Statistical Analysis of Corpus Data with R A short introduction to regression and linear models

Designed by Marco Baroni¹ and Stefan Evert²

¹Center for Mind/Brain Sciences (CIMeC) University of Trento

²Institute of Cognitive Science (IKW) University of Onsabrück

Outline

- Regression
 - Simple linear regression
 - General linear regression
- 2 Linear statistical models
 - A statistical model of linear regression
 - Statistical inference
- Generalised linear models

Linear regression

- Can random variable Y be predicted from r. v. X?
 - focus on linear relationship between variables
- Linear predictor:

$$Y \approx \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot X$$

- \triangleright β_0 = intercept of regression line
- β_1 = slope of regression line

Linear regression

- Can random variable Y be predicted from r. v. X?
 - focus on linear relationship between variables
- Linear predictor:

$$Y \approx \beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot X$$

- \triangleright β_0 = intercept of regression line
- β_1 = slope of regression line
- Least-squares regression minimizes prediction error

$$Q = \sum_{i=1}^{n} [y_i - (\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i)]^2$$

for data points $(x_1, y_1), \ldots, (x_n, y_n)$



Simple linear regression

Coefficients of least-squares line

$$\hat{\beta}_{1} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} y_{i} - n \overline{x}_{n} \overline{y}_{n}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2} - n \overline{x}_{n}^{2}}$$

$$\hat{\beta}_0 = \overline{y}_n - \hat{\beta}_1 \overline{x}_n$$

Simple linear regression

Coefficients of least-squares line

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i - n \overline{x}_n \overline{y}_n}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 - n \overline{x}_n^2}$$

$$\hat{\beta}_0 = \overline{y}_n - \hat{\beta}_1 \overline{x}_n$$

- Mathematical derivation of regression coefficients
 - ▶ minimum of $Q(\beta_0, \beta_1)$ satisfies $\partial Q/\partial \beta_0 = \partial Q/\partial \beta_1 = 0$
 - ▶ leads to normal equations (system of 2 linear equations)

$$-2\sum_{i=1}^{n} [y_i - (\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i)] = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \beta_0 n + \beta_1 \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i$$

$$-2\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i [y_i - (\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i)] = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \beta_0 \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i + \beta_1 \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i y_i$$

• regression coefficients = unique solution $\hat{\beta}_0, \hat{\beta}_1$

- 4 ロ ト 4 個 ト 4 種 ト 4 種 ト - 種 - 夕 Q (C)

The Pearson correlation coefficient

- Measuring the "goodness of fit" of the linear prediction
 - variation among observed values of $Y = \text{sum of squares } S_v^2$
 - closely related to (sample estimate for) variance of Y

$$S_y^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \overline{y}_n)^2$$

lacktriangleright residual variation wrt. linear prediction: $S^2_{ ext{resid}} = Q$

The Pearson correlation coefficient

- Measuring the "goodness of fit" of the linear prediction
 - variation among observed values of $Y = \text{sum of squares } S_v^2$
 - closely related to (sample estimate for) variance of Y

$$S_y^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \overline{y}_n)^2$$

- residual variation wrt. linear prediction: $S_{resid}^2 = Q$
- Pearson correlation = amount of variation "explained" by X

$$R^{2} = 1 - \frac{S_{\text{resid}}^{2}}{S_{y}^{2}} = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_{i} - \beta_{0} - \beta_{1}x_{i})^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_{i} - \overline{y}_{n})^{2}}$$

correlation vs. slope of regression line

$$R^2 = \hat{\beta}_1(y \sim x) \cdot \hat{\beta}_1(x \sim y)$$

Multiple linear regression

• Linear regression with multiple predictor variables

$$Y \approx \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \dots + \beta_k X_k$$

minimises

$$Q = \sum_{i=1}^{n} [y_i - (\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \dots + \beta_k x_{ik})]^2$$

for data points $(x_{11}, \ldots, x_{1k}, y_1), \ldots, (x_{n1}, \ldots, x_{nk}, y_n)$

• Multiple linear regression fits *n*-dimensional hyperplane instead of regression line

Multiple linear regression: The design matrix

Matrix notation of linear regression problem

$$\mathbf{y} pprox \mathbf{Z}eta$$

"Design matrix" Z of the regression data

$$\mathbf{Z} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_{11} & x_{12} & \cdots & x_{1k} \\ 1 & x_{21} & x_{22} & \cdots & x_{2k} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & x_{n1} & x_{n2} & \cdots & x_{nk} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 & y_2 & \cdots & y_n \end{bmatrix}'$$
$$\beta = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_0 & \beta_1 & \beta_2 & \cdots & \beta_k \end{bmatrix}'$$

 \mathbf{A}' denotes transpose of a matrix; \mathbf{y}, β are column vectors



Matrix notation of linear regression problem

$$\mathbf{y} pprox \mathbf{Z} eta$$

Residual error

$$Q = (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{Z}\beta)'(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{Z}\beta)$$

Matrix notation of linear regression problem

$$\mathbf{y} pprox \mathbf{Z}eta$$

Residual error

$$Q = (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{Z}\beta)'(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{Z}\beta)$$

• System of normal equations satisfying $\nabla_{\beta} Q = 0$:

$$\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Z}\beta=\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{y}$$

Matrix notation of linear regression problem

$$\mathbf{y} pprox \mathbf{Z}eta$$

Residual error

$$Q = (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{Z}\beta)'(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{Z}\beta)$$

• System of normal equations satisfying $\nabla_{\beta} Q = 0$:

$$\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Z}\beta=\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{y}$$

Leads to regression coefficients

$$\boldsymbol{\hat{eta}} = (\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Z})^{-1}\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{y}$$



- Predictor variables can also be functions of the observed variables → regression only has to be linear in coefficients β
- E.g. polynomial regression with design matrix

$$\mathbf{Z} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_1^2 & \cdots & x_1^k \\ 1 & x_2 & x_2^2 & \cdots & x_2^k \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & x_n & x_n^2 & \cdots & x_n^k \end{bmatrix}$$

corresponding to regression model

$$Y \approx \beta_0 + \beta_1 X + \beta_2 X^2 + \dots + \beta_k X^k$$

• Linear statistical model ($\epsilon = \text{random error}$)

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \dots + \beta_k x_k + \epsilon$$
$$\epsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$$

- x_1, \ldots, x_k are not treated as random variables!
- $ightharpoonup \sim =$ "is distributed as"; $N(\mu, \sigma^2) =$ normal distribution

• Linear statistical model ($\epsilon=$ random error)

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \dots + \beta_k x_k + \epsilon$$
$$\epsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$$

- x_1, \ldots, x_k are not treated as random variables!
- $ightharpoonup \sim =$ "is distributed as"; $N(\mu, \sigma^2) =$ normal distribution
- Mathematical notation:

$$Y \mid x_1, \ldots, x_k \sim N(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \cdots + \beta_k x_k, \sigma^2)$$

• Linear statistical model (ϵ = random error)

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \dots + \beta_k x_k + \epsilon$$
$$\epsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$$

- x_1, \ldots, x_k are not treated as random variables!
- $ightharpoonup \sim =$ "is distributed as"; $N(\mu, \sigma^2) =$ normal distribution
- Mathematical notation:

$$Y \mid x_1, \ldots, x_k \sim N(\beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \cdots + \beta_k x_k, \sigma^2)$$

- Assumptions
 - error terms ϵ_i are i.i.d. (independent, same distribution)
 - error terms follow normal (Gaussian) distributions
 - equal (but unknown) variance σ^2 = homoscedasticity



Probability density function for simple linear model

$$\Pr(\mathbf{y} \,|\, \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{n/2}} \cdot \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i)^2\right]$$

- $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n) = \text{observed values of } Y \text{ (sample size } n)$
- $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) = \text{observed values of } X$

Probability density function for simple linear model

$$\Pr(\mathbf{y} \,|\, \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{n/2}} \cdot \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i)^2\right]$$

- $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n) = \text{observed values of } Y \text{ (sample size } n)$
- $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) = \text{observed values of } X$
- Log-likelihood has a familiar form:

$$\log \Pr(\mathbf{y} \mid \mathbf{x}) = C - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i)^2 \propto Q$$

ightharpoonup MLE parameter estimates $\hat{\beta}_0, \hat{\beta}_1$ from linear regression

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆■▶ ■ 900

Statistical inference for linear models

- Model comparison with ANOVA techniques
 - Is variance reduced significantly by taking a specific explanatory factor into account?
 - ▶ intuitive: proportion of variance explained (like R²)
 - ▶ mathematical: F statistic → p-value

Statistical inference for linear models

- Model comparison with ANOVA techniques
 - Is variance reduced significantly by taking a specific explanatory factor into account?
 - ▶ intuitive: proportion of variance explained (like R²)
 - ▶ mathematical: F statistic → p-value
- Parameter estimates $\hat{\beta}_0, \hat{\beta}_1, \ldots$ are random variables
 - t-tests ($H_0: \beta_j = 0$) and confidence intervals for β_j
 - confidence intervals for new predictions

Statistical inference for linear models

- Model comparison with ANOVA techniques
 - Is variance reduced significantly by taking a specific explanatory factor into account?
 - intuitive: proportion of variance explained (like R^2)
 - ▶ mathematical: F statistic → p-value
- ullet Parameter estimates $\hat{eta}_0,\hat{eta}_1,\ldots$ are random variables
 - t-tests $(H_0: \beta_i = 0)$ and confidence intervals for β_i
 - confidence intervals for new predictions
- Categorical factors: dummy-coding with binary variables
 - ► e.g. factor *x* with levels *low*, *med*, *high* is represented by three binary dummy variables x_{low} , x_{med} , x_{high}
 - one parameter for each factor level: β_{low} , β_{med} , β_{high}
 - ▶ NB: β_{low} is "absorbed" into intercept β_0 model parameters are usually $\beta_{\text{med}} \beta_{\text{low}}$ and $\beta_{\text{high}} \beta_{\text{low}}$
 - mathematical basis for standard ANOVA

12 / 15

Interaction terms

- Standard linear models assume independent, additive contribution from each predictor variable x_j (j = 1, ..., k)
- Joint effects of variables can be modelled by adding interaction terms to the design matrix (+ parameters)
- Interaction of numerical variables (interval scale)
 - ▶ interaction term for variables x_i and x_j = product $x_i \cdot x_j$
 - e.g. in multivariate polynomial regression: $Y = p(x_1, ..., x_k) + \epsilon$ with polynomial p over k variables
- Interaction of categorical factor variables (nominal scale)
 - ▶ interaction of x_i and x_j coded by one dummy variable for each combination of a level of x_i with a level of x_i
 - ▶ alternative codings e.g. to have separate parameters for independent additive effects of x_i and x_j
- Interaction of categorical factor with numerical variable



Generalised linear models

- Linear models are flexible analysis tool, but they . . .
 - only work for a numerical response variable (interval scale)
 - assume independent (i.i.d.) Gaussian error terms
 - assume equal variance of errors (homoscedasticity)
 - cannot limit the range of predicted values
- Linguistic frequency data problematic in all four respects
 - each data point y_i = frequency f_i in one text sample
 - f_i are discrete variables with binomial distribution (or more complex distribution if there are non-randomness effects)
 - \bowtie linear model uses relative frequencies $p_i = f_i/n_i$
 - ► Gaussian approximation not valid for small text size n_i
 - ▶ sampling variance depends on text size n_i and "success probability" π_i (= relative frequency predicted by model)
 - ▶ model predictions must be restricted to range $0 \le p_i \le 1$
- ➡ General ised linear models (GLM)



Sampling family (binomial)

$$f_i \sim B(n_i, \pi_i)$$

Sampling family (binomial)

$$f_i \sim B(n_i, \pi_i)$$

• Link function (success probability $\pi \leftrightarrow \text{odds } \theta$)

$$\pi_i = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\theta_i}}$$

Sampling family (binomial)

$$f_i \sim B(n_i, \pi_i)$$

• Link function (success probability $\pi \leftrightarrow \text{odds } \theta$)

$$\pi_i = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\theta_i}}$$

Linear predictor

$$\theta_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \dots + \beta_k x_{ik}$$

Sampling family (binomial)

$$f_i \sim B(n_i, \pi_i)$$

• Link function (success probability $\pi \leftrightarrow \text{odds } \theta$)

$$\pi_i = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\theta_i}}$$

Linear predictor

$$\theta_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{i1} + \dots + \beta_k x_{ik}$$

- Estimation and ANOVA based on likelihood ratios
 - iterative methods needed for parameter estimation