

## *Efficient R: practical*

Dr Colin Gillespie

Before starting the questions, make sure you install the `rbenchmark` package

```
install.packages("rbenchmark")
```

To the load the package use

```
library("rbenchmark")
```

Each practical corresponds to a chapter in the notes.

### *Practical 1*

1. Reproduce the timing results in chapter 1 using the benchmark function from the `rbenchmark` package.
2. **Case study** In this example, we are going to investigate loading a large data frame. First, we'll generate a large matrix of random numbers and save it as a csv file:<sup>1</sup>

```
N = 1e+05  
m = as.data.frame(matrix(runif(N), ncol = 1000))  
write.csv(m, file = "example.csv", row.names = FALSE)
```

<sup>1</sup> If setting `N=1e6` is too large for your machine, reduce it a bit. For example, `N=50,000`.

We can read the file the back in again using `read.csv`

```
dd = read.csv("example.csv")
```

To get a baseline result, time the `read.csv` function call above.

We will now look ways of speeding up this step.

- (a) Use the `nrows` argument to set the number of rows that will be read from your file.<sup>2</sup>
- (b) Set `comment.char=""` to turn off interpretation of comments.
- (c) Explicitly define the classes of each column using `colClasses` in `read.csv`, for example, if we have 1000 columns that all have data type numeric, then:

```
read.csv(file="example.csv",  
         colClasses=rep("numeric", 1000))
```

<sup>2</sup> Hint, use `nrow(m)` to determine how many rows are in your matrix.

- (d) Use the save and load functions:

```
save(m, file = "example.RData")  
load(file = "example.RData")
```

Which of the above give the biggest speed-ups? Are there any downsides to using these techniques? Do your results depend on the number of columns or the number of rows?

## Practical 2

1. In this question, we'll compare matrices and data frames. Suppose we have a matrix, `d_m`

```
## For fast computers d_m = matrix(1:1000000,
## ncol=1000) Slower computers
d_m = matrix(1:10000, ncol = 100)
dim(d_m)

## [1] 100 100
```

and a data frame `d_df`:

```
d_df = as.data.frame(d_m)
colnames(d_df) = paste("c", 1:ncol(d_df), sep = "")
```

- (a) Using the following code, calculate the relative differences between selecting the first column/row of a data frame and matrix.

```
benchmark(replications=1000,
          d_m[1,], d_df[1,], d_m[,1], d_df[,1],
          columns=c('test', 'elapsed', 'relative'))
```

Can you explain the result? Try varying the number of replications.

- (b) When selecting columns in a data frame, there are a few different methods. For example,

```
d_df$c10
d_df[, 10]
d_df[, "c10"]
d_df[, colnames(d_df) == "c10"]
```

Compare these four methods.

2. Consider the following piece of code:

```
a = NULL
for(i in 1:n)
  a = c(a, 2 * pi * sin(i))
```

This code calculates the values:

$$2\pi \sin(1), 2\pi \sin(2), 2\pi \sin(3), \dots, 2\pi \sin(n)$$

and stores them in a vector. Two obvious ways of speeding up this code are:

- Pre-allocate the vector `a` for storing your results.

- Remove  $2 \times \pi$  from the loop, i.e. at the end of the loop have the statement: `2*pi*a`.

Try the above techniques for speeding up the loop. Vary  $n$  and plot your results.

3. R is an interpreted language; this means that the interpreter executes the program source code directly, statement by statement. Therefore, every function call takes time.<sup>3</sup> Consider these three examples:

<sup>3</sup> This example is for illustrative purposes. Please don't start worrying about comments and brackets.

```
n = 1e6
## Example 1
I = 0
for(i in 1:n) {
  10
  I = I + 1
}
## Example 2
I = 0
for(i in 1:n){
  ((((((((((10))))))))))
  I = I + 1
}
## Example 3
I = 0
for(i in 1:n){
  ##This is a comment
  ##But it is still parsed
  ##So takes time
  ##But not a lot
  ##So don't worry!
  10
  I = I + 1
}
```

Using the benchmark function, time these three examples.

*Practical 3: parallel programming*

1. To begin, load the parallel package and determine how many cores you have

```
library(parallel)
detectCores()
```

2. Run the parallel apply example in the notes.
  - On your machine, what value of N do you need to use to make the parallel code run quicker than the standard serial version?
  - When I ran the benchmarks, I didn't include the makeCluster and stopCluster functions calls. Include these calls in your timings. How does this affect your benchmarks?
3. Run the dice game Monte-Carlo example in the notes. Vary the parameter M.<sup>4</sup>

<sup>4</sup> Try setting M=50 and varying N.

*Solutions*

Solutions are contained within this package:

```
library("nclRefficient")
vignette("solutions1", package = "nclRefficient")
```