345085 -1.345088 -1.345093 8.04719
##
         gevals convergence kkt1 kkt2 xtime
            5 0 TRUE FALSE 0.044
## spg
                          O TRUE FALSE 0.000
## Rvmmin
              8
                          O TRUE FALSE 0.000
## Rcgmin
             8
               8
6
## nlminb
                           O TRUE FALSE 0.004
## nlm
                           O TRUE FALSE 0.000
            7 0 TRUE FALSE 0.000
## L-BFGS-B
##
           value fevals gevals convergence kkt1 kkt2 xtime
## spg
          8.04719 6 5 0 TRUE FALSE 0.044
## Rvmmin 8.04719 26 8
## Rcgmin 8.04719 11 8
## nlminb 8.04719 8 8
                                         O TRUE FALSE 0.000
                                         O TRUE FALSE 0.000
                                       O TRUE FALSE 0.004
## nlm
          8.04719 NA 6
                                       O TRUE FALSE 0.000
## L-BFGS-B 8.04719 7
                                        O TRUE FALSE 0.000
xnor<-exp(a5xbyvalue[1, 1:5]) # get the 5 parameters of "best" solution, exponentiate</pre>
xnor<-xnor/sum(xnor)</pre>
cat("best normalized parameters:")
## best normalized parameters:
print(xnor)
      p1 p2 p3 p4 p5
## spg 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2
```

While there are reasons to think that the indeterminacy might upset the optimization codes, in practice, the objective and gradient above are generally well-behaved, though they did reveal that tests of the size of the gradient used, in particular, to decide to terminate iterations in Rcgmin were too hasty in stopping progress for problems with larger numbers of parameters. A user-specified tolerance is now allowed; for example

control=list(tol=1e-32), the rather extreme setting we have used below.

Let us try a larger problem in 100 parameters. We emply the conjugate gradient algorithm in package Rcgmin.

```
## Initial function value = 460.5587
## Time = 0.001 fval= 460.517
## Average parameter is 0.01 with max deviation 6.290762e-08
```

We have a solution. However, a worrying aspect of this solution is that the objective function at the start and end differ by a tiny amount.

#### 2.5 A transformation inspired by the n-sphere

A slightly different transformation or projection is inspired by spherical coordinates. See https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/N-sphere.

The idea here is to transform a set of n parameters by specifying n-1 values and letting a special projection transform this set of n-1 numbers into a set of n parameters that always sum to 1.

The first such transformation uses the trigonometric identity that  $sin^2(theta) + cos^2(theta) = 1$ .

This identity is extended to n dimensions. We can do this via the projection

```
proj1 <- function(theta) {
    s2 <- sin(theta)^2
    cumprod(c(1, s2)) * c(1-s2, 1)
}</pre>
```

You can easily verify that this produces a set of parameters that sum to 1 by setting theta = (a, b) for a problem in 3 parameters. However, we do not need to use the sin() function, as any transformation onto the unit line segment [0,1] will work. proj2 below works fine, and can be verified for 3 parameters as with proj1, though the parameters are different. (Caution!)

We solve problems in 5 and 100 parameters using the spg() function from package BB, and by not specifying a gradient function use an internal approximation.

```
## ?? need to explain this better
proj2 <- function(theta) {
    theta2 <- theta^2
    s2 <- theta2 / (1 + theta2)
    cumprod(c(1, s2)) * c(1-s2, 1)
}
obj <- function(theta) { - sum(log(proj2(theta))) }
n <- 5
ans <- spg(seq(n-1), obj)</pre>
```

```
## iter: 0 f-value: 11.15175 pgrad: 3
## iter: 10 f-value: 8.78015 pgrad: 0.5806909
## iter: 20 f-value: 8.04719 pgrad: 3.925749e-06

proj2(ans$par) # The parameters
## [1] 0.2000000 0.2000007 0.2000002 0.1999996 0.1999995
```

```
n<-100
ans100 <- spg(seq(n-1), obj, control=list(trace=FALSE), quiet=TRUE)
proj2(ans100$par)[1:5] # Display only 1st 5 parameters
## [1] 0.009999999 0.0100000001 0.0100000000 0.010000000 0.009999999</pre>
```

In the above, we note that the transformation is embedded into the objective function, so we could run any of the optimizers in optimrx as follows. This can take some time, and the derivative-free methods do an awful lot of work with this formulation, though they do seem to get the best solution. We have omitted the results for these, as they make the rendering of this document unacceptably slow with knitr. Moreover, Rcgmin and Rvmmin are not recommended when an analytic gradient is not provided. Here we have specified that a simple forward difference approximation to the gradient should be used.

```
sans<- opm(seq(n-1), obj, gr="grfwd", method=mset, control=list(dowarn=FALSE))
## summary(allans, order = "list(round(value, 3), fevals)", par.select = FALSE)
summary(sans, order = value, par.select = FALSE)

## value fevals gevals convergence kkt1 kkt2 xtime
## Rvmmin 460.5170 398 272 2 TRUE TRUE 0.660
## spg 460.5170 230 212 0 TRUE TRUE 0.436
## Rcgmin 460.5170 1612 1023 0 TRUE TRUE 1.872
## nlm 460.5170 NA 203 0 TRUE TRUE 0.644
## L-BFGS-B 460.5170 280 280 0 TRUE TRUE 0.488
## nlminb 482.2372 189 151 1 FALSE FALSE 0.332</pre>
```

#### 2.6 Fixing parameters

Some function minimizers can specify that some parameters are fixed. Rvmmin and Rcgmin are two such methods. Let us work with the enll objective function that works with the logarithms of the parameters. We need to rescale the parameters after solution and recompute the objective if we need it.

```
n<-5
mmth <- c("Rvmmin", "Rcgmin")</pre>
```

```
strt <- (1:n)/n
lo <- c(rep(-100, (n-1)),strt[n])
up <- c(rep(100, (n-1)),strt[n])
amsk1 <- opm(strt, enll, enll.g, lower=lo, upper=up, method=mmth)
## Warning in bmchk(par, lower = lower, upper = upper): Masks (fixed parameters) set
by bmchk due to tight bounds. CAUTION!!
## Warning in bmchk(par, lower = lower, upper = upper): Masks (fixed parameters) set
by bmchk due to tight bounds. CAUTION!!
## Warning in bmchk(par, lower = lower, upper = upper): Masks (fixed parameters) set
by bmchk due to tight bounds. CAUTION!!
print(amsk1)
                          p2
                p1
                                    рЗ
                                              p4 p5 value fevals gevals
## Rvmmin 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000 1.0000000 1 8.04719
                                                                32
## Rcgmin 0.9999998 0.9999998 0.9999997 1 8.04719
                                                                29
                                                                       1.3
       convergence kkt1 kkt2 xtime
## Rvmmin
                  O TRUE FALSE 0.004
## Rcgmin
                   O TRUE FALSE 0.004
amsk1 <- summary(amsk1, order=value)</pre>
parmsk <- amsk1[1, 1:n]</pre>
parmsk <- parmsk/sum(parmsk)</pre>
print(parmsk)
          p1 p2 p3 p4 p5
## Rvmmin 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2
```

This also works well for n=100 with both methods that allow fixed parameters.

Note that for the product problem, no parameter can be zero or the product is zero. In the case of the Rayleigh Quotient below, we may have to be concerned that the parameter we have chosen to fix may have zero as its optimal value, in which case the approach of fixing one parameter is not useful unless we are prepared to indulge some trial and error.

#### 2.7 Use the gradient equations

Another approach is to "solve" the gradient equations as a nonlinear equations problem. We could do this with a sum of squares minimizer, though the nls function in R is specifically NOT useful as it cannot deal with small or zero residuals. However, nlfb from package nlmrt is capable of dealing with such problems. Unfortunately, it will be slow as it has to generate the Jacobian by numerical approximation unless we can provide a function to prepare the Jacobian analytically. Moreover, the determination of the Jacobian is still subject to the unfortunate scaling issues we have been confronting throughout this article. A better approach would likely be via

package nleqslv, which is constructed to attempt solutions of nonlinear equations problems.

```
## Problem of order 5 using nll.g
## nleqslv: rescaled parameter mean= 0.2 with SD= 0.09352086
## Problem of order 5 using enll.g
## nleqslv: rescaled parameter mean= 0.2 with SD= 9.573944e-10
## nlmrt: rescaled parameter mean= 0.2 with SD= 1.962616e-17
##
## Now 100 parameters using enll.g
## nleqslv: rescaled parameter mean= 0.01 with SD= 0.00574485
## nlmrt: rescaled parameter mean= 0.01 with SD= 0.005999938
```

The results with the larger problem are not acceptable.

# 3 The Rayleigh Quotient

This is another typical sumscale problem. The maximal and minimal eigensolutions of a symmetric matrix A are extrema of the Rayleigh Quotient

$$R(x) = (x'Ax)/(x'x)$$

We can also deal with generalized eigenproblems of the form

$$Ax = eBx$$

where B is symmetric and positive definite by using the Rayleigh Quotient.

```
R_q(x) = (x'Ax)/(x'Bx)
```

Once again, the objective is scaled by the parameters, this time by their sum of squares. Alternatively, we may think of requiring the **normalized** eigensolution, which is given as

```
x_{normalized} = x/sqrt(x'x)
```

We will first try the projected gradient method spg from BB. Below is the code, where our test uses a matrix called the Moler matrix (Nash, 1979, Appendix 1). We caution that there are faster ways to compute this matrix in R (Nash, 2012), where different approaches to speed up R computations are discussed. Here we are concerned with getting the solutions correctly rather than the speed of so doing. Note that to get the solution with the most-positive eigenvalue, we minimize the Rayleigh quotient of the matrix multiplied by -1. This is solution tmax.

```
molerbuild<-function(n) { # Create the moler matrix of order n
    # A[i,j] = i for i=j, min(i,j)-2 otherwise
A <- matrix(0, nrow = n, ncol = n)
    j <- 1:n
    for (i in 1:n) {
        A[i, 1:i] <- pmin(i, 1:i) - 2</pre>
```

```
A \leftarrow A + t(A)
  diag(A) <- 1:n
  Α
raynum<-function(x, A){</pre>
  rayquo<-as.numeric((t(x)%*%A)%*%x)
proj<-function(x) { x/sqrt(crossprod(x)) }</pre>
require(BB, quietly=TRUE)
x<-rep(1,n)
A<-molerbuild(n)
tmin<-system.time(asprqmin<-spg(x, fn=raynum, project=proj, A=A))[[3]]</pre>
## iter: 0 f-value: 205 pgrad: 3.089431e-09
## Warning in spg(x, fn = raynum, project = proj, A = A): convergence tolerance satisified
at intial parameter values.
tmax<-system.time(asprqmax<-spg(x, fn=raynum, project=proj, A=-A))[[3]]</pre>
## iter: 0 f-value: -205 pgrad: 0.6324555
## Warning in spg(x, fn = raynum, project = proj, A = -A): Unsuccessful convergence.
cat("maximal eigensolution: Value=",asprqmax$value,"in time ",tmax,"\n")
## maximal eigensolution: Value= -205 in time 0.284
print(asprqmax$par)
## [1] 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278
## [8] 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278
cat("minimal eigensolution: Value=",asprqmin$value,"in time ",tmin,"\n")
## minimal eigensolution: Value= 205 in time 0.042
print(asprqmin$par)
## [1] 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278
## [8] 0.3162278 0.3162278 0.3162278
```

For the record, these results compare well with eigenvalues from eigen(). If we ignore the constraint, and simply perform the optimization, we can get satisfactory solutions, though comparisons require that we normalize the parameters (the eigenvector components) post-optimization. We can check

if the scale of the eigenvectors is becoming large by computing the norm of the final parameter vector. In tests on the Moler matrix up to dimension 100, none grew to a worrying size.

For comparison, we also ran a specialized Geradin routine as implemented in R by one of us (JN). This gave equivalent answers, albeit more efficiently. For those interested, the Geradin routine is available as referenced in (Nash, 2012).

# 4 The R-help example

As a final example, let us use our present techniques to solve the problem posed by Lanh Tran on R-help. We will use only a method that scales the parameters directly inside the objective function and not bother with gradients for this small problem.

```
ssums<-function(x){
 n<-length(x)
 tt<-sum(x)
 ss<-1:n
 xx<-(x/tt)*(x/tt)
 sum(ss*xx)
cat("Try penalized sum\n")
## Try penalized sum
st<-runif(3)
aos<-opm(st, ssums, gr="grcentral", method=mset)</pre>
# rescale the parameters
nsol<-dim(aos)[1]</pre>
for (i in 1:nsol){
 tpar<-aos[i,1:3]
 ntpar<-sum(tpar)</pre>
 tpar<-tpar/ntpar
# cat("Method ",aos[i, "meth"]," gives fval =", ssums(tpar))
 aos[i, 1:3]<-tpar
summary(aos,order=value)[1:5,]
                                         value fevals gevals convergence
                 p1
                         p2
                                   рЗ
## Rvmmin 0.5454546 0.2727273 0.1818182 0.5454545 24 9 0
## nlminb 0.5454545 0.2727273 0.1818182 0.5454545
                                                     8
                                                            8
                                                                        0
## Rcgmin 0.5454545 0.2727273 0.1818182 0.5454545
                                                  18
                                                          8
                                                                       0
## nlm 0.5454545 0.2727273 0.1818181 0.5454545
                                                  NA
                                                            6
## L-BFGS-B 0.5454546 0.2727271 0.1818182 0.5454545
                                                     8
                                                            8
## kkt1 kkt2 xtime
```

```
## Rvmmin TRUE FALSE 0.000
## nlminb TRUE FALSE 0.000
## Rcgmin TRUE FALSE 0.000
## nlm TRUE FALSE 0.004
## L-BFGS-B TRUE FALSE 0.000
```

```
ssum<-function(x){</pre>
 n<-length(x)
 ss<-1:n
 xx<-x*x
 sum(ss*xx)
proj.simplex <- function(y) {</pre>
# project an n-dim vector y to the simplex Dn
\# Dn = \{ x : x \ n-dim, 1 \ge x \ge 0, \ sum(x) = 1 \}
# Ravi Varadhan, Johns Hopkins University
# August 8, 2012
n <- length(y)</pre>
sy <- sort(y, decreasing=TRUE)</pre>
csy <- cumsum(sy)</pre>
rho \leftarrow \max(\text{which}(\text{sy} > (\text{csy} - 1)/(1:n)))
theta <- (csy[rho] - 1) / rho
return(pmax(0, y - theta))
as <- spg(st, ssum, project=proj.simplex)
## iter: 0 f-value: 2.067073 pgrad: 0.48634
cat("Using project.simplex with spg: fmin=",as$value," at \n")
## Using project.simplex with spg: fmin= 0.5454545 at
print(as$par)
## [1] 0.5454579 0.2727254 0.1818168
```

Apart from the parameter rescaling, this is an entirely "doable" problem. Note that we can also solve the problem as a Quadratic Program using the quadprog package.

```
library(quadprog)
Dmat<-diag(c(1,2,3))
Amat<-matrix(c(1, 1, 1), ncol=1)
bvec<-c(1)
meq=1
dvec<-c(0, 0, 0)
ans<-solve.QP(Dmat, dvec, Amat, bvec, meq=0, factorized=FALSE)
ans
## $solution</pre>
```

```
## [1] 0.5454545 0.2727273 0.1818182
##
## $value
## [1] 0.2727273
##
## $unconstrained.solution
## [1] 0 0 0
##
## $iterations
## [1] 2 0
##
## $Lagrangian
## [1] 0.5454545
##
## $iact
## [1] 1
```

# 5 Recommendations

Despite the relatively limited experience above, it is nevertheless incumbent on us to recommend to Rusers how to approach these problems.

# 5.1 Application of the ideas to a new example

Let us try to solve the following problem:

Maximize the product of n parameters such that the scaled sum of squares is fixed to value A. That is,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} i * x_i^2 = A$$

We will set A=1, but other values will simply scale the objective function. Defining

```
scale(\mathbf{x}) = \sqrt{(\sum_{i=1}^{n} i * x_i^2)} we want to maximize \prod \mathbf{x}/scale(\mathbf{x}) As before, we can minimize -\sum_{i=1}^{n} log(x_i) + log(scale(\mathbf{x}))
```

Moreover we could work with logarithms of parameters to avoid non-positive inputs to the objective function.

```
scale <- function(x) {sum( (1:n) * x^2)}
enew <- function(x){
    n <- length(x)

##    x <- exp(lx)
    sc <- sqrt(scale(x))
    obj <- - sum(log(x/sc))
#    obj <- n*log(sc)-sum(log(x))
}</pre>
```

```
library(optimrx)
n <- 5
mmth<-c("Rvmmin", "Rcgmin")
mset<-c("Rcgmin", "ucminf", "Nelder-Mead")</pre>
strt <- (1:n)/(2*n)
lo <- c(rep(-100, (n-1)),strt[n])
 up <- c(rep(100, (n-1)),strt[n])
 amsk2 <- opm(strt, enew, gr="grcentral", lower=lo, upper=up, method=mmth)</pre>
## Warning in bmchk(par, lower = lower, upper = upper): Masks (fixed parameters) set
by bmchk due to tight bounds. CAUTION!!
## Warning in bmchk(par, lower = lower, upper = upper): Masks (fixed parameters) set
by bmchk due to tight bounds. CAUTION!!
## Warning in log(x/sc): NaNs produced
## Warning in bmchk(par, lower = lower, upper = upper): Masks (fixed parameters) set
by bmchk due to tight bounds. CAUTION!!
## Warning in log(x/sc): NaNs produced
## Warning in Rcgminb(par = spar, fn = efn, gr = egr, lower = slower, upper = supper,
: Rcgmin - undefined function
## amsk2 \leftarrow opm(strt, enew, gr="grcentral", method=mmth)
amsk2 <- summary(amsk2, order=value)</pre>
print(amsk2)
                                              p4 p5 value fevals gevals
                p1
                          p2
                                    рЗ
## Rcgmin 1.118034 0.7905694 0.6454971 0.559017 0.5 6.417341 39 18
## Rvmmin 0.100000 0.2000000 0.3000000 0.400000 0.5 8.752759
                                                                           1
## convergence kkt1 kkt2 xtime
## Rcgmin 0 TRUE FALSE 0.004
                   21 FALSE FALSE 0.004
## Rvmmin
parm2 <- amsk2[1, 1:n]
print(parm2)
                          p2
                                    рЗ
                p1
## Rcgmin 1.118034 0.7905694 0.6454971 0.559017 0.5
parm2 <- as.numeric(parm2/sqrt(scale(parm2)))</pre>
## parm2e<-as.numeric(exp(parm2))</pre>
print(parm2)
## [1] 0.4472136 0.3162278 0.2581989 0.2236068 0.2000000
cat("enew(parm2)=", enew(parm2),"\n")
## enew(parm2)= 6.417341
print(scale(parm2))
## [1] 1
areg<- opm(strt, enew, gr="grnd", method=mset)</pre>
```

```
## Warning in log(x/sc): NaNs produced
## Warning in Rcgminu(par = spar, fn = efn, gr = egr, control = mcontrol, ...): Rcgmin
- undefined function
## Warning in log(x/sc): NaNs produced
## Warning in Rcgminu(par = spar, fn = efn, gr = egr, control = mcontrol, ...): Rcgmin
- undefined function
areg
##
                               p2
                                         рЗ
                                                   p4
                                                             р5
                                                                   value
## Rcgmin
              0.5707154 0.4035568 0.3295027 0.2853577 0.2552317 6.417341
             1.1141731 0.7878393 0.6432681 0.5570865 0.4982733 6.417341
## ucminf
## Nelder-Mead 0.6300243 0.4449968 0.3629333 0.3145654 0.2812454 6.417344
            fevals gevals convergence kkt1 kkt2 xtime
## Rcgmin
               32 15 0 TRUE FALSE 0.012
## ucminf
                  15
                         15
                                      O TRUE FALSE 0.008
                      NA
## Nelder-Mead 216
                                     O TRUE FALSE 0.004
for (ii in 1:dim(areg)[1]){
   prm <- areg[ii,1:5]</pre>
   print(prm)
   prm<-as.numeric(prm/sqrt(scale(prm)))</pre>
    cat("scaled parameters:")
    print(prm)
    cat("new scale=", scale(prm),"\n")
##
                p1
                          p2
                                    рЗ
                                              p4
## Rcgmin 0.5707154 0.4035568 0.3295027 0.2853577 0.2552317
## scaled parameters:[1] 0.4472136 0.3162278 0.2581989 0.2236068 0.2000000
## new scale= 1
##
               p1
                         p2
                                   рЗ
                                             p4
                                                       р5
## ucminf 1.114173 0.7878393 0.6432681 0.5570865 0.4982733
## scaled parameters:[1] 0.4472136 0.3162278 0.2581989 0.2236068 0.2000000
## new scale= 1
##
                               p2
                                         рЗ
## Nelder-Mead 0.6300243 0.4449968 0.3629333 0.3145654 0.2812454
## scaled parameters:[1] 0.4478024 0.3162904 0.2579621 0.2235837 0.1999008
## new scale= 1
```

# 6 Conclusion

Sumscale problems can present difficulties for optimization (or function minimization) codes. These difficulties are by no means insurmountable, but they do require some attention.

While specialized approaches are "best" for speed and correctness, a general user is more likely to benefit from a simpler approach of embedding the scaling in the objective function and rescaling the parameters before reporting them. Another choice is to use the projected gradient via spg from package BB.

# References

- M. Geradin. The computational efficiency of a new minimization algorithm for eigenvalue analysis. *J. Sound Vib.*, 19:319–331, 1971.
- J. C. Nash. Compact Numerical Methods for Computers: Linear Algebra and Function Minimisation. Adam Hilger, Bristol, 1979. Second Edition, 1990, Bristol: Institute of Physics Publications.
- J. C. Nash. Timing Rayleigh Quotient minimization in R, July 2012. This is a 'tip' on http://rwiki.sciviews.org/doku.php?id=tips:rqcasestudy. The files related to this study are http://macnash.telfer.uottawa.ca/~nashjc/RQtimes.pdf and http://macnash.telfer.uottawa.ca/~nashjc/RQtimes.Rnw.
- J. C. Nash. optextras: Tools to Support Optimization Possibly with Bounds and Masks, 2013. URL https://CRAN.R-project.org/package-optextras. R package version 2013-10.27.