Working with unknown values

The gdata package

by Gregor Gorjanc

Introduction

This article was published as Gorjanc (2007)

Unknown or missing values can be represented in various ways. For example SAS uses . (dot), while R uses NA, which we can read as Not Available. When we import data into R, say via read.table or its derivatives, conversion of blank fields to NA (according to read.table help) is done for logical, integer, numeric and complex classes. Additionally, na.strings argument can be used to specify values that should also be converted to NA. Inversely there is an argument na in write.table and its derivatives to define value that will replace NA in exported data. There are also other ways to import/export data into R as described in "R Data Import/Export" Manual (R Development Core Team, 2006), however all approaches lack the possibility to define unknown value(s) for particular column. It is possible that unknown value in one column is a valid value in another column. For example I have seen many datasets where values as 0, -9, 999 and specific dates are used as column specific unknown values in one dataset.

This note represents set of functions in **gdata**¹ package (Warnes., 2006): isUnknown, unknownToNA and NAToUnknown, which can help with testing for unknown values and conversions between unknown values and NA. All three functions are generic (S3) and were tested (at the time of writing) to work with: integer, numeric, character, factor, Date, POSIXct, POSIXlt, list, data.frame and matrix classes.

Description with examples

The following examples show simple usage of this functions on numeric and factor classes, where value 0 (beside NA) should be treated as unknown value:

```
> library(gdata)
> xNum <- c(0, 6, 0, 7, 8, 9, NA)
> isUnknown(x=xNum)
[1] FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE TRUE
```

The default unknown value in isUnknown is NA, which means that output is the same to is.na - at least for atomic classes. However, we can pass argument unknown to define which values should be treated as unknown.

> isUnknown(x=xNum, unknown=0)
[1] TRUE FALSE TRUE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE

This skipped NA, but we can get expected answer after appropriately adding NA into argument

```
> isUnknown(x=xNum, unknown=c(0, NA))
[1] TRUE FALSE TRUE FALSE FALSE FALSE TRUE
```

Now, we can change all unknown values to NA with unknownToNA. There is clearly no need to add NA here. This step is very handy after importing data from external source, where many different unknown values might be used. Argument warning=TRUE can be used, if there is a need to be warned about "original" NAs.

```
> xNum2 <- unknownToNA(x=xNum, unknown=0)
[1] NA 6 NA 7 8 9 NA</pre>
```

Prior to export from R, we might want to change unknown value (NA in R) to some other value. Function NAToUnknown can be used for this.

```
> NAToUnknown(x=xNum2, unknown=999)
[1] 999 6 999 7 8 9 999
```

Converting NA to value that already exists in x issues an error, however force=TRUE can be used to overcome this if needed. But be warned that there is no way back from this step.

```
> NAToUnknown(x=xNum2, unknown=7, force=TRUE)
[1] 7 6 7 7 8 9 7
```

Examples bellow show all peculiarities with factor class. unknownToNA removes unknown value from levels and inversely NAToUnknown adds it with a warning. Additionally, "NA" is properly distinguished from NA. It can also be seen that argument unknown in functions isUnknown and unknownToNA need not match class of x (otherwise factor should be used) as the test is internally done with %in%, which nicely resolves coercing issues.

```
[1] O BA RA BA <NA> NA

Levels: O BA NA RA

> isUnknown(x=xFac)

[1] FALSE FALSE FALSE TRUE FALSE

> isUnknown(x=xFac, unknown=0)

[1] TRUE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE

> isUnknown(x=xFac, unknown=c(0, NA))

[1] TRUE FALSE FALSE FALSE TRUE FALSE

> isUnknown(x=xFac, unknown=c(0, "NA"))

[1] TRUE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE TRUE

> isUnknown(x=xFac, unknown=c(0, "NA", NA))

[1] TRUE FALSE FALSE FALSE TRUE TRUE
```

> xFac <- factor(c(0, "BA", "RA", "BA", NA, "NA"))

¹from version 2.3.1

These two examples with numeric an factor classes are fairly simple and we could get the same results with one or two lines of R code. The real benefit of presented set of functions is in list and data.frame methods, where data.frame methods are merely wrappers for list methods.

We need additional flexibility for list/data.frame methods, due to possibility of having multiple unknown values that can be different among list components or data.frame columns. For these two methods, argument unknown can be either a vector or list, both possibly named. Of course, greater flexibility (defining multiple unknown values per component/column) can be achieved with a list.

When vector/list passed to argument unknown is not named, first value/component of a vector/list matches first component/column of a list/data.frame. This can be quite error prone, especially with vectors. Therefore, I encourage use of a list. In case vector/list passed to argument unknown is named, names are matched to names of list or data.frame. If lengths of unknown and list or data.frame do not match, recycling occurs.

Example bellow shows usage of described functions on a list, that is composed of previously defined and modified numeric (xNum) and factor (xFac) classes. First function isUnknown is used with 0 as unknown value. Note that we get FALSE for NAs as has been the case in the first example.

```
> xList <- list(a=xNum, b=xFac)
$a
[1] 0 6 0 7 8 9 NA

$b
[1] 0 BA RA BA 0 NA
Levels: 0 BA NA RA
> isUnknown(x=xList, unknown=0)
$a
[1] TRUE FALSE TRUE FALSE FALSE FALSE
$b
[1] TRUE FALSE FALSE FALSE TRUE FALSE
```

We need to add NA as unknown value. However, we do not get the expected result this way!

```
> isUnknown(x=xList, unknown=c(0, NA))
$a
[1] TRUE FALSE TRUE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE
$b
[1] FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE FALSE
```

This is due to matching of values in argument unknown and components in a list i.e. 0 is used for component a and NA for component b. Therefore, it is less error prone and more flexible to pass list (preferably named one) to argument unknown as shown bellow.

Changing NAs to some other value (only one per component/column) can be now something like this:

```
> NAToUnknown(x=xList1, unknown=list(b="no", a=0))
$a
[1] 0 6 0 7 8 9 0

$b
[1] no BA RA BA no no
Levels: BA no RA
Warning message:
new level is introduced: no
```

Named component .default of a list passed to argument unknown has a special meaning as it will match component/column with that name and any other not defined in unknown. As such it is very useful if the number of components/columns with the same unknown value(s) is large. Imagine a wide data.frame named df. Now .default can be used to define unknown value for several columns:

```
> df <- unknownToNA(x=df,
+ unknown=(.default=0,
+ col1=999,
+ col2="unknown"))</pre>
```

If there is a need to work only on some components/columns you can of course "skip" columns with standard R mechanisms i.e. with subsetting list or data.frame objects.

Summary

Functions isUnknown, unknownToNA and NAToUnknown provide a nice interface to work with various representations of unknown/missing values. Their use is meant primarily for shaping the data after importing to or before exporting from R. I welcome any comments or suggestions.

BIBLIOGRAPHY
BIBLIOGRAPHY

Bibliography

- G. Gorjanc. Working with unknown values: the gdata package. *R News*, 1(1):?-?, ? 2007. URL http://CRAN.R-project.org/doc/Rnews/Rnews_2007-?.pdf.
- R Development Core Team. R Data Import/Export, 2006. URL http://cran.r-project.org/manuals.html. ISBN 3-900051-10-0.
- G. R. Warnes. gdata: Various R program-

ming tools for data manipulation, 2006. URL http://cran.r-project.org/src/contrib/Descriptions/gdata.html. R package version 2.3.1. Includes R source code and/or documentation contributed by Ben Bolker, Gregor Gorjanc and Thomas Lumley.

Gregor Gorjanc
University of Ljubljana, Slovenia
gregor.gorjanc@bfro.uni-lj.si