Homework Assignment 5

Lecturer: Kyunghyun Cho

April 19, 2017

1. The probability density function of normal distribution is defined as

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\top} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{s} - \boldsymbol{\mu})\right),$$

where

$$Z = \int_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^{\top} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{s} - \boldsymbol{\mu})\right) d\mathbf{x}$$
$$= (2\pi)^{-d/2} |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}|^{-1/2},$$

where $|\Sigma|$ is the determinant of the covariance matrix.

Let us assume that the covariance matrix Σ is a diagonal matrix, as below:

$$\Sigma = \left[egin{array}{cccc} \sigma_1^2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \ 0 & \sigma_2^2 & \cdots & 0 \ dots & 0 & \cdots & 0 \ dots & dots & \cdots & dots \ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \sigma_d^2 \ \end{array}
ight].$$

The probability density function simplifies to

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^{d} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_i} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} (x_i - \mu_i)^2\right).$$

Show that this is indeed true.

$$\begin{split} & \text{SOLUTION:} \\ & Z = (2\pi)^{-d/2} |\Sigma|^{-1/2} \ |\Sigma| = \prod_{i=1}^d \sigma_i^2 \\ & Z = (2\pi)^{-d/2} (\prod_{i=1}^d \sigma_i^2)^{\frac{-1}{2}} \\ & = (2\pi)^{-d/2} \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{1}{\sigma_i} \\ & = \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_i} \\ & f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{7} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \Sigma^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})\right) \end{split}$$

1

$$\begin{split} &= \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_i} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})^\top \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})\right) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_i} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_i) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{-1}(x_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_i)\right) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^d \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_i} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\sigma_i^2}(x_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_i)^2\right) \end{split}$$

2. (a) Show that the following equation, called Bayes' rule, is true.

$$p(Y|X) = \frac{p(X|Y)p(Y)}{p(X)}.$$

SOLUTION: We know that p(X,Y)=p(X|Y)p(Y) and that $p(Y|X)=\frac{p(X,Y)}{p(X)}$ Therefore: $p(Y|X)=\frac{p(X,Y)}{p(X)}=\frac{p(X|Y)p(Y)}{p(X)}$

(b) We learned the definition of expectation:

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \sum_{x \in \Omega} x p(x).$$

Assuming that *X* and *Y* are discrete random variables, show that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[X+Y\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[X\right] + \mathbb{E}\left[Y\right].$$

SOLUTION:

$$\mathbb{E}[X+Y] = \sum_{x,y \in \Omega} (x+y)p(x,y) = \sum_{x,y \in \Omega} xp(x,y) + \sum_{x,y \in \Omega} yp(x,y)$$

$$= \sum_{x \in \Omega} x \sum_{y \in \Omega} p(x,y) + \sum_{y \in \Omega} y \sum_{x \in \Omega} p(x,y)$$

$$= \sum_{x \in \Omega} xp(x) + \sum_{y \in \Omega} yp(y)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[X] + \mathbb{E}[Y]$$

(c) Further assume that $c \in \mathbb{R}$ is a scalar and is not a random variable, show that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[cX\right] = c\mathbb{E}\left[X\right].$$

$$\mathbb{E}\left[cX\right] = \sum_{x \in \Omega} cxp(x) = c\sum_{x \in \Omega} xp(x) = c\mathbb{E}\left[X\right]$$

(d) We learned the definition of variance:

$$\operatorname{Var}(X) = \sum_{x \in \Omega} (x - \mathbb{E}[X])^2 p(x).$$

 $\operatorname{Var}(X) = \sum_{x \in \Omega} (x - \mathbb{E}[X])^2 p(x)$ Assuming X being a discrete random variable, show that

$$\operatorname{Var}(X) = \mathbb{E}\left[X^2\right] - \left(\mathbb{E}\left[X\right]\right)^2.$$

SOLUTION:

SOLUTION:

$$Var(X) = \sum_{x \in \Omega} (x - \mathbb{E}[X])^2 p(x)$$

$$= \sum_{x \in \Omega} (x^2 - 2x \mathbb{E}[X] + (\mathbb{E}[X])^2) p(x)$$

$$= \sum_{x \in \Omega} x^2 p(x) - \sum_{x \in \Omega} 2x \mathbb{E}[X] p(x) + \mathbb{E}[X]^2$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[x^2] - 2\mathbb{E}[X] \sum_{x \in \Omega} x p(x) + \mathbb{E}[X]^2$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[x^2] - 2\mathbb{E}[X]^2 + \mathbb{E}[X]^2$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[x^2] - \mathbb{E}[X]^2$$

3. (a) An optimal linear regression machine (without any regularization term), that minimizes the empirical cost function given a training set

$$D_{\text{tra}} = \{(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{y}_1^*), \dots, (\mathbf{x}_N, \mathbf{y}_N^*)\},\$$

can be found directly without any gradient-based optimization algorithm. Assuming that the distance function is defined as

$$D(M^*(\mathbf{x}), M, \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \|M^*(\mathbf{x}) - M(\mathbf{x})\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^q (y_k^* - y_k)^2,$$

derive the optimal weight matrix **W**. (Hint: Moore–Penrose pseudoinverse)

SOLUTION:

$$D(M^*(\mathbf{x}), M, \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{q} (y_k^* - y_k)^2$$

= $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{q} (y_k^* - w_k^\top x_k)^2$

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{w}}D = -\sum_{k=1}^{q} (y_{k}^{*} - w_{k}^{\top} x_{k}) x_{k}$$

$$= X^{\top} (Y^{*} - W^{\top} X)$$

$$= X^{\top} Y^{*} - X^{\top} X W = 0$$

$$X^{\top} X W = X^{\top} Y^{*}$$

$$W = (X^{\top} X)^{-1} X^{\top} Y^{*}$$

(b) (Extra Credit) Derive a probability density function of the predictive distribution of Bayesian linear regression. Follow the assumptions made during the lecture (see the lecture note.)