#### 7 Bridge Street - All Saints Mill, Otley LS21 1BQ

Site Deta	ails							
Easting	420202	Northing	445823	Site area ha	0.5	SP7	Major Settlement Infill	
HMCA	Outer North	n West		1	Ward	Otley and '	Yeadon	
Site Cha	racteristic	cs						
Site type	Brownfield							
On-site lan	d uses							

Vacant land Neighbouring land uses

Other land uses - None

Vacant building

Dwellings

Topography	Flat	Landscape	Limited Tree Cover
Boundaries	Partially well-defined	Road front	Yes

Description

Flat site with limited tree cover. Has road frontage.

#### **Greenbelt Assessment - Not Required**

#### 1. Check the unresticted sprawl of large built up areas

Would development lead to/constitue ribbon development?	1
Would development result in an isolated development?	
Is the site well connected to the built up area?	1
Would development round off the settlement?	
Is there a good existing barrier between the existing urban area and the undeveloped land?	
Unrestricted Sprawl Conclusion	

#### 2. Prevent neighbouring towns from merging

_		
Would development lead	to physical connection of settlements?	
Do features provide bound	daries to contain the development?	
Coalescence Conclusion		•

#### 3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroahment

Strong defensible boundary between site and urban area	
Does the site provide access to the countryside	
Does the site include local/national nature conservation areas (SSSIs	
Areas of protected/unprotected woodland/trees/hedgerows?	
Site includes Grade 1, Grade 2 or Grade 3a agricultural land?	
Does the site contain buildings	
Are these buildings used for agricultural purposes?	

Encroachment Conclusion

#### 4. Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns

Site within/adjacent to conservation area/listed building/historical features?	
Can development preserve this character?	

Character Conclusion

#### Overall Conclusion from assessment against all 4 purposes of green belt and essential characteristics of openness and permanence

#### 7 Bridge Street - All Saints Mill, Otley LS21 1BQ

### **SHLAA** conclusions Availability: Short (early) Suitability: Suitable Achievability: Short (=<5yrs) Summary of infrastructure provider comments **LCC Highways Comments** Rank (1-5) Public transport accessibility comments Edge of town, small infill development of brownfield site 3 Access comments Adequate frontage 5 Local network comments Local congestion issues but small development and brownfield 4 Total score Mitigation measures None 12 Highways site support Contingent on other sites no Contingent on other sites no **Highways Agency** Impact No material impact No objection **Network Status** n/a **Network Rail** Yorkshire Water Treatment Works Otley Combined and surface water sewer along western boundary **Environment Agency** Constraints FZ 2 & 3 FZ2 and 3 - see comments in main text of our response LCC **Ecology support** Supported Supported - but important bat roost record next to the site. Ecology boundary **Education comments** A new school would be needed in Otley should all the proposed sites be developed for housing. We may request land from part of a development

#### 7 Bridge Street - All Saints Mill, Otley LS21 1BQ

for this.

Flood Risk

Site adjacent to River Wharfe. Large percentage of the site is in Flood Zones 2 and 3.

**Utilities** 

Gas

Electric

Fire and Rescue

Telecoms

#### Other

English Heritage

This site lies within the Otley Conservation Area.

The NPPF makes it clear that the loss of a building which makes a positive contribution to the significance of a Conservation Area should be regarded as resulting in substantial harm to that area. Consequently, if the buildings on the site make a positive contribution to the Conservation Area, they should be retained.

If allocated, development proposals would need to ensure that those elements which contribute to the character of this part of the Conservation Area are not harmed.

Natural England

Housing allocations 320, 313 and 7 are adjacent to the River Wharfe, Otley & Mid Wharfedale/Wetherby local nature conservation site. The SA should determine whether these allocations have a significant adverse effect on this site, alone or cumulatively.

Planning History	Applications since 1/1/2009, covering more than 50% of the site		
App Number	Proposal	Decision	% of site
09/02422/FU	4 storey block of 12 two bedroom flats and 2 three bedroom flats		98
11/04635/FU	Demolish vacant school building and erect 60 bed care home, with car parking and landscaping	R	98
13/01062/FU	Demolition of vacant school building, newsagents, and cafe and redevelopment for part 2, part 3 and part 4 storey residential accommodation with care, comprising 48 apartments	R	98
14/02772/COND	Consent, agreement or approval required by conditions 3, 4 and 5 of Planning Application 11/04634/CA	SPL	98
10/01251/CA	Conservation area application to demolish 2 storey school building		98
09/02421/CA	Conservation Area Application to demolish building	R	98
14/04406/COND	Consent, agreement or approval required by conditions 6, 7, 9, 18, 19, 21 and 22 of Planning Application 13/01062/FU	SPL	98
10/01202/FU	4 storey block of 14 flats, with car parking		98
14/02787/COND	Consent, agreement or approval required by conditions 3, 4 and 5 of Planning Application 13/01105/CA	SPL	98
13/01105/CA	Conservation Area application to demolish cafe/newsagent	Α	98
14/03875/COND	Consent, agreement or approval required by condition 4 of Planning Application 14/02428/FU	Α	98

7 Bri	dge Street - All Saints Mill, Otley LS21 1BQ			
14/02428/FU	Erection of site hoarding with signage incorporating viewing panels, site and delivery access	Α	98	
11/04634/CA	Conservation Area Application to demolish vacant school building	A	98	

#### Spatial relationships

<b>UDP Designations</b>		
N32 Greenbelt	0.00	% overlap
N34 PAS	0.00	
RL1 Rural Land	0.00	
N1 Greenspace	0.00	
N1A Allotments	0.00	
N5 Open Space	0.00	
N6 Playing Pitch	0.00	
N8 Urban Green Corridor	0.00	
CC Shopping Quarter	0.00	
UDP City Centre	0.00	
S2S6 Town Centre	0.00	
Proposed Local Centre	0.00	
Overlaps N37 SLA		
Sch. Ancient Mon.	0.00	

Core Strategy	ore Strategy	
Main Urban Area	0.00	% overlap
Major Settlement	1.00	
Minor Settlement	0.00	

Overlaps Urban Extension

Regeneration Areas		
Inner South RA	0.00	% overlap
LB Corridor RA	0.00	
EASEL RA	0.00	
Aire Valley RA	0.00	
West Leeds Gateway	0.00	

**~** 

### Other Spatial Relationship

0.00	ip %	LCC ownership
Guiseley	า	Nearest train station
3851.35	(m)	Nearest train station distance
9144	stop	Nearest bus s
64.49	(m)	Nearest bus stop distance
Urban	ation	Agricultural classifica
	SSSI	Overlaps S
	SEGI	Overlaps S
	LNA	Overlaps I
	LNR	Overlaps I
✓	Area	Overlaps Conservation A
	lding	Overlaps Listed Build
	uffer	verlaps Strat. Employment bu
	Way	Overlaps Public Right of \
<b>✓</b>	Zone	Overlaps SFRA Flood Z
<b>✓</b>	Zone	Overlaps EA Flood Z
	azard	Overlaps HSE Major Haz
	eline	Overlaps HSE Gas Pipe
<b>✓</b>	ation	Overlaps Pot. Contamina
ed	guard	Overlaps Minerals Safeg

Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded 100m

#### Bridge Street - All Saints Mill, Otley LS21 1BQ

#### **Conclusions**

7

Issues and Options Summary

Site potentially suitable for office and / or residential use. Developable area constrained by flood zone 2 (medium risk) & 3a (i)(high risk). The North eastern part of site would have to be left in open use as greenspace / landscaping.

Site affects others?

Sustainability summary

Summary of reps

Pros

General support for development of site, brownfield, improve the appearance of the site, access to public transport and the town centre.

Anti

Access and visual impact.

Statutory

WYCA

Falls within 400m of the current core bus network. The sites in this list are supported for housing growth. Site specific mitigation would be required but it is unlikely that substantial bus service provision would be required at these sites (based on the current public transport network) From a public transport perspective, we would encourage the prioritisation of these sites based on the proximity to the core public transport network and the bus service frequency levels.

371m to Core Bus Network

5 buses per hour

Within 20 mins of primary school

Within 30 mins of secondary school

Within 20 mins of primary health

Comments on phasing

Short term - 0-5 years

**DPP Allocation** 

Identified housing site

**DPP Allocation Conclusion** 

Site with current or recently expired planning permission or existing UDP allocation. Principle of residential development accepted

#### 175 Billams Hill - former Bridge End Cattle Market, Otley

Site Details							
Easting	419882	Northing	445744	Site area ha	10.5	SP7	Major Settlement Extension
HMCA	Outer North	n West			Ward	Otley and Yeadon	
Site Characteristics							
Site type Brownfield							
On-site land uses - None							

Adjacent land uses - None

Other land uses - None

Topography	Landscape	
Boundaries	Road front No	

Description
-------------

#### **Greenbelt Assessment**

#### 1. Check the unresticted sprawl of large built up areas

Would development lead to/constitue ribbon development?	
Would development result in an isolated development?	
Is the site well connected to the built up area?	
Would development round off the settlement?	
Is there a good existing barrier between the existing urban area and the undeveloped land?	
Unrestricted Sprawl Conclusion	

#### 2. Prevent neighbouring towns from merging

Would development lead to physical connection of settlements?			
Do features provide bound			
Coalescence Conclusion			

#### 3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroahment

Strong defensible boundary between site and urban area	1	
Does the site provide access to the countryside		
Does the site include local/national nature conservation areas (SSSIs		
Areas of protected/unprotected woodland/trees/hedgerows?		
Site includes Grade 1, Grade 2 or Grade 3a agricultural land?		
Does the site contain buildings		
Are these buildings used for agricultural purposes?		
Encroachment Conclusion		

### 4. Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns

Site within/adjacent to conservation area/listed building/historical features?			
Can development pres			
Character Conclusion			

Overall Conclusion from assessment against all 4 purposes of green belt and essential

characteristics of openness and permanence					

175 Bill	ams Hill - former Bridge End Cattle	e Market, Otley		
SHLAA conclusio	ons			
Availability:	Suitability:	Unsuitable	Achieval	pility:
Summary of in	frastructure provider comments			
Highways Agend				
Impact	Network Status			
•				
Network Rail				
Yorkshire Water	•			
Treatment Works				
Environment Ag Constraints	ency			
CONSTIANTIS				
LCC				
Ecology support				
Ecology boundary				
Education commer	nts			
Flood Risk				
11000 KISK				
<b>Utilities</b> Gas				
Electric				
Fire and Rescue				
Telecoms				
Other English Heritage				
Natural England				
Diamet III	Analizations discuss 414/0000	than 500/ ( 1) ''		
Planning History		e man 50% of the site	Decision %	of site
App Number	Proposal		Decision 70	OI SILE

175

% overlap

#### Spatial relationships

UDP Designations			
N32 Greenbelt	79.42		
N34 PAS	0.00		
RL1 Rural Land	0.00		
N1 Greenspace	0.00		
N1A Allotments	0.00		
N5 Open Space	0.00		
N6 Playing Pitch	0.00		
N8 Urban Green Corridor	0.00		
CC Shopping Quarter	0.00		
UDP City Centre	0.00		
S2S6 Town Centre	0.00		
Proposed Local Centre	0.00		
Overlaps N37 SLA			
Sch. Ancient Mon.	0.00		

# **Core Strategy**

Main Urban Area	0.00	% overlap
Major Settlement	1.00	
Minor Settlement	0.00	
Overlaps Urban Extension	<b>✓</b>	

% overlap

### **Regeneration Areas**

Inner South RA	0.00
LB Corridor RA	0.00
EASEL RA	0.00
Aire Valley RA	0.00
West Leeds Gateway	0.00

### Other Spatial Relationship

LCC ownership % 0.23

Nearest train station	Guiseley
Nearest train station distance (m)	3676.27
Nearest bus stop	9702
Nearest bus stop distance (m)	267.01

Agricultural classification Grade 3

Overlaps SEGI Overlaps LNA Overlaps LNR Overlaps Conservation Area Overlaps Listed Building Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer Overlaps Public Right of Way Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone Overlaps EA Flood Zone Overlaps HSE Major Hazard Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline Overlaps Pot. Contamination  Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded	Overlaps SSSI	
Overlaps LNA Overlaps LNR Overlaps Conservation Area Overlaps Listed Building Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer Overlaps Public Right of Way Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone Overlaps EA Flood Zone Overlaps HSE Major Hazard Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline Overlaps Pot. Contamination  Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded	•	
Overlaps LNR Overlaps Conservation Area Overlaps Listed Building Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer Overlaps Public Right of Way Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone Overlaps EA Flood Zone Overlaps HSE Major Hazard Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline Overlaps Pot. Contamination Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded	Overlaps SEGI	✓
Overlaps Conservation Area Overlaps Listed Building Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer Overlaps Public Right of Way Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone Overlaps EA Flood Zone Overlaps HSE Major Hazard Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline Overlaps Pot. Contamination Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded	Overlaps LNA	
Overlaps Listed Building Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer Overlaps Public Right of Way Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone Overlaps EA Flood Zone Overlaps HSE Major Hazard Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline Overlaps Pot. Contamination  Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded	Overlaps LNR	
Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer Overlaps Public Right of Way Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone Overlaps EA Flood Zone Overlaps HSE Major Hazard Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline Overlaps Pot. Contamination Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded	Overlaps Conservation Area	<b>✓</b>
Overlaps Public Right of Way Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone Overlaps EA Flood Zone Overlaps HSE Major Hazard Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline Overlaps Pot. Contamination Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded	Overlaps Listed Building	
Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone Overlaps EA Flood Zone Overlaps HSE Major Hazard Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline Overlaps Pot. Contamination Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded	Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer	
Overlaps EA Flood Zone Overlaps HSE Major Hazard Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline Overlaps Pot. Contamination  Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded	Overlaps Public Right of Way	<b>✓</b>
Overlaps HSE Major Hazard Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline Overlaps Pot. Contamination  Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded	Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone	<b>✓</b>
Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline Overlaps Pot. Contamination  Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded	Overlaps EA Flood Zone	<b>~</b>
Overlaps Pot. Contamination  Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded	Overlaps HSE Major Hazard	$\Box$
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded	Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline	
	Overlaps Pot. Contamination	
		_
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded 100m	Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded	
	Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded 100m	

Overlaps Mine	erais Safeguarded
Overlaps Minerals S	afeguarded 100m

### 175 Billams Hill - former Bridge End Cattle Market, Otley

Conclusions	
Issues and Options Summary	
Site affects others?	
Sustainability summary	
Summary of reps	]
Pros	
Support for redevelopment of the	site as it is brownfield land and considered more suitable than Green Belt sites. Flood risk could be mitigated.
Anti	
Green Belt and flooding.	
Statutory WYCA	
No comment	
Comments on phasing	
DPP Allocation	
Not proposed as housing allocation	1
DPP Allocation Conclusion	
Sieved out site. Flood zone 3b (wa	ishland) on Strategic Flood Risk Assessment.

#### 313 Westgate, Otley

Site Deta	ails						
Easting	420084	Northing	445433	Site area ha	0.7	SP7	Major Settlement Infill
HMCA	HMCA Outer North West				Ward	Otley and Y	

#### **Site Characteristics**

Site type Brownfield

On-site land uses

Manufacturing and Wholesale

Car Parks

Dwellings

Neighbouring land uses

Dwellings

Shops

Financial and professional services

Other land uses - None

Topography	Flat	Landscape	Limited Tree Cover
Boundaries	Poorly defined	Road front	Yes

Description

Flat site comprising a mix of uses, with poorly defined boundaries.

#### **Greenbelt Assessment - Not Required**

#### 1. Check the unresticted sprawl of large built up areas

Would development lead to/constitue ribbon development?	
Would development result in an isolated development?	
Is the site well connected to the built up area?	
Would development round off the settlement?	
Is there a good existing barrier between the existing urban area and the undeveloped land?	
Unrestricted Sprawl Conclusion	

#### 2. Prevent neighbouring towns from merging

_		
Would development lead		
Do features provide bound	daries to contain the development?	
Coalescence Conclusion		

#### 3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroahment

Strong defensible boundary between site and urban area	
Does the site provide access to the countryside	
Does the site include local/national nature conservation areas (SSSIs	
Areas of protected/unprotected woodland/trees/hedgerows?	
Site includes Grade 1, Grade 2 or Grade 3a agricultural land?	
Does the site contain buildings	
Are these buildings used for agricultural purposes?	

Encroachment Conclusion

#### 4. Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns

Site within/adjacent to conservation area/listed building/historical features?	
Can development preserve this character?	

Character Conclusion

## 313 Westgate, Otley Overall Conclusion from assessment against all 4 purposes of green belt and essential characteristics of openness and permanence **SHLAA** conclusions Availability: Short (early) Suitability: Suitable Achievability: Medium (6-10yrs) Summary of infrastructure provider comments **LCC Highways Comments** Rank (1-5) Public transport accessibility comments Bus services half hourly to Leeds and Bradford, town centre location but no rail 5 Access comments Adequate access 5 Local network comments Small development. Max 26 dwellings, brownfield site 5 Total score Mitigation measures Footpath required 15 Highways site support yes Contingent on other sites no Contingent on other sites no **Highways Agency** Impact No material impact **Network Status** No objection n/a **Network Rail Yorkshire Water** Treatment Works Otley **Environment Agency** Constraints FZ1 under 1 ha. See comments in main text of our response. LCC **Ecology support** Supported Supported

#### 313 Westgate, Otley

313 westgate, Otley
Ecology boundary
Education comments
Education comments
Flood Risk
Flood Zone 1
Utilities     Gas
Electric
Fire and Rescue
Telecoms

#### Other

English Heritage

This site lies within the Otley Conservation Area.

The NPPF makes it clear that the loss of a building which makes a positive contribution to the significance of a Conservation Area should be regarded as resulting in substantial harm to that area. Consequently, if the buildings on the site make a positive contribution to the Conservation Area, they should be retained.

If allocated, development proposals would need to ensure that those elements which contribute to the character of this part of the Conservation Area are not harmed.

There are also a number of Listed Buildings in close proximity to this site. Consequently, if allocated, development proposals would need to ensure that those elements which contribute to ehsignificane of this asset (including its setting) are not likely to be harmed.

#### Natural England

Housing allocations 320, 313 and 7 are adjacent to the River Wharfe, Otley & Mid Wharfedale/Wetherby local nature conservation site. The SA should determine whether these allocations have a significant adverse effect on this site, alone or cumulatively.

#### Planning History Applications since 1/1/2009, covering more than 50% of the site

App Number Proposal Decision % of site

### Spatial relationships

### UDP Designations

0.00	% overlap
0.00	·
0.00	
0.00	
0.00	
0.00	
0.00	
0.00	
0.00	
0.00	
1.00	
0.00	
	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0

#### **Core Strategy**

Main Urban Area	0.00	% overlap
Major Settlement	1.00	
Minor Settlement	0.00	
Overlaps Urban Extension	~	

#### **Regeneration Areas**

Inner South RA	0.00	% overlap
LB Corridor RA	0.00	
EASEL RA	0.00	
Aire Valley RA	0.00	
West Leeds Gateway	0.00	

#### **Outer North West** 313 Westgate, Otley Sch. Ancient Mon. 0.00 Other Spatial Relationship LCC ownership % 31.92 Nearest train station Guiseley Nearest train station distance (m) 3444.71 Nearest bus stop 6622 Nearest bus stop distance (m) 140.13 Agricultural classification Urban Overlans SSSI

Overlaps 3331	
Overlaps SEGI	
Overlaps LNA	
Overlaps SEGI	
Overlaps Conservation Area	<b>✓</b>
Overlaps Listed Building	<b>✓</b>
Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer	
Overlaps Public Right of Way	
Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone	
Overlaps EA Flood Zone	
Overlaps HSE Major Hazard	
Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline	
Overlaps Pot. Contamination	<b>✓</b>
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded	] г

Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded 100m

#### 313 Westgate, Otley

#### Conclusions

Issues and Options Summary

Town Centre site suitable for range of uses including residential. Potential mixed use site.

Site affects others?

Sustainability summary

Summary of reps

#### Droc

General support for redevelopment of the site, given it's a brownfield site in a sustainable location. Consideration to be given to buildings which make a positive contribution to the Conservation Area and Listed Buildings proximate to the site.

#### Anti

Effect on historic environment/Conservation Area

#### Statutory

WYCA

Falls within 400m of the current core bus network. The sites in this list are supported for housing growth. Site specific mitigation would be required but it is unlikely that substantial bus service provision would be required at these sites (based on the current public transport network) From a public transport perspective, we would encourage the prioritisation of these sites based on the proximity to the core public transport network and the bus service frequency levels.

102 to Core Bus Network, 5 buses per hour, within 20 mins to primary school, 30 mins to secondary school and 20 mins to primary health.

#### **English Heritage**

This site lies within the Otley Conservation Area. The NPPF makes it clear that the loss of a building which makes a positive contribution to the significance of a Conservation Area should be regarded as resulting in substantial harm to that area. Consequently, if the buildings on the site make a positive contribution to the Conservation Area, they should be retained. If allocated, development proposals would need to ensure that those elements which contribute to the character of this part of the Conservation Area are not harmed. There are also a number of Listed Buildings in close proximity to this site. Consequently, if allocated, development proposals would need to ensure that those elements which contribute to the significane of this asset (including its setting) are not likely to be harmed.

Comments on phasing

DPP Allocation

Preferred housing with mixed uses (no employment)

#### DPP Allocation Conclusion

Brownfield site within urban area. Site falls withing Otley Town Centre boundary and is suitable for mix of residential and retail uses. No employment

#### 317 Wharfedale General Hospital, Newall Carr Road, Otley

Site Details								
Easting	419888	Northing	446568	Site area ha		1.8	SP7	Major Settlement Infill
HMCA	Outer North West			Ward	Otley and Y	/eadon		

#### **Site Characteristics**

Site type Brownfield

On-site land uses

Vacant land

Vacant building

Neighbouring land uses

Dwellings

Medical and Health care services

Other land uses - None

Topography	Flat	Landscape	Limited Tree Cover
Boundaries	Existing well defined	Road front	Yes

Description

#### **Greenbelt Assessment - Not Required**

#### 1. Check the unresticted sprawl of large built up areas

Would development lead to/cons	Would development lead to/constitue ribbon development?					
Would development result in an						
Is the site well connected to the						
Would development round off the						
Is there a good existing barrier band the undeveloped land?						
Unrestricted Sprawl Conclusion						

#### 2. Prevent neighbouring towns from merging

_		
Would development lead		
Do features provide boundaries to contain the development?		
Coalescence Conclusion		

#### 3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroahment

•	5	
Strong defensible boundary	between site and urban area	
Does the site provide acces	s to the countryside	
Does the site include local/	national nature conservation areas (SSSIs	
Areas of protected/unprote		
Site includes Grade 1, Grad	e 2 or Grade 3a agricultural land?	
Does the site contain buildi	ngs	
Are these buildings used fo	r agricultural purposes?	
Encroachment Conclusion		

#### 4. Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns

Site within/adjacent to conservation area/listed building/historical features?	
Can development preserve this character?	

Character Conclusion

### 317 Wharfedale General Hospital, Newall Carr Road, Otley Overall Conclusion from assessment against all 4 purposes of green belt and essential characteristics of openness and permanence **SHLAA** conclusions Availability: Short (early) Suitability: Suitable Achievability: Short (=<5yrs) Summary of infrastructure provider comments **LCC Highways Comments** Public transport accessibility comments Rank (1-5) Site ok for health and education, some local services but Public Transport Core Strategy standards not met 2 Access comments Access achievable but through hospital site 5 Local network comments Local congestion issues but brownfield site, mitigation possible 4 **Total score** Mitigation measures Unknown at this stage 11 Highways site support yes Contingent on other sites no Contingent on other sites no **Highways Agency** Impact No material impact **Network Status** No objection n/a **Network Rail Yorkshire Water** Otley Treatment Works Large diameter sewer runs north/south towards east of site **Environment Agency** Constraints FZ1 over1 ha. See comments in main text of our response. LCC **Ecology support** Supported Supported - but bat roost record on the site.

317

# Wharfedale General Hospital, Newall Carr Road, Otley Ecology boundary **Education comments** Flood Risk Flood Zone 1. Note Holbeck runs in culvert underneath the site. Utilities Gas Electric Fire and Rescue

Otner	
English	Heritage

Telecoms

Natural England

Planning History	Applications since 1/1/2009, covering more than 50% of the site		
App Number	Proposal	Decision	% of site
13/03023/LI	Listed Building Application for conversion of former Hospital to 27 houses and 8 flats and erection of 26 houses	Α	100
13/05116/ADV	Two freestanding signs	Α	100
10/02738/LI	Listed Building Application for part demolition and conversion of buildings to form 22 flats and 14 houses and erect 35 new houses, with associated car parking and landscaping	w	100
14/01902/COND	Consent, agreement or approval required by conditions 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 16, 17, 20, 23, 24, 27 and 30 of Planning Application 13/03022/FU	Α	100
13/03022/FU	Conversion of the former Hospital to 27 houses and 8 flats and erection of 26 houses	Α	100
10/02739/FU	Redevelopment of former Wharfedale Hospital, including part demolition and conversion of buildings to form 22 flats and 14 houses and erect 35 new houses, with associated car parking and landscaping	w	100
14/02221/COND	Consent, agreement or approval required by conditions 25 and 27 of Planning Application 13/03022/FU	SPL	100
14/01752/COND	Consent, agreement or approval required by conditions 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7 of Planning Application 13/03023/LI	SPL	100
09/02784/LI	Listed Building Application for part demolition and conversion of buildings to form 40 dwellings (flats and houses) and erect 39 new dwellings (houses and flats), with associated car parking and landscaping	w	100

317	Wharfedale General Hospital, Newall Carr Road, Otle	<b>y</b>		
09/02785/FU	Redevelopment of former Wharfedale Hospital, including part demolition and conversion of buildings to form 40 dwellings (flats and houses) and erect 39 new dwellings (flats and houses), with associated car parking and landscaping	w	100	

### Spatial relationships

<b>UDP Designations</b>		
N32 Greenbelt	0.00	% overlap
N34 PAS	0.00	
RL1 Rural Land	0.00	
N1 Greenspace	0.00	
N1A Allotments	0.00	
N5 Open Space	0.00	
N6 Playing Pitch	0.00	
N8 Urban Green Corridor	0.00	
CC Shopping Quarter	0.00	
UDP City Centre	0.00	
S2S6 Town Centre	0.00	
Proposed Local Centre	0.00	
Overlaps N37 SLA		
Sch. Ancient Mon.	0.00	

Core Strategy	

Main Urban Area	0.00	% overlap
Major Settlement	1.00	
Minor Settlement	0.00	
Overlaps Urban Extension	<b>~</b>	

<b>Regeneration Areas</b>
---------------------------

Inner South RA	0.00	% overlap
LB Corridor RA	0.00	
EASEL RA	0.00	
Aire Valley RA	0.00	
West Leeds Gateway	0.00	

### Other Spatial Relationship

LCC ownership	0.00	
Nearest train station	Guiseley	
Nearest train station distance	4474.84	
Nearest bus stop		3950
Nearest bus stop distance	56.37	
•		

Overlaps SSSI	
Overlaps SEGI	
Overlaps LNA	
Overlaps LNR	
Overlaps Conservation Area	
Overlaps Listed Building	<b>✓</b>
Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer	
Overlaps Public Right of Way	
Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone	
Overlaps EA Flood Zone	$\overline{\Box}$
Overlaps HSE Major Hazard	$\overline{\Box}$
Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline	$\bar{\sqcap}$
Overlaps Pot. Contamination	
Overland Minerale Cofeguardes	
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded	1

Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded 100m

Agricultural classification	Urban
Overlaps SSSI	
Overlaps SEGI	
Overlaps LNA	
Overlaps LNR	
Overlaps Conservation Area	
Overlaps Listed Building	<b>✓</b>
Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer	
Overlaps Public Right of Way	
Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone	
Overlaps EA Flood Zone	
Overlaps HSE Major Hazard	
Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline	
Overlaps Pot. Contamination	<u></u>
Overlaps Minerals Safeguard	ed
Overlans Minerals Safeguarded 100	)m

Identified housing site

**DPP Allocation Conclusion** 

#### 317 Wharfedale General Hospital, Newall Carr Road, Otley

# Conclusions Issues and Options Summary Brownfield site within the major settlement. Pending planning application for 61 residential units (10/02739/FU) Site affects others? Sustainability summary Summary of reps Support for housing. Brownfield site with listed buildings in need of being brought back into use. Anti None given Statutory WYCA Falls outside 400m of the current core bus network. Consideration needs to be given to if these sites would be able to meet the LDF public transport accessibility requirements should housing be brought forward. Significant developer contributions will be required at these sites to improve the public transport to meet the council LDF accessibility policies; particularly in the larger sites should these be brought forward for development. These include SHLAA ref: 2062, 1032, 1046, 1017 & 1055. Sites which fall marginally outside the 400m catchments and have high bus frequencies or are small sites low capacity are less of concern but are flagged up nonetheless. 1179m to core bus network, within 20 mins to primary school, 30 mins to secondary school and 20 mins to primary health. Comments on phasing Short term 0-5 years **DPP Allocation**

Site with current or recently expired planning permission or existing UDP allocation. Principle of residential development accepted

#### 320 Westgate - Ashfield Works, Otley

Site Deta	ails						
Easting	420001	Northing	445534	Site area ha	1.9	SP7	Major Settlement Infill
HMCA	HMCA Outer North West			Ward	Otley and \	/eadon	

#### **Site Characteristics**

Site type Brownfield

On-site land uses

Manufacturing and Wholesale

Car Parks

Neighbouring land uses

Dwellings

Outdoor amenity and open space

Waterways

Other land uses - None

Topog	graphy	Flat	Landscape	Limited Tree Cover
Bound	daries	Existing well defined	Road front	Yes

Description

Brownfield site occupied by variety of uses including a public car park and vacant employment uses in derelict condition. The site is bordered by the River Wharfe to the north and adjoins Otley Town Centre.

#### **Greenbelt Assessment - Not Required**

### 1. Check the unresticted sprawl of large built up areas

Would development lead to/constitue ribbon development?	
Would development result in an isolated development?	
Is the site well connected to the built up area?	
Would development round off the settlement?	
Is there a good existing barrier between the existing urban area and the undeveloped land?	
Unrestricted Sprawl Conclusion	

#### 2. Prevent neighbouring towns from merging

Would development lead to physical connection of settlements?			
Do features provide bound	daries to contain the development?		
Coalescence Conclusion			

#### 3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroahment

Strong defensible boundary between site and urban area	
Does the site provide access to the countryside	
Does the site include local/national nature conservation areas (SSSIs	
Areas of protected/unprotected woodland/trees/hedgerows?	
Site includes Grade 1, Grade 2 or Grade 3a agricultural land?	
Does the site contain buildings	
Are these buildings used for agricultural purposes?	

**Encroachment Conclusion** 

#### 4. Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns

Site within/adjacent to conservation area/listed building/historical features?	
Can development preserve this character?	

Character Conclusion

### 320 Westgate - Ashfield Works, Otley Overall Conclusion from assessment against all 4 purposes of green belt and essential characteristics of openness and permanence **SHLAA** conclusions Availability: Short (early) Suitability: Suitable Achievability: Short (=<5yrs) Summary of infrastructure provider comments **LCC Highways Comments** Public transport accessibility comments Rank (1-5) Bus services half hourly to Leeds and Bradford, town centre location but no rail 5 Access comments Adequate frontage, mitigation works funding 4 Local network comments Unsuitable local network but mitigation possible 2 **Total score** Mitigation measures Signalised junction required 11 Highways site support yes with mitigation Contingent on other sites no Contingent on other sites no **Highways Agency** Impact No material impact **Network Status** No objection n/a **Network Rail Yorkshire Water** Treatment Works Otley Combined sewers and a pipe bridge adjacent to the river along northern boundary **Environment Agency** FZ 2 & 3 Constraints FZ2 along boundary with River Wharfe (Main River) See comments in main text of our response. LCC **Ecology support** Supported with mitigation Supported with mitigation to protect and enhance the wildife corridor of the riverside. Retain a minimum 20 metre corridor from the River Wharfe. Otters, bats, Water Voles to consider

#### 320 Westgate - Ashfield Works, Otley

Ecology boundary
Education comments
Flood Risk
Site adjacent to River Wharfe and the NW boundary of the site is in Flood Zone 2. However, the majority of the site is shown to be in Flood Zone 1.
Utilities
Gas
Electric
Fire and Rescue
Telecoms

#### Other

English Heritage

This site lies within the Otley Conservation Area.

The NPPF makes it clear that the loss of a building which makes a positive contribution to the significance of a Conservation Area should be regarded as resulting in substantial harm to that area. Consequently, if the buildings on the site make a positive contribution to the Conservation Area, they should be retained.

If allocated, development proposals would need to ensure that those elements which contribute to the character of this part of the Conservation Area are not harmed.

#### Natural England

Housing allocations 320, 313 and 7 are adjacent to the River Wharfe, Otley & Mid Wharfedale/Wetherby local nature conservation site. The SA should determine whether these allocations have a significant adverse effect on this site, alone or cumulatively.

#### Planning History Applications since 1/1/2009, covering more than 50% of the site

	App Number	Proposal	Decision	% of site
--	------------	----------	----------	-----------

#### Spatial relationships

# **UDP Designations**

% overlap

1.00

ODI	Designations	
	N32 Greenbelt	0.01
	N34 PAS	0.00
	RL1 Rural Land	0.00
	N1 Greenspace	0.75
	N1A Allotments	0.00
	N5 Open Space	0.00
	N6 Playing Pitch	0.04
N8 U	Irban Green Corridor	0.00
(	CC Shopping Quarter	0.00
	UDP City Centre	0.00
	S2S6 Town Centre	1.00
Pr	oposed Local Centre	0.00
	Overlaps N37 SLA	

Sch. Ancient Mon.

Cana Chuatami	
Core Strategy	

Main Urban Area	0.00	% overlap
Major Settlement	1.00	
Minor Settlement	0.00	
Overlaps Urban Extension		

% overlap	0.00	Inner South RA
	0.00	LB Corridor RA
	0.00	EASEL RA
	0.00	Aire Valley RA
	0.00	West Leeds Gateway

### 320 Westgate - Ashfield Works, Otley

### Other Spatial Relationship

97.57	LCC ownership %					
Guiseley	Nearest train station					
3511.34	Nearest train station distance (m)					
6622	Nearest bus stop					
144.05	Nearest bus stop distance (m)					
Urban	Agricultural classification					
	Overlaps SSSI					
<b>✓</b>	Overlaps SEGI					
	Overlaps LNA					
	Overlaps LNR					
<b>✓</b>	Overlaps Conservation Area					
	Overlaps Listed Building					
	Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer					
	Overlaps Public Right of Way					
<b>✓</b>	Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone					
<b>✓</b>	Overlaps EA Flood Zone					
	Overlaps HSE Major Hazard					
	Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline					

Overlaps Pot. Contamination

Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded

Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded 100m

#### 320 Westgate - Ashfield Works, Otley

#### Conclusions

Issues and Options Summary

The site would be suited to a mixed use development incorporating residential and retail / office or other town centre uses given its location within and on the edge of Otley town centre. The site capacity will be subject to conservation area, design and highways requirements. A Planning & Development Brief for the site has been prepared setting out the planning and highway considerations.

Site affects others?

Sustainability summary

Summary of reps

D===

Overall support for housing on the site, although varying comments on the number and type of residential units which should be provided, including 100% residential provision and suitability for elderly people's housing. Site also considered more suitable for commercial use given proximity to town centre.

Anti

Retain for commercial uses, ideally for new leisure centre.

Statutory

**WYCA** 

Falls within 400m of the current core bus network. The sites in this list are supported for housing growth. Site specific mitigation would be required but it is unlikely that substantial bus service provision would be required at these sites (based on the current public transport network) From a public transport perspective, we would encourage the prioritisation of these sites based on the proximity to the core public transport network and the bus service frequency levels.

222m to core bus network, within 20 mins to primary school, 30 mins to secondary school and 20 mins to primary health.

English Heritage

This site lies within the Otley Conservation Area. The NPPF makes it clear that the loss of a building which makes a positive contribution to the significance of a Conservation Area should be regarded as resulting in substantial harm to that area. Consequently, if the buildings on the site make a positive contribution to the Conservation Area, they should be retained. If allocated, development proposals would need to ensure that those elements which contribute to the character of this part of the Conservation Area are not harmed.

Comments on phasing

**DPP Allocation** 

Preferred housing with mixed uses (no employment)

**DPP Allocation Conclusion** 

Brownfield site within urban area. Part of site falls within existing Otley Town Centre boundary. Suitable for mixed use development of retail and residential use. No employment

#### 364 Creskeld Crescent (11) - Bramwood, Bramhope LS16

Site Details							
Easting	425538	Northing	443222	Site area ha	1.1	SP7	Smaller Settlement Infill
HMCA	MCA Outer North West			Ward	Adel and W	harfedale	

#### **Site Characteristics**

Site type Brownfield

On-site land uses - None

Adjacent land uses - None

Other land uses - None

Topography	Landscape	
Boundaries	Road front	No

Description

#### **Greenbelt Assessment - Not Required**

#### 1. Check the unresticted sprawl of large built up areas

Would development lead to/const	titue ribbon development?	
Would development result in an is	solated development?	
Is the site well connected to the I	ouilt up area?	
Would development round off the	e settlement?	
Is there a good existing barrier be and the undeveloped land?	etween the existing urban area	
Unrestricted Sprawl Conclusion		

#### 2. Prevent neighbouring towns from merging

Would development lead	to physical connection of settlements?	
Do features provide bound	daries to contain the development?	
Coalescence Conclusion		

#### 3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroahment

Strong defensible boundary	between site and urban area	
Does the site provide acces	s to the countryside	
Does the site include local/	national nature conservation areas (SSSIs	
Areas of protected/unprote	cted woodland/trees/hedgerows?	
Site includes Grade 1, Grad	e 2 or Grade 3a agricultural land?	
Does the site contain buildi	ngs	
Are these buildings used fo	r agricultural purposes?	
Encroachment Conclusion		

#### 4. Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns

Site within/adjacent to	conservation area/listed building/historical features?	
Can development pres	erve this character?	
Character Conclusion		

Overall Conclusion from assessment against all 4 purposes of green belt and essential characteristics of openness and permanence

# 364 Creskeld Crescent (11) - Bramwood, Bramhope LS16 **SHLAA** conclusions Availability: Short (early) Suitability: Suitable Achievability: Short (=<5yrs) Summary of infrastructure provider comments **LCC Highways Comments** Public transport accessibility comments Rank (1-5) Health and primary ed ok some local shops in walking distance 3 Access comments 8 house cap should be ok 5 Local network comments 8 house cap ok 5 Total score Mitigation measures None 13 Highways site support Contingent on other sites Contingent on other sites no **Highways Agency** Impact No material impact **Network Status** No objection n/a **Network Rail Yorkshire Water** Treatment Works **Environment Agency** Constraints LCC Supported **Ecology support** Supported Ecology boundary **Education comments**

#### 364 Creskeld Crescent (11) - Bramwood, Bramhope LS16

	 <u> </u>		
Flood Risk			
Utilities			
Gas			
Electric			
Fire and Rescue			
Telecoms			
Telecoms			
Other English Heritage			
- Ligitari Homago			
Natural England			
3			

Planning History	Applications since 1/1/2009, covering more than 50% of the site		
App Number	Proposal	Decision	% of site
12/05354/RM	Reserved matters application (scale, appearance & landscaping) for 8 detached houses with retention of existing house (07/07166/OT)	Α	100
11/00147/EXT	Extension of time period for planning permission 07/07166/OT outline application to layout access road and erect eight detached houses with retention of existing house		100

#### Spatial relationships

### **UDP Designations**

<b>3</b>		
N32 Greenbelt	0.00	% overlap
N34 PAS	0.00	
RL1 Rural Land	0.00	
N1 Greenspace	0.00	
N1A Allotments	0.00	
N5 Open Space	0.00	
N6 Playing Pitch	0.00	
N8 Urban Green Corridor	0.00	
CC Shopping Quarter	0.00	
UDP City Centre	0.00	
S2S6 Town Centre	0.00	
Proposed Local Centre	0.00	
Overlaps N37 SLA		
Sch. Ancient Mon.	0.00	

### **Core Strategy**

Main Urban Area	0.00	% overlap
Major Settlement	0.00	
Minor Settlement	1.00	
Overlaps Urban Extension	<b>~</b>	

### **Regeneration Areas**

Inner South RA	0.00	% overlap
LB Corridor RA	0.00	
EASEL RA	0.00	
Aire Valley RA	0.00	
West Leeds Gateway	0.00	

### Other Spatial Relationship

LCC ownership %	0.00

### 364 Creskeld Crescent (11) - Bramwood, Bramhope LS16

Nearest train station	Horsforth
Nearest train station distance (m)	4232.55
Nearest bus stop	9350
Nearest bus stop distance (m)	200.41
Agricultural classification	Urban
Overlaps SSSI	
Overlaps SEGI	
Overlaps LNA	
Overlaps LNR	
Overlaps Conservation Area	✓
Overlaps Listed Building	
Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer	
Overlaps Public Right of Way	
Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone	
Overlaps EA Flood Zone	
Overlaps HSE Major Hazard	
Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline	
Overlaps Pot. Contamination	<u></u>
Overlaps Minerals Safeguard	ed
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded 100	)m

### 364 Creskeld Crescent (11) - Bramwood, Bramhope LS16

Conclusions	
Issues and Options Summary	
Site affects others?	
Sustainability summary	
Summary of reps	
Pros Support for development on this sm	nall infill site having limited impact on transport and services.
Anti Opposition generally to new housing	g in Bramhope adding to traffic congestion and limited facilities and oversubscribed school.
Statutory	
WYCA No comment	
Comments on phasing	
- Francis	
DPP Allocation	
Identified housing site	
DPP Allocation Conclusion	
	planning permission or existing UDP allocation. Principle of residential development accepted

#### 684 Church Lane, Adel LS16

Site Details								
Easting	427128	Northing	440156	Site area ha		2.6	SP7	Main Urban Area Infill
HMCA	HMCA Outer North West			Ward	Adel and W	harfedale		

#### **Site Characteristics**

Site type Greenfield

On-site land uses - None

Adjacent land uses - None

Other land uses - None

Topography	Landscape	
Boundaries	Road front	No

Description

#### **Greenbelt Assessment - Not Required**

#### 1. Check the unresticted sprawl of large built up areas

Would development lead to/cons	titue ribbon development?	
Would development result in an i		
Is the site well connected to the built up area?		
Would development round off the		
Is there a good existing barrier between the existing urban area and the undeveloped land?		
Unrestricted Sprawl Conclusion		

#### 2. Prevent neighbouring towns from merging

Would development lead to physical connection of settlements?				
Do features provide bound				
Coalescence Conclusion				

#### 3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroahment

Strong defensible boundary			
Does the site provide acces			
Does the site include local/			
Areas of protected/unprote			
Site includes Grade 1, Grad			
Does the site contain buildings			
Are these buildings used fo			
Encroachment Conclusion			

#### 4. Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns

Site within/adjacent to conservation area/listed building/historical features?				
Can development pres				
Character Conclusion				

Overall Conclusion from assessment against all 4 purposes of green belt and essential characteristics of openness and permanence

684 Church Lane, Adel LS1	6			
SHLAA conclusions				
Availability: Short (=<5 yrs)	Suitability:	Suitable	Achievability: Medium (6-10yrs	)
Summary of infrastructure provide	er comments			
LCC Highways Comments				
Public transport accessibility comments				Rank (1-5)
Access comments				
Acceptable access achieved from Church Lan	e			5
Local network comments				
Congestion on A660. Adel Lane concerns				4
Mitigation measures				Total score
none identified - site won appeal				
Highways site support				
Yes - on site				
Contingent on other sites				
Contingent on other sites				_
Highways Agency				
	twork Status	No objection, no	mitigation required	
Network Rail				
Yorkshire Water				
Treatment Works				
Environment Agency				
Constraints FZ1 over 1ha. See comments in our previous	180 consultation	Watercourse rups	through centre of site	
LCC	TAO CONSUITATION	. water course rulls	a an ought centre of site	
Ecology support Supported				
Supported Supported				
Ecology boundary				
Education comments				

#### 684 Church Lane, Adel LS16

Flood Risk

Flood Zone 1. There is a minor watercourse running North through the centre of the site.

Utilities	
Gas	

Electric

Fire and Rescue

Telecoms

Other

English Heritage

Natural England

Planning History	Applications since 1/1/2009, covering more than 50% of the site		
App Number	Proposal	Decision	% of site
12/03058/COND	Consent, agreement or approval required by conditions 3, 4, 5, 11, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 23, 24, 27, 31 and 32 of Planning Application 11/04955/FU	SPL	100
11/04955/FU	Laying out of access road, off site highway works and erection of 45 houses with garages and landscaping	Α	100
13/9/00063/MOD	Laying out of access road, off site highway works and erection of 45 houses with garages and landscaping NON MATERIAL AMENDMENT to 12/04491/FU: To retain a small section of grassed verge adjacent to the highway (Holt Avenue)	M01	100
12/02870/COND	Consent, agreement or approval required by condition 31 of Planning Application 11/04955/FU	SPL	100
12/9/00186/MOD	Laying out of access road, off site highway works and erection of 45 houses with garages and landscaping NON MATERIAL AMENDMENT to 11/04955/FU: Addition of optional conservatories to plots 2, 3, 4, 18, 21, 24, 28, 33, 35, 38, 43 and 44	w	100
09/04190/FU	Laying out of access road and erection of 70 dwellings with garages and landscaping	R	100
14/00722/COND	Consent, agreement or approval required by condition 3 of Planning Application 12/04491/FU	Α	100
13/00939/COND	Consent, agreement or approval required by conditions 27, 28 and 30 of Planning Application 12/04491/FU	SPL	100
14/02019/ADV	Four signs	R	100
12/04491/FU	Variation of condition 2 (approved plans) of approval 11/04955/FU for MINOR MATERIAL AMENDMENT for the addition of conservatories to 9 plots	A	100

### 684 Church Lane, Adel LS16

#### Spatial relationships

<b>UDP Designations</b>		
N32 Greenbelt	0.00	% overlap
N34 PAS	0.00	
RL1 Rural Land	0.00	
N1 Greenspace	0.00	
N1A Allotments	0.00	
N5 Open Space	0.00	
N6 Playing Pitch	0.00	
N8 Urban Green Corridor	0.00	
CC Shopping Quarter	0.00	
UDP City Centre	0.00	
S2S6 Town Centre	0.00	
Proposed Local Centre	0.00	
Overlaps N37 SLA		
Sch. Ancient Mon.	0.00	

Core Strategy		
Main Urban Area	100.00	% overla
Major Settlement	0.00	
Minor Settlement	0.00	
Overlaps Urban Extension	<b>V</b>	

0.00	% overlap
0.00	
0.00	
0.00	
0.00	
	0.00

### Other Spatial Relationship

otilei opatiai kelationsiii	P
LCC ownership %	0.00
Nearest train station	Horsforth
Nearest train station distance (m)	2891.45
Nearest bus stop	13508
Nearest bus stop distance (m)	196.29
Agricultural classification	Grade 3
Overlaps SSSI	
Overlaps SEGI	
Overlaps LNA	
Overlaps LNR	
Overlaps Conservation Area	
Overlaps Listed Building	
Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer	
Overlaps Public Right of Way	
Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone	
Overlaps EA Flood Zone	
Overlaps HSE Major Hazard	
Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline	
Overlaps Pot. Contamination	<b>✓</b>
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarde	ed
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded 100	m

#### 684 Church Lane, Adel LS16

Conclusions	
Issues and Options Summary	
Site affects others?	
Sustainability summary	
Summary of reps	
Pros	
One supporter for elderly people's a	accommodation.
Anti	of cita due to traffic infrastructure averdovalenment groon belt impact on character of area and wildlife
General objections to development	of site due to traffic, infrastructure, overdevelopment, green belt impact on character of area and wildlife.
Statutory	
WYCA No comment	
Comments on phasing	
Confinents on phasing	
DPP Allocation	
Identified housing site	
DPP Allocation Conclusion	
Site with current or recently expired	d planning permission or existing UDP allocation. Principle of residential development accepted

#### 744 Rumplecroft, Otley

Site Details								
Easting	419183	Northing	446687	Site area ha	5.2		SP7	Major Settlement Infill
HMCA Outer North West		Wa	ard	Otley and Y	readon			

#### **Site Characteristics**

Cita tuma	Greenfield
7116 LVD6	(3) 660 (16) (1
Oito typo	O CO III CIA

On-site land uses - None

Adjacent land uses - None

Other land uses - None

Topography	Landscape	
Boundaries	Road front	No

_	
Descri	ntion
DESCHI	puon

#### **Greenbelt Assessment - Not Required**

#### 1. Check the unresticted sprawl of large built up areas

Would development lead to/cons		
Would development result in an i		
Is the site well connected to the		
Would development round off the		
Is there a good existing barrier between the existing urban area and the undeveloped land?		
Unrestricted Sprawl Conclusion		

#### 2. Prevent neighbouring towns from merging

Would development lead to physical connection of settlements?		
Do features provide bound		
Coalescence Conclusion		

#### 3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroahment

Strong defensible boundary		
Does the site provide acces		
Does the site include local/		
Areas of protected/unprote		
Site includes Grade 1, Grad		
Does the site contain buildings		
Are these buildings used fo		
Encroachment Conclusion		

#### 4. Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns

Site within/adjacent to conservation area/listed building/historical features?				
Can development pres				
Character Conclusion				

Overall Conclusion from assessment against all 4 purposes of green belt and essential characteristics of openness and permanence

**Planning History** 

Proposal

App Number

# 744 Rumplecroft, Otley **SHLAA** conclusions Availability: Medium (6-10yrs) Suitability: LDF to determine Achievability: Medium (6-10yrs) Summary of infrastructure provider comments **Highways Agency** Impact No material impact Network Status No objection, no mitigation required **Network Rail Yorkshire Water** Treatment Works Otley WWTW has limited capacity for new development within its catchment and feasibility work may be required regarding increasing its capacity **Environment Agency** Constraints FZ1 over 1ha. See comments in our previous I&O consultation. Spring and Culvert running through site LCC **Ecology support** Not supported Not supported (Red) - This site is a mixture of grassland and scattered/ continuous scrub. The condition implies that it is relatively unimproved grassland. It is also likely to be a valuable site for passerine birds. This site supports a range of bats including noctule, soprano pipistrelle, Myotis species and brown long-eared. They are likely to be feeding around the scrub and hedgerows. Ecology boundary **Education comments** Flood Risk Flood Zone 1 **Utilities** Gas Electric Fire and Rescue Telecoms Other English Heritage Natural England

Decision

% of site

Applications since 1/1/2009, covering more than 50% of the site

## 744 Rumplecroft, Otley

### Spatial relationships

<b>UDP Designations</b>		
N32 Greenbelt	0.00	% overlap
N34 PAS	0.00	
RL1 Rural Land	0.00	
N1 Greenspace	0.00	
N1A Allotments	0.00	
N5 Open Space	0.00	
N6 Playing Pitch	0.00	
N8 Urban Green Corridor	0.00	
CC Shopping Quarter	0.00	
UDP City Centre	0.00	
S2S6 Town Centre	0.00	
Proposed Local Centre	0.00	
Overlaps N37 SLA		
Sch. Ancient Mon.	0.00	

Core	Strategy
------	----------

00 % overlap	0.00	Main Urban Area
00	1.00	Major Settlement
00	0.00	Minor Settlement
	<b>V</b>	Overlaps Urban Extension

% overlap	0.00	Inner South RA
	0.00	LB Corridor RA
	0.00	EASEL RA
	0.00	Aire Valley RA
	0.00	West Leeds Gateway

## Other Spatial Relationship

LCC ownership %	0.00
Nearest train station	Guiseley
Nearest train station distance (m)	4484.87
Nearest bus stop	13954
Nearest bus stop distance (m)	138.77

### Agricultural classification Urban

Overlaps SSSI	
Overlaps SEGI	
Overlaps LNA	
Overlaps LNR	
Overlaps Conservation Area	
Overlaps Listed Building	
Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer	
Overlaps Public Right of Way	
Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone	$\overline{\Box}$
Overlaps EA Flood Zone	$\overline{\Box}$
Overlaps HSE Major Hazard	$\overline{\Box}$
Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline	$\overline{\Box}$
Overlaps Pot. Contamination	$\overline{\Box}$
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded	
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded 100m	

## 744 Rumplecroft, Otley

Conclusions	
Issues and Options Summary	
Site affects others?	
Sustainability summary	
Summary of reps	
Pros	
No comments	
Anti	
provide a through access from Meag	ent of the site, primarily on the grounds of highway issues in particular the current applicant's proposal to gill Rise to St David's Road. This is contrary to the UDP policy requirement (H3-3A.21) that no access is ns about road safety in the estate and condition of the bridge over the river Wharfe. Also objections due to habitat.
Statutory WYCA	
No comments	
Comments on phasing	
DPP Allocation	
Identified housing site	
DPP Allocation Conclusion	

Site with current or recently expired planning permission or existing UDP allocation. Principle of residential development accepted

### 745 Otley (east of)

Site Details								
Easting	421285	Northing	445363	Site area ha	30	0.9	SP7	Major Settlement Infill
HMCA	Outer North West					Ward	Otley and Y	readon

#### **Site Characteristics**

Cita tuma	Greenfield
7116 LVD6	(3) 66011610
Oito typo	O CO III CIA

On-site land uses - None

Adjacent land uses - None

Other land uses - None

Topography	Landscape	
Boundaries	Road front	No

Descri	ption

#### **Greenbelt Assessment - Not Required**

#### 1. Check the unresticted sprawl of large built up areas

Would development lead to/cons	titue ribbon development?	
Would development result in an isolated development?		
Is the site well connected to the	built up area?	
Would development round off the settlement?		
Is there a good existing barrier between the existing urban area and the undeveloped land?		
Unrestricted Sprawl Conclusion		

#### 2. Prevent neighbouring towns from merging

Would development lead to physical connection of settlements?			
Do features provide boundaries to contain the development?			
	Coalescence Conclusion		

#### 3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroahment

Strong defensible boundary		
Does the site provide access to the countryside		
Does the site include local/		
Areas of protected/unprote		
Site includes Grade 1, Grade 2 or Grade 3a agricultural land?		
Does the site contain buildings		
Are these buildings used for agricultural purposes?		
Encroachment Conclusion		

#### 4. Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns

Site within/adjacent to conservation area/listed building/historical features?		
Can development pres	erve this character?	
Character Conclusion		

Overall Conclusion from assessment against all 4 purposes of green belt and essential characteristics of openness and permanence

#### 745 Otley (east of)

#### **SHLAA** conclusions

Availability: Medium (6-10yrs)

Suitability: LDF to determine

Achievability: Medium (6-10yrs)

#### Summary of infrastructure provider comments

#### **Highways Agency**

Impact	No material impact	Network Status	No objection, no mitigation required

#### **Network Rail**

#### **Yorkshire Water**

Treatment Works

Appropriate stand off distance(s)/diversion(s) will be required for all infrastructure. Otley WWTW has limited capacity for new development within its catchment and feasibility work may be required regarding increasing its capacity. Owing to the size of this site we would expect a surface water management plan (utilising SuDS as the preferred option) to be drawn up for the whole area.

#### **Environment Agency**

Constraints

Site in FZ3b (SFRA). See comments in our previous I&O consultation (site should be sieved out). On historic landfill

#### LCC

Ecology support Not supported

Not supported (Red) - This proposed allocation include a large pond and two smaller ponds which are a UK BAP Priority Habitat. The is also a stream and hedgerows across the site which are of similar value. Remove ponds and areas of surrounding terrestrial habitat. Provide a biodiversity buffer zone for becks and open wet ditches of at least 10m on each side to provide basis of an east-west ecological link across the site. Retain mature trees and hedgerows within the public domain where-ever possible. Retain and protect valuable wet grassland rush habitat to north of Cambridge Drive. This 1km square contains a number of notable plants including round- leaved mint, field garlic and wild pansy which are all Red Data Book plant species. Detailed botanical assessment should be undertaken of the site undertaken between May and August at an early stage in any scheme development.

#### **Ecology boundary**

Supported with mitigation if Red hatched areas are excluded and boundary amended as per drawing RM/745. Mitigation will still be required to ensure impacts on adjacent habitats (water features and wet rush/grassland area, ponds, hedgerows and mature trees) and provision of ecological connectivity across the site is addressed. Area shown red hatched along southern boundary adjacent to the disused railway would be an ideal informal nature reserve area positively managed as wildflower meadows.

#### **Education comments**

Flood Risk

Mostly Flood Zone 1, but the NE corner of the site lies just within Flood Zone 3B. This will preclude all but water compatible uses for this small part of the site. Main Dyke runs in an Easterly direction through the centre of the site and a 9m easement should be assumed either side of this watercourse.

#### **Utilities**

Gas

Electric

Fire and Rescue

Telecoms

#### Other

English Heritage

745	Otley (east of)				
Natural Engla	nd				
					_
Planning His	story Applications since 1/1/2009, co	vering more than 50% of the s	ite		
App Number	r Proposal		Decision	% of site	

## Spatial relationships

UDP Designations		
N32 Greenbelt	0.00	% overlap
N34 PAS	0.00	
RL1 Rural Land	0.00	
N1 Greenspace	0.00	
N1A Allotments	0.00	
N5 Open Space	0.00	
N6 Playing Pitch	0.00	
N8 Urban Green Corridor	0.00	
CC Shopping Quarter	0.00	
UDP City Centre	0.00	
S2S6 Town Centre	0.00	
Proposed Local Centre	0.00	
Overlaps N37 SLA		
Sch. Ancient Mon.	0.00	

## Core Strategy

Main Urban Area	0.00	% overlap
Major Settlement	1.00	
Minor Settlement	0.00	
Overlaps Urban Extension	<b>✓</b>	

% overlap

## **Regeneration Areas**

Inner South RA	0.00
LB Corridor RA	0.00
EASEL RA	0.00
Aire Valley RA	0.00
West Leeds Gateway	0.00

## Other Spatial Relationship

LCC ownership %		20.86
Nearest train station		Guiseley
Nearest train station distance (m)		3975.49
Nearest bus stop		13656
Nearest bus stop distance (m)		229.25

#### Agricultural classification Grade 3b

Overlaps SSSI	
Overlaps SEGI	
Overlaps LNA	
Overlaps LNR	
Overlaps Conservation Area	<b>✓</b>
Overlaps Listed Building	
Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer	
Overlaps Public Right of Way	<b>V</b>
Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone	<b>V</b>
Overlaps EA Flood Zone	$\overline{\Box}$
Overlaps HSE Major Hazard	$\bar{\Box}$
Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline	$\overline{\Box}$
Overlaps Pot. Contamination	<u></u>
Overland Minerale Cofeguerded	
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded	

Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded 100m

**DPP Allocation Conclusion** 

## 745 Otley (east of)

Conclusions			
Issues and Options Summary			
Site affects others?			
Sustainability summary			
oustainability summary			
Summary of reps			
Pro Site promoter states the site is available, achievable and deliverable, an existing UDP housing allocation contributing towards the housing requirements for Outer NW			
Anti The majority of respondents point out that the Issues and Options document makes no reference to the UDP requirements for the East of Otley site, in particular the requirement to provide the relief road, an agreed planning framework, subject to public consultation. Other reason for objections - pressure on infrastructure, flooding, preference for brownfield sites first, local employment, traffic congestions, public transport and the overall housing number for Outer NW are too high.			
Statutory WYCA No comments			
Comments on phasing			
DPP Allocation			
Identified housing site			

Site with current or recently expired planning permission or existing UDP allocation. Principle of residential development accepted

## 749 Prince Henry Court, Newall Carr Road, Otley

Easting 420015 Northing 446317 Site area ha 0.7 SP7 Major	
Lasting 120010 Her and the one of the control of th	Settlement Infill
HMCA Outer North West Ward Otley and Yeadon	
Site Characteristics Site type Brownfield	

On-site land uses - None

Adjacent land uses - None

Other land uses - None

Topography	Landscape	
Boundaries	Road front	No

Descri	ption

#### **Greenbelt Assessment - Not Required**

#### 1. Check the unresticted sprawl of large built up areas

Would development lead to/consti	itue ribbon development?	
Would development result in an is		
Is the site well connected to the b		
Would development round off the		
Is there a good existing barrier be and the undeveloped land?	etween the existing urban area	
Unrestricted Sprawl Conclusion		

#### 2. Prevent neighbouring towns from merging

Would development lead		
Do features provide boundaries to contain the development?		
Coalescence Conclusion		

#### 3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroahment

Strong defensible boundary	between site and urban area	
Does the site provide access		
Does the site include local/r	national nature conservation areas (SSSIs	
Areas of protected/unprotected		
Site includes Grade 1, Grade		
Does the site contain building	ngs	
Are these buildings used for	r agricultural purposes?	
Encroachment Conclusion		

#### 4. Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns

Site within/adjacent to conservation area/listed building/historical features?		
Can development preserve this character?		
Character Conclusion		

Overall Conclusion from assessment against all 4 purposes of green belt and essential characteristics of openness and permanence

# 749 Prince Henry Court, Newall Carr Road, Otley **SHLAA** conclusions Availability: Short (=<5 yrs) Suitability: Suitable Achievability: Short (=<5yrs) Summary of infrastructure provider comments **Highways Agency** Impact Network Status **Network Rail Yorkshire Water** Treatment Works **Environment Agency** Constraints LCC Ecology support Ecology boundary Education comments Flood Risk Utilities Gas Electric Fire and Rescue Telecoms Other English Heritage Natural England **Planning History** Applications since 1/1/2009, covering more than 50% of the site

r lailining rinstory	Applications since if 1/2000, covering more than 00/0 of the site		
App Number	Proposal	Decision	% of site
10/00579/COND	Consent, agreement or approval required by conditions 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 15, 18, 20, 21, 24, 25, 26, 28 and 29 of	Α	90

749 Prin	e Henry Court, Newall Carr Road, Otley				
09/00349/FU	Laying out of access road and erection of 14 houses	Α	90		
09/9/00249/MOD	Laying out of access road and erection of 14 housesNON MATERIAL AMENDMENT: Resiting of plots 11 and 13 only.	M01	90		

#### Spatial relationships

<b>UDP Designations</b>		
N32 Greenbelt	0.00	% overlap
N34 PAS	0.00	
RL1 Rural Land	0.00	
N1 Greenspace	0.00	
N1A Allotments	0.00	
N5 Open Space	0.00	
N6 Playing Pitch	0.00	
N8 Urban Green Corridor	0.00	
CC Shopping Quarter	0.00	
UDP City Centre	0.00	
S2S6 Town Centre	0.00	
Proposed Local Centre	0.00	
Overlaps N37 SLA		
Sch. Ancient Mon.	0.00	

Core Strategy		
Main Urban Area	0.00	% overlap
Major Settlement	1.00	
Minor Settlement	0.00	
Overlaps Urban Extension	<b>.</b>	

0.00	% overlap
0.00	
0.00	
0.00	
0.00	
	0.00 0.00 0.00

## Other Spatial Relationship

LCC ownership %	12.75
Nearest train station	Guiseley
Nearest train station distance (m)	4263.36
Nearest bus stop	12138
Nearest bus stop distance (m)	43.30
Agricultural classification	Urban
Overlaps SSSI	
Overlaps SEGI	
Overlaps LNA	
Overlaps LNR	
Overlaps Conservation Area	
Overlaps Listed Building	
Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer	
Overlaps Public Right of Way	
Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone	
Overlaps EA Flood Zone	
Overlaps HSE Major Hazard	
Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline	
Overlaps Pot. Contamination	<b>✓</b>
Overlaps Minerals Safeguard	led
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded 100	)m

## 749 Prince Henry Court, Newall Carr Road, Otley

Conclusions	
Issues and Options Summary	
Site affects others?	
Sustainability summary	
Summary of reps	
Comments on phasing	
DPP Allocation	
Identified housing site	
DPP Allocation Conclusion	
Site with current or recently expired planning permission or existing UDP allocation. Principle of residential de	velopment accepted

#### 1002 Creskeld Lane, Bramhope - land to rear of no. 45

Site Deta	ails						
Easting	425751	Northing	443505	Site area ha	1.5	SP7	Smaller Settlement Extension
HMCA	HMCA Outer North West Ward Adel and Wharfedale		/harfedale				

#### **Site Characteristics**

Site type Greenfield

On-site land uses

Dwellings

Neighbouring land uses

Dwellings

Agriculture

Other land uses - None

Topography	Sloping	Landscape	Limited Tree Cover
Boundaries	Existing well defined	Road front	Yes

Description

Two existing houses on street frontage with fields and trees to the west.

#### **Greenbelt Assessment**

#### 1. Check the unresticted sprawl of large built up areas

Would development lead to/const	itue ribbon development?	No	
Would development result in an is	No		
Is the site well connected to the b	Yes		
Would development round off the	settlement?	Yes	
Is there a good existing barrier be and the undeveloped land?	etween the existing urban area	No	
Unrestricted Sprawl Conclusion	High potential to lead to unrestri	cted sprawl	

#### 2. Prevent neighbouring towns from merging

Would development lead to physical connection of settlements?	No
Do features provide boundaries to contain the development?	No
Coalescence Conclusion No merging but there is no defensible b	oundary

## 3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroahment

Strong defensible boundary between site and urban area	No
Does the site provide access to the countryside	No
Does the site include local/national nature conservation areas (SSSIs	No
Areas of protected/unprotected woodland/trees/hedgerows?	No
Site includes Grade 1, Grade 2 or Grade 3a agricultural land?	No
Does the site contain buildings	Yes
Are these buildings used for agricultural purposes?	No

Encroachment Conclusion Site does not perform an important role in safeguarding from encroachment

#### 4. Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns

	•	
Site within/adjacent to	conservation area/listed building/historical features?	No
Can development pres	erve this character?	
Character Conclusion	No effect on the setting and special character of histor	ic features

# Overall Conclusion from assessment against all 4 purposes of green belt and essential characteristics of openness and permanence

Part of the site is within the Green Belt. Development of the site would effectively 'round off' the settlement.

## 1002 Creskeld Lane, Bramhope - land to rear of no. 45

SHLAA conclusions				
Availability: Medium (6-10yrs)	Suitability:	LDF to determine	Achievability: Longterm (11+yrs)	
Summary of infrastructure provider	comments			
LCC Highways Comments				
Public transport accessibility comments				Rank (1-5)
Three quarters of site area within accessibility access zone of 3 buses per hour	zone for primar	y education and health. Some lo	cal services. Site just outside for	3
Access comments				
Should be acceptable for 24 houses				5
Local network comments				
Should be acceptable for 24 houses				
·				5
Mitigation measures				Total score
None				13
Highways site support				
yes				
Contingent on other sites	ı			
no				
Contingent on other sites no				
Highways Agency Impact No material impact Netw	vork Status	No objection		
n/a		,		
Network Rail				
Yorkshire Water				
Treatment Works Pool				
Various foul and surface water sewers cross the	ne site mostly to	wards western side of site		
<b>Environment Agency</b>				
Constraints				
FZ1 over1 ha. See comments in main text of o	our response.			
LCC				
Ecology support Supported with mitigation Supported with mitigation to protect and enhance the wildlife corridor of the beck to the north, and retain the area of woodland. Retain a minimum				
Supported with mitigation to protect and enhal 20 metres corridor to the beck. Bats, White-cla			and retain the area of woodland. Retain	a minimum
Ecology boundary				

#### 1002 Creskeld Lane, Bramhope - land to rear of no. 45

**Education comments** 

1002+1080+3367A+3400 = 305 houses generates 76 primary and 30.5 secondary children. Bramhope has a small village school which usually fills so land required for 0.5FE expansion. School cannot expand on current site.

Flood Risk

Flood Zone 1. However, there is an un-named watercourse running along the Northern boundary of the site.

#### **Utilities**

Gas

Electric

Fire and Rescue

Telecoms

#### Other

English Heritage

#### Natural England

Housing sites 1080, 1002, 3367A, 3400 and 2130 within 2km of Breary Marsh SSSI/LNR (see citation below). The effects of each site (if progressed) should be assessed alone and cumulatively. Www.sssi.naturalengland.org.yk/citation/citation\_photo/1004046.pdf

#### Planning History Applications since 1/1/2009, covering more than 50% of the site

57.63 % overlap

0.00

App Number Proposal Decision % of site

#### Spatial relationships

# UDP Designations N32 Greenbelt

N34 PAS	0.00
RL1 Rural Land	0.00
N1 Greenspace	0.00
N1A Allotments	0.00
N5 Open Space	0.00
N6 Playing Pitch	0.00
N8 Urban Green Corridor	0.00
CC Shopping Quarter	0.00
UDP City Centre	0.00
S2S6 Town Centre	0.00

Overlaps N37 SLA	<b>✓</b>
Sch. Ancient Mon.	0.00

#### Core Strategy

33		
Main Urban Area	0.00	% overlap
		·
Major Settlement	0.00	
Minor Settlement	1.00	
minor controllion		
Overlaps Urban Extension	<b>✓</b>	
	<u> </u>	

#### **Regeneration Areas**

Inner South RA	0.00	% overlap
LB Corridor RA	0.00	
EASEL RA	0.00	
Aire Valley RA	0.00	
West Leeds Gateway	0.00	

#### Other Spatial Relationship

Proposed Local Centre

LCC ownership %	0.00
-----------------	------

## 1002 Creskeld Lane, Bramhope - land to rear of no. 45

Nearest train station	Horsforth
Nearest train station distance (m)	4563.31
Nearest bus stop	9350
Nearest bus stop distance (m)	487.92
Agricultural classification	Grade 4
Overlaps SSSI	
Overlaps SEGI	
Overlaps LNA	
Overlaps LNR	
Overlaps Conservation Area	<b>✓</b>
Overlaps Listed Building	
Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer	
Overlaps Public Right of Way	
Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone	
Overlaps EA Flood Zone	
Overlaps HSE Major Hazard	
Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline	
Overlaps Pot. Contamination	
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarde	ed
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded 100	m $\square$

#### 1002 Creskeld Lane, Bramhope - land to rear of no. 45

#### Conclusions

Issues and Options Summary

Part of the site is within the Green Belt. Redevelopment of the site would require demolition of one property. Development of the site would effectively 'round off' the settlement.

Site affects others?

Sustainability summary

Summary of reps

The loss of confidence in the political system which allows this kind of action to go ahead without local people most affected being able to do anything much about it - in spite of the re-assurances of successive Governments that the "Green Belt is safe in their hands".

Anti

No comments

Pros

General objections to development of the site on the basis of scale of development sites proposed in Bramhope, traffic congestion, access onto Creskeld Lane, accessibility to public transport and shops and facilities and pressure on existing school and medical provision. Also concern about impact on the character of the village, demolition of building in the conservation area, effect on the Green Belt, landscape and wildlife.

Statutory

**WYCA** 

fall outside 400m of the current core bus network. Consideration needs to be given to if this site would be able to meet the LDF public transport accessibility requirements should housing be brought forward. We are be minded to recommend that these site were not prioritised for housing until other more accessible site have been considered. Sites which fall marginally outside the 400m catchments and have high bus frequencies or are small low capacity sites are less of concern but are flagged up nonetheless.

2692m to core bus network, 6 buses per hour, within 20 mins to primary school, 30 mins to secondary school and 20 mins to primary health.

Comments on phasing

**DPP Allocation** 

Preferred housing allocation

**DPP Allocation Conclusion** 

Most of the site is within the settlement boundary, part green belt. Suitable for residential development.

#### 1036 Old Lane, Bramhope LS16

Site Details							
Easting	423531	Northing	443383	Site area ha	0.5	SP7	Other Rural Extension
HMCA	MCA Outer North West		Ward	Adel and W	harfedale		

#### **Site Characteristics**

Site type	Greenfield
-----------	------------

On-site land uses - None

Adjacent land uses - None

Other land uses - None

Topography	Landscape	
Boundaries	Road front	No

Descri	ption

#### **Greenbelt Assessment**

#### 1. Check the unresticted sprawl of large built up areas

Would development lead to/cons		
Would development result in an i		
Is the site well connected to the		
Would development round off the		
Is there a good existing barrier b and the undeveloped land?		
Unrestricted Sprawl Conclusion		

#### 2. Prevent neighbouring towns from merging

Would development lead		
Do features provide bound		
Coalescence Conclusion		

#### 3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroahment

Strong defensible boundary	
Does the site provide acces	
Does the site include local/	
Areas of protected/unprote	
Site includes Grade 1, Grad	
Does the site contain buildi	
Are these buildings used fo	
Encroachment Conclusion	

#### 4. Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns

Site within/adjacent to		
Can development pres		
Character Conclusion		

Overall Conclusion from assessment against all 4 purposes of green belt and essential characteristics of openness and permanence

1036 Old La	ane, Bramhope LS16			
SHLAA conclusions				
Availability: Short (	=<5 yrs) Suitability:	LDF to determine	Achievability:	Longterm (11+yrs)
Summary of infra	astructure provider comments			
Highways Agency Impact	Network Status			
Т		l.		
Network Rail				
	•			
Yorkshire Water				
Treatment Works				
Environment Agen Constraints	cy			
oonstraints				
LCC	1			
Ecology support				
	-			
Ecology boundary				
Education comments	1			
Luucation comments				
Flood Risk				
Utilities	1			
Gas				
	1			
Electric				
Fire and Rescue				
The dra Research				
Telecoms				
Other				
English Heritage				
Natural Fra 1	]			
Natural England				
Planning History	Applications since 1/1/2009, covering mo	re than 50% of the site		
App Number	Proposal		Decision % of site	<del>)</del>

#### 1036 Old Lane, Bramhope LS16

### Spatial relationships

UDP Designations		
N32 Greenbelt	100.00	% overlap
N34 PAS	0.00	
RL1 Rural Land	0.00	
N1 Greenspace	0.00	
N1A Allotments	0.00	
N5 Open Space	0.00	
N6 Playing Pitch	0.00	
N8 Urban Green Corridor	0.00	
CC Shopping Quarter	0.00	
UDP City Centre	0.00	
S2S6 Town Centre	0.00	
Proposed Local Centre	0.00	
Overlaps N37 SLA		
Sch. Ancient Mon.	0.00	

Core Strategy	

Main Urban Area	0.00	% overlap
Major Settlement	0.00	
Minor Settlement	0.00	
Overlaps Urban Extension	<b>✓</b>	

## **Regeneration Areas**

Inner South RA	0.00
LB Corridor RA	0.00
EASEL RA	0.00
Aire Valley RA	0.00
West Leeds Gateway	0.00

#### % overlap

, 0	•	٠.	

West Leeds	Gatewa

## Other Spatial Relationship

Nearest train station	rain station Horsforth		
Nearest train station distance (m)		4335.59	
Nearest bus stop		12343	
Nearest bus stop distance (m)		205.25	

### Agricultural classification Grade 4

Overlaps SSSI	
Overlaps SEGI	
Overlaps LNA	
Overlaps LNR	
Overlaps Conservation Area	
Overlaps Listed Building	
Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer	
Overlaps Public Right of Way	
Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone	
Overlaps EA Flood Zone	
Overlaps HSE Major Hazard	
Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline	
Overlaps Pot. Contamination	

Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded 100m

### 1036 Old Lane, Bramhope LS16

Conclusions	
Issues and Options Summary	
Site affects others?	
Sustainability summary	
Summary of reps	
growth. The site is immediately ac signigicant hamlet development of subject site. There is almost an an eyesore, and when being used from previously developed, also offers u much needed housing.  The site is well contained by existing significant to site is well contained by existing significant to site is in the site in the site is in the site in the site is in the site in the site in the site in the site is in the site	e sites close relationship and connectivity to the settlement of Bramhope, a settlement earmarked for lijacent to a series of dwellings on Bramhope Old Lane and Occupation Lane and directly opposite the the former Hilton Grange Children's Homes, the former use of which included workers cottages on the gument that this site comprises infill in this regard. The continues current use as a builder's yard is an in time to time for agricultural waste and spoil storage can often smell. Therefore as well as being prissues of large agricultural and builders traffic generation, which would be significantly reduced if used for agricultural waste and spoil storage agricultural and builders traffic generation, which would be significantly reduced if used for a boundary walls which added to the character of the area, prevent the sprawl of the site and would be development proposals. The site is free from constraints.
Only the site promoted submitted of	comments.
Comments on phasing	
DPP Allocation	
Not proposed as housing allocation	
DPP Allocation Conclusion	
Sieved out site. Not within settleme	ent hierarchy.

#### 1037 Moor Road (west of), Bramhope LS16

Site Details								
Easting	424676	Northing	442645	Site area ha	8.0		SP7	Other Rural Extension
HMCA Outer North West		Wa	ard	Adel and W	harfedale			

#### **Site Characteristics**

Site type Greenfield

On-site land uses - None

Adjacent land uses - None

Other land uses - None

Topography	Landscape	
Boundaries	Road front No	

Description

#### **Greenbelt Assessment**

#### 1. Check the unresticted sprawl of large built up areas

Would development lead to/cons	titue ribbon development?	
Would development result in an i		
Is the site well connected to the		
Would development round off the		
Is there a good existing barrier between the existing urban area and the undeveloped land?		
Unrestricted Sprawl Conclusion		

#### 2. Prevent neighbouring towns from merging

Would development lead		
Do features provide boundaries to contain the development?		
Coalescence Conclusion		

#### 3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroahment

Strong defensible boundary	between site and urban area	
Does the site provide access to the countryside		
Does the site include local/national nature conservation areas (SSSIs		
Areas of protected/unprotected woodland/trees/hedgerows?		
Site includes Grade 1, Grade 2 or Grade 3a agricultural land?		
Does the site contain buildings		
Are these buildings used for agricultural purposes?		
Encroachment Conclusion		

Encroachment Conclusion

#### 4. Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns

Site within/adjacent to conservation area/listed building/historical features?		
Can development preserve this character?		
Character Conclusion		

Overall Conclusion from assessment against all 4 purposes of green belt and essential characteristics of openness and permanence

1037 Moor	Road (west of), Bramhope LS	16		
SHLAA conclusions				
Availability: Short (=	=<5 yrs) Suitabilit	y: LDF to determine	Achievability	: Longterm (11+yrs)
Summary of infra	structure provider comments			
Highways Agency				
Impact	Network Status			
Network Rail				
Yorkshire Water				
Treatment Works				
F				
Environment Agend Constraints	; <b>y</b>			
LCC				
Ecology support				
	1			
Ecology boundary				
Education comments				
Zudoution comments				
Flood Risk				
Utilities				
Gas				
	1			
Electric				
Fire and Rescue				
Telecoms				
Other				
English Heritage				
Natural England				
Natural England				
L				
	Applications since 1/1/2009, covering r	nore than 50% of the site		
App Number	Proposal		Decision % of si	te

#### 1037 Moor Road (west of), Bramhope LS16

## Spatial relationships

<b>UDP Designations</b>		
N32 Greenbelt	100.00	% overlap
N34 PAS	0.00	
RL1 Rural Land	0.00	
N1 Greenspace	0.00	
N1A Allotments	0.00	
N5 Open Space	0.00	
N6 Playing Pitch	0.00	
N8 Urban Green Corridor	0.00	
CC Shopping Quarter	0.00	
UDP City Centre	0.00	
S2S6 Town Centre	0.00	
Proposed Local Centre	0.00	
Overlaps N37 SLA		
Sch. Ancient Mon.	0.00	

Core	Strategy

Main Urban Area	0.00	% overlap
Major Settlement	0.00	
Minor Settlement	0.00	
Overlaps Urban Extension	<b>✓</b>	

## **Regeneration Areas**

Inner South RA	0.00
LB Corridor RA	0.00
EASEL RA	0.00
Aire Valley RA	0.00
West Leeds Gateway	0.00

% overlap

## Other Spatial Relationship

LCC ownership %		0.00	
Nearest train	station		Horsforth
Nearest train station distance (m)		3514.44	
Nearest bus stop		10840	
Nearest bus stop distance (m)		637.02	
Agricultural o	lassificat	ion	Grade 4
_			

Overlaps SSSI	
Overlaps SEGI	
Overlaps LNA	
Overlaps LNR	
Overlaps Conservation Area	
Overlaps Listed Building	
Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer	
Overlaps Public Right of Way	
Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone	
Overlaps EA Flood Zone	
Overlaps HSE Major Hazard	$\overline{\Box}$
Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline	$\overline{\Box}$
Overlaps Pot. Contamination	
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded	
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded 100m	

Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded 100m

## 1037 Moor Road (west of), Bramhope LS16

Conclusions	
Issues and Options Summary	
Site affects others?	
Sustainability summary	
Summary of reps	
Pros Close to facilities, no green belt h	arm, viable/suitable/available.
Anti	
	ce to school, green belt, wildlife and local employment
Statutory	
No comments	
Comments on phasing	
DPP Allocation	
Not proposed as housing allocation	n
DPP Allocation Conclusion	
Site sieved out. Not within settlen	pont higrarchy

#### 1080 Breary Lane East, Bramhope LS16

Site Details							
Easting	426068	Northing	443041	Site area ha	15.4	SP7	Smaller Settlement Extension
HMCA	A Outer North West			Ward	Adel and W	harfedale	

#### **Site Characteristics**

Site type Greenfield

On-site land uses

Agriculture

Neighbouring land uses

Agriculture

Dwellings

Unmanaged Forest

Other land uses - None

Topography	Flat	Landscape	Limited Tree Cover
Boundaries	Existing well defined	Road front	Yes

Description

Greenfield site extending from Leeds Road to Breary Lane East. Agricultural land with a number of trees within the site and a pond adjacent to the Breary Lane East frontage.

#### **Greenbelt Assessment - Not Required**

#### 1. Check the unresticted sprawl of large built up areas

Would development lead to/constitue ribbon development?	No
Would development result in an isolated development?	No
Is the site well connected to the built up area?	No
Would development round off the settlement?	
Is there a good existing barrier between the existing urban area and the undeveloped land?	No
Unrestricted Sprawl Conclusion	

## 2. Prevent neighbouring towns from merging

Would development lead	No
Do features provide bound	No
Coalescence Conclusion	

## 3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroahment

Strong defensible boundary between site and urban area	No
Does the site provide access to the countryside	No
Does the site include local/national nature conservation areas (SSSIs	No
Areas of protected/unprotected woodland/trees/hedgerows?	No
Site includes Grade 1, Grade 2 or Grade 3a agricultural land?	No
Does the site contain buildings	No
Are these buildings used for agricultural purposes?	No

Encroachment Conclusion

#### 4. Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns

Site within/adjacent to conservation area/listed building/historical features?	No
Can development preserve this character?	

Character Conclusion

LCC

Ecology support

Unknown

Unknown Impact. A range of valuable habitats present - grasslands need a botanical survey.

## 1080 Breary Lane East, Bramhope LS16

Overall Conclusion from assessment against all 4 purposes of green belt and essential characteristics of openness and permanence	
Protected Area of Search (PAS) site.	
SHLAA conclusions	
Availability: Short (=<5 yrs)  Suitability: LDF to determine  Achievability: Medium (6-10yrs)	
Summary of infrastructure provider comments	
LCC Highways Comments	
Public transport accessibility comments	ank (1-5)
One quarter of site within accessibility zone for education. Some local services. Public Transport Core Strategy standards not met but 75% of the site within 3 buses per hour buffer. Possible mitigation large site	2
Access comments	
No access from Breary Lane East, possible difficulties with access on to Otley Road.	4
Local network comments  Roundabout to south ok at moment but traffic lights to north congestion	
Roundabout to south ok at moment but traine lights to north congestion	3
Mitigation massures	otal score
Mitigation measures Unknown at this stage	
	9
Highways site support	
no without significant mitigation	
Contingent on other sites	
maybe	
Contingent on other sites maybe	
Highways Agency	
Impact No material impact Network Status No objection	
n/a	
Network Rail	
Yorkshire Water	
Treatment Works Knostrop High Level	
Foul sewer along western boundary	
Environment Agency	
Constraints	
FZ1 over1 ha. See comments in main text of our response.	

#### 1080 Breary Lane East, Bramhope LS16

Ecology boundary

Site assessment needed.

#### **Education comments**

1002+1080+3367A+3400 = 305 houses generates 76 primary and 30.5 secondary children. Bramhope has a small village school which usually fills so land required for 0.5FE expansion. School cannot expand on current site.

Flood Risk

Flood Zone 1. Note: there is a pond in the North of the site.

## **Utilities**

Gas

Electric

Fire and Rescue

Telecoms

#### Other

English Heritage

Natural England

Housing sites 1080, 1002, 3367A, 3400 and 2130 within 2km of Breary Marsh SSSI/LNR (see citation below). The effects of each site (if progressed) should be assessed alone and cumulatively. Www.sssi.naturalengland.org.yk/citation/citation\_photo/1004046.pdf

#### Applications since 1/1/2009, covering more than 50% of the site **Planning History App Number** Proposal Decision % of site 13/05134/OT Outline planning application (all matters reserved except for R 99 partial means of access to, but not within, the site) for residential development (up to 380 dwellings), a convenience store (up to 372sqm) and public open space

#### Spatial relationships

UDP Designations		
N32 Greenbelt	0.06	% overlap
N34 PAS	99.85	
RL1 Rural Land	0.00	
N1 Greenspace	0.00	
N1A Allotments	0.00	
N5 Open Space	0.00	
N6 Playing Pitch	0.00	
N8 Urban Green Corridor	0.00	
CC Shopping Quarter	0.00	
UDP City Centre	0.00	
S2S6 Town Centre	0.00	
Proposed Local Centre	0.00	
Overlaps N37 SLA		
Sch. Ancient Mon.	0.00	

#### **Core Strategy**

Main Urban Area	0.00	% overlap
Major Settlement	0.00	
Minor Settlement	1.00	
Overlaps Urban Extension	✓	

Reg	jenerat	ion <i>l</i>	Areas

Inner South RA	0.00	% overlap
LB Corridor RA	0.00	
EASEL RA	0.00	
Aire Valley RA	0.00	
West Leeds Gateway	0.00	

## 1080 Breary Lane East, Bramhope LS16

## Other Spatial Relationship

LCC ownership %	0.00
Nearest train station	Horsforth
Nearest train station distance (m)	4234.93
Nearest bus stop	2979
Nearest bus stop distance (m)	276.68
Agricultural classification	Grade 3b
Overlaps SSSI	
Overlaps SEGI	
Overlaps LNA	
Overlaps LNR	□
Overlaps Conservation Area	<b>✓</b>
Overlaps Listed Building	
Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer	
Overlaps Public Right of Way	
Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone	
Overlaps EA Flood Zone	
Overlaps HSE Major Hazard	
Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline	
Overlaps Pot. Contamination	✓
Overlaps Minerals Safeguard	ed
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded 100	)m

#### 1080 Breary Lane East, Bramhope LS16

#### Conclusions

Issues and Options Summary

Protected Area of Search (PAS) site on UDP. Potential for development on part of site for 200 dwellings with single access from A660, or all site (434 dwellings) if combined with adjacent site 3367A due to access issues.

Site affects others?

Sustainability summary

Summary of reps

Pros

Anti

General opposition to development of the site on the grounds of the number of units proposed and the result impact on the character of Bramhope. Concerns about traffic congestion, safety and lack of local facilities (in particular the capacity of Bramhope Primary School and the medical centre), public transport, effect on local wildlife and the conservation Area. A number of representors suggested that site 2054 (Alwoodley Gates) was a more suitable site for new housing due to road access.

#### Statutory

WYCA

Falls outside 400m of the current core bus network. Consideration needs to be given to if this site would be able to meet the LDF public transport accessibility requirements should housing be brought forward. We are be minded to recommend that these site were not prioritised for housing until other more accessible site have been considered. Sites which fall marginally outside the 400m catchments and have high bus frequencies or are small low capacity sites are less of concern but are flagged up nonetheless.

2314m to core bus network, 6 buses per hour, 20 mins to primary school, 30 mins to secondary school and 20 mins to primary health.

Comments on phasing

**DPP Allocation** 

Preferred housing allocation

DPP Allocation Conclusion

Protected Area of Search (PAS) site on UDP.Potential for development of site with site 3367A to provide 384 dwellings. Site 3367A or 1080 to accommodate a 2 FE primary school (deduct 58 dwellings from the combined capacity)

Site Deta	ails						
Easting	424240	Northing	445447	Site area ha	1.7	SP7	Smaller Settlement Extension
НМСА	Outer North	. West			Ward	Adel and W	/harfedale

#### **Site Characteristics**

Site type Greenfield

On-site land uses

Agriculture

Neighbouring land uses

Agriculture

**Dwellings** 

Other land uses - None

Topography	Sloping	Landscape	Limited Tree Cover
Boundaries	Existing well defined	Road front	Yes

Description

Greenfield site between Pool Road and the River Wharfe.

#### **Greenbelt Assessment**

#### 1. Check the unresticted sprawl of large built up areas

Would development lead to/cons	titue ribbon development?	No	
Would development result in an	solated development?	Yes	
Is the site well connected to the built up area?		No	
Would development round off the settlement?		No	
Is there a good existing barrier between the existing urban area and the undeveloped land?		No	
Unrestricted Sprawl Conclusion	High potential to lead to unrestricted sprawl		

#### 2. Prevent neighbouring towns from merging

Would development lead	to physical connection of settlements?	No
Do features provide boundaries to contain the development?		No
Coalescence Conclusion	No merging but there is no defensible bo	undary

#### 3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroahment

Strong defensible boundary between site and urban area	No
Does the site provide access to the countryside	No
Does the site include local/national nature conservation areas (SSSIs	No
Areas of protected/unprotected woodland/trees/hedgerows?	No
Site includes Grade 1, Grade 2 or Grade 3a agricultural land?	Yes
Does the site contain buildings	No
Are these buildings used for agricultural purposes?	No

Encroachment Conclusion | Site does not perform an important role in safeguarding from encroachment

#### 4. Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns

Site within/adjacent to	conservation area/listed building/historical features?	Yes		
Can development pres	erve this character?			
Character Conclusion   Marginal effect on the setting & special character, could be mitigated against through appropriate detailed design				

# Overall Conclusion from assessment against all 4 purposes of green belt and essential characteristics of openness and permanence

The site is separated from the existing settlement, but performs relatively well against the remaining purposes.

Access from Pool Road acceptable in principle but may require third party land to achieve acceptable visibility splays and junction spacing. If by-pass is built this would further restrict access potential - access would have to be tied into by-pass access.  2  Local network comments  Local congestion, mitigation required  2  Mitigation measures  By-pass and off site improvements required  5  Highways site support  No  Contingent on other sites  Yes  Contingent on other sites  Yes  Wes  Wes  Contingent on other sites  Yes  Wes  Wes  Wes  No objection  Network Rail  Yorkshire Water  Treatment Works  Pool  There is very limited capacity at Pool new development. Development that will connect to the public sewer system needs to be co-ordinated with Yorkshire Water Asset Management Plans (AMP) to ensure the necessary infrastructure and capacity can be provided to serve the site. The forthcoming AMP(G) will run from April 2015 to March 2020. Phasing is one method used to ensure sites are brought forward in line with twy investment. It is particularly important that sites which represent a 10% or greater increase in population served by works should take into account available sewerage and Ww/IW capacity, If a developer wants to bring a site forward before W have completed any planned improvements it may be possible for the developer to provide contributions. The amount would be determined by a developer funded feasibility study.  Environment Agency	SHLAA conclusions	;						
Contingent an other sites	Availability: Short	(=<5 yrs)	Suitability:	LDF to determine		Achievability:	Longterm (11+yrs	)
Rank (1-5) Only ok for access to primary education. No other accessibility criteria met  Cross comments Access romeon Read acceptable in principle but may require third party land to achieve acceptable visibility splays and junction spacing. If by pass is built this would further restrict access potential - access would have to be tied into by-pass access.  2  Incal network comments Local congestion, mitigation required  2  Mitigation measures By-pass and off site improvements required  5  Mitigation acceptable visibility splays and junction provided site in provided acceptable visibility splays and junction provided site in provided in the splay access would have to be tied into by-pass access.  5  Total score  5  Highways site support  No  Contingent on other sites  Yes  Contingent on other sites  Yes  Yorkshire Water  Treatment Works Paol  Network Rail  Yorkshire Water  Treatment Works Paol  There is very limited capacity a Pool new development. Development that will connect to the public sewer system needs to be co-ordinated with the orthocoming AMP(6) will run from April 2015 to March 2020. Pressing is one method used to ensure sites are brought forward in line with TWYs incestment. Its protructurely important that sites which represent a 10% or greater increase in population served by the works should take into account available severage and WwW capacity. If a developer wants to tring a site forward before Whove completed any planned improvements it may be possible for the developer to provide contributions. The amount would be determined by a developer funded feasibility study.  Environment Agency  Constraints	Summary of infr	astructure provider	comments					
Only ok for access to primary education. No other accessibility criteria met  1 Access comments Access from Pool Road acceptable in principle but may require third party land to achieve acceptable visibility splays and junction spacing. If by pass is built this would further restrict access potential - access would have to be tied into by pass access.  2 Incel network comments Local congestion, mitigation required  2 Intelligation measures  3 Intelligation measures  4 Intelligation measures  4 Intelligation of the sites improvements required  5 Intelligation of the sites improvements required  5 Intelligation of the sites  Wes  Contingent on other sites  Access appoint on other sites  Wes  Contingent on other sites  Access appoint on other sites  Access appoint on other sites  Wes  Contingent on other sites  Wes  Contingent on other sites  Access are sites and junction of the sites and								
Access comments  Access rom Pool Road acceptable in principle but may require third party land to achieve acceptable visibility splays and junction spacing. If they have is built this would further restrict access potential - access would have to be tied into the ty-pass access.  Local network comments  Local congestion, miligation required  2  Miligation measures  Miligation measures  Py-pass and off site improvements required  5  Highways site support  No  Contingent on other sites  Yes  Contingent on other sites  Yes  Wetwork Status  No objection  Network Rail  Yorkshire Water Saye Management Plans (AMP) to ensure the necessary infrastructure and capacity can be provided to serve the site. The forthcoming AMP(6) will run from April 2015 to March 2020. Plasing is one method used to ensure sites are brought forward in line with YVshiresterming AMP(6) will run from April 2015 to March 2020. Plasing is one method used to ensure sites are brought forward in line with YVshiresterminesterm. It is particularly improraint that sites which represent a 10% or greater increase in population served by the works should take into account available sewerage and WivTV capacity. If a developer vanis to bring a site forward before VM have completed any planned improvements it may be possible for the developer to provide contributions. The amount would be determined by a developer funded fessibility study.  Environment Agency  Constraints	·	-						Rank (1-5)
Access from Pool Road acceptable in principle but may require third party land to achieve acceptable visibility splays and junction spacing. If by-pass is built this would further restrict access potential - access would have to be tiled into by-pass access.    2	Only ok for access to	primary education. No oth	er accessibility	criteria met				1
Mitigation measures  By-pass and off site improvements required  5  Highways site support  No  Contingent on other sites  Yes  Highways Agency  Impact   Network Status   No objection  Network Rail  Yorkshire Water  Treatment Works  Pool  There is very limited capacity at Pool new development. Development that will connect to the public sewer system needs to be co-ordinated with Yorkshire Waters as Asset Management Plans (AMP) to ensure the necessary infrastructure and capacity and provided to serve the site. The rothcoming AMP (b) will run frowfar 1201. Plansing is one method used to ensure sites are brought forward in line with Yw's investment. It is particularly important that sites which represent an 10% or greater increase in population served by the works bould take into account available sewerage and WAVTM capacity. If a developer mains to bring a site forward before W have complete sewerage and WAVTM capacity. If a developer mains to bring a site forward before W have complete any planned improvements it may be possible for the developer to provide contributions. The amount would be determined by a developer funded feasibility study.  Ervironment Agency  Constraints  LCC								2
Miligation measures By-pass and off site improvements required  5  Highways site support No  Contingent on other sites Yes  Contingent on other sites Yes  Highways Agency Impact Network Status No objection  Network Rail  Yorkshire Water Treatment Works Pool  There is very limited capacity at Pool new development. Development that will connect to the public sewer system needs to be co-ordinated with Yorkshire Water's Asset Management Plans (AMP) to ensure the necessary infrastructure and capacity can be provided to serve the site. The forthcoming AMP(c) will run from April 2015 to Morth 2020. Phasing is one method used to ensure sites are brought forward in line with Wrs investment. It is particularly important that sites which represent a 10% or greater increase in population served by the works should take into account available sewerage and WATVP Capacity, if a developer wants to bring a site forward before WY have completed any planned improvements it may be possible for the developer to provide contributions. The amount would be determined by a developer funded feasibility study.  Environment Agency Constraints	Local network comme	ents						
Miligation measures By-pass and off site improvements required  Total score By-pass and off site improvements required  5  Highways site support No  Contingent on other sites Yes  Highways Agency Impact Network Status No objection  Network Rail  Yorkshire Water Treatment Works Pool There is very limited capacity at Pool new development. Development that will connect to the public sewer system needs to be co-ordinated with Yorkshire Waters Asset Management Plans (AMP) to ensure the necessary infrastructure and capacity can be provided to serve the site. The forthcoming AMP (o) will run from April 2015 to March 2020. Phasing is one method used to ensure sites are brought forward in line with Yw's investment. It is particularly important that sites which represent a 10% or greater increase in population served by the works should take into account available sewerage and WAVTW capacity. If a developer with so to right as the forward before W have complete any planned improvements it may be possible for the developer to provide contributions. The amount would be determined by a developer funded feasibility study.  Ervironment Agency Constraints  LCC	Local congestion, mit	igation required						2
By-pass and off site improvements required  Contingent on other sites  Yes  Contingent on other sites  Yes  Highways Agency  Impact   Network Status   Network Status   No objection  Network Rail  Yorkshire Water  Treatment Works   Pool  There is very limited appacity at Pool new development. Development that will connect to the public sewer system needs to be co-ordinated with Yorkshire Waters Asset Management Plans (AMP) to ensure the necessary infrastructure and capacity can be provided to serve the site. The forthcoming AMP(6) will run from April 2015 to March 2020. Phasing is one method used to ensure sites are brought forward in line with YW's investment. It is particularly important that sites which represent a 10% or greater increase in populations served the works should take into account available sewerage and WwTW capacity. If a developer wants to bring a site forward before YW have completed any planned improvements it may be possible for the developer to provide contributions. The amount would be determined by a developer funded feasibility study.  Environment Agency  Constraints								
By-pass and off site improvements required    Highways site support	Mitigation massures							Total score
Highways site support  No  Contingent on other sites  Yes  Contingent on other sites  Yes  Highways Agency  Impact   Network Status  No objection  Network Rail  Yorkshire Water  Treatment Works   Pool  There is very limited capacity at Pool new development. Development that will connect to the public sewer system needs to be co-ordinated with Yorkshire Waters Asset Management Plans (AMP) to ensure the necessary infrastructure and capacity can be provided to serve the site. The forthcoming AMP(6) will run from April 2015 to March 2020. Phasing is one method used to ensure sites are brought forward in line with YWs investment. It is particularly important that sites which represent a 10% or greater increase in population sexhould take into account available sewerage and WwTW capacity. If a developer wants to bring a site forward before YW have completed any planned improvements it may be possible for the developer to provide contributions. The amount would be determined by a developer funded feasibility study.  Environment Agency  Constraints	_	mprovements required						
Contingent on other sites Yes  Contingent on other sites Yes  Highways Agency  Impact Network Status  No objection  Network Rail  Yorkshire Water  Treatment Works Pool  There is very limited capacity at Pool new development. Development that will connect to the public sewer system needs to be co-ordinated with Yorkshire Water's Asset Management Plans (AMP) to ensure the necessary infrastructure and capacity can be provided to serve the site. The forthcoming AMP(6) will run from April 2015 to March 2020. Phasing is one method used to ensure sites are brought forward in line with YW's investment. It is particularly important that sites which represent a 10% or greater increase in population served by the works should take into account available sewerage and WwTW capacity. If a developer wants to bring a site forward before YW have completed any planned improvements it may be possible for the developer to provide contributions. The amount would be determined by a developer funded feasibility study.  Environment Agency  Constraints								5
Contingent on other sites Yes  Contingent on other sites Yes  Highways Agency  Impact Network Status  No objection  Network Rail  Yorkshire Water  Treatment Works Pool  There is very limited capacity at Pool new development. Development that will connect to the public sewer system needs to be co-ordinated with Yorkshire Water's Asset Management Plans (AMP) to ensure the necessary infrastructure and capacity can be provided to serve the site. The forthcoming AMP(6) will run from April 2015 to March 2020. Phasing is one method used to ensure sites are brought forward in line with YW's investment. It is particularly important that sites which represent a 10% or greater increase in population served by the works should take into account available sewerage and WwTW capacity. If a developer wants to bring a site forward before YW have completed any planned improvements it may be possible for the developer to provide contributions. The amount would be determined by a developer funded feasibility study.  Environment Agency  Constraints	I II also a series and a series and a							
Contingent on other sites Yes  Contingent on other sites Yes  Highways Agency Impact Network Status No objection  Network Rail  Yorkshire Water Treatment Works Pool There is very limited capacity at Pool new development. Development that will connect to the public sewer system needs to be co-ordinated with Yorkshire Water's Asset Management Plans (AMP) to ensure the necessary infrastructure and capacity can be provided to serve the site. The forthcoming AMP(6) will run from April 2015 to March 2020. Phasing is one method used to ensure sites are brought forward in line with Yw's investment. It is particularly important that sites which represent a 10% or greater increase in population served by the works should take into account available sewerage and WwTW capacity. If a developer wants to bring a site forward before YW have completed any planned improvements it may be possible for the developer to provide contributions. The amount would be determined by a developer funded feasibility study.  Environment Agency Constraints		t						
Contingent on other sites Yes  Highways Agency Impact Network Status No objection  Network Rail  Yorkshire Water Treatment Works Pool There is very limited capacity at Pool new development. Development that will connect to the public sewer system needs to be co-ordinated with Yorkshire Water's Asset Management Plans (AMP) to ensure the necessary infrastructure and capacity can be provided to serve the site. The forthcoming AMP(6) will run from April 2015 to March 2020. Phasing is one method used to ensure sites are brought forward in line with YW's investment. It is particularly important that sites which represent a 10% or greater increase in population served by the works should take into account available sewerage and WwTW capacity. If a developer wants to bring a site forward before Yhave completed any planned improvements it may be possible for the developer to provide contributions. The amount would be determined by a developer funded feasibility study.  Environment Agency Constraints  LCC								
Contingent on other sites Yes  Highways Agency Impact Network Status No objection  Network Rail  Yorkshire Water Treatment Works Pool There is very limited capacity at Pool new development. Development that will connect to the public sewer system needs to be co-ordinated with Yorkshire Water's Asset Management Plans (AMP) to ensure the necessary infrastructure and capacity can be provided to serve the site. The forthcoming AMP(6) will run from April 2015 to March 2020. Phasing is one method used to ensure sites are brought forward in line with YW's investment. It is particularly important that sites which represent a 10% or greater increase in population served by the works should take into account available sewerage and WwTW capacity. If a developer wants to bring a site forward before Yhave completed any planned improvements it may be possible for the developer to provide contributions. The amount would be determined by a developer funded feasibility study.  Environment Agency Constraints  LCC	Contingent on other s	sites						
Highways Agency Impact No objection  Network Rail  Yorkshire Water  Treatment Works Pool  There is very limited capacity at Pool new development. Development that will connect to the public sewer system needs to be co-ordinated with Yorkshire Water's Asset Management Plans (AMP) to ensure the necessary infrastructure and capacity can be provided to serve the site. The forthcoming AMP(6) will run from April 2015 to March 2020. Phasing is one method used to ensure sites are brought forward in line with YW's investment. It is particularly important that sites which represent a 10% or greater increase in population served by the works should take into account available sewerage and WwTW capacity. If a developer wants to bring a site forward before YW have completed any planned improvements it may be possible for the developer to provide contributions. The amount would be determined by a developer funded feasibility study.  Environment Agency  Constraints	Yes							
Network Rail  Vorkshire Water Treatment Works Pool There is very limited capacity at Pool new development. Development that will connect to the public sewer system needs to be co-ordinated with Yorkshire Water's Asset Management Plans (AMP) to ensure the necessary infrastructure and capacity can be provided to serve the site. The forthcoming AMP(6) will run from April 2015 to March 2020. Phasing is one method used to ensure sites are brought forward in line with YW's investment. It is particularly important that sites which represent a 10% or greater increase in population served by the works should take into account available sewerage and WwTW capacity. If a developer wants to bring a site forward before YW have completed any planned improvements it may be possible for the developer to provide contributions. The amount would be determined by a developer funded feasibility study.  Environment Agency Constraints  LCC	Yes	ites						
Network Rail  Yorkshire Water  Treatment Works Pool  There is very limited capacity at Pool new development. Development that will connect to the public sewer system needs to be co-ordinated with Yorkshire Water's Asset Management Plans (AMP) to ensure the necessary infrastructure and capacity can be provided to serve the site. The forthcoming AMP(6) will run from April 2015 to March 2020. Phasing is one method used to ensure sites are brought forward in line with YW's investment. It is particularly important that sites which represent a 10% or greater increase in population served by the works should take into account available sewerage and WwTW capacity. If a developer wants to bring a site forward before YW have completed any planned improvements it may be possible for the developer to provide contributions. The amount would be determined by a developer funded feasibility study.  Environment Agency  Constraints								
Vorkshire Water  Treatment Works  Pool  There is very limited capacity at Pool new development. Development that will connect to the public sewer system needs to be co-ordinated with Yorkshire Water's Asset Management Plans (AMP) to ensure the necessary infrastructure and capacity can be provided to serve the site. The forthcoming AMP(6) will run from April 2015 to March 2020. Phasing is one method used to ensure sites are brought forward in line with YW's investment. It is particularly important that sites which represent a 10% or greater increase in population served by the works should take into account available sewerage and WwTW capacity. If a developer wants to bring a site forward before YW have completed any planned improvements it may be possible for the developer to provide contributions. The amount would be determined by a developer funded feasibility study.  Environment Agency  Constraints		Netwo	ork Status					
Yorkshire Water  Treatment Works Pool  There is very limited capacity at Pool new development. Development that will connect to the public sewer system needs to be co-ordinated with Yorkshire Water's Asset Management Plans (AMP) to ensure the necessary infrastructure and capacity can be provided to serve the site. The forthcoming AMP(6) will run from April 2015 to March 2020. Phasing is one method used to ensure sites are brought forward in line with YW's investment. It is particularly important that sites which represent a 10% or greater increase in population served by the works should take into account available sewerage and WwTW capacity. If a developer wants to bring a site forward before YW have completed any planned improvements it may be possible for the developer to provide contributions. The amount would be determined by a developer funded feasibility study.  Environment Agency  Constraints	No objection							
Treatment Works  Pool  There is very limited capacity at Pool new development. Development that will connect to the public sewer system needs to be co-ordinated with Yorkshire Water's Asset Management Plans (AMP) to ensure the necessary infrastructure and capacity can be provided to serve the site. The forthcoming AMP(6) will run from April 2015 to March 2020. Phasing is one method used to ensure sites are brought forward in line with YW's investment. It is particularly important that sites which represent a 10% or greater increase in population served by the works should take into account available sewerage and WwTW capacity. If a developer wants to bring a site forward before YW have completed any planned improvements it may be possible for the developer to provide contributions. The amount would be determined by a developer funded feasibility study.  Environment Agency  Constraints  LCC	Network Rail							
Treatment Works  Pool  There is very limited capacity at Pool new development. Development that will connect to the public sewer system needs to be co-ordinated with Yorkshire Water's Asset Management Plans (AMP) to ensure the necessary infrastructure and capacity can be provided to serve the site. The forthcoming AMP(6) will run from April 2015 to March 2020. Phasing is one method used to ensure sites are brought forward in line with YW's investment. It is particularly important that sites which represent a 10% or greater increase in population served by the works should take into account available sewerage and WwTW capacity. If a developer wants to bring a site forward before YW have completed any planned improvements it may be possible for the developer to provide contributions. The amount would be determined by a developer funded feasibility study.  Environment Agency  Constraints  LCC								
Treatment Works  Pool  There is very limited capacity at Pool new development. Development that will connect to the public sewer system needs to be co-ordinated with Yorkshire Water's Asset Management Plans (AMP) to ensure the necessary infrastructure and capacity can be provided to serve the site. The forthcoming AMP(6) will run from April 2015 to March 2020. Phasing is one method used to ensure sites are brought forward in line with YW's investment. It is particularly important that sites which represent a 10% or greater increase in population served by the works should take into account available sewerage and WwTW capacity. If a developer wants to bring a site forward before YW have completed any planned improvements it may be possible for the developer to provide contributions. The amount would be determined by a developer funded feasibility study.  Environment Agency  Constraints  LCC	Varkahira Watar							
There is very limited capacity at Pool new development. Development that will connect to the public sewer system needs to be co-ordinated with Yorkshire Water's Asset Management Plans (AMP) to ensure the necessary infrastructure and capacity can be provided to serve the site. The forthcoming AMP(6) will run from April 2015 to March 2020. Phasing is one method used to ensure sites are brought forward in line with YW's investment. It is particularly important that sites which represent a 10% or greater increase in population served by the works should take into account available sewerage and WwTW capacity. If a developer wants to bring a site forward before YW have completed any planned improvements it may be possible for the developer to provide contributions. The amount would be determined by a developer funded feasibility study.  Environment Agency  Constraints  LCC		Pool						
Constraints  LCC	There is very limited Yorkshire Water's Ass forthcoming AMP(6) investment. It is part account available sev	capacity at Pool new deve set Management Plans (AN will run from April 2015 to icularly important that site verage and WwTW capacit	MP) to ensure the March 2020. Per which represents the March represents. If a developed the March to the March 1990 and 1990 are the March 1990 and 1990 are the March 1990 are the Marc	ne necessary infrastruc hasing is one method ent a 10% or greater in er wants to bring a site	cture and cap used to ensu ncrease in po e forward bef	acity can be prove re sites are brough pulation served be ore YW have con	ided to serve the sight forward in line ways the works should any planned	te. The with YW's I take into I
Constraints  LCC	Environment Agen	ıcv						
LCC	Constraints							
ecology support Not supported		Not supported						
Not supported (RED). No site-based designations but includes land alongside the River Wharfe Site of Ecological and Geological Importance		111	ns hut includes	land alongside the Div	var Wharfa Si	te of Ecological a	nd Geological Impa	ortance

Ecology boundary

Supported with mitigation if Red hatched areas are excluded and boundary amended as per drawing RM/1095. Mitigation will still be required to ensure impacts on adjacent habitats are addressed.

**Education comments** 

Flood Risk

Site adjacent to River Wharfe. Almost all the site is in Flood Zone 2 and 3. Even if flood risk addressed, compensatory flood plain storage would be an issue

## Utilities

Gas

Electric

Fire and Rescue

Telecoms

#### Other

English Heritage

Natural England

Planning History Applications since 1/1/2009, covering more than 50% of the site

App Number Proposal Decision % of site

#### Spatial relationships

**UDP Designations** 

#### N32 Greenbelt 99.95 % overlap N34 PAS 0.00 **RL1 Rural Land** 0.00 N1 Greenspace 0.00 N1A Allotments 0.00 N5 Open Space 0.00 N6 Playing Pitch 0.00 N8 Urban Green Corridor 0.00 **CC Shopping Quarter** 0.00 0.00 **UDP City Centre** S2S6 Town Centre 0.00

Sch. Ancient Mon.	0.00

0.00

Core	Strategy

Main Urban Area	0.00	% overlap
Major Settlement	0.00	
Minor Settlement	1.00	
Overlaps Urban Extension	<b>~</b>	
	<del></del>	

#### **Regeneration Areas**

Inner South RA	0.00	% overlap
LB Corridor RA	0.00	
EASEL RA	0.00	
Aire Valley RA	0.00	
West Leeds Gateway	0.00	

#### Other Spatial Relationship

Proposed Local Centre

Overlaps N37 SLA

LCC ownership %	0.00
Nearest train station	Guiseley
Nearest train station distance (m)	6277.56
Nearest bus stop	3823
Nearest bus stop distance (m)	69.69
Agricultural classification G	ade 3a
Overlaps SSSI	
Overlaps SEGI	
Overlaps LNA	
Overlaps LNR	
Overlaps Conservation Area	<b>✓</b>
Overlaps Listed Building	
Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer	
Overlaps Public Right of Way	
Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone	<b>✓</b>
Overlaps EA Flood Zone	<b>✓</b>
Overlaps HSE Major Hazard	
Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline	<b>✓</b>
Overlaps Pot. Contamination	
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded	
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded 100m	

#### Conclusions

Issues and Options Summary

Green Belt site. The site is separated from the existing settlement, so development would be isolated, being located to the north of the A659 Pool Road and is within flood risk zones 2 (medium risk), 3a (high risk) and 3b (functional flood plain).

Site affects others?

Sustainability summary

Summary of reps

Additional comments included impact on tourism. Most people agreed site was unsuitable due to flooding.

There are invariably queues of traffic on the Harrogate raod over the bridge, through the village and on the Otley road an additional 1000 or more cars passing though aour village

building on a flood plain and soakway areas

area floods annually

will also prevent Pool from ever having a by pass to alleviate these traffic problems

The long distance view from Otlev Chevin would be destroyed

proximity to the A658 and A659, already highly congested and very dangerous

Considering the limited bus services for Pool (X84, 757, 963) there will be a high possibility that 500 new homes will mean an extra 500-1000 new cars using the already over congested roads in Pool

Traffic is already a huge problem on Old Pool Bank and New Pool Bank, and on the A660 which is the main commuter route to Leeds. It currently takes around an hour to commute into Leeds in the rush hour during school and university term-time and the same on the return journey.

The A658 into Otley and the A659 are also busy, particularly at weekends. Main Street is congested. Old Pool Bank is too narrow and already used inappropriately as a cut-through by many drivers. The junction of the A658 and A659 is already difficult and sometimes dangerous. Public transport is extremely poor in Pool and the X84 (used by some Pool residents as an alternative if they can walk up the steep hill) is under review. The roads cannot cope with existing traffic, yet these plans could bring up to ,1,000 new cars to the village and the surrounding roads

Pros

Need more housing, especially affordable housing.

Traffic, public transport, lack of shops/facilities, schools full and close to Conservation Area.

Statutory

**WYCA** 

Falls outside 400m of the current core bus network. Consideration needs to be given to if this site would be able to meet the LDF public transport accessibility requirements should housing be brought forward. We agree that these sites would not be suitable for housing development.

Distance to Core Network (Centre of site) Buses Per ur Access to Primary Sools Access to Secondary Schools Access to Primary Health

3738 5 Yes Yes Yes

Comments on phasing

**DPP Allocation** 

Not proposed as housing allocation

**DPP Allocation Conclusion** 

Green Belt site. The site is separated from the existing settlement, so development would be isolated, being located to the north of the A659 Pool Road and is within flood risk zones 2 (medium risk), 3a (high risk) and 3b (functional flood plain)

Site Details							
Easting	424052	Northing	445186	Site area ha	12	SP7	Smaller Settlement Extension
HMCA Outer North West					Ward	Adel and W	/harfedale

#### **Site Characteristics**

Site type Greenfield

On-site land uses

Agriculture

Neighbouring land uses

Agriculture

**Dwellings** 

Other land uses - None

Topogra	phy Sloping	Landscape	Limited Tree Cover
Bounda	ries Partially well-defined	Road front	Yes

Description

Greenfield site to the west of Pool village with frontage to Pool Road. The land rises up southwards towards the Chevin and Old Pool Bank.

#### **Greenbelt Assessment**

#### 1. Check the unresticted sprawl of large built up areas

Would development lead to/cons	titue ribbon development?	No	
Would development result in an	isolated development?	No	
Is the site well connected to the	built up area?	No	
Would development round off th	e settlement?	No	
Is there a good existing barrier between the existing urban area and the undeveloped land?		No	
Unrestricted Sprawl Conclusion	High potential to lead to unrestri	cted sprawl	

#### 2. Prevent neighbouring towns from merging

Nould development lead to physical connection of settlements?	No
Oo features provide boundaries to contain the development?	No
Coalescence Conclusion No merging but there is no defensible be	oundary

#### 3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroahment

Strong defensible boundary between site and urban area	No
Does the site provide access to the countryside	No
Does the site include local/national nature conservation areas (SSSIs	No
Areas of protected/unprotected woodland/trees/hedgerows?	No
Site includes Grade 1, Grade 2 or Grade 3a agricultural land?	Yes
Does the site contain buildings	No
Are these buildings used for agricultural purposes?	No

Encroachment Conclusion | Site does not perform an important role in safeguarding from encroachment

#### 4. Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns

Site within/adjacent to	conservation area/listed building/historical features?	No
Can development pres	erve this character?	
Character Conclusion	No effect on the setting and special character of histor	ic features

# Overall Conclusion from assessment against all 4 purposes of green belt and essential characteristics of openness and permanence

Development of the site would lead to unrestricted sprawl in isolation, however with development of the PAS site (1369) to the east would fill gap between Pool-in-Wharfedale and the industrial site to the west.

SHLAA conclusions					
Availability: Short (=<5 yrs)	Suitability:	LDF to determine	Achievability: Longterm (11+yrs)		
Availability. Oron (=30 y/s)	Sultability.	EDI to determine	Acine vability. Longtonii (111910)		
Summary of infrastructure provider of	comments				
LCC Highways Comments					
Public transport accessibility comments				Rank (1-5)	
Only northern half of site ok for access to prima	ry education. N	No other accessibility criteria met		_ 1	
				•	
Access comments					
Relies on site 1365, Pool Bank Bypass					
				3	
Local network comments					
Local congestion, mitigation required				2	
				_	
Mitigation measures				Total score	
By-pass and off site improvements required					
				6	
Highways site support					
Yes with substantial mitigation					
Contingent on other sites					
Contingent on other sites Yes					
Contingent on other sites					
Yes					
Highways Agency					
Impact Netwo	ork Status				
No objection					
Network Rail					
Yorkshire Water					
Treatment Works Pool					
Foul sewer along western boundary and rising main along road frontage					
<b>Environment Agency</b>					
Constraints					
LCC					
Ecology support Not supported					
Not supported (RED). No site-based designation	ns but includes	unimproved grassland to south	near disused railway corridor.		
Ecology boundary  Supported with mitigation if Red hatched areas	are eveluded a	and houndary amonded as nor dra	wing PM/1005 Mitigation will still be re	auired to	
ensure impacts on adjacent habitats are addres	sed.	ina boundary amended as per dra	wing Nivi/ 1075. Willigation will Still De le	oquireu iu	

### 1095B Old Pool Bank (land at), Pool in Wharfedale, Otley LS21

**Education comments** 

1095B, C and D, 1369 = 500 houses generates 125 primary and 50 secondary children. Small village school – Pool C of E – land would be required to expand the school by 0.5FE

Flood Risk

Flood Zone 1

#### Utilities

Gas

Electric

Fire and Rescue

Telecoms

#### Other

English Heritage

Natural England

#### Planning History Applications since 1/1/2009, covering more than 50% of the site

App Number Proposal Decision % of site

#### Spatial relationships

#### **UDP Designations**

OD: Doorginations		
N32 Greenbelt	99.61	% overlap
N34 PAS	0.00	
RL1 Rural Land	0.00	
N1 Greenspace	0.00	
N1A Allotments	0.00	
N5 Open Space	0.00	
N6 Playing Pitch	0.00	
N8 Urban Green Corridor	0.00	
CC Shopping Quarter	0.00	
UDP City Centre	0.00	
S2S6 Town Centre	0.00	
Proposed Local Centre	0.00	
Overlaps N37 SLA		
Sch. Ancient Mon.	0.00	

## **Core Strategy**

Main Urban Area	0.00	% overlap
Major Settlement	0.00	
Minor Settlement	0.00	
Overlaps Urban Extension	<b>~</b>	

## **Regeneration Areas**

Inner South RA	0.00	% overlap
LB Corridor RA	0.00	
EASEL RA	0.00	
Aire Valley RA	0.00	
West Leeds Gateway	0.00	

### Other Spatial Relationship

LCC ownership %	0.00
LCC OWNERSHIP 70	0.00

## 1095B Old Pool Bank (land at), Pool in Wharfedale, Otley LS21

Nearest train station	Guiseley
Nearest train station distance (m)	5984.13
Nearest bus stop	3823
Nearest bus stop distance (m)	270.67
Agricultural classification	Grade 3
Overlaps SSSI	
Overlaps SEGI	
Overlaps LNA	
Overlaps LNR	
Overlaps Conservation Area	
Overlaps Listed Building	
Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer	
Overlaps Public Right of Way	
Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone	<b>✓</b>
Overlaps EA Flood Zone	
Overlaps HSE Major Hazard	
Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline	<b>~</b>
Overlaps Pot. Contamination	<ul><li>✓</li><li>✓</li></ul>
Overlaps Minerals Safeguard	ed
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded 100	)m

#### 1095B Old Pool Bank (land at), Pool in Wharfedale, Otley LS21

#### Conclusions

Issues and Options Summary

Green Belt site. Potentially suitable for housing as extension to site 1369, however significant highway infrastructure would be required. Development of both sites 1369 and 1095B would significantly increase the size of Pool in Wharfedale. However, this site is essentially a large infill site between existing industry and the PAS site (1369).

Site affects others?

Sustainability summary

Summary of reps

The land contains a number of springs.

Bypass should be considered if planning given

Pool can not cope with traffic congestion today, never mind with an additional 1000 or more cars passing though aour village Do you know there is no direct bus into Leeds?

The sewerage plant is overloaded and floods the riverside.

Access for part onto Old Pool Bank applies as above and access for the other part onto A659 will increase Main Street congestion for Leeds bound traffic. A "rat run" (by-passing Main Street) will be created unless a barrier is created in the middle of the estate. There will be even more congestion at A659/A658 junction. Traffic through the village is heavy and used for large HGVs moving along the narrow, Arthington Lane towards A1. Narrow footpaths add to the danger. Considering the limited bus services for Pool (X84, 757, 963) there will be a high possibility that 500 new homes will mean an extra 500-1000 new cars using the already over congested roads in Pool. Access to the proposed development would lead off Old Pool Bank which is not wide enough for two cars to pass in places. Sites 1095c & 1095d would take away much needed parking for the residents of Chapel Hill Road.

Pool currently has few local amenities these consist of a post office (closes at 5.00 pm), a petrol station (already saturated at peak times), two pubs, a pharmacy and a village hall/sports club. Local amenities would need to be improved if the development was to go ahead and putting amenities in place such as doctors surgery, small supermarket would again take away the character of the village and give the feel of a town. Planning proposals to DEMOLISH EXISTING DWELLINGS and build a small number of new dwellings on pool court arena have recently been rejected by Leeds City Council Many vehicles already use Old Pool Bank as a Rat Run to avoid the Dyneley Arms Traffic Lights so having an access road from a Development of 500 Houses onto this will snarl up totally an already overused and Grossly Unsuitable Road. As the Village is already on the Junction of the very BUSY Bradford - Harrogate Road and is used greatly by Vehicles,particularly Articulated Trucks, coming along another Unsuitable Arthington Lane as a short cut from the Al Motorway, I appreciate there is a need for more housing and would not oppose a small development of affordable housing for local people (those on lower incomes from outside Pool do not want to move here due to poor public transport, few shops and the feeling that Pool is 'out in the country'). We do not need yet more £300,000+ houses to encourage communities who 'visit' the village at night, do not support local shops but cause traffic congestion, pressure on local services such as school, water, sewerage etc whilst pollution from can damage wildlife and general environment.

We have already had 39% increases in housing over last 15 years. The Pool main street (the A658) is narrow and winding and is already very busy. Long queues are already common going up Pool Bank to the A660 intersection. Long waits already at A659 (Pool to Otley road) and A658 junction. The fact that Persimmon Homes have withdrawn commercial interest suggests that the site is unsuitable for this scale of development.

7) Prince Henrys Grammar School is also over-subscribed so there is no local provision for the education of teenagers living in any new development

The A660 is one of the busiest roads in Leeds and the section of Leeds Road between the roundabout with King Lane and the junction with the A658 is particularly heavy with traffic due to commuters using this route coming from NW Leeds (Yeadon, etc.) to NE Leeds (Alwoodley) and beyond. Any additional development the adds to this section of the A660 will make access to roads in Bramhope extremely difficult.

Anti - 17 people suggested the development would impact on tourism.

Utilities - Most people were concerned with the impact on a high pressure gas pipeline located under the site. Other concerns include sewerage and the water supply.

Alternative approach - 13 people suggested developing the sites at Alwoodley Gates instead, suggested these were more sustainable. One suggestion to develop in Bramhope.

One comment who was against the scale of development but would not oppose a small development of affordable housing. One comment regarding subsidence and piling: local housing extensions have required additional costs for piling to over 10m in depth. Noise / pollution / rubbish concerns.

No amenities for the elderly

Pro - Young people need more housing and many young people won't comment - most comments will be from elderly residents who already have homes

Site assessment suggests that the nearest railway station is Guiseley - nearest station is Weeton, good public transport between Pool, Weeton and beyond to Harrogate, providing a good connection in this regard. Also, there is an established and very regular direct bus service that connects Pool directly with Menston station.

Close to employment.

Statutory

WYCA

Falls outside 400m of the current core bus network. Consideration needs to be given to if this site would be able to meet the LDF public transport accessibility requirements should housing be brought forward. We are be minded to recommend that these site were not prioritised for housing until other more accessible site have been considered. Sites which fall marginally outside the 400m catchments and have high bus frequencies or are small low capacity sites are less of concern but are flagged up nonetheless.

3738m to core bus network, 5 buses per hour, within 20 mins to primary school, 30 mins to secondary school and 20 mins to primary health.

#### 1095B Old Pool Bank (land at), Pool in Wharfedale, Otley LS21

Comments on phasing	
DPP Allocation	
Safeguarded land (PAS)	
DPP Allocation Conclusion	
Green Belt site. Combine with site 1	1369 as PAS site to meet numbers/highway viability for relief road.

#### 1095C Old Pool Bank (land at), Pool in Wharfedale, Otley LS21

Site Details								
Easting	424615	Northing	444945	Site area ha		0.1	SP7	Smaller Settlement Infill
HMCA	Outer North	n West				Ward	Adel and W	Vharfedale
Site Characteristics								
Site type Brownfield								
On-site land uses								
Dwellings								

Neighbouring land uses

Dwellings

Other land uses - None

Topography	Flat	Landscape	Limited Tree Cover
Boundaries	Partially well-defined	Road front	Yes

Description

Existing residential property enclosed by stone all at the junction of Pool Bank and Old Pool Bank within the Conservation Area.

#### **Greenbelt Assessment - Not Required**

#### 1. Check the unresticted sprawl of large built up areas

Would development lead to/cons				
Would development result in an i				
Is the site well connected to the built up area?				
Would development round off the settlement?				
Is there a good existing barrier between the existing urban area and the undeveloped land?				
Unrestricted Sprawl Conclusion				

#### 2. Prevent neighbouring towns from merging

Would development lead		
Do features provide bound		
Coalescence Conclusion		

#### 3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroahment

Strong defensible boundary between site and urban area	
Does the site provide access to the countryside	
Does the site include local/national nature conservation areas (SSSIs	
Areas of protected/unprotected woodland/trees/hedgerows?	
Site includes Grade 1, Grade 2 or Grade 3a agricultural land?	
Does the site contain buildings	
Are these buildings used for agricultural purposes?	

Encroachment Conclusion

#### 4. Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns

a.		
Site within/adjacent to		
Can development pres		
Character Conclusion		

Overall Conclusion from assessment against all 4 purposes of green belt and essential characteristics of openness and permanence

CI	characteristics of openiness and permanence					

to expand the school by 0.5FE

## 1095C Old Pool Bank (land at), Pool in Wharfedale, Otley LS21 **SHLAA** conclusions Availability: Short (=<5 yrs) Suitability: LDF to determine Achievability: Longterm (11+yrs) Summary of infrastructure provider comments **LCC Highways Comments** Public transport accessibility comments Rank (1-5) Accessible to primary education. No other accessibility criteria met 1 Access comments Land required for by-pass access 1 Local network comments Local congestion, mitigation required 2 Total score Mitigation measures By-pass and off site improvements required 4 Highways site support No Contingent on other sites Yes Contingent on other sites **Highways Agency Impact Network Status** No objection **Network Rail Yorkshire Water** Treatment Works Pool Private highway drain and outfall and water mains in eastern part of site **Environment Agency** FZ 2 & 3 Constraints The majority of this site is classified as functional floodplain in the Leeds SFRA. As such, only 'water compatible' development and 'essential infrastructure' are appropriate in this area. LCC Ecology support Ecology boundary Education comments

1095B, C and D, 1369 = 500 houses generates 125 primary and 50 secondary children. Small village school - Pool C of E - land would be required

#### 1095C Old Pool Bank (land at), Pool in Wharfedale, Otley LS21

Flood Risk	
Flood Zone 1	
Utilities	
Gas	
Electric	
Fire and Rescue	
Telecoms	
Other	
English Heritage	
Natural England	
Planning History	Applications since 1/1/2009, covering more than 50% of the site

**App Number** Proposal Decision % of site

#### Spatial relationships

UDP Designations		
N32 Greenbelt	0.00	% overlap
N34 PAS	0.00	
RL1 Rural Land	0.00	
N1 Greenspace	0.00	
N1A Allotments	0.00	
N5 Open Space	0.00	
N6 Playing Pitch	0.00	
N8 Urban Green Corridor	0.00	
CC Shopping Quarter	0.00	
UDP City Centre	0.00	
S2S6 Town Centre	0.00	
Proposed Local Centre	0.00	
Overlaps N37 SLA		
Sch. Ancient Mon.	0.00	

#### **Core Strategy**

Main Urban Area	0.00	% overlap
Major Settlement	0.00	
Minor Settlement	1.00	
Overlaps Urban Extension	<b>✓</b>	

## **Regeneration Areas**

Inner South RA	0.00	% overlap
LB Corridor RA	0.00	
EASEL RA	0.00	
Aire Valley RA	0.00	
West Leeds Gateway	0.00	

#### Other Spatial Relationship

LCC ownership %		0.00
Nearest train station		Horsforth
Nearest train station distance (m)		5808.93
Nearest bus stop		1373
Nearest bus stop distance (m)		136.67

#### 1095C Old Pool Bank (land at), Pool in Wharfedale, Otley LS21

Agricultural classification	Grade 3
Overlaps SSSI	
Overlaps SEGI	
Overlaps LNA	
Overlaps LNR	
Overlaps Conservation Area	<b>✓</b>
Overlaps Listed Building	
Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer	
Overlaps Public Right of Way	
Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone	
Overlaps EA Flood Zone	
Overlaps HSE Major Hazard	
Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline	
Overlaps Pot. Contamination	
Overlaps Minerals Safeguard	ed
Overlans Minerals Safeguarded 100	)m 🗀

#### 1095C Old Pool Bank (land at), Pool in Wharfedale, Otley LS21

#### Conclusions

Issues and Options Summary

Brownfield site, within the conservation area. Would be required for highways access if PAS site 1369 developed. The site is too small (under the 0.4ha threshold) to be allocated for housing in its own right.

Site affects others?

Sustainability summary

Summary of reps

Traffic and access for 1000 more cars would cause more congestion on Main Street and Old Pool Bank is too narrow No local employment making commuting on overcrowded roads.

No doctors surgery. No dental practice. No shops.

Main Street in Pool village is the connection for 2 main roads, the A658 and A659, and carries very heavy traffic for most of the day. The mix of this traffic is mainly cars, but also a considerable number of large commercial vehicles, and some of an agricultural nature. Main Street is quite narrow for a road, and reflects the time of its construction – 18th/19th century, and was certainly not meant to serve the traffic of today. It also possesses the village's only Post Office and Pharmacy, and also serves as a bus route with 4 stops, all within 100 yds approx Old Pool Bank also being a notorious "rat-run" for motorists wanting to avoid the Dynley Road crossroads (A658/A650)

Examples of the already failing infrastructure are a very busy arterial road (A660) which experiences long queues up Pool Bank every rush hour and further into the city there are severe traffic congestion issues on this road in Headingley. The village primary school is this year (September 2013) going to be unable to take in any children who live more than a half a mile from the school and there is an unreliable bus service (X84). There is also currently no Health Centre at all for the existing Pool residents.

Considering the limited bus services for Pool (X84, 757, 963) there will be a high possibility that 500 new homes will mean an extra 500-1000 new cars using the already over congested roads in Pool.

Planning proposals to DEMOLISH EXISTING DWELLINGS and build a small number of new

dwellings on pool court arena have recently been rejected by Leeds City Council

7) Prince Henrys Grammar School is also over-subscribed so there is no local provision for the education of teenagers living in any new development

The main road through Pool is a main route from Leeds to Harrogate with HGV making Pool a 'rat run' from the A1 to the M62.

The argument for a need to provide new housing to meet housing shortages is a red herring. Pool is a very expensive area that attracts property at the highest premium. It is part of the so called golden triangle of expensive areas in west yorkshire. In this respect pool is not the place to provide social housing and is not the place for development.

Pros

There is a need for more housing, especially affordable housing.

Anti

General opposition to development of site (1095b,c,d). Reasons include transport congestion

Statutory

WYCA

Falls outside 400m of the current core bus network. Consideration needs to be given to if this site would be able to meet the LDF public transport accessibility requirements should housing be brought forward. We are be minded to recommend that these site were not prioritised for housing until other more accessible site have been considered. Sites which fall marginally outside the 400m catchments and have high bus frequencies or are small low capacity sites are less of concern but are flagged up nonetheless.

3738m to core bus network, 5 buses per hour, within 20 mins to primary school, 30 mins to secondary school and 20 mins to primary health.

Comments on phasing

**DPP Allocation** 

Not proposed as housing allocation

**DPP Allocation Conclusion** 

Not proposed for allocation unless needed for access to site 1369.

#### 1095D Old Pool Bank (land at), Pool in Wharfedale, Otley LS21

Site Details								
Easting	424628	Northing	444900	Site area ha	0.1		SP7	Smaller Settlement Infill
HMCA	Outer North	West			W	'ard	Adel and W	Vharfedale
Site Characteristics Site type Brownfield								
On-site land uses  Dwellings								

Neighbouring land uses Dwellings

Other land uses - None

Topography	Flat	Landscape	Limited Tree Cover
Boundaries	Partially well-defined	Road front	Yes

Description

Existing residential property enclosed by stone all at the junction of Pool Bank and Old Pool Bank within the Conservation Area.

#### **Greenbelt Assessment - Not Required**

#### 1. Check the unresticted sprawl of large built up areas

Would development lead to/cons		
Would development result in an i		
Is the site well connected to the built up area?		
Would development round off the		
Is there a good existing barrier b and the undeveloped land?		
Unrestricted Sprawl Conclusion		

#### 2. Prevent neighbouring towns from merging

Would development lead				
Do features provide bound				
Coalescence Conclusion				

#### 3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroahment

Strong defensible boundary between site and urban area	
Does the site provide access to the countryside	
Does the site include local/national nature conservation areas (SSSIs	
Areas of protected/unprotected woodland/trees/hedgerows?	
Site includes Grade 1, Grade 2 or Grade 3a agricultural land?	
Does the site contain buildings	
Are these buildings used for agricultural purposes?	

**Encroachment Conclusion** 

#### 4. Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns

Site within/adjacent to conservation area/listed building/historical features?		
Can development preserve this character?		
Character Conclusion		

Overall Conclusion from assessment against all 4 purposes of green belt and essential

characteristics of openness and permanence				

to expand the school by 0.5FE

## 1095D Old Pool Bank (land at), Pool in Wharfedale, Otley LS21 **SHLAA** conclusions Achievability: Longterm (11+yrs) Availability: Short (=<5 yrs) Suitability: LDF to determine Summary of infrastructure provider comments **LCC Highways Comments** Public transport accessibility comments Rank (1-5) Accessible to primary education. No other accessibility criteria met 1 Access comments Land required for by-pass access 1 Local network comments Local congestion, mitigation required 2 Total score Mitigation measures By-pass and off site improvements required 4 Highways site support No Contingent on other sites Yes Contingent on other sites **Highways Agency Network Status** Impact No objection **Network Rail Yorkshire Water** Pool Treatment Works **Environment Agency** Constraints LCC Ecology support Ecology boundary **Education comments** 1095B, C and D, 1369 = 500 houses generates 125 primary and 50 secondary children. Small village school - Pool C of E - land would be required

#### 1095D Old Pool Bank (land at), Pool in Wharfedale, Otley LS21

	Tool Built (land at), Tool in Whatredale, Otley 2021
Flood Risk	
Flood Zone 1	
Utilities	
Gas	
Electric	
Fire and Rescue	
Telecoms	
Other	
English Heritage	
Natural England	
Planning History	Applications since 1/1/2009, covering more than 50% of the site

#### Spatial relationships

**App Number** 

<b>UDP Designations</b>		
N32 Greenbelt	0.00	% overlap
N34 PAS	0.00	
RL1 Rural Land	0.00	
N1 Greenspace	0.00	
N1A Allotments	0.00	
N5 Open Space	0.00	
N6 Playing Pitch	0.00	
N8 Urban Green Corridor	0.00	
CC Shopping Quarter	0.00	
UDP City Centre	0.00	
S2S6 Town Centre	0.00	
Proposed Local Centre	0.00	
Overlaps N37 SLA		
Sch. Ancient Mon.	0.00	

Proposal

## Core Strategy

Main Urban Area	0.00	% overlap
Major Settlement	0.00	
Minor Settlement	1.00	
Overlaps Urban Extension	<b>✓</b>	

Decision

% of site

% overlap

#### **Regeneration Areas**

Inner South RA	0.00
LB Corridor RA	0.00
EASEL RA	0.00
Aire Valley RA	0.00
West Leeds Gateway	0.00

#### Other Spatial Relationship

LCC ownership %	0.00
Nearest train station	Horsforth
Nearest train station distance (m)	5764.71
Nearest bus stop	1373
Nearest bus stop distance (m)	92.70

Agricultural classification Grade 3

#### 1095D Old Pool Bank (land at), Pool in Wharfedale, Otley LS21

Overlaps SSSI	
Overlaps SEGI	
Overlaps LNA	
Overlaps LNR	
Overlaps Conservation Area	<b>✓</b>
Overlaps Listed Building	
Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer	
Overlaps Public Right of Way	$\Box$
Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone	
Overlaps EA Flood Zone	Ē
Overlaps HSE Major Hazard	$\Box$
Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline	
Overlaps Pot. Contamination	~
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarde	ed
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded 100	m

#### 1095D Old Pool Bank (land at), Pool in Wharfedale, Otley LS21

#### Conclusions

Issues and Options Summary

Brownfield site, within the conservation area. Would be required for highways access if PAS site 1369 developed. The site is too small (under the 0.4ha threshold) to be allocated for housing in its own right.

Site affects others?

Sustainability summary

Summary of reps

Pool can not cope with traffic congestion today, never mind with an additional 1000 or more cars passing though our village More traffic would use Old Pool Bank to miss the Dynley Arms traffic lights this would be a danger area as the road is too narrow Old Pool Bank is already used as a "rat run" to link traffic to the Harrogate Road, the lane is narrow and was never intended to take the volume of traffic including lorries, 4x4

vehicles and white van men.

This is an old house within the Pool in Wharfedale Conservation Area. As such, it cannot be demolished without community consensus. Examples of the already failing infrastructure are a very busy arterial road ( A660) which experiences long queues up Pool Bank every rush hour and further into the city there are severe traffic congestion issues on this road in Headingley. The village primary school is this year (September 2013) going to be unable to take in any children who live more than a half a mile from the school and there is an unreliable bus service (X84). There is also currently no Health Centre at all for the existing Pool residents.

At least 2 of these properties on this land are listed buildings.

The land above the proposed development is unstable and considerable movement has been seen over the years. It is in danger of flooding and landslip from higher land on the Chevin.

Considering the limited bus services for Pool (X84, 757, 963) there will be a high possibility that 500 new homes will mean an extra 500-1000 new cars using the already over congested roads in Pool.5. COVENANTS/CONSERVATION AREA Information from Senior Conservation Officer in Leeds:

A. It would be difficult, if not impossible to demolish buildings within the Conservation Area (1095C & 1095D) especially if one were old (one is dated pre- 1850). The developer would be legally required to submit a request to demolish together with justification for this. Only if there were overwhelming approval by the public for their demolition would consideration be made.

B. Contrary to advice given recently by Local Councillors, it IS possible to impose the requirements of covenanted land, in this case not to erect or cause to be erected any buildings in certain fields. (part of 1369, in fact field nos.112 and 112a).

Accessing the main A658 in the centre of Pool village is a nightmare.

Conservation area containing property listed in conservation document, therefore cannot demolish.

No needs assessment done by LCC

Population not increasing as expected.

7) Prince Henrys Grammar School is also over-subscribed so there is no local provision for the education of teenagers living in any new development

The use of Old Pool Bank and the surrounding narrow roads that lead to the A660 are already rat runs and this would increase.

Social housing is needed which would not be affordable in this part of Leeds

To develop them goes against Spatial Policy 6 item iii & vii

The fact that Persimmon Homes have withdrawn commercial interest suggests that the site is unsuitable for this scale of development Flood mitigation work could make the development of the site excessively expensive and not viable to any potential developer. The A660 is one of the busiest roads in Leeds and the section of Leeds Road between the roundabout with King Lane and the junction with the A658 is particularly heavy with traffic due to commuters using this route coming from NW Leeds (Yeadon, etc.) to NE Leeds (Alwoodley) and beyond.

Pool-in-wharfedale and surrounding infratructure e.g. roundabout by White Hart and crossroads to airport is already at bursting point. Pool is effectively an access road to the Leeds Bradford airport with traffic hold ups increasingly likely as a result of increased congestion in Pool - reducing attractiveness of LBA airport

There are also thousands of empty properties across the City and the wider country and much more should be done to bring these back into use.

#### Pros

There is a need for housing especially affordable housing.

#### Anti

Specific comments in relation to site 1095D relate to the listed building status of the existing property and objections to the demolition of the property. The majority of comments raised concerns to the wider site area of 1095B, including traffic congestion, the unsuitability of Old Pool Bank to accommodate large volumes of traffic; infrastructure; flood risk; and impact on the character of the area.

#### Statutory

**WYCA** 

Falls outside 400m of the current core bus network. Consideration needs to be given to if this site would be able to meet the LDF public transport accessibility requirements should housing be brought forward. We are be minded to recommend that these site were not prioritised for housing until other more accessible site have been considered. Sites which fall marginally outside the 400m catchments and have high bus frequencies or are small low capacity sites are less of concern but are flagged up nonetheless.

3738m to core bus network, 5 buses per hour, within 20 mins to primary school, 30 mins to secondary school and 20 mins to primary health.

Comments on phasing

#### 1095D Old Pool Bank (land at), Pool in Wharfedale, Otley LS21

DPP Allocation

Not proposed as housing allocation

**DPP Allocation Conclusion** 

Not proposed for allocation unless needed for access to site 1369.

#### 1101 Weston Lane and Green Lane (land off), Otley

Site Deta	Site Details								
Easting	419560	Northing	446128	Site area ha	2.5	SP7	Major Settlement Extension		
HMCA	HMCA Outer North West			Ward	Otley and Y	- /eadon			

#### **Site Characteristics**

Site type Greenfield

On-site land uses

Agriculture

Neighbouring land uses

Dwellings

Education

Agriculture

Other land uses - None

Topography	Flat	Landscape	Limited Tree Cover
Boundaries	Existing well defined	Road front	Yes

Description

Flat greenfield site on the south side of Weston Lane, crossed by driveway to Ashfield House.

#### **Greenbelt Assessment**

#### 1. Check the unresticted sprawl of large built up areas

Would development lead to/const	titue ribbon development?	No
Would development result in an is	No	
Is the site well connected to the built up area?		Yes
Would development round off the settlement?		No
Is there a good existing barrier be and the undeveloped land?	etween the existing urban area	Yes
Unrestricted Sprawl Conclusion	High potential to lead to unrestri	cted sprawl

#### 2. Prevent neighbouring towns from merging

Would development lead	to physical connection of settlements?	No
Do features provide boundaries to contain the development?		No
Coalescence Conclusion	No merging but there is no defensible bo	undary

#### 3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroahment

Strong defensible boundary between site and urban area	Yes
Does the site provide access to the countryside	No
Does the site include local/national nature conservation areas (SSSIs	No
Areas of protected/unprotected woodland/trees/hedgerows?	No
Site includes Grade 1, Grade 2 or Grade 3a agricultural land?	Yes
Does the site contain buildings	No
Are these buildings used for agricultural purposes?	No

Encroachment Conclusion Site does not perform an important role in safeguarding from encroachment

#### 4. Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns

Site within/adjacent to conservation area/listed building/historical features?		No	
Can development preserve this character?			
Character Conclusion	No effect on the setting and special character of histor	ic features	

#### 1101 Weston Lane and Green Lane (land off), Otley

# Overall Conclusion from assessment against all 4 purposes of green belt and essential characteristics of openness and permanence

Green Belt site. Development would represent a significant incursion into Green Belt and would set a precedent for further sprawl to the west.

SHLAA conclusions						
Availability: Short (:	5 vrs)	Suitability	LDF to determine	Achievahility:	Longterm (11+yrs)	
Availability. Onoit (	=<0 yi3)	Suitability.	EDI to determine	Acilie vability.	Longtonn (111yis)	
Summary of infra	astructure provider	comments				
LCC Highways Com	ments					
Public transport acces	sibility comments				Rank (1-	5)
Site ok for health and	education and some loca	l services but F	Public Transport Core St	rategy standards not met	2	
Access comments						
Access achievable with	h mitigation (trees could b	e problem)				
					4	
Local network comme	ents es, north of the river bridg	e in Otlev				1
zoda congocion locae	50, 1.0. ti. 0. ti. 0 1.10. 2.10g	o ooy			<b>3</b>	
						l
Mitigation measures					Total sco	re
Unknown at this stage	9				9	
Highways site support	t					
no						
Contingent on other si	ites					
no						
Contingent on other si	ites					
no						
Highways Agency						
Impact No material	impact Netwo	ork Status	No objection			
n/a						
Network Rail	]					
	1					
Yorkshire Water						
Treatment Works	Otley	6 11				
Private water supply p	oipe runs through centre o	of site				
<b>Environment Agend</b>	су					
Constraints						
FZ1 over1 ha. See co	mments in main text of o	ur response.				
1.00	1					
LCC	Supported					
Ecology support Supported	Supported					

# 1101 Weston Lane and Green Lane (land off), Otley Ecology boundary

Education comments

Flood Risk

Flood Zone 1

Utilities

Gas

Electric

Fire and Rescue

Telecoms

Other

English Heritage

Natural England

Planning History Applications since 1/1/2009, covering more than 50% of the site

App Number Proposal Decision % of site

#### Spatial relationships

**UDP Designations** 

#### N32 Greenbelt 98.66 % overlap N34 PAS 0.00 **RL1 Rural Land** 0.00 0.00 N1 Greenspace N1A Allotments 0.00 N5 Open Space 0.00 N6 Playing Pitch 0.00 N8 Urban Green Corridor 0.00 CC Shopping Quarter 0.00 **UDP City Centre** 0.00 S2S6 Town Centre 0.00

CO	re	Sι	ra	te	9У

Main Urban Area	0.00	% overlap
Major Settlement	1.00	
Minor Settlement	0.00	
Overlaps Urban Extension	· •	

#### **Regeneration Areas**

Inner South RA	0.00	% overlap
LB Corridor RA	0.00	
EASEL RA	0.00	
Aire Valley RA	0.00	
West Leeds Gateway	0.00	

#### Other Spatial Relationship

Proposed Local Centre

Overlaps N37 SLA
Sch. Ancient Mon.

LCC ownership %	0.00
-----------------	------

0.00

0.00

#### 1101 Weston Lane and Green Lane (land off), Otley

Nearest train station		Guiseley
Nearest train station distance	(m)	3976.56
Nearest bus s	top	10827
Nearest bus stop distance	(m)	122.98
Agricultural classificat	ion	Urban
Overlaps S	SSI	
Overlaps S	EGI	
Overlaps I	NA	
Overlaps I	NR	
Overlaps Conservation A	rea	
Overlaps Listed Build	ling	
Overlaps Strat. Employment bu	ffer	
Overlaps Public Right of V	Vay	
Overlaps SFRA Flood Z	one	
Overlaps EA Flood Z	one	
Overlaps HSE Major Haz	ard	
Overlaps HSE Gas Pipe	line	
Overlaps Pot. Contamina	ion	
Overlaps Minerals Safegu	uard	ed
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded	100	)m

Comments on phasing

**DPP Allocation Conclusion** 

Not proposed as housing allocation

DPP Allocation

#### 1101 Weston Lane and Green Lane (land off), Otley

Conclusions	
Issues and Options Summary	
	d represent a significant incursion into Green Belt and would set a precedent for further sprawl to the Otley river bridge and capacity through town centre. Poor accessibility to public transport.
Site affects others?	
Sustainability summary	
Summary of reps	
Pros None	
Anti Objections to development include t	traffic congestion and green belt impact.
	Tame congestion and green per impact.
Statutory WYCA	
Fall outside 400m of the current cor transport accessibility requirements development.	re bus network. Consideration needs to be given to if this site would be able to meet the LDF public should housing be brought forward. We agree that these sites would not be suitable for housing
951 to core bus network, 5 buses pe	er hour, within 20 mins to primary school, 30 mins to secondary school and 20 mins to primary health.

Green Belt site. Development would represent a significant incursion into the Green Belt and would set a precedent for further urban sprawl to the west. Highway concerns regarding Otley river bridge and capacity through town centre. Poor accessibility to public transport.

#### 1122 Mill Lane - Garnetts Paper Mill, Otley

Site Deta	ails						
Easting	420652	Northing	445987	Site area ha	9.9	SP7	Major Settlement Infill
HMCA	HMCA Outer North West		Ward	Otley and \	/eadon		

#### **Site Characteristics**

Sito typo	Prownfield
Site type	Brownfield

On-site land uses - None

Adjacent land uses - None

Other land uses - None

Topography	Landscape	
Boundaries	Road front	No

Descri	ption

#### **Greenbelt Assessment - Not Required**

#### 1. Check the unresticted sprawl of large built up areas

Would development lead to/cons		
Would development result in an i		
Is the site well connected to the built up area?		
Would development round off the settlement?		
Is there a good existing barrier between the existing urban area and the undeveloped land?		
Unrestricted Sprawl Conclusion		

#### 2. Prevent neighbouring towns from merging

Would development lead		
Do features provide boundaries to contain the development?		
Coalescence Conclusion		

#### 3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroahment

Strong defensible boundary		
Does the site provide acces		
Does the site include local/	national nature conservation areas (SSSIs	
Areas of protected/unprote		
Site includes Grade 1, Grad		
Does the site contain buildings		
Are these buildings used fo		
Encroachment Conclusion		

#### 4. Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns

Site within/adjacent to conservation area/listed building/historical features?		
Can development pres		
Character Conclusion		

Overall Conclusion from assessment against all 4 purposes of green belt and essential characteristics of openness and permanence

## 1122 Mill Lane - Garnetts Paper Mill, Otley **SHLAA** conclusions Availability: Short (=<5 yrs) Suitability: LDF to determine Achievability: Short (=<5yrs) Summary of infrastructure provider comments **LCC Highways Comments** Public transport accessibility comments Rank (1-5) Large part of site outside accessibility markers, brownfield site edge of town centre location 2 Access comments Mitigation required, previous approval 09/04287/RM 4 Local network comments Unsuitable local network but mitigation possible 2 Total score Mitigation measures Previously agreed UTC camera and other measures, brownfield site also 8 Highways site support yes with mitigation Contingent on other sites Contingent on other sites no **Highways Agency** Impact No material impact No objection **Network Status** n/a **Network Rail Yorkshire Water** Treatment Works **Environment Agency** Constraints LCC Supported with mitigation **Ecology support** Supported with mitigation to protect and enhance riverside wildlife corridor - River Wharfe SEGI. Retain a minimum 20 metre corridor alongside the River Wharfe. Otters, bats and Water Voles to consider Ecology boundary **Education comments**

#### 1122 Mill Lane - Garnetts Paper Mill, Otley

1122 IVIIII L	ane - Garnetts Paper Mill, Otley
Flood Risk	
Utilities	
Gas	
Electric	
Fire and Rescue	
Telecoms	
au.	
Other	
English Heritage	
Natural England	

Planning History	Applications since 1/1/2009, covering more than 50% of the site		
App Number	Proposal	Decision	% of site
14/03148/COND	Consent, agreement or approval required by conditions 1, 4, 5, 8, 9, 13, 14, 20, 21, 35, 36, 37, 40, 43, 45, 52, 53 and 55 of Planning Application 08/02079/OT		94
14/03147/COND	Consent, agreement or approval required by condition 3 of Planning Application 09/04287/RM	R	94
10/03695/FU	Laying out of access road	Α	85
13/03883/COND	Consent, agreement or approval required by conditions 3, 5, 7, 8, 10, 12 and 13 of Planning Application 10/03695/FU	SPL	85
13/03885/COND	Consent, agreement or approval required by conditions 4, 5, 12, 13, 20, 22, 24, 26, 31, 33, 34, 35, 46, 40, 43, 45, 46, 47, 51, 52, 61, 63, 65 and 66 of Planning Application 08/02079/OT		94
14/03146/COND	Consent, agreement or approval required by conditions 5, 12 and 13 of Planning Application 10/03695/FU	Α	85
09/04287/RM	Reserved matters application for laying out of access roads and erection of 138 dwellings, 21 flats in 3 blocks, 41 retirement apartments, 2 storey office block and alterations and extensions to mill building to form 36 flats and 1 office unit and change of use of building to bar/ restaurant and 20 space public car park, greenspace and landscaping	A	94
13/03503/COND	Consent, agreement or approval required by conditions 37, 38, 39 and 50 of Planning Application 08/02079/OT	SPL	94
13/05118/COND	Consent, agreement or approval required by conditions 6, 9, 27, 59 and 60 of Planning Application 08/02079/OT		94
09/04881/OT	Outline Application to layout for mixed use development comprising of residential (new build and conversion) office development (new build and conversion) retirement complex, restaurant and public open space	w	94
13/03884/COND	Consent, agreement or approval required by conditions 3, 10, 15 and 21 of Planning Application 09/04287/RM	SPL	94

#### 1122 Mill Lane - Garnetts Paper Mill, Otley

#### Spatial relationships

<b>UDP Designations</b>		
N32 Greenbelt	0.53	% overlap
N34 PAS	0.00	
RL1 Rural Land	0.00	
N1 Greenspace	1.36	
N1A Allotments	0.00	
N5 Open Space	0.00	
N6 Playing Pitch	0.00	
N8 Urban Green Corridor	0.00	
CC Shopping Quarter	0.00	
UDP City Centre	0.00	
S2S6 Town Centre	0.00	
Proposed Local Centre	0.00	
Overlaps N37 SLA		
Sch. Ancient Mon.	0.00	

Core	Strategy

Main Urban Area	0.00	% overlap
Major Settlement	1.00	
Minor Settlement	0.00	
Overlaps Urban Extension	<b>✓</b>	

% overlap

## **Regeneration Areas**

Inner South RA	0.00
LB Corridor RA	0.00
EASEL RA	0.00
Aire Valley RA	0.00
West Leeds Gateway	0.00

#### Other Spatial Relationship

LCC ownership % 8.18 Nearest train station Guiseley Nearest train station distance (m) 4178.23 1005 Nearest bus stop 340.40 Nearest bus stop distance (m)

Overlaps SSSI	
Overlaps SEGI	<b>✓</b>
Overlaps LNA	
Overlaps LNR	
Overlaps Conservation Area	<b>✓</b>
Overlaps Listed Building	
Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer	
Overlaps Public Right of Way	<b>✓</b>
Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone	<b>✓</b>
Overlaps EA Flood Zone	<b>✓</b>
Overlaps HSE Major Hazard	
Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline	
Overlaps Pot. Contamination	<b>✓</b>
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded	

•	
Agricultural classification (	Jrban
, ig. iouiturai olassiiisatisii	
Overlaps SSSI	
Overlaps SEGI	<b>✓</b>
Overlaps LNA	
Overlaps LNR	
Overlaps Conservation Area	✓
Overlaps Listed Building	
Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer	
Overlaps Public Right of Way	<b>✓</b>
Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone	<u> </u>
Overlaps EA Flood Zone	<b>~</b>
Overlaps HSE Major Hazard	
Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline	
Overlaps Pot. Contamination	<u></u>
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarde	d D
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded 100r	

#### 1122 Mill Lane - Garnetts Paper Mill, Otley

Conclusions	
Issues and Options Summary	
Site affects others?	
Sustainability summary	
Summary of reps	
Limited number of commments. On No statutory comments as lime gree	e supportive of development on brownfield land and objection due to impact on local services. en site.
Comments on phasing	
DPP Allocation	
Identified housing site with mixed u	ises
DPP Allocation Conclusion	
Site suitable for residential develop	ment with offices.

#### 1179 Low Pasture Farm (land at), off Bradford Road, Otley

Site Details
--------------

Easting	418906	Northing	444575	Site area ha	4.9	SP7	Major Settlement Extension
HMCA	Outer North	ı West		Ward	Otley and Yeadon		

#### **Site Characteristics**

Site type Greenfield

On-site land uses

Agriculture

Dwellings

Neighbouring land uses

Agriculture

Dwellings

Unmanaged Forest

Other land uses - None

Topography	Sloping	Landscape	Limited Tree Cover
Boundaries	Poorly defined	Road front	No

Description

Linear site on the south western boundary of Otley abutted by the Chevin. Limited highway access.

#### **Greenbelt Assessment**

#### 1. Check the unresticted sprawl of large built up areas

Would development lead to/constitue ribbon d	No	
Would development result in an isolated devel	Yes	
Is the site well connected to the built up area?	No	
Would development round off the settlement?	No	
Is there a good existing barrier between the existing urban area and the undeveloped land?		No
Unrestricted Sprawl Conclusion High potential to lead to unrestric		ted sprawl

#### 2. Prevent neighbouring towns from merging

	Would development lead	to physical connection of settlements?	No	
Do features provide boundaries to contain the development?		No		
Coalescence Conclusion No merging but there is no defensible bottom.		ındary		

#### 3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroahment

Strong defensible boundary between site and urban area	No
Does the site provide access to the countryside	Yes
Does the site include local/national nature conservation areas (SSSIs	No
Areas of protected/unprotected woodland/trees/hedgerows?	No
Site includes Grade 1, Grade 2 or Grade 3a agricultural land?	Yes
Does the site contain buildings	Yes
Are these buildings used for agricultural purposes?	Yes

Encroachment Conclusion | Site performs an important role in safeguarding from encroachment

#### 4. Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns

Site within/adjacent to	No		
Can development pres			
Character Conclusion No effect on the setting and special character of historic features			

#### 1179 Low Pasture Farm (land at), off Bradford Road, Otley

Overall Conclusion from assessment against all 4 purposes of green belt and essential characteristics of openness and permanence

Green Belt site, unrelated to the existing settlement of Otley. Development would extend development south of Otley and set a precedent for sprawl.

SHLAA conclusions					
Availability: Short (:	5 vrs)	Suitability:	Unquitable	Achievability:	Uncertain
Availability. Short (	=<5 yis)	Suitability.	Offsultable	Achievability.	Officertain
Summary of infra	astructure provider o	comments			
LCC Highways Com					
Public transport acces					Rank (1-5)
	yment and Public Transpo	rt. Average acc	ess to local service	es	
	,	9			<b>─</b> 2
Access comments					
No frontages with add	opted highway				1
					I
Local nativery commo	nto				
Local network comme Possible cummulative					
	mpact m resar area				4
Mitigation measures					Total score
needs adjacent land/d	lwelling for access, improv	ements to PT			7
					7
112-1					
Highways site support					
Contingent on other s	itos				
no	ites				
Contingent on other s	ites				
no	'				
Highways Agency					
Impact No material	impact Netwo	ork Status	No objection		
Network Rail					
	1				
Yorkshire Water	Otlan				
Treatment Works	Otley	n houndary			
i wo curverted waterd	ourses along cross norther	11 DOULIUALY			
<b>Environment Agend</b>	су				
Constraints					
FZ1 over1 ha. See co	mments in main text of ou	ır response.			
	1				
LCC	Cupported				
Ecology support Supported	Supported				

#### 1179 Low Pasture Farm (land at), off Bradford Road, Otley

Ecology boundary

**Education comments** 

Flood Risk

Flood Zone 1

Utilities

Gas

Electric

Fire and Rescue

Telecoms

Other

English Heritage

Natural England

**Planning History** Applications since 1/1/2009, covering more than 50% of the site

**App Number Proposal** Decision % of site

#### Spatial relationships

## **UDP Designations**

N32 Greenbelt 100.00 % overlap N34 PAS 0.00 **RL1 Rural Land** 0.00 0.00 N1 Greenspace N1A Allotments 0.00 N5 Open Space 0.00 N6 Playing Pitch 0.00 N8 Urban Green Corridor 0.00 CC Shopping Quarter 0.00 **UDP City Centre** 0.00 S2S6 Town Centre 0.00 Proposed Local Centre 0.00 Overlaps N37 SLA ✓ Sch. Ancient Mon. 0.00

#### **Core Strategy**

Main Urban Area	0.00	% overlap
Major Settlement	0.00	
Minor Settlement	0.00	
Overlaps Urban Extension	<b>✓</b>	

#### **Regeneration Areas**

Inner South RA	0.00	% overlap
LB Corridor RA	0.00	
EASEL RA	0.00	
Aire Valley RA	0.00	
West Leeds Gateway	0.00	

#### Other Spatial Relationship

LCC ownership %	0.00
-----------------	------

#### 1179 Low Pasture Farm (land at), off Bradford Road, Otley

Nearest train station		Guiseley
Nearest train station distance (	(m)	2362.01
Nearest bus s	top	7467
Nearest bus stop distance (	(m)	197.99
Agricultural classificat	ion G	rade 4
Overlaps S	SSI	
Overlaps St	EGI	
Overlaps L	_NA	
Overlaps L	NR	
Overlaps Conservation A	.rea	
Overlaps Listed Build	ling	
Overlaps Strat. Employment but	ffer	
Overlaps Public Right of V	Vay	
Overlaps SFRA Flood Zo	one	
Overlaps EA Flood Zo	one	
Overlaps HSE Major Haz	ard	$\overline{\Box}$
Overlaps HSE Gas Pipel	line	
Overlaps Pot. Contaminat	ion	<b>✓</b>
Overlaps Minerals Safegu	uarded	
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded	100m	

#### 1179 Low Pasture Farm (land at), off Bradford Road, Otley

Conclusions	
Conclusions	
Issues and Options Summary	
Green Belt site, unrelated to the exis sprawl. Highways concerns re access	sting settlement of Otley. Development would extend development south of Otley and set a precedent for ss.
Site affects others?	
Sustainability summary	
Summary of reps	
Pros None	
Anti Limited number of comments, relate	ed to traffic congestion and green belt.
Statutory WYCA	
transport accessibility requirements	e bus network. Consideration needs to be given to if this site would be able to meet the LDF public should housing be brought forward. We agree that these sites would not be suitable for housing work, 5 buses per hour, within 20 mins to primay school, 30 mins to secondary school and 20 mins to
Comments on phasing	
DPP Allocation	

DPP Allocation Conclusion

Not proposed as housing allocation

Green Belt site, unrelated to the existing settlement of Otley. Development would extend development south of Otley and set a precedent for sprawl. Highways concerns regarding access.

#### 1181A The Sycamores (land at), Bramhope LS16

Easting	425689	Northing	442356	Site area ha	2.4	SP7	Smaller Settlement Extension
HMCA	HMCA Outer North West Ward Ad		d Adel and Wharfedale				

#### **Site Characteristics**

Site type Greenfield

On-site land uses

Agriculture

Neighbouring land uses

Agriculture

Dwellings

Other land uses - None

Topograph	/ Flat	Landscape	Limited Tree Cover
Boundaries	Existing well defined	Road front	Yes

Description

Agricultural land accessed by and to the north of The Sycamores, adjacent to Bramhope Grove Farm.

#### **Greenbelt Assessment**

#### 1. Check the unresticted sprawl of large built up areas

Would development lead to/cons	No	
Would development result in an i	No	
Is the site well connected to the	Yes	
Would development round off the	Yes	
Is there a good existing barrier b and the undeveloped land?	No	
Unrestricted Sprawl Conclusion	ed sprawl	

#### 2. Prevent neighbouring towns from merging

Would development lead	Yes
Do features provide bound	No
Coalescence Conclusion	

#### 3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroahment

Strong defensible boundary between site and urban area	No
Does the site provide access to the countryside	No
Does the site include local/national nature conservation areas (SSSIs	No
Areas of protected/unprotected woodland/trees/hedgerows?	No
Site includes Grade 1, Grade 2 or Grade 3a agricultural land?	Yes
Does the site contain buildings	No
Are these buildings used for agricultural purposes?	No

Encroachment Conclusion | Site does not perform an important role in safeguarding from encroachment

#### 4. Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns

	• .	
Site within/adjacent to	No	
Can development pres	erve this character?	
Character Conclusion	No effect on the setting and special character of histor	ic features

## Overall Conclusion from assessment against all 4 purposes of green belt and essential characteristics of openness and permanence

Development of site could create a rounding off of the settlement and would be contained by the road and housing on three sides.

#### 1181A The Sycamores (land at), Bramhope LS16

SHLAA conclusions						
Availability: Short (	=<5 yrs)	Suitability:	LDF to determine	Achievability: Longterm (11+yrs)		
Summary of infra	astructure provider	comments				
LCC Highways Com	ments					
Public transport acces					Rank (1-5)	
Quarter of site area ad Strategy standards. H	ccessible to primary and alf site has access to 3 b	secondary educa uses per hour.	ation but lacks local ser	vices and does not meet public transport Core	2	
Access comments						
	lening, may not be adequ	uate width in high	nway. End of road not a	dopted, may require additional land.	3	
Local network comme Local congestion, miti					3	
Mitigation measures					Total score	
Unknown at this stage	)				8	
I Barbara and American						
Highways site support						
Contingent on other s	ites					
No						
Contingent on other s	itos					
No	ites					
Highways Agency						
Impact	Netv	vork Status				
No objection						
Network Rail	]					
Yorkshire Water	1					
Treatment Works	Knostrop High Level					
	ng large diameter, cross	the centre of the	site south/north			
Environment Agend	cy					
Constraints FZ1 over1 ha. See comments in main text of our response.						
	1					
LCC	Comment					
Ecology support	Supported					
Supported						
Ecology boundary						
Education comments						

#### 1181A The Sycamores (land at), Bramhope LS16

Flood Risk
Flood Zone 1

Utilities
Gas

Electric

Fire and Rescue

Telecoms

Other
English Heritage

Planning History Applications since 1/1/2009, covering more than 50% of the site

App Number Proposal Decision % of site

#### Spatial relationships

#### **UDP Designations**

N32 Greenbelt 99.90 % overlap N34 PAS 0.00 **RL1 Rural Land** 0.00 N1 Greenspace 0.00 N1A Allotments 0.00 N5 Open Space 0.00 N6 Playing Pitch 0.00 N8 Urban Green Corridor 0.00 CC Shopping Quarter 0.00 **UDP** City Centre 0.00 S2S6 Town Centre 0.00 **Proposed Local Centre** 0.00 Overlaps N37 SLA Sch. Ancient Mon. 0.00

#### **Core Strategy**

Main Urban Area	0.00	% overlap
Major Settlement	0.00	
Minor Settlement	1.00	
Overlaps Urban Extension	<b>✓</b>	

% overlap

#### **Regeneration Areas**

Inner South RA	0.00
LB Corridor RA	0.00
EASEL RA	0.00
Aire Valley RA	0.00
West Leeds Gateway	0.00

#### Other Spatial Relationship

LCC ownership %			0.00
Nearest t	rain station		Horsforth
Nearest train stati	on distance	(m)	3457.57
N	12414		

Nearest bus stop distance (m)

Agricultural classification Grade 4

468.74

#### 1181A The Sycamores (land at), Bramhope LS16

Overlaps SSSI
Overlaps SEGI
Overlaps LNA
Overlaps LNR
Overlaps Conservation Area
Overlaps Listed Building
Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer
Overlaps Public Right of Way
Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone
Overlaps EA Flood Zone
Overlaps HSE Major Hazard
Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline
Overlaps Pot. Contamination
ı
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded 100m

#### 1181A The Sycamores (land at), Bramhope LS16

#### Conclusions

Issues and Options Summary

Green Belt site. Development of site A could create a rounding off of the settlement, but Highways access inadequate. The Sycamores carriageway is too narrow to support two way passing and there are no footways, there is no prospect of improving the road within the highway boundary. Poor accessibility.

Site affects others?

Sustainability summary

Summary of reps

Affordable housing I understand is needed but this needs to be built in the right way and with the right infrastructure in place to give it longevity, Bramhope is not a viable location.

What sort of housing will it be – my understanding is that the government want to focus on affordable accommodation for young people, smaller first time buyer houses where buyers subscribe to the scheme where they pay a 5% deposit if they commit to a new build – I would support this but not more large houses.

There needs to be a far greater range of accommodation meeting a broader need for young people and families wanting to stay in the area who can't afford the usual property prices. Is there a need for this- has someone researched whether there would be enough people buying this accommodation? One can presume that the sale prices of the houses from these development will would be similar to the 'Centurion Fields' homes in Adel with starting price of £429k - £750k. How is this helping Leeds' housing crisis???

Pros

Access to highway network (A660) and the X84 bus.

Anti

Majority of respondents supportive of no development on the site. General objections to new housing in Bramhope including affect on the character of the village, traffic congestion, limited public transport, infrastructure and wildlife issues. Site specific objections due to access constraints of The Sycamores and references to previous UDP inspector's report which did not support new housing on the site.

Statutory

WYCA

Falls outside 400m of the current core bus network. Consideration needs to be given to if this site would be able to meet the LDF public transport accessibility requirements should housing be brought forward. We agree that these sites would not be suitable for housing development. 1497m to core bus network, 6 buses per hour, within 20 mins to primary school, 30 mins to secondary school and 20 mins to primary health.

Comments on phasing

**DPP Allocation** 

Not proposed as housing allocation

DPP Allocation Conclusion

Green Belt site. Highways access inadequate. The Sycamores carriageway is too narrow to support two way passing and there are no footways, there is no prospect of improving the road within the highway boundary. Poor accessibility.

#### 1181B The Sycamores (land at), Bramhope LS16

Site Details							
Easting	425849	Northing	442252	Site area ha	6.1	SP7	Smaller Settlement Extension
HMCA	Outer North West			Ward	Adel and Wharfedale		

#### **Site Characteristics**

Site type Greenfield

On-site land uses

Agriculture

Neighbouring land uses

Agriculture

Dwellings

Outdoor sport facility

Other land uses - None

Topography	Flat	Landscape	Limited Tree Cover
Boundaries	Existing well defined	Road front	Yes

Description

Agricultural land accessed by and to the south of The Sycamores, adjacent to Bramhope Grove Farm and West Park Rugby club.

#### **Greenbelt Assessment**

#### 1. Check the unresticted sprawl of large built up areas

Would development lead to/constitue ribbon development?	No
Would development result in an isolated development?	No
Is the site well connected to the built up area?	No
Would development round off the settlement?	Yes
Is there a good existing barrier between the existing urban area and the undeveloped land?	Yes
Unrestricted Sprawl Conclusion High potential to lead to unrestri	cted sprawl

#### 2. Prevent neighbouring towns from merging

Would development lead	No	
Do features provide boun	No	
Coalescence Conclusion	No merging but there is no defensible bo	undary

#### 3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroahment

Strong defensible boundary between site and urban area	Yes
Does the site provide access to the countryside	No
Does the site include local/national nature conservation areas (SSSIs	No
Areas of protected/unprotected woodland/trees/hedgerows?	No
Site includes Grade 1, Grade 2 or Grade 3a agricultural land?	Yes
Does the site contain buildings	No
Are these buildings used for agricultural purposes?	No

Encroachment Conclusion Site does not perform an important role in safeguarding from encroachment

#### 4. Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns

Site within/adjacent to	conservation area/listed building/historical features?	No		
Can development pres	erve this character?			
Character Conclusion	No effect on the setting and special character of historic features			

#### 1181B The Sycamores (land at), Bramhope LS16

## Overall Conclusion from assessment against all 4 purposes of green belt and essential characteristics of openness and permanence

Green Belt site. Development of site B could set a precedent for unrestricted urban sprawl to the south of Bramhope.

SHLAA conclusions	i				
Availability: Short	(=<5 yrs)	Suitability:	LDF to determine	Achievability: Longterm (11	+yrs)
Summary of infr	astructure provider	comments			
LCC Highways Con	nments				
Public transport acces	ssibility comments				Rank (1-5)
One quarter of site of per hour zone	c for education but lacks	local services and	d does not meet Public	c Transport Core Strategy. Half site in 3 buse	es 2
Access comments					
Road width needs w	dening, may not be adec	uate width in hiç	ghway. End of road no	t adopted, may require additional land.	3
Local network commo	ents				
Local congestion, mit	igation required				3
Mitigation measures					Total score
Unknown at this stag	e				8
Highways site suppor	t				
No					
Contingent on other s	sites				
Contingent on other s	sites				
Highways Agency	Note	work Status			
Impact No objection	Net	vork Status			
Network Rail					
Yorkshire Water					
Treatment Works	Knostrop High Level				
Environment Agen	су				
Constraints					
FZ1 over1 ha. See co	omments in main text of	our response.			
LCC					
Ecology support	Supported				
Supported					

# **Outer North West**

#### 1181B The Sycamores (land at), Bramhope LS16

TIOID THE	Sycamores (land at), brannope LS16
Ecology boundary	
Education comments	
Flood Risk	
Flood Zone 1	
Utilities	
Gas	
Electric	
Fire and Rescue	
Telecoms	
Other	
English Heritage	
Natural England	
	A 11 11 1 14/10000 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Planning History	Applications since 1/1/2009, covering more than 50% of the site
App Number	Proposal Decision % of site

# Spatial relationships

<b>UDP Designations</b>		
N32 Greenbelt	100.00	% overlap
N34 PAS	0.00	
RL1 Rural Land	0.00	
N1 Greenspace	0.00	
N1A Allotments	0.00	
N5 Open Space	0.00	
N6 Playing Pitch	0.00	
N8 Urban Green Corridor	0.00	
CC Shopping Quarter	0.00	
UDP City Centre	0.00	
S2S6 Town Centre	0.00	
Proposed Local Centre	0.00	
Overlaps N37 SLA		

# Core Strategy

Main Urban Area	0.00	% overlap
Maian Cattlanaant	0.00	
Major Settlement	0.00	
Minor Settlement	0.00	
Overlaps Urban Extension	•	
	·   •	

# **Regeneration Areas**

Inner South RA	0.00	% overlap
LB Corridor RA	0.00	
EASEL RA	0.00	
Aire Valley RA	0.00	
West Leeds Gateway	0.00	

# Other Spatial Relationship

Sch. Ancient Mon.

LCC ownership %	0.00
-----------------	------

0.00

# 1181B The Sycamores (land at), Bramhope LS16

H	lorsforth
n)	3424.35
ор	14216
n)	413.18
on Gr	ade 4
SI	
GI	
NΑ	
<b>NR</b>	
ea	
ng	
er	
ay	<b>✓</b>
ne	
ne	
rd	$\overline{\Box}$
ne	$\overline{\Box}$
on	
arded	
100m	
	Homping Fer any ne ne on arraded 1100m

#### 1181B The Sycamores (land at), Bramhope LS16

#### Conclusions

Issues and Options Summary

Green Belt site. Development of site B could set a precedent for urban sprawl to the south of Bramhope. Highways access inadequate. The Sycamores carriageway is too narrow to support two way passing and there are no footways, there is no prospect of improving the road within the highway boundary to the east of the site to the A660. Poor accessibility.

Site affects others?

Sustainability summary

Summary of reps

Λnti

The council promised that there would be no expansion into green-belt under the last village design statement.

Traffic along Old Lane, the Cross and Church Hill is already dangerous. Exiting Churchgate on to Church Hill is difficult as is exiting Breary Lane at the Cross.

Would generate more vehicles trying to access the A660 roundabout at the junction with Kings Road.

The last Inspector placed tree preservation orders on various trees etc along The Sycamores. The Inspect'ors report gives extensive reasons why the sites along The Sycamores should not be developed.

This lane is and always has been a country lane enjoyed by walkers and the village as a whole.

Site is low-lying (Breary Marsh)

The Council have misread the last census, there are up to 30,000 fewer people than first thought.

Alwoodley Gates (2054) should be developed instead of sites in Bramhope.

Pro

Appears to have a better road structure, more suitable to housing.

Would seem logical to consider building - adjacent to the existing A660 main road and thus would allow easy access to road commuters. The site is well related to the existing urban area and is close to shops and services, community and leisure facilities, public transport, education and local health facilities.

Metro

We agree that these sites would not be suitable for housing development.

Distance to Core Network (Centre of site) Buses Per Hour Access to Primary Schools Access to Secondary Schools Access to Primary Health

497 6 Yes Yes Yes

Comments on phasing

**DPP Allocation** 

Not proposed as housing allocation

**DPP Allocation Conclusion** 

Green Belt site. Development of site B could set a precedent for urban sprawl to the south of Bramhope. Highways access inadequate. The Sycamores carriageway is too narrow to support two way passing and there are no footways, there is no prospect of improving the road within the highway boundary to the east of the site to the A660. Poor accessibility.

Site Detai	ls
------------	----

Easting	418670	Northing	445146	Site area ha	11.3	SP7	Major Settlement Extension
HMCA Outer North West			Ward	Otley and Y	eadon		

#### **Site Characteristics**

Site type Greenfield

On-site land uses

Agriculture

Waterways

Neighbouring land uses

Agriculture

Outdoor sport facility

**Dwellings** 

Other land uses - None

Topography	Sloping	Landscape	Limited Tree Cover
Boundaries	Poorly defined	Road front	Yes

Description

Agricultural land to the north of West Busk Lane. Gill Beck on the western site boundary.

#### **Greenbelt Assessment**

#### 1. Check the unresticted sprawl of large built up areas

Would development lead to/constitue ribbon development?	No
Would development result in an isolated development?	No
Is the site well connected to the built up area?	No
Would development round off the settlement?	No
Is there a good existing barrier between the existing urban area and the undeveloped land?	No
Unrestricted Sprawl Conclusion High potential to lead to unrestri	cted sprawl

#### 2. Prevent neighbouring towns from merging

	Would development lead to	to physical connection of settlements?	No	
Do features provide boundaries to contain the development?		No		
Coalescence Conclusion No merging but there is no defensible bottom			ındary	

#### 3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroahment

Strong defensible boundary between site and urban area	No
Does the site provide access to the countryside	No
Does the site include local/national nature conservation areas (SSSIs	No
Areas of protected/unprotected woodland/trees/hedgerows?	No
Site includes Grade 1, Grade 2 or Grade 3a agricultural land?	Yes
Does the site contain buildings	No
Are these buildings used for agricultural purposes?	No

Encroachment Conclusion Site does not perform an important role in safeguarding from encroachment

### 4. Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns

Site within/adjacent to	conservation area/listed building/historical features?	No	
Can development pres	erve this character?		
Character Conclusion No effect on the setting and special character of historic features			

# Overall Conclusion from assessment against all 4 purposes of green belt and essential characteristics of openness and permanence

Development would represent unrestricted sprawl. and would not round off the settlement, but represent a large extension to the north of existing residential properties unrelated to the settlement form. No defensible Green Belt boundary

SHLAA conclusions					
Availability: Unknow	wn	Suitability	: Unsuitable	Achievability: Uncertain	
Summary of infra	astructure provi	der comments			
LCC Highways Com	ments				
Public transport acces	sibility comments				Rank (1-5)
Poor access to employ	yment and public trai	nsport. Average acc	ess to local ser	rvices	2
Access comments					
Poor access visibility of	onto adopted highwa	y, needs access thro	ough site 1317		2
Local network comme					
Possible cummulative	impact in local area				4
Mitigation measures					Total score
needs adjacent land/c	dwelling for access, in	mprovements to PT			8
Highways site support	t				<u> </u>
no	·				ı
Contingent on other s	ites				
yes with 1317					
Contingent on other s	ites				
yes with 1317					
Highways Agency	1				
Impact No material	impact	Network Status	No objection		
Network Rail					
Yorkshire Water					
Treatment Works	Otley				
Surface water sewer		f the site.			
Environment Agen					
Constraints	FZ 2 & 3	tout of our manner:	<u> </u>		
Partly FZ2 and 3. See	e comments in main	text of our response	2		
LCC					
Ecology support	Not supported				
Not supported (DED)	No sita basad dosia	nations but Cill Pool	k and adjacent	land in the west of this site has protected species and an	od auality

riparian habitat including a strip of woodland. Protected species to consider - Otter, White Clawed Crayfish and bats

Ecology boundary

Supported with mitigation if Red hatched areas are exluded and the boundary is ammended as per Drawing RM/1196. Mitigation will still be

required to protect and enhance western, northen and eastern boundaries. No development should be allowed within 30 metres of the Gill Beck and the land adjacent to Gill Beck should not be used for public access.
Education comments
Flood Risk
Site in close proximity to River Wharfe and Mickle Ing beck runs along Northern boundary. There is also a minor watercourse running through the site. Approximately 40 % of the site is shown to be in Flood Zones 2 and 3.
Utilities
Gas
Electric
Fire and Rescue
Telecoms
Other
English Heritage
Natural England

#### Applications since 1/1/2009, covering more than 50% of the site **Planning History**

**App Number** % of site Proposal Decision

# Spatial relationships

<b>UDP Designations</b>		
N32 Greenbelt	98.59	% overlap
N34 PAS	0.00	
RL1 Rural Land	0.00	
N1 Greenspace	0.00	
N1A Allotments	0.00	
N5 Open Space	0.00	
N6 Playing Pitch	0.00	
N8 Urban Green Corridor	0.00	
CC Shopping Quarter	0.00	
UDP City Centre	0.00	
S2S6 Town Centre	0.00	
Proposed Local Centre	0.00	
Overlaps N37 SLA		
Sch. Ancient Mon.	0.00	

Core Strategy	
•••••	

Main Urban Area	0.00	% overlap
Major Settlement	1.00	
Minor Settlement	0.00	
Overlaps Urban Extension	<b>~</b>	

#### **Regeneration Areas**

Inner South RA	0.00	% overlap
LB Corridor RA	0.00	
EASEL RA	0.00	
Aire Valley RA	0.00	
West Leeds Gateway	0.00	

LCC ownership %	14.15
Negreet trein station	Culada
Nearest train station	Guiseley
Nearest train station distance (m)	2938.55
Nearest bus stop	5221
Nearest bus stop distance (m)	231.18
Agricultural classification	Grade 3
Overlaps SSSI	
Overlaps SEGI	
Overlaps LNA	
Overlaps LNR	
Overlaps Conservation Area	
Overlaps Listed Building	
Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer	
Overlaps Public Right of Way	
Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone	✓
Overlaps EA Flood Zone	<b>✓</b>
Overlaps HSE Major Hazard	
Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline	
Overlaps Pot. Contamination	
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarde	ed
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded 100	m

#### Conclusions

Issues and Options Summary

Green Belt site. Development would represent unrestricted sprawl and would not round off the settlement, but represent a large extension to the north of existing residential properties unrelated to the settlement form. No defensible Green Belt boundary - boundaries are poorly defined. Highways concerns re access.

Site affects others?

Sustainability summary

Summary of reps

Anti

Impact on the highway network and the A58, poor access.

Site is known to flood.

Lack of defined boundaries within the site would make building levels difficult to control once started.

Hole 13 is located adjacent to the western boundaries of Sites 1196 and 1317, Otley Golf Club would seek to ensure that in the event that this land is ever allocated for development, there is sufficient provision made along the western boundary to mitigate the potential impact from stray golf balls.

Metro

We agree that these sites would not be suitable for housing development.

Distance to Core Network (Centre of site) Buses Per Hour Access to Primary Schools Access to Secondary Schools Access to

Primary Health

805 5 Yes Yes Yes

Comments on phasing

DPP Allocation

Not proposed as housing allocation

DPP Allocation Conclusion

Green Belt site. Development would represent unrestricted sprawl and would not round off the settlement, but represent a large extension to the north of existing residential properties unrelated to the settlement form. No defensible Green Belt boundary - boundaries are poorly defined. Highways concerns regarding access.

#### 1197 Cross Green Rugby Ground and Allotments, Otley LS21

Site Details							
Easting	420634	Northing	445822	Site area ha	2.7	SP7	Major Settlement Infill
HMCA	Outer North	West			Ward	Otley and	Yeadon
Site Cha	racteristic	cs					
Site type	Greenfield						
On-site lan	d uses						
Outdoor sp	ort facility						
Allotment a	nd city farm						
Neighbouring land uses							
Dwellings							
Outdoor sport facility							
Shops							
Other land	uses - None						

Landscape Limited Tree Cover

Road front

Description

Topography Flat

Flat site occupied by Otley RUFC, playing field and allotments.

# **Greenbelt Assessment - Not Required**

Boundaries Existing well defined

#### 1. Check the unresticted sprawl of large built up areas

Would development lead to/constitue ribbon development?	
Would development result in an isolated development?	
Is the site well connected to the built up area?	
Would development round off the settlement?	
Is there a good existing barrier between the existing urban area and the undeveloped land?	
Unrestricted Sprawl Conclusion	

#### 2. Prevent neighbouring towns from merging

Would development lead	
Do features provide boun	
Coalescence Conclusion	L.

#### 3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroahment

3 3 ,	
Strong defensible boundary between site and urban area	
Does the site provide access to the countryside	
Does the site include local/national nature conservation areas (SSSIs	
Areas of protected/unprotected woodland/trees/hedgerows?	
Site includes Grade 1, Grade 2 or Grade 3a agricultural land?	
Does the site contain buildings	
Are these buildings used for agricultural purposes?	

Encroachment Conclusion

#### 4. Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns

Site within/adjacent to conservation area/listed building/historical features?	
Can development preserve this character?	

Character Conclusion

# 1197 Cross Green Rugby Ground and Allotments, Otley LS21 Overall Conclusion from assessment against all 4 purposes of green belt and essential characteristics of openness and permanence **SHLAA** conclusions Availability: Medium (6-10yrs) Suitability: LDF to determine Achievability: Longterm (11+yrs) Summary of infrastructure provider comments **LCC Highways Comments** Public transport accessibility comments Rank (1-5) Public Transport not in line with Core Strategy accessibility standards but meets accessibility for employment, health and education 3 Access comments Adequate frontage 5 Local network comments Local congestion issues. 3 Total score Mitigation measures Unknown at this stage 11 Highways site support yes with mitigation Contingent on other sites no Contingent on other sites no **Highways Agency** Impact No material impact **Network Status** No objection n/a **Network Rail Yorkshire Water** Otley Treatment Works Large diameter combined sewer crosses the north of the site **Environment Agency** FZ2 Constraints Part FZ2 See comments in main text of our response LCC **Ecology support** Supported Supported

# **Outer North West**

# Ecology boundary Education comments Flood Risk Site in close proximity to River Wharfe and approximately 30% of the site is shown to be in Flood Zone 2 Utilities Gas Electric Fire and Rescue

# Other English Heritage

Natural England

Planning History Applications since 1/1/2009, covering more than 50% of the site

App Number Proposal Decision % of site

#### Spatial relationships

<b>UDP Designations</b>		
N32 Greenbelt	0.00	% overlap
N34 PAS	0.00	
RL1 Rural Land	0.00	
N1 Greenspace	0.00	
N1A Allotments	35.14	
N5 Open Space	0.00	
N6 Playing Pitch	64.84	
N8 Urban Green Corridor	0.00	
CC Shopping Quarter	0.00	
UDP City Centre	0.00	
S2S6 Town Centre	0.00	
Proposed Local Centre	0.00	
Overlaps N37 SLA		
Sch. Ancient Mon.	0.00	

Core	Strategy	

Main Urban Area	0.00	% overlap
Major Settlement	1.00	
Minor Settlement	0.00	
Overlaps Urban Extension	<b>✓</b>	

# **Regeneration Areas**

Inner South RA	0.00	% overlap
LB Corridor RA	0.00	
EASEL RA	0.00	
Aire Valley RA	0.00	
West Leeds Gateway	0.00	

# Other Spatial Relationship

LCC ownership %	0.00
-----------------	------

# 1197 Cross Green Rugby Ground and Allotments, Otley LS21

Nearest train station	Guiseley
Nearest train station distance (m)	4021.83
Nearest bus stop	3299
Nearest bus stop distance (m)	189.38
Agricultural classification	Urban
	1 —
Overlaps SSSI	
Overlaps SEGI	
Overlaps LNA	
Overlaps LNR	
Overlaps Conservation Area	<b>✓</b>
Overlaps Listed Building	
Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer	
Overlaps Public Right of Way	<b>V</b>
Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone	✓
Overlaps EA Flood Zone	<b>✓</b>
Overlaps HSE Major Hazard	
Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline	
Overlaps Pot. Contamination	<b>✓</b>
Overlaps Minerals Safeguard	ed
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded 100	)m

#### 1197 Cross Green Rugby Ground and Allotments, Otley LS21

#### Conclusions

Issues and Options Summary

Residential would be contrary to UDP designation; Protected playing pitches (N6) and allotments (N1A). Loss of greenspace would need to be considered through the greenspace review. See greenspace section page 21, question G8. No highways concerns.

Site affects others?

Sustainability summary

Summary of reps

Pro development

The sports club facilties will be relocated elsewhere. The site is available and deliverable.

Anti Development

The rugby club is part of Otley's heritage. Outdoor sports facilties are need for young and old.

Long waiting list for allotments. Allotments for livestock are rare.

positive effects on health and wellbeing.

Loss of allotments - allotments were left to the people of Otley by the Sunnylee Estate

Other sites within Otley could be looked at - Mill Lane, Old Cattle Market, LCC Property off Beech Hill.

Use brownfield before greenfield

Deficient in greenspace in the area

Statutory consultees

5 buses an hour. Access to Primary School, Yes. Access to Secondary School, Yes. Access to Public Health Services, Yes.

Sport England's statutory role and our playing field policy will still apply on this playing field site. We would oppose its N6 allocation deletion as this would fail to recognise the site former use and current land use as playing fields/sport and recreation facilities.

Otley and Yeadon are identified as having a local deficiency in outdoor sport, something this site could help rectify. Regard has to be had to the evidence available and ensure if developed the outdoor sports facilities are replaced like for like in a suitable location.

Land no longer in use for sport is not an argument for its disposal to other uses. In Sport England's experience it is more likely this is down to the site owner closing the site off rather than lack of demand to make use of the playing field for sport.

Furthermore assessment on whether other open space typologies are more suitable is required before this is lost to housing development

Comments on phasing

DPP Allocation

Not proposed as housing allocation

**DPP Allocation Conclusion** 

Residential use would be contrary to the UDP designation of the site as protected playing pitches (Policy N6) and allotments (Policy N1A).

#### 1198 Pool Road - Stephen Smith?s Garden Centre (land adjoining), Otley LS21

Site Details						
Easting	421710	Northing	445776	Site area ha	8.6	SP7
HMCA	Outer North	n West			Ward	Otley and Yeadon
Site Cha	racteristi	cs				
Site type Greenfield						
On-site land uses - None						
Adjacent land uses - None						
Other land uses - None						

Boundaries	Road front	No	
Description			

Landscape

#### **Greenbelt Assessment**

Topography

#### 1. Check the unresticted sprawl of large built up areas

Would development lead to/constitue ribbon development?		
Would development result in an isolated development?		
Is the site well connected to the built up area?		
Would development round off the settlement?		
Is there a good existing barrier between the existing urban area and the undeveloped land?		
Unrestricted Sprawl Conclusion		

#### 2. Prevent neighbouring towns from merging

Would development lead		
Do features provide bound		
Coalescence Conclusion		

#### 3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroahment

	•			
Strong defensible boundary between	Strong defensible boundary between site and urban area			
Does the site provide access to the	e countryside			
Does the site include local/national	al nature conservation areas (SSSIs			
Areas of protected/unprotected w				
Site includes Grade 1, Grade 2 or				
Does the site contain buildings				
Are these buildings used for agric				
Encroachment Conclusion				

#### 4. Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns

Site within/adjacent to conservation area/listed building/historical features?			
Can development pres	erve this character?		
Character Conclusion			

Overall Conclusion from assessment against all 4 purposes of green belt and essential characteristics of openness and permanence

# 1198 Pool Road - Stephen Smith?s Garden Centre (land adjoining), Otley LS21 **SHLAA** conclusions Availability: Short (=<5 yrs) Achievability: Uncertain Suitability: Unsuitable Summary of infrastructure provider comments **Highways Agency** Impact **Network Status Network Rail Yorkshire Water** Treatment Works **Environment Agency** Constraints LCC Ecology support Ecology boundary **Education comments** Flood Risk Utilities Gas Electric Fire and Rescue Telecoms Other English Heritage Natural England **Planning History** Applications since 1/1/2009, covering more than 50% of the site **App Number Proposal** Decision % of site

# Spatial relationships

UDP Designations		
N32 Greenbelt	91.55	% overlap
N34 PAS	0.00	
RL1 Rural Land	0.00	
N1 Greenspace	0.00	
N1A Allotments	0.00	
N5 Open Space	0.00	
N6 Playing Pitch	95.33	
N8 Urban Green Corridor	0.00	
CC Shopping Quarter	0.00	
UDP City Centre	0.00	
S2S6 Town Centre	0.00	
Proposed Local Centre	0.00	
Overlaps N37 SLA		
Sch. Ancient Mon.	0.00	

Core Strategy	

Main Urban Area	0.00	% overlap
Major Settlement	1.00	
Minor Settlement	0.00	
Overlaps Urban Extension	<b>✓</b>	

% overlap

# **Regeneration Areas**

Inner South RA	0.00
LB Corridor RA	0.00
EASEL RA	0.00
Aire Valley RA	0.00
West Leeds Gateway	0.00

# Other Spatial Relationship

LCC ownership %	0.00
Nearest train station	Guiseley
Nearest train station distance (m)	,
Nearest bus stop	12244
Nearest bus stop distance (m)	193.75
Agricultural classification	Grade 3

Overlaps SSSI	
Overlaps SEGI	
Overlaps LNA	
Overlaps LNR	
Overlaps Conservation Area	
Overlaps Listed Building	
Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer	
Overlaps Public Right of Way	<b>✓</b>
Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone	<b>✓</b>
Overlaps EA Flood Zone	<b>✓</b>
Overlaps HSE Major Hazard	
Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline	
Overlaps Pot. Contamination	

	Overlaps LNR
	Overlaps Conservation Area
	Overlaps Listed Building
	Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer
<b>✓</b>	Overlaps Public Right of Way
<b>✓</b>	Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone
<b>✓</b>	Overlaps EA Flood Zone
$\Box$	Overlaps HSE Major Hazard
	Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline
	Overlaps Pot. Contamination
	Outsulana Minanala Cafasu andad
<b>✓</b>	Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded

Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded 100m

**~** 

# 1198 Pool Road - Stephen Smith?s Garden Centre (land adjoining), Otley LS21

Conclusions	
Issues and Options Summary	
Site affects others?	
Sustainability summary	
Summary of reps	
Pro	
More suitable for development than	risite 744.  ng, well related to urban area, effect on GB minimal.
The site risen is not prone to noodin	ig, went related to distant died, effect on ab minimal.
No statutory comments as sieved or	ut site.
Comments on phasing	
DPP Allocation	
Not proposed as housing allocation	
DPP Allocation Conclusion	
	hland) on Strategic Flood Risk Assessment.

#### 1204 Old Manor Farm (land at), off Old Lane, Bramhope LS16

Site Details
--------------

Easting	424291	Northing	443460	Site area ha	12.7	SP7	Smaller Settlement Extension
HMCA Outer North West		Ward	Adel and W	harfedale			

#### **Site Characteristics**

Site type Greenfield

On-site land uses

Agriculture

Dwellings

Neighbouring land uses

Agriculture

Other land uses - None

Topography	Sloping	Landscape	Limited Tree Cover
Boundaries	Poorly defined	Road front	Yes

Description

Linear shaped site running parallel with properties on Old Lane, in agricultural use.

#### **Greenbelt Assessment**

#### 1. Check the unresticted sprawl of large built up areas

Would development lead to/cons	Yes
Would development result in an i	No
Is the site well connected to the	No
Would development round off the	No
Is there a good existing barrier band the undeveloped land?	No
Unrestricted Sprawl Conclusion	cted sprawl

#### 2. Prevent neighbouring towns from merging

Would development le	No
Do features provide bo	No
Coalescence Conclusio	oundary

#### 3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroahment

Strong defensible boundary between site and urban area	No
Does the site provide access to the countryside	Yes
Does the site include local/national nature conservation areas (SSSIs	No
Areas of protected/unprotected woodland/trees/hedgerows?	No
Site includes Grade 1, Grade 2 or Grade 3a agricultural land?	No
Does the site contain buildings	Yes
Are these buildings used for agricultural purposes?	Yes

Encroachment Conclusion | Site does not perform an important role in safeguarding from encroachment

#### 4. Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns

Site within/adjacen	to conservation area/listed building/historical features?	No		
Can development p	eserve this character?			
Character Conclusion   Marginal effect on the setting & special character, could be mitigated against through appropriate detailed design				

# Overall Conclusion from assessment against all 4 purposes of green belt and essential characteristics of openness and permanence

Green Belt site. Development of site would constitute ribbon development along Old Lane and create potential for sprawl to the north given the poorly defined boundary. The site does not relate well to the existing settlement.

# 1204 Old Manor Farm (land at), off Old Lane, Bramhope LS16

SHLAA conclusions					
Availability: Short (=<5)	rrs)	Suitability:	LDF to determine	Achievability: Longterm (11+yrs)	
Summary of infrastr	ucture provi	der comments			
LCC Highways Commen	ts				
Public transport accessibility					Rank (1-5)
•	standards for		d employment. Half site i	in 3 buses per hour zone as crow flies but	1
Access comments					
Narrow unlit country lane u	ınsuitable for la	rge development			1
Local network comments Local congestion					
3					3
Mitigation measures					Total score
Unknown at this stage					5
Highways site support					
no					
Contingent on other sites					
no					
Contingent on other sites					
no					
Highways Agency					
Impact No material impa	ct	Network Status	No objection		
n/a					
Network Rail					
Yorkshire Water					
Treatment Works Poo	<u> </u>				
Yorkshire Water's Asset Ma forthcoming AMP(6) will ru investment. It is particular account available sewerag	anagement Plar In from April 20 Iy important tha e and WwTW ca	ns (AMP) to ensure the state of	he necessary infrastructur Phasing is one method use ent a 10% or greater incre er wants to bring a site fo	ect to the public sewer system needs to be co- e and capacity can be provided to serve the site ed to ensure sites are brought forward in line wi ease in population served by the works should t rward before YW have completed any planned nt would be determined by a developer funded	e. The Ith YW's ake into
Environment Agency Constraints					
FZ1 over1 ha. See comme	ents in main tex	t of our response.			
LCC					
Ecology support Sup	ported				
Supported					

#### **Outer North West**

#### 1204 Old Manor Farm (land at), off Old Lane, Bramhope LS16

Ecology boundary

**Education comments** 

Flood Risk

Flood Zone 1

Utilities

Gas

Electric

Fire and Rescue

Telecoms

Other

English Heritage

Natural England

**Planning History** Applications since 1/1/2009, covering more than 50% of the site

**App Number Proposal** Decision % of site

#### Spatial relationships

# LIDP Designations

UDP Designations		
N32 Greenbelt	99.98	% overlap
N34 PAS	0.00	
RL1 Rural Land	0.00	
N1 Greenspace	0.00	
N1A Allotments	0.00	
N5 Open Space	0.00	
N6 Playing Pitch	0.00	
N8 Urban Green Corridor	0.00	
CC Shopping Quarter	0.00	
UDP City Centre	0.00	
S2S6 Town Centre	0.00	
Proposed Local Centre	0.00	
Overlaps N37 SLA	<b>✓</b>	
Sch. Ancient Mon.	0.00	

# **Core Strategy**

Main Urban Area	0.00	% overlap
Major Settlement	0.00	
Minor Settlement	1.00	
Overlaps Urban Extension	<b>✓</b>	

# **Regeneration Areas**

Inner South RA	0.00	% overlap
LB Corridor RA	0.00	
EASEL RA	0.00	
Aire Valley RA	0.00	
West Leeds Gateway	0.00	

# Other Spatial Relationship

LCC ownership %	1.08
-----------------	------

# 1204 Old Manor Farm (land at), off Old Lane, Bramhope LS16

#### 1204 Old Manor Farm (land at), off Old Lane, Bramhope LS16

#### Conclusions

Issues and Options Summary

Green Belt site. Development of site would constitute ribbon development along Old Lane and create potential for sprawl to the north given the poorly defined boundary. The site does not relate well to the existing settlement. Highways concerns regarding access and accessibility.

Site affects others?

Sustainability summary

Summary of reps

Λnti

Residents are concerned with traffic congestion and access issues on roads A660/A658, junction of Church Hill, Old Lane, Eastgate and Moor Road, Breary Lane & Bromhope Village Crossroads

The main concerns are loss of greenfield, changing the village into a town/loss of character/change away from village life, wildlife, greenbelt, strain on services/nhs/schools/gen infra/lack of shops/parking issues and general concerns changing into a Town and urban sprawl while brownfield in the city centre should be developed first.

Other comments include don't build afford houses/brings the wrong type of people and increases crime/not enough employment/public transport can't cope/no buses/no train station/cemetery is too expensive/ council population figures are wrong/hmca target too high. Bramhope is too close to the airport/village already overrun with tourists/new houses will be too big/development should be elsewhere not here

Site is too near the airport

Statutory

**WYCA** 

Fall outside 400m of the current core bus network. Consideration needs to be given to if this site would be able to meet the LDF public transport accessibility requirements should housing be brought forward. We agree that these sites would not be suitable for housing development.

2449m to core bus network, 4 buses per hour, outside 20 mins to primary school, within 30 mins to secondary school and 20 mins to primary health.

Comments on phasing

**DPP Allocation** 

Not proposed as housing allocation

**DPP Allocation Conclusion** 

Green Belt site. Development of site would constitute ribbon development along Old Lane and create potential for sprawl to the north given the poorly defined boundary. The site does not relate well to the existing settlement. Highways concerns regarding access and accessibility.

#### 1317 West Busk Lane (105 House and Garden), Otley LS21 3LX

Site Details								
Easting	418517	Northing	444909	Site area ha	0.4	SP7	Major Settlement Extension	
HMCA	Outer North West			Ward	Otley and Y	veadon veadon		

#### **Site Characteristics**

Site type Mixed

On-site land uses

Dwellings

Vacant land

Neighbouring land uses

Dwellings

Outdoor sport facility

Other land uses - None

Topography	Flat	Landscape	Limited Tree Cover
Boundaries	Partially well-defined	Road front	Yes

Description

Existing residential property on east side of site with trees on site boundary. Remainder of site is unused greenfield area. Gill Beck forms the northern and western boundary. Site access is from the existing property at the junction of West Busk Lane and the access to Otley Golf Club.

#### **Greenbelt Assessment**

#### 1. Check the unresticted sprawl of large built up areas

Would development lead to/cons	titue ribbon development?	No
Would development result in an i	solated development?	No
Is the site well connected to the I	ouilt up area?	No
Would development round off the settlement?		No
Is there a good existing barrier be and the undeveloped land?	etween the existing urban area	No
Unrestricted Sprawl Conclusion	Sprawl Conclusion Low potential to lead to unrestrict	

#### 2. Prevent neighbouring towns from merging

Would development lead to physical connection of settlements?		Yes	
Do features provide boundaries to contain the development?		No	
Coalescence Conclusion No merging of settlements			

#### 3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroahment

Strong defensible boundary between site and urban area	No
Does the site provide access to the countryside	No
Does the site include local/national nature conservation areas (SSSIs	No
Areas of protected/unprotected woodland/trees/hedgerows?	No
Site includes Grade 1, Grade 2 or Grade 3a agricultural land?	No
Does the site contain buildings	Yes
Are these buildings used for agricultural purposes?	No

Encroachment Conclusion Site does not perform an important role in safeguarding from encroachment

#### 4. Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns

Site within/adjacent to	conservation area/listed building/historical features?	No	
Can development pres	erve this character?		
Character Conclusion  No effect on the setting and special character of historic feature			

#### West Busk Lane (105 House and Garden), Otley LS21 3LX 1317

Overall Conclusion from assessment against all 4 purposes of green belt and essential characteristics of openness and permanence

Green Belt site. Site contained by boundary of beck thereby limiting potential sprawl.

# **SHLAA** conclusions Availability: Short (=<5 yrs) Suitability: Unsuitable Achievability: Uncertain Summary of infrastructure provider comments **LCC Highways Comments** Public transport accessibility comments Rank (1-5) Poor access to employment and public transport. Average access to local services 2 Access comments Mitigation works required to bring private road to adoptable standard. Access initially off private road. Possible problem with tree 3 on adopted highway in visibility splay. Local network comments Possible cummulative impact in local area 4 **Total score** Mitigation measures needs access and footway improvements 9 Highways site support Contingent on other sites yes with 1317 Contingent on other sites yes with 1317 **Highways Agency** Impact No material impact **Network Status** No objection **Network Rail**

#### Yorkshire Water

Treatment Works Otley

There is capacity at Otley for new development. Development that will connect to the public sewer system needs to be co-ordinated with Yorkshire Water's Asset Management Plans (AMP) to ensure the necessary infrastructure and capacity can be provided to serve the site. The forthcoming AMP(6) will run from April 2015 to March 2020. Phasing is one method used to ensure sites are brought forward in line with YW's investment. It is particularly important that sites which represent a 10% or greater increase in population served by the works should take into account available sewerage and WwTW capacity. If a developer wants to bring a site forward before YW have completed any planned improvements it may be possible for the developer to provide contributions. The amount would be determined by a developer funded feasibility study.

#### **Environment Agency**

FZ 2 & 3 Constraints

FZ3. See comments in main text of our response

#### House and Garden), Otley LS21 3LX

LCC	
Ecology support	Not supported
	D). No site-based designations but Gill Beck and adjacent land in the west of this site has protected species and good quality uding a strip of woodland. Protected species to consider - Otter, White Clawed Crayfish and bats
Ecology boundary	
	igation if Red hatched areas are excluded and the boundary is ammended as per Drawing RM/1317. Mitigation will still be and enhance the western boundary. No development should be allowed within 20 metres of the Gill Beck and the land adjacent
	not be used for public access.
	not be used for public access.
to Gill Beck should	not be used for public access.
to Gill Beck should	not be used for public access.

Utilities			
Gas			
Electric			
Fire and Rescue			
Telecoms			
Other			
English Heritage			
Natural England			

Planning History	Applications since 1/1/2009, covering more than 50% of the site		
App Number	Proposal	Decision	% of site
09/05594/FU	5 bedroom detached dwelling with integral double garage to garden site	R	52

# Spatial relationships

**UDP Designations** 

N32 Greenbelt	75.81	% overlap
N34 PAS	0.00	
RL1 Rural Land	0.00	
N1 Greenspace	0.00	
N1A Allotments	0.00	
N5 Open Space	0.00	
N6 Playing Pitch	0.00	
N8 Urban Green Corridor	0.00	
CC Shopping Quarter	0.00	
UDP City Centre	0.00	
S2S6 Town Centre	0.00	

0.00

Proposed Local Centre

Overlaps N37 SLA

# **Core Strategy**

Main Urban Area	0.00	% overlap
Major Settlement	1.00	
Minor Settlement	0.00	
Overlaps Urban Extension	<b>V</b>	

Inner South RA	0.00	% overlap
LB Corridor RA	0.00	
EASEL RA	0.00	
Aire Valley RA	0.00	
West Leeds Gateway	0.00	

# 1317 West Busk Lane (105 House and Garden), Otley LS21 3LX

Sch. Ancient Mon.	0.00
-------------------	------

# Other Spatial Relationship

Other Spatial Relationshi	р
LCC ownership %	0.00
Nearest train station	Guiseley
Nearest train station distance (m)	2716.91
Nearest bus stop	9872
Nearest bus stop distance (m)	69.71
Agricultural classification	Urban
Overlaps SSSI	
Overlaps SEGI	
Overlaps LNA	
Overlaps LNR	
Overlaps Conservation Area	
Overlaps Listed Building	
Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer	
Overlaps Public Right of Way	
Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone	<b>✓</b>
Overlaps EA Flood Zone	<b>✓</b>
Overlaps HSE Major Hazard	
Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline	
Overlaps Pot. Contamination	✓
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarde	ed

Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded 100m

road. Within flood zone 3a (high risk).

#### 1317 West Busk Lane (105 House and Garden), Otley LS21 3LX

# Conclusions Issues and Options Summary Green Belt site. Site contained by boundary of beck thereby limiting potential sprawl. However, Highways concerns as access is off private road. Within flood zone 3a (high risk). Site affects others? Sustainability summary Summary of reps Green belt and public transport. Statutory WYCA Falls outside 400m of the current core bus network. Consideration needs to be given to if this site would be able to meet the LDF public transport accessibility requirements should housing be brought forward. We agree that these sites would not be suitable for housing development. Distance to Core Network (Centre of site) Buses Per Hour Primary Health 957 5 No Yes Yes Comments on phasing **DPP Allocation** Not proposed as housing allocation **DPP Allocation Conclusion**

Green Belt site. Site contained by boundary of beck thereby limiting potential sprawl. However, Highways concerns as access is off private

# **Outer North West**

#### 1358 Midgley Farm, Otley

Site Details							
Easting	422201	Northing	445720	Site area ha	25.4	SP7	Major Settlement Extension
HMCA	HMCA Outer North West		Ward	Otley and Y	- 'eadon		

#### **Site Characteristics**

Site type	Craonfield
Sile ivbe	Greenreid
Oito typo	Ol Collinola

On-site land uses - None

Adjacent land uses - None

Other land uses - None

Topography	Landscape	
Boundaries	Road front	No

#### **Greenbelt Assessment**

#### 1. Check the unresticted sprawl of large built up areas

Would development lead to/cons				
Would development result in an i				
Is the site well connected to the built up area?				
Would development round off the settlement?				
Is there a good existing barrier between the existing urban area and the undeveloped land?				
Unrestricted Sprawl Conclusion				

#### 2. Prevent neighbouring towns from merging

Would development lead to physical connection of settlements?				
Do features provide bound				
Coalescence Conclusion				

#### 3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroahment

Strong defensible boundary				
Does the site provide acces				
Does the site include local/				
Areas of protected/unprote				
Site includes Grade 1, Grad				
Does the site contain buildings				
Are these buildings used fo				
Encroachment Conclusion				

Encroachment Conclusion

#### 4. Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns

Site within/adjacent to conservation area/listed building/historical features?			
Can development preserve this character?			
Character Conclusion			

Overall Conclusion from assessment against all 4 purposes of green belt and essential characteristics of openness and permanence

1358	Midgle	y Farm, Otley					
SHLAA conc	lusions						
Availability:	Short (=	<5 yrs)	Suitability:	Unsuitable	Achi	ievability:	Uncertain
Summary of	of infras	structure prov	ider comments				
Highways A Impact	gency		Network Status				
1							
Network Ra	nil						
Yorkshire W	/ater						
Treatment Wo	orks						
<b>Environmen</b> Constraints	nt Agency	<i>!</i>					
Constraints							
LCC							
Ecology suppo	ort						
Ecology bound	dary						
Education con	mmonto						
Education con	ninents						
Flood Risk							
Utilities							
Gas							1
Electric							
Fire and Resci	110						
The and Reserve	uc						
Telecoms							
Other							
English Herita	ige						
NI-1 15	1						
Natural Engla	nd						
Planning His	story A	pplications since 1	/1/2009, covering mol	re than 50% of the site			
App Number	r	Proposal			Decision	% of site	•

#### 1358 Midgley Farm, Otley

# Spatial relationships

<b>UDP Designations</b>		
N32 Greenbelt	100.00	% overlap
N34 PAS	0.00	
RL1 Rural Land	0.00	
N1 Greenspace	0.00	
N1A Allotments	0.00	
N5 Open Space	0.00	
N6 Playing Pitch	0.00	
N8 Urban Green Corridor	0.00	
CC Shopping Quarter	0.00	
UDP City Centre	0.00	
S2S6 Town Centre	0.00	
Proposed Local Centre	0.00	
Overlaps N37 SLA		
Sch. Ancient Mon.	0.00	

Core Strategy
Core Strategy

	_
Main Urban Area 0.00	% overlap
Major Settlement 0.00	ס
Minor Settlement 0.00	)
ps Urban Extension	_
_	

Inner South RA	0.00
LB Corridor RA	0.00
EASEL RA	0.00
Aire Valley RA	0.00
West Leeds Gateway	0.00

% overlap

# Other Spatial Relationship

0.00	LCC ownership %					
Guiseley	Nearest train station					
4843.99	Nearest train station distance (m)					
11254	Nearest bus stop					
314.50	Nearest bus stop distance (m)					
Grade 2	Agricultural classification					
	Overlaps SSSI					
	Overlaps SEGI					
	Overlaps LNA					
	Overlaps LNR					
	Overlaps Conservation Area					
	Overlaps Listed Building					
	Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer					
✓	Overlaps Public Right of Way					
<b>✓</b>	Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone					
<b>✓</b>	Overlaps EA Flood Zone					
	0 1 110514 1 11 1					

Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded	<b>~</b>
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded 100m	<b>✓</b>

Overlaps EA Flood Zone Overlaps HSE Major Hazard

Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline Overlaps Pot. Contamination

<b>✓</b>	

# **Outer North West**

# 1358 Midgley Farm, Otley

Conclusions	
Issues and Options Summary	
Site affects others?	
Sustainability summary	
Summary of reps	
development.	has only affected the eastern end of the site during the last 100 years. West section suitable for t since this minerals extraction operations in the Wharfe valley has been the subject of much examination in ruled out.
No statutory comments as site siev	ed out.
Comments on phasing	
DPP Allocation	
Not proposed as housing allocation	
DPP Allocation Conclusion	

Sieved out site. Flood Zone 3b (washland) on Strategic Flood Risk Assessment. Minerals safeguarded site.

#### 1369 Old Pool Bank, Pool in Wharfedale, Otley, LS21

Site Details								
Easting	424319	Northing	444999	Site area ha		11.1	SP7	Smaller Settlement Extension
HMCA Outer North West		Ward	Adel and W	harfedale				

#### **Site Characteristics**

Site type Greenfield

On-site land uses

Agriculture

Neighbouring land uses

Dwellings

Agriculture

Other land uses - None

Topogr	aphy	Sloping	Landscape	Limited Tree Cover
Bound	aries	Existing well defined	Road front	Yes

Description

#### **Greenbelt Assessment - Not Required**

#### 1. Check the unresticted sprawl of large built up areas

Would development lead to/constitue ribbon develop	ment? No
Would development result in an isolated development	t? No
Is the site well connected to the built up area?	No
Would development round off the settlement?	
Is there a good existing barrier between the existing and the undeveloped land?	urban area No
Unrestricted Sprawl Conclusion	

Unrestricted Sprawl Conclusion

#### 2. Prevent neighbouring towns from merging

Would development lead	No	
Do features provide bound	No	
Coalescence Conclusion		-

#### 3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroahment

Strong defensible boundary between site and urban area	No
Does the site provide access to the countryside	No
Does the site include local/national nature conservation areas (SSSIs	No
Areas of protected/unprotected woodland/trees/hedgerows?	No
Site includes Grade 1, Grade 2 or Grade 3a agricultural land?	No
Does the site contain buildings	No
Are these buildings used for agricultural purposes?	No

Encroachment Conclusion

#### 4. Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns

Site within/adjacent to conservation area/listed building/historical features?	No
Can development preserve this character?	

Character Conclusion

# Overall Conclusion from assessment against all 4 purposes of green belt and essential characteristics of openness and permanence

Existing Protected Area of Search (PAS) site in Unitary Development Plan.

#### 1369 Old Pool Bank, Pool in Wharfedale, Otley, LS21

# **SHLAA** conclusions Availability: Short (=<5 yrs) Suitability: LDF to determine Achievability: Medium (6-10yrs) Summary of infrastructure provider comments **LCC Highways Comments** Rank (1-5) Public transport accessibility comments Access to primary education ok for half of site. Poor for remaining services. Possible mitigation for 226 houses 2 Access comments Relies on site 1095 and Pool Bank Bypass 3 Local network comments Local congestion, mitigation required 2 Total score Mitigation measures By-pass and off site improvements required 7 Highways site support yes with substantial mitigation Contingent on other sites yes Contingent on other sites yes **Highways Agency** Impact No material impact No objection **Network Status** n/a **Network Rail** Yorkshire Water Pool Treatment Works Combined sewer within eastern boundary and rising main within northern road frontage boundary. **Environment Agency** FZ1 over1 ha. See comments in main text of our response. LCC Ecology support Supported Supported Ecology boundary **Education comments** 1095B, C and D, 1369 = 500 houses generates 125 primary and 50 secondary children. Small village school - Pool C of E - land would be required

#### **Outer North West**

#### 1369 Old Pool Bank, Pool in Wharfedale, Otley, LS21

to expand the school by 0.5FE

Flood Risk

Flood Zone 1. There are 2 minor watercourses running through the Southern part of the site, and the area adjacent to these is shown to be at high risk of surface water flooding.

#### **Utilities**

Gas

Northern Gas networks owns and operates a high pressure pipeline which runs across the southern section of this site. This pipeline will be protected with an easement. The Institution of Gas Engineers and Managers document TD1 Edition 5 recommends a minimum distance of 55m from a normally occupied property. In addition to the Northern Gas Networks apparatus two other high pressure pipelines cross this site, these are owned and operated by National Grid who should be consulted in this enquiry.

ric	
and Rescue	
coms	
er	
er sh Heritage	
ral England	

#### Planning History Applications since 1/1/2009, covering more than 50% of the site

App Number Proposal Decision % of site

#### Spatial relationships

# **UDP Designations**

% overlap

2.74	N32 Greenbelt
90.32	N34 PAS
0.00	RL1 Rural Land
0.00	N1 Greenspace
0.00	N1A Allotments
0.00	N5 Open Space
0.00	N6 Playing Pitch
0.00	N8 Urban Green Corridor
0.00	CC Shopping Quarter
0.00	UDP City Centre
0.00	S2S6 Town Centre
0.00	Proposed Local Centre
	Overlaps N37 SLA
0.00	Sch. Ancient Mon.

# **Core Strategy**

Main Urban Area	0.00
Major Settlement	0.00
Minor Settlement	1.00
Overlaps Urban Extension	<b>✓</b>

% overlap

# **Regeneration Areas**

Inner South RA	0.00	% overlap
LB Corridor RA	0.00	
EASEL RA	0.00	
Aire Valley RA	0.00	
West Leeds Gateway	0.00	

#### Other Spatial Relationship

LCC ownership %	0.00
-----------------	------

# 1369 Old Pool Bank, Pool in Wharfedale, Otley, LS21

Nearest train station		Horsforth
Nearest train station distance	Nearest train station distance (m)	
Nearest bus s	top	9378
Nearest bus stop distance	(m)	249.32
Agricultural classificat	tion	Grade 3b
Overlaps S	SSI	
Overlaps S	EGI	
Overlaps I	_NA	
Overlaps I	NR	
Overlaps Conservation A	rea	<b>✓</b>
Overlaps Listed Build	ling	
Overlaps Strat. Employment but	ffer	
Overlaps Public Right of V	Vay	
Overlaps SFRA Flood Z	one	
Overlaps EA Flood Z	one	
Overlaps HSE Major Haz	ard	
Overlaps HSE Gas Pipe	line	<b>~</b>
Overlaps Pot. Contaminat	tion	<b>✓</b>
Overlaps Minerals Safegu	uarde	ed
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded	100	m

#### 1369 Old Pool Bank, Pool in Wharfedale, Otley, LS21

#### Conclusions

Issues and Options Summary

Existing Protected Area of Search (PAS) site on UDP. Potentially suitable for housing however significant highway infrastructure requirements.

Site affects others?

Sustainability summary

Summary of reps

Anti

It has been suggested that part of this area could be used to extend the cemetary as the present graveyard round St Wilfriar's Church is almost full.

Land has covenants over it forbidding the building of houses.

Land has a major gas pipe running underneath.

Bypass should be considered if planning approved.

Church Close is too narrow for cars.

Traffic conditions through Pool on Arthington Lane and Pool are appalling - could create 1000 more daily car journeys.

Harrogate / Bradford Road which is already almost gridlocked at rush hours.

Alwoodley Gates site 2054 would be more suitable for this size of development.

It would turn Pool-in-Wharfdale into a town.

Should not have been taken out of Green Belt and designated PAS.

Sewage system inadequate.

The site is immediately adjacent to an ancient settlement.

Leeds should be considered as a whole not as standalone segments, as some areas of a city are likely to have far more brownfield sites available for development than others.

Will increase the size of the villages of Bramhope by 30% and Pool by 50% respectively without any consideration for infrastructure. Planning recently rejected to build a small number of new dwellings on Pool Court Arena - development much larger.

#### Neutral

As a compromise, would agree to one section of this land to be built on.

#### Pro

Need more housing - especially affordable housing so long as better roads and traffic management is provided / maybe extend the school. Many young people probably won't comment - most comments will be from elderly residents who already have homes.

Will connect with the park and ride proposed at Bodington as part of the "New Generation Transport" system for the city. The village is accessed by public transport routes and by private car and has good access to a range of employment locations including Otley, Leeds Bradford Airport and Harrogate.

Protected Areas of Search must be considered first in accordance with established policy over green belt site 1095. It is considered that the current consultation creates the misleading impression that the two sites should be considered as a whole.

The by-pass, which would run outside the western boundary of the Protected Area of Search and through the southern section, would deliver environmental and road safety benefits for the main centre of the village. However, it is not essential to housing development on site 1369. The nearest railway station to the sites is Weeton, which is less than 5 kilometres from the site northeast of Pool within North Yorkshire.

#### Statutory

WYCA

We are be minded to recommend that these site were not prioritised for housing until other more accessible site have been considered. Sites which fall marginally outside the 400m catchments and have high bus

frequencies or are small low capacity sites are less of concern but are flagged up nonetheless.

Distance to Core Network (Centre of site) Buses Per Hour Access to Primary Schools Access to Secondary Schools Access to Primary Health

3748 4 Yes Yes Yes

Comments on phasing

**DPP Allocation** 

Safeguarded land (PAS)

**DPP Allocation Conclusion** 

Existing Protected Area of Search (PAS) site on UDP. Potentially suitable for housing in the long term once CS priorities have been addressed. Combine with site 1369 as PAS site to meet numbers/highway viability for relief road.

# 2035 East Chevin Road, Otley

Site Deta	ails						
Easting	420599	Northing	445032	Site area ha	1.5	SP7	Major Settlement Infill
HMCA	Outer North	n West			Ward	Otley and Y	veadon

## **Site Characteristics**

Site type Brownfield

On-site land uses

Auction Market

Car Parks

Neighbouring land uses

Office

Dwellings

Vacant land

Other land uses - None

Topography	Flat	Landscape	No Tree Cover
Boundaries	Partially well-defined	Road front	Yes

Description

Brownfield site on the edge of Otley in use as an auction market site. The site lies to the north of the A660 Otley by-pass.

# **Greenbelt Assessment - Not Required**

# 1. Check the unresticted sprawl of large built up areas

Would development lead to/constitue ribbon development?	
Would development result in an isolated development?	
Is the site well connected to the built up area?	
Would development round off the settlement?	
Is there a good existing barrier between the existing urban area and the undeveloped land?	
Unrestricted Sprawl Conclusion	

# 2. Prevent neighbouring towns from merging

Would development lead	to physical connection of settlements?	
Do features provide bound	daries to contain the development?	
Coalescence Conclusion		

#### 3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroahment

3 3	
Strong defensible boundary between site and urban area	
Does the site provide access to the countryside	
Does the site include local/national nature conservation areas (SSSIs	
Areas of protected/unprotected woodland/trees/hedgerows?	
Site includes Grade 1, Grade 2 or Grade 3a agricultural land?	
Does the site contain buildings	
Are these buildings used for agricultural purposes?	

Encroachment Conclusion

# 4. Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns

Site within/adjacent to conservation area/listed building/historical features?	
Can development preserve this character?	

Character Conclusion

2035	East Chevin Road, Otley				
Overall Cor characteris	nclusion from assessment again tics of openness and permanen	st all 4 purposes o ce	f green belt and essentia	al	
SHLAA con	clusions				
Availability	: Short (early)	Suitability: Suita	ble	Achievability: Medium (6-10yrs)	
Summary	of infrastructure provider c	omments			
	ays Comments				D (4 E)
	ort accessibility comments	d £ b			Rank (1-5)
Bus services	half hourly to Leeds and Bradford, $\epsilon$	age or town centre i	ocation but no rail station		5
Access comr	nents				
Adequate fro	ntage, mitigation works				4
Local networ	k comments				
Local conges	tion issues but existing brownfield s	ite, some mitigation	required		5
					3
Mitigation m	easures				Total score
20 mph fund					1.4
					14
Highways sit	e support				
yes	о ощерот				
Contingent of	n other sites				
no					
Contingent of	n other sites				
no	if other sites				
Highways	Agency				
		k Status no ob	jection		
n/a	·				
Network R	ail				
Yorkshire \					
Treatment W	orks Otley ater course in the centre of the site in	uns north			
Surveited W	ater course in the centre of the site i	GIIS HOLUI			
Environme	nt Agency				
Constraints	. Con comments in marks to the fi	roopores			
rzi overi h	a. See comments in main text of ou	response.			
LCC					
Ecology supp	oort Supported				
Supported					

2035 East Chevin Road, Otley	
Ecology boundary	
Education comments	
Flood Risk	
Flood Zone 1	
Utilities Gas	
Electric	
Fire and Rescue	
Telecoms	
Other	
English Heritage	
This site lies within the Otley Conservation Area.  The NPPF makes it clear that the loss of a building which makes a positive contribution to the significance of a Conservation Area should be regarded as resulting in substantial harm to that area. Consequently, if the buildings on the site make a positive contribution to the Conservation Area, they should be retained.  If allocated, development proposals would need to ensure that those elements which contribute to the character of this part of the Conservation	
Area are not harmed.	
Natural England	
Planning History Applications since 1/1/2009, covering more than 50% of the site	
App Number Proposal Decision % of site	

# Spatial relationships

<b>UDP Designations</b>		
N32 Greenbelt	0.00	% overlap
N34 PAS	0.00	
RL1 Rural Land	0.00	
N1 Greenspace	0.00	
N1A Allotments	0.00	
N5 Open Space	0.00	
N6 Playing Pitch	0.00	
N8 Urban Green Corridor	0.00	
CC Shopping Quarter	0.00	
UDP City Centre	0.00	
S2S6 Town Centre	0.00	
Proposed Local Centre	0.00	
Overlaps N37 SLA		
Sch. Ancient Mon.	0.00	

# Core Strategy

Main Urban Area	0.00	% overlap
Major Cattlement	1 00	•
Major Settlement	1.00	
Minor Settlement	0.00	
Overlaps Urban Extension		
Overlaps orbait Extension	✓	

# **Regeneration Areas**

Inner South RA	0.00	% overlap
LB Corridor RA	0.00	
EASEL RA	0.00	
Aire Valley RA	0.00	
West Leeds Gateway	0.00	

# 2035 East Chevin Road, Otley

# Other Spatial Relationship

Nearest bus stop 3459  Nearest bus stop distance (m) 79.67  Agricultural classification Urban  Overlaps SSSI Overlaps SEGI Overlaps LNA Overlaps LNR Overlaps Conservation Area Overlaps Listed Building Verlaps SFRA Flood Zone Overlaps EA Flood Zone Overlaps HSE Major Hazard Overlaps Pot. Contamination  Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded		
Salar   Sala	LCC ownership %	0.00
learest train station distance (m)  Nearest bus stop  Nearest bus stop distance (m)  Agricultural classification  Overlaps SSSI  Overlaps SEGI  Overlaps LNA  Overlaps LNR  Overlaps Loverlaps LNR  Overlaps Listed Building  Verlaps Strat. Employment buffer  Overlaps Public Right of Way  Overlaps HSE Major Hazard  Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline  Overlaps Pot. Contamination  Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded	Nearest train station	Guiselev
Nearest bus stop distance (m) 79.67  Agricultural classification Urban  Overlaps SSSI Overlaps SEGI Overlaps LNA Overlaps LNR Overlaps Conservation Area Overlaps Listed Building Verlaps Strat. Employment buffer Overlaps Public Right of Way Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone Overlaps HSE Major Hazard Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline Overlaps Pot. Contamination  Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded	Nearest train station distance (m)	
Agricultural classification Urban  Overlaps SSSI Overlaps SEGI Overlaps LNA Overlaps LNR Overlaps Conservation Area Overlaps Listed Building Verlaps Strat. Employment buffer Overlaps Public Right of Way Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone Overlaps HSE Major Hazard Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline Overlaps Pot. Contamination  Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded	Nearest bus stop	3459
Overlaps SSSI Overlaps SEGI Overlaps LNA Overlaps LNR Overlaps Conservation Area Overlaps Listed Building Verlaps Strat. Employment buffer Overlaps Public Right of Way Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone Overlaps EA Flood Zone Overlaps HSE Major Hazard Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline Overlaps Pot. Contamination  Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded	Nearest bus stop distance (m)	79.67
Overlaps SEGI Overlaps LNA Overlaps LNR Overlaps Conservation Area Overlaps Listed Building Verlaps Strat. Employment buffer Overlaps Public Right of Way Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone Overlaps EA Flood Zone Overlaps HSE Major Hazard Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline Overlaps Pot. Contamination  Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded	Agricultural classification U	rban
Overlaps LNA Overlaps LNR Overlaps Conservation Area Overlaps Listed Building verlaps Strat. Employment buffer Overlaps Public Right of Way Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone Overlaps EA Flood Zone Overlaps HSE Major Hazard Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline Overlaps Pot. Contamination  Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded	Overlaps SSSI	
Overlaps LNR Overlaps Conservation Area Overlaps Listed Building Verlaps Strat. Employment buffer Overlaps Public Right of Way Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone Overlaps EA Flood Zone Overlaps HSE Major Hazard Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline Overlaps Pot. Contamination  Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded	Overlaps SEGI	
Overlaps LNR Overlaps Conservation Area Overlaps Listed Building Verlaps Strat. Employment buffer Overlaps Public Right of Way Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone Overlaps EA Flood Zone Overlaps HSE Major Hazard Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline Overlaps Pot. Contamination  Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded	Overlaps LNA	
Overlaps Listed Building verlaps Strat. Employment buffer Overlaps Public Right of Way Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone Overlaps EA Flood Zone Overlaps HSE Major Hazard Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline Overlaps Pot. Contamination  Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded	Overlaps LNR	
verlaps Strat. Employment buffer Overlaps Public Right of Way Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone Overlaps EA Flood Zone Overlaps HSE Major Hazard Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline Overlaps Pot. Contamination  Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded	Overlaps Conservation Area	<b>✓</b>
Overlaps Public Right of Way Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone Overlaps EA Flood Zone Overlaps HSE Major Hazard Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline Overlaps Pot. Contamination  Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded	Overlaps Listed Building	
Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone Overlaps EA Flood Zone Overlaps HSE Major Hazard Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline Overlaps Pot. Contamination  Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded	Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer	
Overlaps EA Flood Zone Overlaps HSE Major Hazard Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline Overlaps Pot. Contamination  Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded	Overlaps Public Right of Way	
Overlaps HSE Major Hazard Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline Overlaps Pot. Contamination  Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded	Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone	
Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline Overlaps Pot. Contamination  Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded	Overlaps EA Flood Zone	
Overlaps Pot. Contamination  Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded	Overlaps HSE Major Hazard	
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded	Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline	
	Overlaps Pot. Contamination	<b>~</b>
verlaps Minerals Safeguarded 100m	Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded	
Torrapo minioralo baroguaraba 100m	Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded 100m	

#### 2035 East Chevin Road, Otley

## Conclusions

Issues and Options Summary

UDP employment allocation E4.19. Brownfield site well located within the urban area. Potentially suitable for combination of residential / office use, subject to meeting policy requirements. No Highways concerns.

Site affects others?

Sustainability summary

Summary of reps

Anti

I would like to see this retained to provide a home for livestock auctions and other markets (eg car boots) which are popular. It is also the assembly point for our lovely carnival.

It should be retained for employment use.

Pro

The challenge will be in ensuring that this housing is appropriate for Otley and that a large propotion of the housing is officially designated as affordable. Issues of sustainability will also be key (particularly around transport and schooling).

Residential use is preferred to office use.

English Heritage

If the buildings on the site make a positive contribution to the Conservation Area, they should be retained.

Metro

Significant developer contributions will be required at these sites to improve the public transport to meet the council LDF accessibility policies; particularly in the larger sites should these be brought forward for development. These include SHLAA ref: 2062, 1032, 1046, 1017 & 1055. Sites which fall marginally outside the 400m catchments and have high bus frequencies or are small sites low capacity are less of concern but are flagged up nonetheless. The table also includes accessibility assessments results to access other services and facilities within acceptable journey times on public transport.

Distance to Core Network (Centre of site)

Buses Per Hour 5 Access to Primary School:

Primary Health

434

Yes

Yes

Comments on phasing

DPP Allocation

Preferred housing allocation

**DPP Allocation Conclusion** 

Conforms with CS hierarchy. Brownfield site within urban area

# 2051A King Lane, Alwoodley LS17

Site Details							
Easting	428922	Northing	441359	Site area ha	116.3	SP7	Main Urban Area Extension
HMCA	North Leeds	s, Outer Nor	th West		Ward	Alwoodley	

## **Site Characteristics**

Site type Greenfield

On-site land uses

Agriculture

Neighbouring land uses

Agriculture

Dwellings

Outdoor sport facility (golf)

Other land uses

Utilities - water storage (reservoir)

Topography	Undulating	Landscape	Limited Tree Cover
Boundaries	Partially well-defined	Road front	Yes

Description

Extensive sprawling site to the rear of properties on Alwoodley Lane extending to the boundary of Eccup reservoir to the north and to Eccup Lane, Eccup Moor Road and King Lane. The site is in agricultural use.

#### **Greenbelt Assessment**

# 1. Check the unresticted sprawl of large built up areas

•	<b>5</b> 1	
Would development lead to/cons	titue ribbon development?	No
Would development result in an i	Would development result in an isolated development?	
Is the site well connected to the built up area?		No
Would development round off the settlement?		No
Is there a good existing barrier band the undeveloped land?	etween the existing urban area	No
Unrestricted Sprawl Conclusion	High potential to lead to unrestric	ted sprawl

#### 2. Prevent neighbouring towns from merging

Would development lead	to physical connection of settlements?	No	
Do features provide boun	daries to contain the development?	No	
Coalescence Conclusion	No merging but there is no defensible bo	undary	

# 3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroahment

Strong defensible boundary between site and urban area	No
Does the site provide access to the countryside	Yes
Does the site include local/national nature conservation areas (SSSIs	Yes
Areas of protected/unprotected woodland/trees/hedgerows?	No
Site includes Grade 1, Grade 2 or Grade 3a agricultural land?	Yes
Does the site contain buildings	No
Are these buildings used for agricultural purposes?	No

Encroachment Conclusion Site performs an important role in safeguarding from encroachment

## 4. Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns

Site within/adjacent to	No		
Can development pres			
Character Conclusion No effect on the setting and special character of historic features			

#### 2051A King Lane, Alwoodley LS17

#### Overall Conclusion from assessment against all 4 purposes of green belt and essential characteristics of openness and permanence

Development of the site would lead to a significant incursion into the Green Belt creating unrestricted sprawl.

CIII			:	
ЭΗΙ	LAA	concl	usi	ons

Availability: Medium (6-10yrs) Suitability: LDF to determine Achievability: Longterm (11+yrs)

# Summary of infrastructure provider comments **LCC Highways Comments** Public transport accessibility comments Rank (1-5) Entire site outside accessibility standards. Small percentage within walking distance of primary health and education. 2 Access comments Scale of development would require multiple accesses to be provided, difficult to see how this requirement could be met given the 2 limited road frontages available. Local network comments King Lane in vicinity of site has significant defiencies. 1 **Total score** Mitigation measures Unknown. Site has only a small frontage onto King Lane, so extensive mitigation works would not appear possible. 5 Highways site support No Contingent on other sites

# **Highways Agency**

Contingent on other sites

	A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR	
Impact	Network Status	
Major impact - Likely to require significan	nt physical mitigation	

#### **Network Rail**

#### **Yorkshire Water**

Treatment Works Knostrop High Level

Large surface water sewers within south and east of site and raw water main in east.

#### **Environment Agency**

Constraints FZ 2 & 3

FZ1 over1 ha. Small encroachment by FZ3 next to Eccup Reservoir. See comments in main text of our response.

#### LCC

**Ecology support** Not supported

Not supported (RED). No site-specific designations but Eccup Reservoir Site of Special Scientific Interest is nationally designated based on its wintering and migrating wildfowl - these birds generally use the open water at night for protection and feed on surrounding grassland by day.

#### 2051A King Lane, Alwoodley LS17

Ecology boundary

**Education comments** 

Flood Risk

Flood Zone 1. Minor watercourse runs through site and discharges into Eccup Reservoir

Utilities

Gas

Electric

Fire and Rescue

Telecoms

Other

English Heritage

Natural England

**Planning History** Applications since 1/1/2009, covering more than 50% of the site

**App Number Proposal** Decision % of site

# Spatial relationships

# LIDP Designations

% overlap

99.99
0.00
0.00
0.00
0.00
0.00
0.00
0.00
0.00
0.00
0.00
0.00
<b>✓</b>
0.00

# Core Strategy

Main Urban Area	0.01	% overlap
Major Settlement	0.00	
Minor Settlement	0.00	
Overlaps Urban Extension	<b>~</b>	

# **Regeneration Areas**

Inner South RA	0.00	% overlap
LB Corridor RA	0.00	
EASEL RA	0.00	
Aire Valley RA	0.00	
West Leeds Gateway	0.00	

# Other Spatial Relationship

LCC ownership %	99.26
-----------------	-------

# 2051A King Lane, Alwoodley LS17

Nearest train station	H	lorsforth
Nearest train station distance (	m)	5018.41
Nearest bus st	top	172
Nearest bus stop distance (	m)	583.44
Agricultural classificati	ion Gr	ade 3
Overlaps SS	SSI	<b>✓</b>
Overlaps SE	EGI	
Overlaps L	NA	
Overlaps L	NR	
Overlaps Conservation A	rea	
Overlaps Listed Build	ing	
Overlaps Strat. Employment buf	fer	
Overlaps Public Right of W	/ay	<b>&gt; &gt; &gt;</b>
Overlaps SFRA Flood Zo	one	<b>✓</b>
Overlaps EA Flood Zo	one	<b>✓</b>
Overlaps HSE Major Haza	ard	
Overlaps HSE Gas Pipel	ine	
Overlaps Pot. Contaminat	ion	<b>✓</b>
Overlaps Minerals Safegu	arded	
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded	100m	

#### 2051A King Lane, Alwoodley LS17

#### Conclusions

Issues and Options Summary

Green Belt site. Development of the site would lead to a significant incursion into the Green Belt creating unrestricted sprawl. Highways concerns re. poor accessibility, access and local network capacity.

Site affects others?

#### Sustainability summary

Significant negative- community cohesion and local distinctiveness (size of site relative to settlement), greenfield, ecology objection, landscape and natural resources (agricultural land). Minor negative - access to education and leisure facilities, community participation (poor access), greenspace, greenhouse emissions, transport network and accessibility. Minor positive - flood risk.

#### Summary of reps

Disagree

Important recreation area for local residents.

Isolated from current housing.

No building of any more houses.

Less disruption to peoples lives.

Site unsuitable and object to any proposal to build here.

Strongly favour this site keeping its protected status.

Site considered a 'protected area.' (UDP, Vol, 2, p.67)

Insufficient road infrastructure would be adversely affected by development.

Development there would represent a major isolated new settlement completely lacking in any facilities.

# Agree

Local network capacity inadequate.

Large site but is contained within defensible boundaries which will contain it and prevent sprawl.

Good transport infrastructure.

Site has clearly defined boundaries.

Closeness to Leeds.

Localised smaller scale devlopements at the head of Lakeland Drive and at the open fork in Windemere Drive should be possible without invoking sprawl or access issues.

Well supplied with good traffic arteries

Would not have a dramatic effect on traffic patterns or solid structures.

#### Statutory

Metro - "We agree that these sites would not be suitable for housing development."

Comments on phasing

**DPP Allocation** 

Not proposed as housing allocation

## DPP Allocation Conclusion

Green Belt site. Development of the site would lead to a significant incursion into the Green Belt creating unrestricted sprawl. Highways concerns re. poor accessibility, access and local network capacity.

# 2051B King Lane, Alwoodley LS17

A	n	
Site	Details	

Easting	428415	Northing	440640	Site area ha	20.3	SP7	Main Urban Area Extension
HMCA North Leeds, Outer North West		Ward	Alwoodley				

## **Site Characteristics**

Site type Greenfield

On-site land uses

Agriculture

Neighbouring land uses

Outdoor sport facility

Dwellings

Managed Forest

Other land uses - None

Topography	Sloping	Landscape	Limited Tree Cover
Boundaries	Existing well defined	Road front	Yes

Description

Land to the west of King Lane bordering Headingley Golf Course in agricultural use.

## **Greenbelt Assessment**

## 1. Check the unresticted sprawl of large built up areas

Would development lead to/cons	titue ribbon development?	No
Would development result in an i	solated development?	No
Is the site well connected to the	built up area?	Yes
Would development round off the	e settlement?	No
Is there a good existing barrier b and the undeveloped land?	etween the existing urban area	No
Unrestricted Sprawl Conclusion	Low potential to lead to unrestric	cted sprawl

# 2. Prevent neighbouring towns from merging

Would development lead	to physical connection of settlements?	Yes
Do features provide boun	No	
Coalescence Conclusion	No merging of settlements	

#### 3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroahment

Strong defensible boundary between site and urban area	No
Does the site provide access to the countryside	Yes
Does the site include local/national nature conservation areas (SSSIs	No
Areas of protected/unprotected woodland/trees/hedgerows?	No
Site includes Grade 1, Grade 2 or Grade 3a agricultural land?	Yes
Does the site contain buildings	No
Are these buildings used for agricultural purposes?	No

Encroachment Conclusion Site performs an important role in safeguarding from encroachment

# 4. Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns

Site within/adjacent to conservation area/listed building/historical features?		No		
Can development preserve this character?				
Character Conclusion	No effect on the setting and special character of historic features			

#### 2051B King Lane, Alwoodley LS17

# Overall Conclusion from assessment against all 4 purposes of green belt and essential characteristics of openness and permanence

Unrelated to the existing settlement pattern. Well contained site reducing potential for further sprawl.

Availability: Medium (6-10yrs)

Suitability: LDF to determine

Achievability: Longterm (11+yrs)

# Summary of infrastructure provider comments **LCC Highways Comments** Public transport accessibility comments Rank (1-5) Entire site outside accessibility standards, small percentage within walking distance of primary health and education. 2 Access comments Scale of development would require multiple accesses to be provided, difficult to see how this requirement could be met given the 2 limited road frontages available. Local network comments King Lane in vicinity of site has significant defiencies. 1 **Total score** Mitigation measures Unknown. Site has only a small frontage onto King Lane, so extensive mitigation works would not appear possible. 5 Highways site support No Contingent on other sites

#### **Highways Agency**

Contingent on other sites

Impact Network Status

Major impact - Likely to require significant physical mitigation

#### **Network Rail**

#### Yorkshire Water

Treatment Works Knostrop High Level

Sewers and water mains in access road through centre.

#### **Environment Agency**

Constraints

FZ1 over1 ha. See comments in main text of our response.

#### LCC

Ecology support Not supported

Not supported (RED). No site-specific designations but Eccup Reservoir SSSI is nationally designated based on its wintering and migrating wildfowl – these birds generally use the open water at night for protection and feed on surrounding grassland by day.

#### 2051B King Lane, Alwoodley LS17

Ecology boundary

**Education comments** 

Flood Risk

Flood Zone 1

Utilities

Gas

Electric

Fire and Rescue

Telecoms

Other

English Heritage

Natural England

**Planning History** Applications since 1/1/2009, covering more than 50% of the site

**App Number Proposal** Decision % of site

# Spatial relationships

# **UDP Designations**

N32 Greenbelt 99.99 % overlap N34 PAS 0.00 **RL1 Rural Land** 0.00 N1 Greenspace 0.00 N1A Allotments 0.00 N5 Open Space 0.00 N6 Playing Pitch 0.00 N8 Urban Green Corridor 26.87 CC Shopping Quarter 0.00 **UDP City Centre** 0.00 S2S6 Town Centre 0.00 Proposed Local Centre 0.00 Overlaps N37 SLA ✓ Sch. Ancient Mon. 0.00

# **Core Strategy**

0.01	% overlap
0.00	
0.00	
<b>V</b>	
	0.00

# **Regeneration Areas**

Inner South RA	0.00	% overlap
LB Corridor RA	0.00	
EASEL RA	0.00	
Aire Valley RA	0.00	
West Leeds Gateway	0.00	

# Other Spatial Relationship

LCC ownership % 99.	.49
---------------------	-----

# 2051B King Lane, Alwoodley LS17

Nearest train station	Н	orsforth
Nearest train station distance (	m)	4266.64
Nearest bus st	ор	7075
Nearest bus stop distance (	m)	255.86
Agricultural classificati	on Gra	ade 3
Overlaps SS	SSI	
Overlaps SE	GI	✓
Overlaps L	NA	
Overlaps L	NR	<b>✓</b>
Overlaps Conservation Ar	rea	
Overlaps Listed Buildi	ing	
Overlaps Strat. Employment buf	fer	
Overlaps Public Right of W	/ay	<b>✓</b>
Overlaps SFRA Flood Zo	ne	
Overlaps EA Flood Zo	ne	$\Box$
Overlaps HSE Major Haza	ard	$\Box$
Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeli	ine	$\overline{\Box}$
Overlaps Pot. Contaminati	ion	
Overlaps Minerals Safegu	arded	
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded	100m	

#### 2051B King Lane, Alwoodley LS17

## Conclusions

Issues and Options Summary

The site has no defensible Green Belt boundary, but the potential sprawl is contained by the golf course, road and woodland.

Site affects others?

Sustainability summary

Significant negative - community cohesion and local distinctiveness (size of site relative to settlement), greenfield, ecoloby objection, landscape and natural resources (agricultural land). Minor negative - access to leisure facilities, community participation (poor access), greenhouse emissions, transport network and accessibility. Minor positive - access to health, greenspace and flood risk.

Summary of reps

Disagree

Isolated from current housing

Poor local network capacity

unsuitable and object to any proposal to build here

The width of king Lane between The Crescent and Alwoodley Lane is inadequate for current vehicular traffic.

Would severely impact the area of Adel.

Substandard road network

Agree

Located relatively close to centre of Leeds.

Contained within defensible boundaries to prevent urban sprawl.

Relatively good transport links.

far better transport infrastructure to support housing development

Statutory

Given the poor accessibility, we agree that this site would not be suitable for housing development. (Metro)

Comments on phasing

DPP Allocation

Not proposed as housing allocation

**DPP Allocation Conclusion** 

Green Belt site. Development of the site would lead to a significant incursion into the Green Belt creating unrestricted sprawl. Highways concerns re. poor accessibility, access and local network capacity.

# 2054 Harrogate Road, Moortown LS17

Site Details			

Site Det	alis						
Easting	431038	Northing	441266	Site area ha	22.2	SP7	Main Urban Area Extension
HMCA	North Leeds	s, Outer Nor	th East, Ou	ter North West	Ward	Alwoodley	

## **Site Characteristics**

Site type Greenfield

On-site land uses

Agriculture

Neighbouring land uses

Agriculture

Unmanaged Forest

Dwellings

Other land uses - None

Topography	Flat and sloping	Landscape	Limited Tree Cover
Boundaries	Partially well-defined	Road front	Yes

Description

Greenfield site in agricultural use to the west of Harrogate Road close to Eccup reservoir. Access from roundabout on Harrogate Road

## **Greenbelt Assessment**

# 1. Check the unresticted sprawl of large built up areas

-		
Would development lead to/cons	No	
Would development result in an i	No	
Is the site well connected to the built up area?		No
Would development round off the settlement?		No
Is there a good existing barrier between the existing urban area and the undeveloped land?		No
Unrestricted Sprawl Conclusion High potential to lead to unrestri		cted sprawl

# 2. Prevent neighbouring towns from merging

Would development lead to physical connection of settlements?		Yes
Do features provide boun	daries to contain the development?	No
Coalescence Conclusion	No merging but there is no defensible bo	undary

#### 3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroahment

Strong defensible boundary between site and urban area	No
Does the site provide access to the countryside	No
Does the site include local/national nature conservation areas (SSSIs	Yes
Areas of protected/unprotected woodland/trees/hedgerows?	No
Site includes Grade 1, Grade 2 or Grade 3a agricultural land?	Yes
Does the site contain buildings	No
Are these buildings used for agricultural purposes?	No

Encroachment Conclusion Site does not perform an important role in safeguarding from encroachment

# 4. Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns

Site within/adjacent to	conservation area/listed building/historical features?	No		
Can development preserve this character?				
Character Conclusion No effect on the setting and special character of historic features				

# 2054 Harrogate Road, Moortown LS17

# Overall Conclusion from assessment against all 4 purposes of green belt and essential characteristics of openness and permanence

The site is unrelated to the existing settlement pattern and would represent sprawl to the western side of the Harrogate Road.

SHLAA conclusions					
Availability: Short (	=<5 yrs)	Suitability:	LDF to determine	Achievability: Longterm (11+yrs)	
Summary of infra	astructure prov	vider comments			
LCC Highways Com	ments				
Public transport acces					Rank (1-5)
		ansport. Only a small	part of the site is acce	essible to health and education.	3
					<b>3</b>
Access comments					
Size of site would requ	uire at least 2 junct	tions with external hig	hway. Constraints on I	Harrogate Road.	
					2
Local network comme	nts				
		problems leading to	queuing at peak times		
	,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	3		2
Mitigation massures					Total score
Mitigation measures mitigation may not be	possible				
					7
Highways sits support					
Highways site support	<u> </u>				
Contingent on other si	ites				
contingent on other st	1103				
Contingent on other si	ites				
	1				
Highways Agency			1		
Impact No material i	impact	Network Status	No objection		
11/ a	_				
Network Rail					
Yorkshire Water					
Treatment Works	Knostrop High Lev				
Three large water ma	ins cross the centre	e of the site north/sou	ıth and a rising main ir	the northern boundary.	
<b>Environment Agend</b>	су				
Constraints					
FZ1 over1 ha. See co	mments in main te	ext of our response.			
LCC					
Ecology support	Not supported				
		ocianations but Essua	Doconyoir CCCL is notio	nally designated based on its wintering and migra	ting wildfowl

these birds generally use the open water at night for protection and feed on surrounding grassland by day.

# 2054 Harrogate Road, Moortown LS17

Ecology boundary

**Education comments** 

Flood Risk

Flood Zone 1. Adjacent to Eccup Reservoir.

Utilities

Gas

Electric

Fire and Rescue

Telecoms

Other

English Heritage

Natural England

Planning History Applications since 1/1/2009, covering more than 50% of the site

App Number Proposal Decision % of site

## Spatial relationships

# **UDP Designations**

100.00	% overlap
0.00	
0.00	
0.00	
0.00	
0.00	
0.00	
0.00	
0.00	
0.00	
0.00	
0.00	
<b>✓</b>	
0.00	
	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00

# **Core Strategy**

Main Urban Area	0.00	% overlap
Major Settlement	0.00	
Minor Settlement	0.00	
Overlaps Urban Extension		

# **Regeneration Areas**

Inner South RA	0.00	% overlap
LB Corridor RA	0.00	
EASEL RA	0.00	
Aire Valley RA	0.00	
West Leeds Gateway	0.00	

# Other Spatial Relationship

LCC ownership %	99.98
-----------------	-------

# 2054 Harrogate Road, Moortown LS17

Nearest train station Bu	rley Park
Nearest train station distance (m)	6850.08
Nearest bus stop	7381
Nearest bus stop distance (m)	529.43
Agricultural classification Gr	ade 3
Overlaps SSSI	<b>✓</b>
Overlaps SEGI	Ī
Overlaps LNA	<u>~</u>
Overlaps LNR	
Overlaps Conservation Area	$\overline{\Box}$
Overlaps Listed Building	$\overline{\Box}$
Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer	
Overlaps Public Right of Way	
Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone	$\overline{\Box}$
Overlaps EA Flood Zone	$\Box$
Overlaps HSE Major Hazard	$\Box$
Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline	
Overlaps Pot. Contamination	$\overline{\Box}$
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded	
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded 100m	

#### 2054 Harrogate Road, Moortown LS17

#### Conclusions

Issues and Options Summary

Green Belt site. The site is unrelated to the existing settlement pattern and would represent sprawl to the western side of the Harrogate Road. Highways concerns re access and local network capacity.

Site affects others?

Sustainability summary

Summary of reps

Anti

This is a red kite preservation area around Eccup and we have at least 150 birds now thriving in this area. It is a regular migratory path for the Canadian geese and an area of outstanding natural beauty and attracts walkers.

Pro

More suitable than Pool and Bramhope sites.

The process of selection is not being used fairly in this case.

Traffic impact on the A61 would be far less than on the A660.

Very clearly defined boundaries with the A61 to the east and elsewhere surrounded by woodland, well contained with strongly defensible boundaries to prevent urban sprawl.

This site was deemed suitable for a Park and Ride facility some years ago, so clearly traffic/access problems can be solved.

Would enable the numbers of houses to be better distributed throughout the Outer North West area.

Well related to the existing urban area.

Area would also be able to absorb a large number of houses without changing the fundamental feel of the community.

Metro

From a public transport perspective, these sites (in principle) would be acceptable for housing development. For the larger sites, site specific mitigation would be required but it is unlikely that substantial bus service provision would be required at these sites (based on the current public transport network).

Distance to Core Network (Centre of site) Buses Per Hour Access to Primary Schools Access to Secondary Schools Access to Primary Health

251 4 Yes Yes Yes

Comments on phasing

DPP Allocation

Not proposed as housing allocation

**DPP Allocation Conclusion** 

Green belt site. Highway concerns regarding access and local network capacity. Part of site identified as Park and Ride in the UDP

# 2130 Church Lane, Adel

c:+~	Details	
7116	Delans	

Easting	427242	Northing	440371	Site area ha	14.8	SP7	Main Urban Area Extension
HMCA	Outer North	n West			Ward	Adel and W	harfedale

## **Site Characteristics**

Site type Greenfield

On-site land uses

Agriculture

Dwellings

Neighbouring land uses

Agriculture

Dwellings

Places of worship

Other land uses - None

Topography	Flat	Landscape	Limited Tree Cover
Boundaries	Partially well-defined	Road front	Yes

Description

Greenfield site between Otley Lane and Church Lane. The majority of the site is in agricultural use with a number of residential dwellings on the western site boundary.

#### **Greenbelt Assessment - Not Required**

# 1. Check the unresticted sprawl of large built up areas

Would development lead to/constitue ribbon development?	No
Would development result in an isolated development?	No
Is the site well connected to the built up area?	No
Would development round off the settlement?	
Is there a good existing barrier between the existing urban area and the undeveloped land?	No
Unrestricted Sprawl Conclusion	

# 2. Prevent neighbouring towns from merging

Would development lead	No	
Do features provide bound	No	
Coalescence Conclusion		

## 3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroahment

Strong defensible boundary between site and urban area	No
Does the site provide access to the countryside	No
Does the site include local/national nature conservation areas (SSSIs	No
Areas of protected/unprotected woodland/trees/hedgerows?	No
Site includes Grade 1, Grade 2 or Grade 3a agricultural land?	Yes
Does the site contain buildings	No
Are these buildings used for agricultural purposes?	No

**Encroachment Conclusion** 

# 4. Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns

Site within/adjacent to conservation area/listed building/historical features?	No
Can development preserve this character?	

Character Conclusion

## 2130 Church Lane, Adel

Overall Conclusion from assessment against all 4 purposes of green belt and essential characteristics of openness and permanence

PAS (Protected Area of Search) site in Unitary Development Plan.

#### **SHLAA** conclusions

Availability: Short (=<5 yrs) Suitability: LDF to determine Achievability: Medium (6-10yrs)

#### Summary of infrastructure provider comments

# Public transport accessibility comments Public transport accessibility comments 50% of site meets Core Strategy standard. Local shops within walking distance Access comments No access from Church Lane, main access from Otley Road signalised. Other mitigation also possibly required 4 Local network comments Local congestion issues, mitigation potential 3

Mitigation measures

No access off Church Lane, possible limited access from adjacent site, main access from Otley Road with new signal junction(s)

10

Total score

Highways site support

yes with mitigation

Contingent on other sites

no

Contingent on other sites

no

## **Highways Agency**

Impact	No material impact	Network Status	No objection
n/a			

#### **Network Rail**

#### Yorkshire Water

Treatment Works Knostrop High Level
Combined sewer in north western corner.

#### **Environment Agency**

Constraints

FZ1 over1 ha. See comments in main text of our response.

Ecology support S	Supported
Supported	

#### 2130 Church Lane, Adel

Ecology boundary

**Education comments** 

2130=186 houses generates 46.5 primary and 19 secondary children. Pressure for places in Adel. Expansion of existing school needed.

Flood Zone 1, although there are a couple of minor watercourses running through the site.

#### **Utilities**

Gas

Electric

Fire and Rescue

Telecoms

#### Other

English Heritage

This site adjoins the boundary of the Adel St John's Conservation Area.

In view of the duty on the Council to preserve or enhance the character or appearance of its Conservation Areas including their setting, there will need to be some assessment of what contribution this area makes to the landscape setting of the Conservation Area. If this area does make an important contribution to the character of the Conservation Area, then the plan would need to explain why its loss and subsequent development is considered to be acceptable.

If allocated, development proposals would need to ensure that those elements which contribute to the character of that part of the adjacent Conservation Area are not harmed.

#### Natural England

Housing sites 1080, 1002, 3367A, 3400 and 2130 within 2km of Breary Marsh SSSI/LNR (see citation below). The effects of each site (if progressed) should be assessed alone and cumulatively. Www.sssi.naturalengland.org.yk/citation/citation\_photo/1004046.pdf

#### **Planning History** Applications since 1/1/2009, covering more than 50% of the site

Decision % of site **App Number Proposal** 

#### Spatial relationships

UDP Designations		
N32 Greenbelt	0.85	% overlap
N34 PAS	99.15	
RL1 Rural Land	0.00	
N1 Greenspace	0.00	
N1A Allotments	0.00	
N5 Open Space	0.00	
N6 Playing Pitch	0.00	
N8 Urban Green Corridor	0.00	
CC Shopping Quarter	0.00	
UDP City Centre	0.00	
S2S6 Town Centre	0.00	
Proposed Local Centre	0.00	
Overlaps N37 SLA	<b>✓</b>	
Sch. Ancient Mon.	0.00	

# Core Strategy

Main Urban Area	0.01	% overlap
Major Settlement	0.00	
Minor Settlement	0.00	
Overlaps Urban Extension	<b>✓</b>	

# **Regeneration Areas**

Inner South RA	0.00	% overlap
LB Corridor RA	0.00	
EASEL RA	0.00	
Aire Valley RA	0.00	
West Leeds Gateway	0.00	

# 2130 Church Lane, Adel

# Other Spatial Relationship

LCC ownership %	0.00
Nearest train station	Horsforth
Nearest train station distance (m)	3078.17
Nearest bus stop	7354
Nearest bus stop distance (m)	256.06
Agricultural classification	Grade 3b
Overlaps SSSI	
Overlaps SEGI	
Overlaps LNA	
Overlaps LNR	
Overlaps Conservation Area	
Overlaps Listed Building	
Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer	
Overlaps Public Right of Way	<b> </b>
Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone	
Overlaps EA Flood Zone	
Overlaps HSE Major Hazard	
Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline	
Overlaps Pot. Contamination	<b>✓</b>
Overlaps Minerals Safeguard	ed
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded 100	)m

#### 2130 Church Lane, Adel

#### Conclusions

Issues and Options Summary

This is a PAS (Protected Area of Search) site and does not benefit from Green Belt protection. A limited amount of protected trees are positioned throughout the site, the majority to the west which surround existing buildings. These will need to be considered carefully at the design stage, a public right of way also crosses the site. New development is being constructed immediately to the south. Development would require suitable access into the site, which is constrained by existing properties within the site boundary and concerns over additional traffic on Church Lane and Adel Lane.

Site affects others?

Sustainability summary

Summary of reps

Juli III al y Ol I

Developers are sure to submit applications for large executive homes rather than the more modest family units that are required locally. Visual impact on nearby Church - Grade I Listed, Church is of regional importance.

Has an ancient public right of way leading across from the Otley Road to the Church and is used by many walkers who then continue their walk through the Churchyard and then on to Back Church Lane either to the other local site of great interest and beauty (York Gate) or onwards up into Adel woods.

Concerns regarding NGT and diversions which would be aggravated by more traffic.

Consideration should be given to the concept of running a service road parallel to the Otley Road along the front of Bodington hall and the government land with some suitable shops and parking to serve the passing trade.

Congestion problems on the A660.

Access direct from Site Ref 1079 to Church Lane would be too close to the junction with Back Church Lane and the bend in Church Lane - visibility problems.

A watercourse goes through this site, which flows into Meanwood Valley Beck - a large housing development would increase pollution risk to the beck.

Present drainage system cannot deal with the volumes of surface water - future flooding of our property (Adel Mill) is likely due to the scale of the proposed development and its proximity to Adel Mill.

Pro

Access could be from Otley Road so as to prevent traffic pressure on Adel Lane and also encourage use of Otley Road bus services. Should be considered as more suitable for house building than Green Belt protected sites.

Let it be for accommodation for elderly people in Adel of whom there are many, who may wish to downsize from a larger property yet remain in this area where they have friends - rather than executive housing.

Could be considered for a gypsy and traveller site. It is on the perimeter of the proposed housing developments, and traditional the gypsy and traveller community do like Adel. The area is similar in size to Cottingley Springs, although if consideration was to be given then better facilities should be offered on site.

English Heritage

If allocated, development proposals would need to ensure that those elements which contribute to the character of that part of the adjacent Conservation Area are not harmed.

Metro

The sites in this list are supported for housing growth. Site specific mitigation would be required but it is unlikely that substantial bus service provision would be required at these sites (based on the current public transport network). From a public transport perspective, we would encourage the prioritisation of these sites based on the proximity to the core public transport network and the bus service frequency levels. Distance to Core Network (Centre of site)

Buses Per Hour

Access to Primary Schools

Access to Secondary Schools

Access to Primary Health

381 6 Yes Yes Yes

Comments on phasing

**DPP Allocation** 

Preferred housing allocation

DPP Allocation Conclusion

PAS site on UDP, suitable for residential in principle subject to suitable design, conservation and access considerations. A 2 FE primary school to be included within the site.

# 3002 Land north St Davids Road, Newall Otley

Site Details							
Easting	419650	Northing	446886	Site area ha	1.7	SP7	Major Settlement Extension
HMCA	Outer North	Outer North West			Ward	Ward Otley and Yeadon	

## **Site Characteristics**

Site type Greenfield

On-site land uses

Agriculture

Neighbouring land uses

Agriculture

**Dwellings** 

Other land uses - None

Topogra	phy Sloping	Landscape	Limited Tree Cover
Bounda	ies Existing well defined	Road front	No

Description

Greenfield site in agricultural use to the north of properties on St Davids Road. Pedestrian access via a foot path from St Davids Road. No highway access.

#### **Greenbelt Assessment**

## 1. Check the unresticted sprawl of large built up areas

Would development lead to/constitue ribbon development?	No
Would development result in an isolated development?	No
Is the site well connected to the built up area?	No
Would development round off the settlement?	No
Is there a good existing barrier between the existing urban are and the undeveloped land?	ea No
Unrestricted Sprawl Conclusion High potential to lead to unr	restricted sprawl

## 2. Prevent neighbouring towns from merging

=		
Would development lead	to physical connection of settlements?	No
Do features provide bour	ndaries to contain the development?	No
Coalescence Conclusion	No merging but there is no defensible bo	oundary

# 3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroahment

Strong defensible boundary between site and urban area	No
Does the site provide access to the countryside	No
Does the site include local/national nature conservation areas (SSSIs	No
Areas of protected/unprotected woodland/trees/hedgerows?	No
Site includes Grade 1, Grade 2 or Grade 3a agricultural land?	Yes
Does the site contain buildings	No
Are these buildings used for agricultural purposes?	No

Encroachment Conclusion Site does not perform an important role in safeguarding from encroachment

# 4. Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns

Site within/adjacent to	conservation area/listed building/historical features?	No	
Can development prese	erve this character?		
Character Conclusion	No effect on the setting and special character of histor	ic features	

**Environment Agency** 

FZ1 over1 ha. See comments in main text of our response.

Constraints

# 3002 Land north St Davids Road, Newall Otley

# Overall Conclusion from assessment against all 4 purposes of green belt and essential characteristics of openness and permanence

Development would represent an isolated extension into Green Belt to the north of the existing settlement limits of Otley, creating urban sprawl.

SHLAA conclusions	•				
Availability: Short		Suitability	: LDF to determine	Achievability:	Longterm (11+yrs)
Summary of infr	astructure p	ovider comments			
LCC Highways Con					
Public transport acces					Rank (1-
Half site ok for access	s to health and o	education, but lacks acc	ess to local facilities.	Public Transport Core Strategy	standards not met 1
Access comments					
No access to highway	y, existing private	e route through is narro	w, not suitable even a	s a private drive	1
Local network comme					
Existing issues with S	ot Davids Road ai	nd level of development	already served by sin	gie access point	3
Mitigation measures					Total sco
Unknown at this stag	e	'			5
Highways site suppor	rt				
no		,			
Contingent on other s	sites				
yes					
Contingent on other s	sites				
yes					
Highways Agency					
Impact No material	impact	Network Status	No objection		
n/a					
Network Rail					
Yorkshire Water					
Treatment Works	Otley				
Water's Asset Manag AMP(6) will run from particularly importan sewerage and WwTV	ement Plans (AM April 2015 to Ma t that sites which V capacity. If a d	IP) to ensure the necestarch 2020. Phasing is on represent a 10% or greveloper wants to bring	sary infrastructure and ne method used to ens reater increase in popu g a site forward before	capacity can be provided to ser	n line with YW's investment. It is ald take into account available ad improvements it may be

# 3002 Land north St Davids Road, Newall Otley

LCC	1		
Ecology support	Not supported		
	. No site-specific designations but includes a beck on the vector with mature/dying trees with good ecological value $\frac{1}{2}$		to the north on steeply sloping land, and a
Ecology boundary			
Supported with mitig required to ensure in	ation if Red hatched areas are excluded and boundary sub npacts on adjacent habitats are addressed.	stantially amended as p	er drawing RM/3002. Mitigation will still be
Education comments			
Flood Risk			
Flood Zone 1			
Utilities			
Gas			
Electric			
Fire and Rescue			
Telecoms			
Other			
English Heritage			
Natural England			
Planning History	Applications since 1/1/2009, covering more than 50% of the	e site	
App Number	Proposal	Decision	% of site

# Spatial relationships

<b>UDP Designations</b>		
N32 Greenbelt	100.00	% overlap
N34 PAS	0.00	
RL1 Rural Land	0.00	
N1 Greenspace	0.00	
N1A Allotments	0.00	
N5 Open Space	0.00	
N6 Playing Pitch	0.00	
N8 Urban Green Corridor	0.00	
CC Shopping Quarter	0.00	
UDP City Centre	0.00	
S2S6 Town Centre	0.00	
Proposed Local Centre	0.00	
Overlaps N37 SLA		
Sch. Ancient Mon.	0.00	

Core Strategy		
Main Urban Area	0.00	% overlap
Major Settlement	1.00	
Minor Settlement	0.00	
Overlaps Urban Extension		
	•	

Regeneration Areas			
0.00	% overlap		
0.00			
0.00			
0.00			
0.00			
	0.00 0.00 0.00		

# 3002 Land north St Davids Road, Newall Otley

# Other Spatial Relationship

LCC ownership %	0.00
Nearest train station	Guiseley
Nearest train station distance (m)	4738.65
Nearest bus stop	7867
Nearest bus stop distance (m)	410.47
Agricultural classification	Urban
Overlaps SSSI	
Overlaps SEGI	
Overlaps LNA	
Overlaps LNR	
Overlaps Conservation Area	
Overlaps Listed Building	
Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer	
Overlaps Public Right of Way	
Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone	
Overlaps EA Flood Zone	
Overlaps HSE Major Hazard	
Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline	
Overlaps Pot. Contamination	
Overlaps Minerals Safeguard	ed
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded 100	)m

#### 3002 Land north St Davids Road, Newall Otley

# Conclusions Issues and Options Summary Green Belt site. Development would represent an isolated extension into Green Belt to the north of the existing settlement limits of Otley, creating urban sprawl. Highways concerns re access and accessibility. Site affects others? Sustainability summary Summary of reps Site is too steep and undulating and at a higher elevation than the rest of the Otley. Would create further accessibility problems on an already sprawling estate which effectively has only one access. Statutory WYCA We agree that these sites would not be suitable for housing development. Distance to Core Network (Centre of site) Buses Per Hour Access to Primary Schools Access to Secondary Schools Access to Primary Health 5 1565 No No No Comments on phasing **DPP Allocation** Not proposed as housing allocation

**DPP Allocation Conclusion** 

Green Belt site. Development would represent an isolated extension into Green Belt to the north of the existing settlement limits of Otley, creating urban sprawl. Highways concerns regarding access and accessibility.

# 3021 Otley Golf Course

Site Deta	ails						
Easting	418095	Northing	444814	Site area ha	9.7	SP7	Other
HMCA	Outer North	n West			Ward	Otley and Y	veadon veadon

## **Site Characteristics**

Site type Greenfield

On-site land uses - None

Adjacent land uses - None

Other land uses - None

Topography	Landscape	
Boundaries	Road front	No

Description

## **Greenbelt Assessment**

## 1. Check the unresticted sprawl of large built up areas

Would development lead to/cons	titue ribbon development?	
Would development result in an i		
Is the site well connected to the built up area?		
Would development round off the settlement?		
Is there a good existing barrier between the existing urban area and the undeveloped land?		
Unrestricted Sprawl Conclusion		

# 2. Prevent neighbouring towns from merging

Would development lead to physical connection of settlements?		
Do features provide bound		
Coalescence Conclusion		

## 3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroahment

Strong defensible boundary between site and urban area	
Does the site provide access to the countryside	
Does the site include local/national nature conservation areas (SSSIs	
Areas of protected/unprotected woodland/trees/hedgerows?	
Site includes Grade 1, Grade 2 or Grade 3a agricultural land?	
Does the site contain buildings	
Are these buildings used for agricultural purposes?	

Encroachment Conclusion

# 4. Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns

Site within/adjacent to	conservation area/listed building/historical features?	
Can development pres	erve this character?	
Character Conclusion		

Overall Conclusion from assessment against all 4 purposes of green belt and essential characteristics of openness and permanence

# **Outer North West** 3021 **Otley Golf Course SHLAA** conclusions Availability: Unknown Suitability: LDF to determine Achievability: Longterm (11+yrs) Summary of infrastructure provider comments **Highways Agency** Impact **Network Status Network Rail Yorkshire Water** Treatment Works **Environment Agency** Constraints LCC Ecology support Ecology boundary **Education comments** Flood Risk Utilities Gas Electric Fire and Rescue

Other	

Telecoms

English Heritage

Natural England

**Planning History** Applications since 1/1/2009, covering more than 50% of the site

App Number Proposal Decision % of site

# 3021 Otley Golf Course

# Spatial relationships

UDP Designations		
N32 Greenbelt	100.00	% overlap
N34 PAS	0.00	
RL1 Rural Land	0.00	
N1 Greenspace	0.00	
N1A Allotments	0.00	
N5 Open Space	0.00	
N6 Playing Pitch	0.00	
N8 Urban Green Corridor	0.00	
CC Shopping Quarter	0.00	
UDP City Centre	0.00	
S2S6 Town Centre	0.00	
Proposed Local Centre	0.00	
Overlaps N37 SLA		
Sch. Ancient Mon.	0.00	

# Core Strategy

Main Urban Area	0.00	% overlap
Major Settlement	0.00	
Minor Settlement	0.00	
Overlaps Urban Extension	<b>✓</b>	

% overlap

# **Regeneration Areas**

Inner South RA	0.00
LB Corridor RA	0.00
EASEL RA	0.00
Aire Valley RA	0.00
West Leeds Gateway	0.00

# Other Spatial Relationship

0.17	LCC ownership
Guiseley	Nearest train station
(m) 2710.64	Nearest train station distance
top 11143	Nearest bus s
(m) 275.33	Nearest bus stop distance
ion Grade 3	Agricultural classificat
SSI	Overlaps S
	Overlaps S
NA	Overlaps L
NR	Overlaps L
rea	Overlaps Conservation A
ling	Overlaps Listed Build
ffer	Overlaps Strat. Employment but
Vay 🗸	Overlaps Public Right of V
Vay vone vone	Overlaps SFRA Flood Z
one 🗸	Overlaps EA Flood Z
	Overlaps HSE Major Haz
	Overlaps HSE Gas Pipe
	Overlaps Pot. Contaminat
uarded	Overlaps Minerals Safegu

Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded 100m

# 3021 Otley Golf Course

Conclusions	
Issues and Options Summary	
Site affects others?	
Sustainability summary	
3 3	
Summary of reps	
Gypsy and Traveller comment:	
The Rumplecroft and Bradford Road	sites would not have suitable access for large vehicles.
Comments on phasing	
DPP Allocation	
Not proposed as housing allocation	
DPP Allocation Conclusion	
Site sieved out. Not within settlemen	nt hierarchy.

# 3022 Laurel Bank/Ivy Bank, Bradford Road

Site Deta	ails							
Easting	417912	Northing	444617	Site area ha	7.4	SP7	Other	
HMCA	Outer North	n West			Ward	Otley and Y	Yeadon	

## **Site Characteristics**

Site type   Greenfield	

On-site land uses - None

Adjacent land uses - None

Other land uses - None

Topography	Landscape	
Boundaries	Road front	No

Descri	ption

# **Greenbelt Assessment**

## 1. Check the unresticted sprawl of large built up areas

Would development lead to/cons	titue ribbon development?	
Would development result in an i		
Is the site well connected to the	built up area?	
Would development round off the		
Is there a good existing barrier band the undeveloped land?		
Unrestricted Sprawl Conclusion		

# 2. Prevent neighbouring towns from merging

Would development lead to physical connection of settlements?		
Do features provide bound		
Coalescence Conclusion		

# 3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroahment

Strong defensible boundary	between site and urban area	
Does the site provide acces		
Does the site include local/		
Areas of protected/unprote		
Site includes Grade 1, Grad		
Does the site contain buildi		
Are these buildings used fo		
Encroachment Conclusion		

# 4. Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns

Site within/adjacent to conservation area/listed building/historical features?		
Can development pres		
Character Conclusion		

Overall Conclusion from assessment against all 4 purposes of green belt and essential characteristics of openness and permanence

# 3022 Laurel Bank/Ivy Bank, Bradford Road **SHLAA** conclusions Availability: Unknown Suitability: LDF to determine Achievability: Longterm (11+yrs) Summary of infrastructure provider comments **Highways Agency** Impact **Network Status Network Rail Yorkshire Water** Treatment Works **Environment Agency** Constraints LCC Ecology support Ecology boundary **Education comments** Flood Risk Utilities Gas Electric Fire and Rescue Telecoms Other English Heritage Natural England **Planning History** Applications since 1/1/2009, covering more than 50% of the site **App Number Proposal** Decision % of site

#### 3022 Laurel Bank/Ivy Bank, Bradford Road

#### Spatial relationships

UDP Designations		
N32 Greenbelt	97.62	% overlap
N34 PAS	0.00	
RL1 Rural Land	0.00	
N1 Greenspace	0.00	
N1A Allotments	0.00	
N5 Open Space	0.00	
N6 Playing Pitch	0.00	
N8 Urban Green Corridor	0.00	
CC Shopping Quarter	0.00	
UDP City Centre	0.00	
S2S6 Town Centre	0.00	
Proposed Local Centre	0.00	
Overlaps N37 SLA		
Sch. Ancient Mon.	0.00	

Core Strategy	

	_
Main Urban Area 0.00	% overlap
Major Settlement 0.00	ס
Minor Settlement 0.00	)
ps Urban Extension	_
_	

% overlap

Inner South RA	0.00
LB Corridor RA	0.00
EASEL RA	0.00
Aire Valley RA	0.00
West Leeds Gateway	0.00

# Other Spatial Relationship

LCC ownership % 10.19 Nearest train station Guiseley Nearest train station distance (m) 2582.97 6132 Nearest bus stop

Nearest bus stop distance (m)

Agricultural classification Grade 4

169.39

	Overlaps SSSI
	Overlaps SEGI
	Overlaps LNA
	Overlaps LNR
	Overlaps Conservation Area
	Overlaps Listed Building
	Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer
✓	Overlaps Public Right of Way
✓	Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone
<b>✓</b>	Overlaps EA Flood Zone
	Overlaps HSE Major Hazard
$\overline{\Box}$	Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline
<u> </u>	Overlaps Pot. Contamination
<b>✓</b>	Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded
<b>✓</b>	Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded 100m

Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded 100m

# 3022 Laurel Bank/Ivy Bank, Bradford Road

onclusions	
ssues and Options Summary	
te affects others?	
ustainability summary	
ummary of reps	_
ypsy and Traveller comment: he Rumplecroft and Bradford Road sites would not have suitable access for large vehicles.	
omments on phasing	
PP Allocation	
ot proposed as housing allocation	
PP Allocation Conclusion	_
Ite sieved out. Not within settlement hierarchy.	

#### 3025 Birdcage Walk, Otley

Site Detai	ls
------------	----

Easting	419969	Northing	444950	Site area ha	1.3	SP7	Major Settlement Extension
HMCA	Outer North	n West			Ward	Otley and Y	eadon

#### **Site Characteristics**

Site type Greenfield

On-site land uses

**Unmanaged Forest** 

Transport tracks and ways

Neighbouring land uses

Agriculture

Dwellings

Transport tracks and ways

Other land uses - None

Topogr	aphy	Sloping	Landscape	Significant Tree Cover
Bounda	ries	Partially well-defined	Road front	Yes

Description

Long narrow site running parallel to the north side of Birdcage Walk. All of site occupied by trees.

#### **Greenbelt Assessment**

#### 1. Check the unresticted sprawl of large built up areas

Unrestricted Sprawl Conclusion High potential to lead to unrestr		cted sprawl
Is there a good existing barrier be and the undeveloped land?	Yes	
Would development round off the	No	
Is the site well connected to the I	No	
Would development result in an i	Yes	
Would development lead to/cons	Yes	

### 2. Prevent neighbouring towns from merging

Would development lead	No	
Do features provide bound	No	
Coalescence Conclusion	oalescence Conclusion No merging of settlements	

#### 3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroahment

Strong defensible boundary between site and urban area	Yes
Does the site provide access to the countryside	No
Does the site include local/national nature conservation areas (SSSIs	No
Areas of protected/unprotected woodland/trees/hedgerows?	No
Site includes Grade 1, Grade 2 or Grade 3a agricultural land?	No
Does the site contain buildings	No
Are these buildings used for agricultural purposes?	No

Encroachment Conclusion | Site does not perform an important role in safeguarding from encroachment

## 4. Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns

Site within/adjacent to	conservation area/listed building/historical features?	No		
Can development pres	erve this character?			
Character Conclusion	Character Conclusion No effect on the setting and special character of historic fe			

LCC

Ecology support

Not supported

#### 3025 Birdcage Walk, Otley

Overall Conclusion from assessment against all 4 purposes of green belt and essential characteristics of openness and permanence

narrow site creating ribbon development to the south side of Otley bynass

SHLAA conclusions				
Availability:	Suitability	: Unsuitable	Achievability:	
Summary of infrastructure	e provider comments			
LCC Highways Comments				
Public transport accessibility com				Rank (1-5)
Average to good Accessibility all r	ound			4
Access comments				
Poor land gradient levels (on enbasite to unlock	ankment towards A660), mu	Itiple accesses not s	suitable due to speed of road and trees. Diffi	icult 1
Local network comments				
Possible cummulative impact in lo	ocal area			4
Mitigation measures				Total score
land levels overhaul, access impro	ovements			9
Highways site support				
no				
Contingent on other sites				
no				
Contingent on other sites no				
Highways Agency				
Impact No material impact	Network Status	No objection		
Network Rail				
Yorkshire Water				
Treatment Works Otley				
Various sized sewers and water n	nains within whole site.			
Environment Agency				
Constraints	main tout of our research			
FZ1 over1 ha. See comments in	main text of our response.			

Not supported (RED). This proposed allocation lies over a linear strip of Lowland mixed deciduous woodland a UK Biodiversity Action Plan habitat. This woodland forms an important wildlife corridor. Allocation would be counter to policies on increasing woodland cover in Leeds in the draft LDF.

#### 3025 Birdcage Walk, Otley

Ecology boundary

**Education comments** 

Flood Risk

Flood Zone 1

## Utilities

Gas

Electric

Fire and Rescue

Telecoms

#### Other

English Heritage

Natural England

## Planning History Applications since 1/1/2009, covering more than 50% of the site

App Number Proposal Decision % of site

#### Spatial relationships

# **UDP** Designations

UDP Designations		
N32 Greenbelt	96.42	% overlap
N34 PAS	0.00	
RL1 Rural Land	0.00	
N1 Greenspace	0.00	
N1A Allotments	0.00	
N5 Open Space	0.00	
N6 Playing Pitch	0.00	
N8 Urban Green Corridor	0.00	
CC Shopping Quarter	0.00	
UDP City Centre	0.00	
S2S6 Town Centre	0.00	
Proposed Local Centre	0.00	
Overlaps N37 SLA	<b>✓</b>	
Sch. Ancient Mon.	0.00	

# **Core Strategy**

NAS's Hub as Assa	0.00	04
Main Urban Area	0.00	% overlap
Major Settlement	1.00	
Minor Settlement	0.00	
Overlaps Urban Extension	<b>~</b>	

# **Regeneration Areas**

Inner South RA	0.00	% overlap
LB Corridor RA	0.00	
EASEL RA	0.00	
Aire Valley RA	0.00	
West Leeds Gateway	0.00	

# Other Spatial Relationship

LCC ownership %	25.99
-----------------	-------

# 3025 Birdcage Walk, Otley

Nearest train station	Guiseley
Nearest train station distance (m)	2952.86
Nearest bus stop	2742
Nearest bus stop distance (m)	227.91
Agricultural classification	Grade 4
Overlaps SSS	
Overlaps SEG	
Overlaps LNA	
Overlaps LNF	!
Overlaps Conservation Area	
Overlaps Listed Building	
Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer	
Overlaps Public Right of Way	/
Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone	
Overlaps EA Flood Zone	,
Overlaps HSE Major Hazard	
Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline	
Overlaps Pot. Contamination	
Overlaps Minerals Safeguare	ded
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded 10	0m

#### 3025 Birdcage Walk, Otley

#### Conclusions

Issues and Options Summary

Green Belt site. Very narrow site creating ribbon development to the south side of Otley bypass. The site currently forms a landscape buffer to Otley bypass. Highways concerns; direct access to individual properties would need to be taken from Birdcage Walk, mature trees would cause difficulties in gaining visibility at entrances.

Site affects others?

Sustainability summary

Summary of reps

Would represent ribbon development.

Statutory

WYCA

From a public transport perspective, these sites (in principle) would be acceptable for housing development. For the larger sites, site specific mitigation would be required but it is unlikely that substantial bus service provision would be required at these sites (based on the current public transport network).

Distance to Core Network (Centre of site)

Buses Per Hour

Access to Primary Schools Access to Secondary Schools Access to

Primary Health

204

5

Yes

Yes

Yes

Comments on phasing

**DPP Allocation** 

Not proposed as housing allocation

**DPP Allocation Conclusion** 

Green Belt site. Very narrow site creating ribbon development to the south side of Otley bypass. The site currently forms a landscape buffer to Otley bypass. Highways concerns; direct access to individual properties would need to be taken from Birdcage Walk, mature trees would cause difficulties in gaining visibility at entrances.

#### 3190 Manor Garage, Leeds Road, Otley

Site Details							
Easting	420680	Northing	445049	Site area ha	0.3	SP7	Major Settlement Infill
HMCA	A Outer North West			Ward	Otley and \	/eadon	

#### **Site Characteristics**

C!1 - 1	D
SITE TUNE	RECMUTION
JILC LYPC	Brownfield

On-site land uses - None

Adjacent land uses - None

Other land uses - None

Topography	Landscape	
Boundaries	Road front	No

Descri	ption

#### **Greenbelt Assessment - Not Required**

#### 1. Check the unresticted sprawl of large built up areas

Would development lead to/cons	titue ribbon development?	
Would development result in an isolated development?		
Is the site well connected to the	built up area?	
Would development round off the settlement?		
Is there a good existing barrier b and the undeveloped land?	etween the existing urban area	
Unrestricted Sprawl Conclusion		

## 2. Prevent neighbouring towns from merging

Would development lead	to physical connection of settlements?	
Do features provide bound	daries to contain the development?	
Coalescence Conclusion		

#### 3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroahment

Strong defensible boundary between site and urban area		
Does the site provide access to the countryside		
Does the site include local/national nature conservation areas (SSSIs		
Areas of protected/unprotected woodland/trees/hedgerows?		
Site includes Grade 1, Grade 2 or Grade 3a agricultural land?		
Does the site contain buildings		
Are these buildings used for agricultural purposes?		
Encroachment Conclusion		

#### 4. Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns

Site within/adjacent to conservation area/listed building/historical features?		
Can development pres	erve this character?	
Character Conclusion		

Overall Conclusion from assessment against all 4 purposes of green belt and essential characteristics of openness and permanence

# 3190 Manor Garage, Leeds Road, Otley **SHLAA** conclusions Availability: Short (=<5 yrs) Achievability: Short (=<5yrs) Suitability: Suitable Summary of infrastructure provider comments **LCC Highways Comments** Public transport accessibility comments Rank (1-5) Poor employment and Public Transport, good local services 3 Access comments Good frontage with adopted highway 5 Local network comments possible cummulative impact in local area 4 Total score Mitigation measures access improvements 12 Highways site support Contingent on other sites Contingent on other sites **Highways Agency** Impact No material impact **Network Status** No objection **Network Rail Yorkshire Water** Treatment Works **Environment Agency** Constraints LCC Supported **Ecology support** Supported Ecology boundary **Education comments**

# 3190 Manor Garage, Leeds Road, Otley

Flood Risk			
Utilities			
Gas			
Electric			
Fire and Rescue			
The and Nescue			
Telecoms			
Other	1		

Planning History	Applications since 1/1/2009, covering more than 50% of the site		
App Number	Proposal	Decision	% of site
11/00780/EXT	Extension of time period for planning permission 08/01687/FU demolition of car showroom, laying out of access and erection of 14 houses and garages	Α	83
12/02612/FU	Erection of 14 houses, associated garages and formation of access	Α	83

# Spatial relationships

English Heritage

Natural England

% overlap

<b>UDP Designations</b>		
N32 Greenbelt	0.00	ç
N34 PAS	0.00	
RL1 Rural Land	0.00	
N1 Greenspace	0.00	
N1A Allotments	0.00	
N5 Open Space	0.00	
N6 Playing Pitch	0.00	
N8 Urban Green Corridor	0.00	
CC Shopping Quarter	0.00	
UDP City Centre	0.00	
S2S6 Town Centre	0.00	
Proposed Local Centre	0.00	
Overlaps N37 SLA		
Sch. Ancient Mon.	0.00	

# **Core Strategy**

33		
Main Urban Area	0.00	% overlap
Major Settlement	1.00	
Minor Settlement	0.00	
Overlaps Urban Extension	<b>V</b>	

Reg	ene	rati	on I	Areas
-----	-----	------	------	-------

Inner South RA	0.00	% overla
LB Corridor RA	0.00	
EASEL RA	0.00	
Aire Valley RA	0.00	
West Leeds Gateway	0.00	

# Other Spatial Relationship

LCC ownership %	0.00
-----------------	------

# 3190 Manor Garage, Leeds Road, Otley

Nearest train station	Guiseley
Nearest train station distance (m)	3369.49
Nearest bus stop	7259
Nearest bus stop distance (m)	83.14
Agricultural classification	Urban
Overlaps SSSI	
Overlaps SEGI	
Overlaps LNA	
Overlaps LNR	
Overlaps Conservation Area	<b>✓</b>
Overlaps Listed Building	
Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer	
Overlaps Public Right of Way	
Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone	
Overlaps EA Flood Zone	
Overlaps HSE Major Hazard	
Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline	
Overlaps Pot. Contamination	<b>✓</b>
Overlaps Minerals Safeguard	led
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded 100	)m

# 3190 Manor Garage, Leeds Road, Otley

Conclusions	
Issues and Options Summary	
Site affects others?	
Sustainability summary	]
ouotamasmity ourminary	
C	]
Summary of reps	
Comments on phasing	
DPP Allocation	
Identified housing site	
DPP Allocation Conclusion	
	d planning permission or existing UDP allocation. Principle of residential development accepted

#### 3348 The Manor House And Clitherow House, Our Lady And All Saints Church, Manor Square, Otley

Site Deta	ails						
Easting	420141	Northing	445672	Site area ha	0.1	SP7	Major Settlement Infill
HMCA	Outer North	n West		1	Ward	Otley and '	Yeadon
Site Cha	racteristic	cs					
Site type	Brownfield						
On-site land	On-site land uses - None						
Adjacent land uses - None							
Other land	uses - None						
Topograph	ıy				Landscape		
Boundarie	es .				Road front		
Description	ı						

## **Greenbelt Assessment - Not Required**

#### 1. Check the unresticted sprawl of large built up areas

Would development lead to/constitue ribbon development?	
Would development result in an isolated development?	
Is the site well connected to the built up area?	
Would development round off the settlement?	
Is there a good existing barrier between the existing urban area and the undeveloped land?	
Unrestricted Sprawl Conclusion	

#### 2. Prevent neighbouring towns from merging

Would development lead	to physical connection of settlements?	
Do features provide bound	daries to contain the development?	
Coalescence Conclusion		

#### 3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroahment

Strong defensible boundary between site and urban area	
Does the site provide access to the countryside	
Does the site include local/national nature conservation areas (SSSIs	
Areas of protected/unprotected woodland/trees/hedgerows?	
Site includes Grade 1, Grade 2 or Grade 3a agricultural land?	
Does the site contain buildings	
Are these buildings used for agricultural purposes?	
Encroachment Conclusion	

# 4. Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns

Site within/adjacent to conservation area/listed building/historical features?		
Can development pres	erve this character?	
Character Conclusion		

Overall Conclusion from assessment against all 4 purposes of green belt and essential characteristics of openness and permanence	

# 3348 The Manor House And Clitherow House, Our Lady And All Saints Church, Manor Square, Otley **SHLAA** conclusions Availability: Short (=<5 yrs) Suitability: Suitable Achievability: Short (=<5yrs) Summary of infrastructure provider comments **Highways Agency** Impact **Network Status Network Rail Yorkshire Water** Treatment Works **Environment Agency** Constraints LCC Ecology support Ecology boundary **Education comments** Flood Risk Utilities Gas Electric Fire and Rescue Telecoms Other English Heritage Natural England **Planning History** Applications since 1/1/2009, covering more than 50% of the site

Flairling History	Applications since 1/1/2009, covering more than 30/8 of the site	•		
App Number	Proposal	Decision	% of site	
11/03008/LI	Listed Building Application for internal and external alterations including part two storey part single storey extension and demolition of store room and car parking	Α	100	

#### 3348 The Manor House And Clitherow House, Our Lady And All Saints Church, Manor Square, Otley 10/04846/FU Alterations and single storey glazed extension to form W 64 Parish Centre with 7 flats over Consent, agreement or approval required by conditions 3, 4, 12/00277/COND Α 100 5 and 6 of Planning Application 11/03008/LI 12/00461/COND Consent, agreement or approval required by conditions 7 Α 100 and 9 of Planning Application 11/03008/LI 12/00276/COND Consent, agreement or approval required by conditions 7, 8, Α 100 9, 10, 11, 13 and 15 of Planning Application 11/03009/FU 11/03009/FU Alterations and part two storey part single storey extension Α 100

#### Spatial relationships

UDP Designations		
N32 Greenbelt	0.00	% overlap
N34 PAS	0.00	
RL1 Rural Land	0.00	
N1 Greenspace	0.00	
N1A Allotments	0.00	
N5 Open Space	0.00	
N6 Playing Pitch	0.00	
N8 Urban Green Corridor	0.00	
CC Shopping Quarter	0.00	
UDP City Centre	0.00	
S2S6 Town Centre	0.00	
Proposed Local Centre	0.00	
Overlaps N37 SLA		
Sch. Ancient Mon.	0.00	

Core	Strategy

to form Parish Centre and 6 flats and car parking

Main Urban Area	0.00	% overla
Major Settlement	1.00	
Minor Settlement	0.00	
Overlaps Urban Extension	<b>~</b>	

#### **Regeneration Areas**

% overlap	0.00	Inner South RA
	0.00	LB Corridor RA
	0.00	EASEL RA
	0.00	Aire Valley RA
	0.00	West Leeds Gateway

# Other Spatial Relationship

LCC ownership %	0.00
Nearest train station	Guiseley
Nearest train station distance (m)	3688.25
Nearest bus stop	9144
Nearest bus stop distance (m)	102.74

# Agricultural classification Urban

Overlaps SSSI	
Overlaps SEGI	
Overlaps LNA	
Overlaps LNR	
Overlaps Conservation Area	<b>✓</b>
Overlaps Listed Building	<b>✓</b>
Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer	
Overlaps Public Right of Way	
Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone	
Overlaps EA Flood Zone	
Overlaps HSE Major Hazard	
Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline	
Overlaps Pot. Contamination	

Outer No	Outer North West						
3348	The Manor House An	d Clitherow House, Our Lady And All Saints Church, Manor Square, Otley					
	verlaps Minerals Safeguarded s Minerals Safeguarded 100m						

# The Manor House And Clitherow House, Our Lady And All Saints Church, Manor Square, Otley

Conclusions	
Issues and Options Summary	
Site affects others?	
Sustainability summary	
Summary of reps	
Comments on phasing	
DPP Allocation	
Identified housing site	
DPP Allocation Conclusion	
	d planning permission or existing UDP allocation. Principle of residential development accepted

#### 3360B Cookridge Hall Golf Course (N)

#### **Site Details**

Easting	426114	Northing	440801	Site area ha	36.7	SP7	
HMCA	North Leeds	s, Outer Nor	th West		Ward	Adel and W	harfedale

#### **Site Characteristics**

Site type Mixed

On-site land uses - None

Adjacent land uses - None

Other land uses - None

Topography	Undulating	Landscape	Limited Tree Cover
Boundaries	Poorly defined	Road front	Yes

#### Description

Site occupied by Cookridge Golf Course and buildings associated with the use of the golf course. Undulating site extends northwards from Holt Lane with highway access from Cookridge Lane.

#### **SHLAA** conclusions

Availability: Short (=<5 yrs)

Suitability: LDF to determine

Achievability: Longterm (11+yrs)

#### Summary of infrastructure provider comments

#### **Highways Agency**

Impact	Material impact	Network Status	No objection subject to satisfactory mitigation

#### **Network Rail**

#### Yorkshire Water

Treatment Works Knostrop

Knostrop High and Low Level are large works which serve the bulk of Leeds. Development that will connect to the public sewer system needs to be co-ordinated with Yorkshire Water's Asset Management Plans (AMP) to ensure the necessary infrastructure and capacity can be provided to serve the site. The forthcoming AMP(6) will run from April 2015 to March 2020. Phasing is one method used to ensure sites are brought forward in line with YW's investment. It is particularly important that sites which represent a 10% or greater increase in population served by the works should take into account available sewerage and WwTW capacity. If a developer wants to bring a site forward before YW have completed any planned improvements it may be possible for the developer to provide contributions. The amount would be determined by a developer funded feasibility study.

#### **Environment Agency**

#### Constraints

FZ1 over 1 ha. See comments in main text of our response.

#### LCC

Ecology support Not supported

Not supported (RED). Breary Marsh Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) lies only 200m from this proposed allocation. The site has important wetland communities (wet woodland and fen) and lies down stream of the proposed housing site. Any development has potential impacts on water quality and quantity as well as the pattern of run-off. The current drainage associated with the golf course should not be assumed to be optimal for the SSSI. Any proposed allocation in this area will need to include measures to meet the optimal quality and quantity surface water run-off to the SSSI. Surface water attenuation basins would need to be included which should be designed to include fen and wet woodland. Seed should be of local provenance ideally from the SSSI. Measures will be needed to maintain and enhance water quality during construction and in the longer term. Some parts of the SSSI are dry (W10) woodland. The golf course currently supports the following UK BAP priority habitats: Flowing water, Lowland mixed deciduous woodland and Ponds. The ponds support a good range of wildfowl and waders including curlew and lapwing. The water course/ponds within the site have records of water vole and white clawed crayfish both UK protected species. The area also has records of harvest mouse a UK and Leeds BAP priority species which will be associated with unmanaged grassland and fen. The area also has important records for wildfowl, waders and passerine birds.

#### 3360B Cookridge Hall Golf Course (N)

Ecology boundary

Supported with mitigation if Red hatched areas are excluded and the boundary is amended as per Drawing RM/3360 - to reflect the most valuable habitats near Breary Marsh SSSI and Breary Marsh Local Nature Reserve and habitats in the east that help provide an east-west wildlife corridor function. Breary Marsh SSSI lies only 400m (and Breary Marsh LNR only 200m) from this proposed allocation. The site has important wetland communities (wet woodland and fen) and lies down stream of the proposed housing site. Any development has potential impacts on water quality and quantity as well as the pattern of run-off. The current drainage associated with the golf course should not be assumed to be optimal for the SSSI. Any proposed allocation in this area will need to include measures to meet the optimal quality and quantity surface water run-off to the SSSI advice needed from Natural England. Surface water attenuation basins would need to be included which should be designed to include fen and wet woodland. Seed should be of local provenance ideally from the SSSI. Measures will be needed to maintain and enhance water quality during construction and in the longer term. Some parts of the SSSI are dry (W10) woodland. The golf course currently supports the following UK BAP priority habitats: Flowing water, Lowland mixed deciduous woodland and Ponds. The ponds support a good range of wildfowl and waders including curlew and lapwing. The water course/ponds within the site have records of water vole and white clawed crayfish both UK protected species. The area also has records of harvest mouse a UK and Leeds Biodiversity Action Plan priority species which will be associated with unmanaged grassland and fen. The area also has important records for wildfowl, waders and passerine birds. Mitigation will also be required to protect and enhance the northern and eastern boundaries. Some off-site mitigation/compensation may be acceptable to enhance the area between the allocation and Breary Marsh LNR to assist it to become part of the LN

Education comments							
Should this come to	fruition, we would r	equest land from	part of the develo	opment for nev	v school pr	ovision.	
Flood Risk							
Flood Zone 1							
Utilities							
Gas							
Electric							
Fire and Rescue							
Telecoms							
1 0.00011.0							
Other							
English Heritage							
Natural England							
Planning History	Applications since	1/1/2009, coverin	g more than 50% o	of the site			
App Number	Proposal			E	Decision	% of site	
Spatial relationshi	ps						
<b>UDP</b> Designat	ions		Core Strate	av			
CDI DOSIGNA			23.00.00	793			

# 3360B Cookridge Hall Golf Course (N)

% overlap

N32 Greenbelt	99.94
N34 PAS	0.00
RL1 Rural Land	0.00
N1 Greenspace	0.07
N1A Allotments	0.00
N5 Open Space	15.22
N6 Playing Pitch	0.00
N8 Urban Green Corridor	0.00
CC Shopping Quarter	0.00
UDP City Centre	0.00
S2S6 Town Centre	0.00
Proposed Local Centre	0.00
Overlaps N37 SLA	<b>✓</b>
Sch. Ancient Mon.	0.00

Main Urban Area	0.06	% overlap
Major Settlement	0.00	
Minor Settlement	0.00	
Overlaps Urban Extension	<b>✓</b>	

Regeneration Areas	
--------------------	--

% overlap	0.00	Inner South RA
	0.00	LB Corridor RA
	0.00	EASEL RA
	0.00	Aire Valley RA
	0.00	West Leeds Gateway

# Other Spatial Relationship

15.19	LCC ownership %			
Horsforth	Nearest train station			
2372.31	Nearest train station distance (m)			
4628	Nearest bus stop			
561.45	Nearest bus stop distance (m)			
Grade 3	Agricultural classification			
	Overlaps SSSI			
	Overlaps SEGI			
	Overlaps LNA			
✓	Overlaps LNR			
	Overlaps Conservation Area			
<b>✓</b>	Overlaps Listed Building			
	Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer			
<b>✓</b>	Overlaps Public Right of Way			
	Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone			
	Overlaps EA Flood Zone			
_				

Overlaps Mii	nerals Safeguarded
Overlaps Minerals	Safeguarded 100m

Overlaps HSE Major Hazard Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline Overlaps Pot. Contamination

#### 3360B Cookridge Hall Golf Course (N)

#### Conclusions

Issues and Options Summary

Green Belt site. The site is not well related to the existing settlement form and development would represent a significant incursion into Green Belt which could set a precedent for further unrestricted sprawl. Highway concerns regarding access and accessibility. Land to the east of the Chestnuts is designated as proposed N5 greenspace. Holt Lane Meadow, Cookridge is designated as Access Land. This land has been designated under the Countryside and Rights of Way Act 2000 as having access on foot for the public. This is one of six throughout Leeds with these rights.

Site affects others?

Sustainability summary

Significant negative - greenfield, ecology objection, landscape and natural resources (agricultural land). Minor negative - greenhouse emissions, accessibility. Minor positive - greenspace and flood risk.

#### Summary of reps

Pro development

It would be an opportunity tocreate a 'new village' with new facilities - school, shops etc. Allocate instead of Molesely Wood Gardens as a larger site like this would be able to provide the necessary infrastructure unlike Molesely Wood Gardens. 2 larger sites can accommodate all the houses needed in this area rather than more development in Adel which will be stretched with the development of Boddington, the government buildings and Centurion Way. Will prevent the spoiling of the countryside of Adel. Larger developments give opportunity to provide different size units.

#### Anti development

Site is in the Green Belt. Impact on the environment. Prioritise brownfield development first. Greenspace is important to health and wellbeing and wildlife. Issues around infrastructure. Pressure and problems for access, drainage, public transport, traffic, noise, pollution, pressure on schools. The golf course is a tourist attraction.

Adel Meadows Estate in 1995 was the provision of a Childrens Play Area, running track and & kick-about area, and the planting of 600-plus new trees.

Consultees - classified as 'Sites not considered suitable for

allocation for housing' and fall outside 400m of the current core bus network.

Consideration needs to be given to if this site would be able to meet the LDF public

transport accessibility requirements should housing be brought forward.

We agree that these sites would not be suitable for housing development. Distance to core network 592. 6 buses an hour. Accessible for primary and secondary schools and healthcare.

Comments on phasing

DPP Allocation

Not proposed as housing allocation

**DPP Allocation Conclusion** 

Green Belt site. The site is not well related to the existing settlement form and development would represent a significant incursion into Green Belt which could set a precedent for further unrestricted sprawl. Highway concerns regarding access and accessibility

Site Det	ails						
Easting	426154	Northing	442698	Site area ha	3.9	SP7	Smaller Settlement Extension
HMCA	Outer North West				Ward	Adel and W	/harfedale

#### **Site Characteristics**

Site type Greenfield

On-site land uses

Agriculture

Neighbouring land uses

Unmanaged Forest

Dwellings

Other land uses - None

Topography	Flat	Landscape	Limited Tree Cover
Boundaries	Partially well-defined	Road front	Yes

Description

Greenfield site lying to the east of Leeds Road in agricultural use.

#### **Greenbelt Assessment**

#### 1. Check the unresticted sprawl of large built up areas

Would development lead to/cons	titue ribbon development?	No	
Would development result in an i	solated development?	No	
Is the site well connected to the	built up area?	No	
Would development round off the	e settlement?		
Is there a good existing barrier b and the undeveloped land?	etween the existing urban area	No	
Unrestricted Sprawl Conclusion	Low potential to lead to unrestrict	ed sprawl	

#### 2. Prevent neighbouring towns from merging

Nould development lead to physical connection of settlements?	No
Oo features provide boundaries to contain the development?	No
Coalescence Conclusion No merging but there is no defensible be	oundary

#### 3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroahment

Strong defensible boundary between site and urban area	No
Does the site provide access to the countryside	No
Does the site include local/national nature conservation areas (SSSIs	No
Areas of protected/unprotected woodland/trees/hedgerows?	No
Site includes Grade 1, Grade 2 or Grade 3a agricultural land?	Yes
Does the site contain buildings	No
Are these buildings used for agricultural purposes?	No

Encroachment Conclusion | Site does not perform an important role in safeguarding from encroachment

#### 4. Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns

	• .	
Site within/adjacent to	conservation area/listed building/historical features?	No
Can development pres	erve this character?	
Character Conclusion	No effect on the setting and special character of histor	ic features

# Overall Conclusion from assessment against all 4 purposes of green belt and essential characteristics of openness and permanence

Green Belt site. Development of the site would provide an extension to the adjoining PAS site 1080 and assist access into this adjacent site. By itself, the site is an isolated site, but with the adjacent PAS site it could effectively 'round off site.

SHLAA conclusions							
Availability: Short (=	=<5 yrs)		Suitability:	LDF to determine	Achievability	: Longterm (11+yrs)	
Summary of infra	astructu	re provider	comments				
LCC Highways Com	ments		_				
Public transport access	sibility con	nments					Rank (1-5)
Poor access to public t	transport a	and employme	ent but good acce	ess to primary and sec	ondary education and health	facilities	3
Access comments							
Access possible from L	Leeds Roa	d alterations to	o ghost island an	nd possible other mitig	pation required.		4
Local network commer	nts						
Local congestion/cum	uliate impa	act issues - mi	tigation required				4
Mitigation measures							Total score
Unknown at this stage	<u> </u>						11
Highways site support	†		1				
Yes with mitigation			l				
Contingent on other si	ites						
No							
Contingent on other si	ites						
No							
Highways Agency							,
Impact No objection		Netv	work Status				
NO objection							
Network Rail							
Yorkshire Water							
Treatment Works		High Level					
Culverted water cours	se in road f	rontage					
Environment Agend	су						
Constraints							
FZ1 over1 ha. See co	mments ir	main text of	our response.				
LCC							
Ecology support	Supporte	d with mitigat	ion				

Supported with mitigation (amber) if Spring Wood is protected by providing a minimum 20 metre buffer adjacent to its southern boundary with native shrub and small tree planting, and public access into Spring Wood is strongly discouraged by appropriate boundary fencing. The eastern and western boundary hedgerows (UK Biodiversity Action Pland Priority habitat) should be protected and enhanced by providing a 20m wide buffer planted with locally native trees and shrubs - if any sections of these hedgerows are to be removed this will need to be off-set with additional areas of native shrub and small tree planting adjacent to the southern boundary of Spring Wood, along the eastern boundary and/or off-site north of Spring Wood.

#### 3367A Breary Lane East, Bramhope, LS16

Ecology boundary	
Education comments	
	3400 = 305 houses generates 76 primary and 30.5 secondary children. Bramhope has a small village school which usually fills .5FE expansion. School cannot expand on current site.

Flood Risk
Flood Zone 1. There is a minor drain along the NW boundary of the site.

.....

Utilities	
Gas	

Electric	

Fire	and	Rescue

Telecoms	
----------	--

# Other

English Heritage

#### Natural England

Housing sites 1080, 1002, 3367A, 3400 and 2130 within 2km of Breary Marsh SSSI/LNR (see citation below). The effects of each site (if progressed) should be assessed alone and cumulatively. Www.sssi.naturalengland.org.yk/citation/citation\_photo/1004046.pdf

Planning History	History Applications since 1/1/2009, covering more than 50% of the site					
App Number	Proposal	Decision	% of site			
13/05134/OT	Outline planning application (all matters reserved except for partial means of access to, but not within, the site) for residential development (up to 380 dwellings), a convenience store (up to 372sqm) and public open space	R	100			

#### Spatial relationships

<b>UDP Designations</b>		
N32 Greenbelt	99.98	% overlap
N34 PAS	0.02	
RL1 Rural Land	0.00	
N1 Greenspace	0.00	
N1A Allotments	0.00	
N5 Open Space	0.00	
N6 Playing Pitch	0.00	
N8 Urban Green Corridor	0.00	
CC Shopping Quarter	0.00	
UDP City Centre	0.00	
S2S6 Town Centre	0.00	
Proposed Local Centre	0.00	
Overlaps N37 SLA		
Sch. Ancient Mon.	0.00	

Core Strategy		
Main Urban Area	0.00	% overlap
Major Settlement	0.00	
Minor Settlement	1.00	
Overlaps Urban Extension	<b>✓</b>	

Regeneration Areas					
0.00	% overlap				
0.00					
0.00					
0.00					
0.00					
	0.00 0.00 0.00				

# Other Spatial Relationship

LCC ownership %	0.00
Nearest train station	Horsforth
Nearest train station distance (m)	3957.95
Nearest bus stop	9209
Nearest bus stop distance (m)	128.61
Agricultural classification	Grade 3
Overlaps SSSI	
Overlaps SEGI	
Overlaps LNA	
Overlaps LNR	
Overlaps Conservation Area	
Overlaps Listed Building	
Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer	
Overlaps Public Right of Way	
Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone	
Overlaps EA Flood Zone	
Overlaps HSE Major Hazard	
Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline	
Overlaps Pot. Contamination	✓
Overlaps Minerals Safeguard	ed
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded 100	)m

#### Conclusions

Issues and Options Summary

Green Belt site. Development of the site would provide an extension to the adjoining PAS site 1080 and assist access into this adjacent site. By itself, the site is an isolated site, but with the adjacent PAS site it could effectively 'round off' the settlement. If furthered the site should be viewed as a single allocation with 1080 for the purposes of access requirements - one access to the A660, shared with site 1080, would restrict the combined capacity of the sites to 200 units. Two access points would allow a total capacity of 434.

Site affects others?

Sustainability summary

Summary of reps

Anti

Alwoodley Gates (2054) more suitable

The sites at The Sycamores (1181A & 1181B) are more suitable (one comment)

Scale / Cumulative Impact - Would transfrom Bramhope from a large village to a small town. The number of dwellings currently being proposed would increase the population of Bramhope by over 30%.

A660 already at capacity. The roundabout at the junction of A660 and Kings Road particularly busKings Road and Arthington Lane are warn

High Ridge Way – difficult to access onto A660 due to the high volume of traffic.

Waste water and foul drainage systems will be wholly inadequate to deal with the considerably increased volumes.

Homes won't be affordable to people who need them.

No needs assessment has been carried out to date.

Affect on heritage of Bramhope Village, which has a conservation area in its centre and some listed buildings.

Would be ribbon development along the main road.

Future expansion of airport - effect on traffic in the immediate area including Bramhope and the A660 road.

Conflicts with Village development plan.

The Neighbourhood Plan which the Parish Council is currently seeking members of should be approved prior to the allocation of any sites in Bramhope

The whole comment procedure is way beyond normal comprehension.

Pro

The Breary Lane East sites (refs: 1080, 3367A and 3367B) should be developed in the short term. These sites are deliverable in the short term as they are available now, they offer a suitable location for development now and are achievable, as there is a realistic prospect that housing can be delivered within five years.

As part of the planning application that is currently being prepared for the land off Breary Lane East (Site Refs: 1080, 3367A and 3367B) a masterplan has been prepared which shows the site is to be accessed from Leeds Road (A660) via a roundabout between its junctions with High Ridge Way to the north and The Poplars to the south. A pedestrian access is provided from Breary Lane East.

Statutory

WYCA

We are be minded to recommend that these site were not prioritised for housing until other more accessible site have been considered. Sites which fall marginally outside the 400m catchments and have high bus

frequencies or are small low capacity sites are less of concern but are flagged up nonetheless.

Distance to Core Network (Centre of site) Buses Per Hour Access to Primary Schools Access to Secondary Schools Access to Primary Health

2025 6 Yes Yes Yes

Comments on phasing

DPP Allocation

Preferred housing allocation

DPP Allocation Conclusion

Green Belt site. Potential for development of site with site 1080 to provide 384 dwellings. Site 3367A or 1080 to accommodate a 2 FE primary school (deduct 58 dwellings from the combined capacity.)

Site Det	ails						
Easting	426286	Northing	443024	Site area ha	4.2	SP7	Smaller Settlement Extension
HMCA	Outer North	n West			Ward Adel and Wharfedale		harfedale

#### **Site Characteristics**

Site type Greenfield

On-site land uses

Unmanaged Forest

Neighbouring land uses

Agriculture

Dwellings

Other land uses - None

Topography	Sloping	Landscape	Significant Tree Cover
Boundaries	Poorly defined	Road front	Yes

Description

Greenfield site with the majority of the area occupied by mature woodland.

#### **Greenbelt Assessment**

#### 1. Check the unresticted sprawl of large built up areas

Would development lead to/cons	titue ribbon development?	No	
Would development result in an i	solated development?	No	
Is the site well connected to the	ouilt up area?	No	
Would development round off the	e settlement?		
Is there a good existing barrier between the existing urban area and the undeveloped land?		No	
Unrestricted Sprawl Conclusion	Low potential to lead to unrestric		

#### 2. Prevent neighbouring towns from merging

Would development lead to physical connection of settlements?	No
Do features provide boundaries to contain the development?	No
Coalescence Conclusion No merging but there is no defensible b	oundary

#### 3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroahment

Strong defensible boundary between site and urban area	No
Does the site provide access to the countryside	No
Does the site include local/national nature conservation areas (SSSIs	No
Areas of protected/unprotected woodland/trees/hedgerows?	No
Site includes Grade 1, Grade 2 or Grade 3a agricultural land?	Yes
Does the site contain buildings	No
Are these buildings used for agricultural purposes?	No

Encroachment Conclusion | Site does not perform an important role in safeguarding from encroachment

#### 4. Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns

	<b>.</b>	
Site within/adjacent to	conservation area/listed building/historical features?	No
Can development pres	erve this character?	
Character Conclusion	No effect on the setting and special character of histor	ic features

# Overall Conclusion from assessment against all 4 purposes of green belt and essential characteristics of openness and permanence

Green Belt site. The majority of the site is occupied by an extensive area of woodland so is not considered suitable for residential allocation. Could only be accessed via 3367A. Breary Lane unsuitable for access.

SHLAA conclusions					
Availability: Short (=	=<5 yrs)	Suitability:	LDF to determine	Achievability:	Longterm (11+yrs)
Summary of infra	structure provider	comments			
LCC Highways Com	ments				
Public transport access					Rank (1-5)
None of the accessibili	ty criteria are met				_ 1
Access comments Very poor access					
very poor access					2
Local network comme	nts				
Poor access options.					1
Mitigation measures					Total score
None					4
Highways site support					
Contingent on other si No	tes				
Contingent on other si No	tes				
Highways Agency					
	Noty	vork Status			
Impact No objection	Netv	VOIR Status			
Network Rail					
	1				
Yorkshire Water					
Treatment Works	Knostrop High Level				
connect to the public infrastructure and cap method used to ensur greater increase in po a site forward before	sewer system needs to be acity can be provided to e sites are brought forw pulation served by the w	be co-ordinated v serve the site. ard in line with Y vorks should take planned improve	with Yorkshire Water's As The forthcoming AMP(6) 'W's investment. It is par into account available so	set Management Plans (AMI will run from April 2015 to ticularly important that site ewerage and WwTW capaci	pment. Development that will P) to ensure the necessary March 2020. Phasing is one s which represent a 10% or ty. If a developer wants to bring de contributions. The amount
Environment Agend	;y				
Constraints					
FZ1 over1 ha. See co	mments in main text of	our response.			
LCC					
Ecology support	Not supported				
					which should be retained. The e retained and protected - once a

protective 20 metre buffer is put in place adjacent to each boundary this will reduce the northern portion of this allocation significantly - therefore it may only be suitable for "off-site" compensation as woodland creation to help off-set hedgerow loss from any other allocations to the west and south.

App Number	Proposal		Decision	% of site	
Planning History	Applications since 1/1/2009, cove	ering more than 50% of the site			
J					
latural England					
Inglish Heritage					
Other					
elecoms					
	_				
ire and Rescue					
	_				
Electric					
Sas					
Utilities					
Flood Zone 1					
Flood Risk					
Education comments	S				
cology boundary					

# Spatial relationships

<b>UDP Designations</b>		
N32 Greenbelt	99.93	% overlap
N34 PAS	0.01	
RL1 Rural Land	0.00	
N1 Greenspace	0.00	
N1A Allotments	0.00	
N5 Open Space	0.00	
N6 Playing Pitch	0.00	
N8 Urban Green Corridor	0.00	
CC Shopping Quarter	0.00	
UDP City Centre	0.00	
S2S6 Town Centre	0.00	
Proposed Local Centre	0.00	
Overlaps N37 SLA	<b>✓</b>	
Sch. Ancient Mon.	0.00	

# Core Strategy

Main Urban Area	0.00	% overlap
Major Settlement	0.00	
Minor Settlement	1.00	
Overlaps Urban Extension	✓	

# **Regeneration Areas**

Inner South RA	0.00	% overlap
LB Corridor RA	0.00	
EASEL RA	0.00	
Aire Valley RA	0.00	
West Leeds Gateway	0.00	

# Other Spatial Relationship

LCC ownership %	0.00
Nearest train station	Horsforth
Nearest train station distance (m)	4309.31
Nearest bus stop	9280
Nearest bus stop distance (m)	418.80
Agricultural classification	Grade 3
Overlaps SSSI	
Overlaps SEGI	
Overlaps LNA	
Overlaps LNR	
Overlaps Conservation Area	
Overlaps Listed Building	
Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer	
Overlaps Public Right of Way	<u></u>
Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone	
Overlaps EA Flood Zone	
Overlaps HSE Major Hazard	
Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline	
Overlaps Pot. Contamination	<b>✓</b>
Overlaps Minerals Safeguard	ed
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded 100	)m

#### Conclusions

Issues and Options Summary

Green Belt site. The majority of the site is occupied by an extensive area of woodland so is not considered suitable for residential allocation. Could only be accessed via 3367A. Breary Lane unsuitable for access.

Site affects others?

Sustainability summary

Summary of reps

Anti

The potential expansion of Bramhope will completely destroy 'the village' - it will become a large sprawling housing development without the infrastructure support essential for a development of this size (30% increase).

Impact on the local highway netwowith the A660.

Area of special scientific interest; bluebell woods; animal habitat.

Where red kites have been nesting/attempting to nest in recent years.

Airport is due to be expanded, this will have even more effect on traffic in the immediate area including Bramhope.

Site ref 2054 (Alwoodley Gates) more suitable site.

Pro

We do not agree that all of the sites that have been identified as 'red' are not suitable for allocation. In relation to site 3367B, which has been colour coded red (ref: 3367B), it is maintained this part of the site should also be incorporated into the wider land off Breary Lane East site. The masterplan for the site shows there is no intention to develop this part of the site for housing as the woodland and allotments are to be retained. However, as this part of the site forms an integral part of the wider masterplan, this site should also be colour coded green, in recognition that this part of the site will provide managed woodland and community allotments as part of the wider housing proposals for the Breary Lane East scheme.

Statutory

WYCA

We agree that these sites would not be suitable for housing development.

Distance to Core Network (Centre of site) Buses Per Hour Access to Primary Schools Access to Secondary Schools Access to Primary Health

2377 6 Yes Yes Yes

Comments on phasing

**DPP Allocation** 

Not proposed as housing allocation

**DPP Allocation Conclusion** 

Green Belt site. The majority of the site is occupied by an extensive area of woodland so is not considered suitable for residential allocation. Could only be accessed via 3367A. Breary Lane unsuitable for access.

#### 3400 Green Acres and Equestrian Centre

Site Deta	ails						
Easting	424707	Northing	443094	Site area ha	1.5	SP7	Smaller Settlement Extension
HMCA	Outer North	n West			Ward	Adel and W	harfedale

#### **Site Characteristics**

Site type Greenfield

On-site land uses

Agriculture

Dwellings

Other

Neighbouring land uses

Agriculture

Dwellings

Other land uses

Equestrian Centre

Торо	ography	Flat	Landscape	Limited Tree Cover
Bou	ndaries	Poorly defined	Road front	Yes

Description

Residential dwelling with existing equestrian use on the site with associated buildings, paddock and exercise area.

#### **Greenbelt Assessment**

#### 1. Check the unresticted sprawl of large built up areas

Unrestricted Sprawl Conclusion Low potential to lead to unrestrict	cted sprawl
Is there a good existing barrier between the existing urban area and the undeveloped land?	No
Would development round off the settlement?	Partial
Is the site well connected to the built up area?	Yes
Would development result in an isolated development?	No
Would development lead to/constitue ribbon development?	No

#### 2. Prevent neighbouring towns from merging

2. I To voite Hoigi bourn	ng tourns from morging	
Would development lead	to physical connection of settlements?	No
Do features provide boun	daries to contain the development?	No
Coalescence Conclusion	No merging but there is no defensible bo	oundary

#### 3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroahment

Strong defensible boundary between site and urban area	No
Does the site provide access to the countryside	No
Does the site include local/national nature conservation areas (SSSIs	No
Areas of protected/unprotected woodland/trees/hedgerows?	No
Site includes Grade 1, Grade 2 or Grade 3a agricultural land?	No
Does the site contain buildings	Yes
Are these buildings used for agricultural purposes?	No

Encroachment Conclusion Site does not perform an important role in safeguarding from encroachment

#### 4. Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns

Site within/adjacent to	conservation area/listed building/historical features?	No
Can development pres	erve this character?	
Character Conclusion	No effect on the setting and special character of histor	ic features

# 3400 Green Acres and Equestrian Centre

# Overall Conclusion from assessment against all 4 purposes of green belt and essential characteristics of openness and permanence

Green Belt site. Development of the site could constitute rounding off of the settlement to parallel the southern boundary of new development to the east of Moor Road.

SHLAA conclusions					
Availability: Short (	=<5 yrs)	Suitability:	LDF to determine	Achievability: Longterm (11+yrs)	
Summary of infra	astructure provider	comments			
LCC Highways Com	ments				
Public transport acces	sibility comments				Rank (1-5)
Poor access to employ	yment, public transport a	ind primary edu	cation. Good access to	secondary education and local services	2
Access comments					
	dopted highway but trees	s fronting highwa	ay may be an issue. R	equires footway and access works	4
Local network comme	ents				
Possible cummulative					4
Mitigation measures					Total score
access and footway in	nprovements				10
Highways site support	•	]			L
no					
Contingent on other s	ites				
yes with 3434					
Contingent on other s yes with 3434	ites				
Highways Agency Impact No material	impact Netv	vork Status	No objection		
Network Rail					
Yorkshire Water					
Treatment Works	Knostrop High Level				
Various water mains i	in north eastern corner				
Environment Agen	су				
Constraints					
FZ1 over1 ha. See co	mments in main text of	our response.			
LCC					
Ecology support	Supported				
Supported					

# Ecology boundary Education comments 1002+1080+3367A+3400 = 305 houses generates 76 primary and 30.5 secondary children. Bramhope has a small village school which usually fills so land required for 0.5FE expansion. School cannot expand on current site. Flood Risk Flood Zone 1 Utilities Gas Electric Fire and Rescue

Natural England

English Heritage

Other

Housing sites 1080, 1002, 3367A, 3400 and 2130 within 2km of Breary Marsh SSSI/LNR (see citation below). The effects of each site (if progressed) should be assessed alone and cumulatively. Www.sssi.naturalengland.org.yk/citation/citation\_photo/1004046.pdf

Planning History	Applications since 1/1/2009, covering more than 50% of the site		
App Number	Proposal	Decision	% of site
10/00633/FU	Change of use involving alterations and extension of equestrian centre to form primary and secondary school with associated car parking, play areas and landscaping		58

# Spatial relationships

<b>UDP Designations</b>		
N32 Greenbelt	99.96	% overlap
N34 PAS	0.00	
RL1 Rural Land	0.00	
N1 Greenspace	0.00	
N1A Allotments	0.00	
N5 Open Space	0.00	
N6 Playing Pitch	0.00	
N8 Urban Green Corridor	0.00	
CC Shopping Quarter	0.00	
UDP City Centre	0.00	
S2S6 Town Centre	0.00	
Proposed Local Centre	0.00	
Overlaps N37 SLA		
Sch. Ancient Mon.	0.00	

0.00	% overla
0.00	
1.00	
<b>V</b>	
	0.00

Regeneration Areas		
Inner South RA	0.00	% overlap
LB Corridor RA	0.00	
EASEL RA	0.00	
Aire Valley RA	0.00	
West Leeds Gateway	0.00	

# 3400 Green Acres and Equestrian Centre

# Other Spatial Relationship

LCC ownership %	0.00
Nearest train station	Horsforth
Nearest train station distance (m)	3964.58
Nearest bus stop	10840
Nearest bus stop distance (m)	208.87
Agricultural classification	Grade 4
Overlaps SSSI	
Overlaps SEGI	
Overlaps LNA	
Overlaps LNR	
Overlaps Conservation Area	
Overlaps Listed Building	
Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer	
Overlaps Public Right of Way	
Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone	
Overlaps EA Flood Zone	
Overlaps HSE Major Hazard	
Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline	
Overlaps Pot. Contamination	✓
Overlaps Minerals Safeguard	led
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded 100	)m

#### 3400 Green Acres and Equestrian Centre

#### Conclusions

Issues and Options Summary

Green Belt site. Development of the site could constitute rounding off of the settlement to parallel the southern boundary of new development to the east of Moor Road. No highways concerns.

Site affects others?

Sustainability summary

Summary of reps

Pro

Has potential - smaller development which does not change the character of the village, nor does it negatively impact the environment or place undue pressure onto local roads. The site is already partially developed so it is not the same as developing a greenfield site. Partially brownfield - with existing permission for extensive equestrian event use and so in policy terms is far less radical a move to redesignate for housing than other sites in Bramhope.

May be suitable for elderly accommodation as they may impact less on traffic volume on the A660.

Would clear up the disused equestrian centre site.

There is significant potential to allocate a larger site (with CFSM035) as part of the emerging site allocations process. It is hoped that the Council appreciate the investment our client has already made in instructing Steven Abbott Associates at this stage in an attempt to display how the site can be developed and create a sustainable residential or mixed use site, which can fit in with the context of the existing development in Bramhope and on the site itself without impacting detrimentally on the Green Belt.

#### Anti

Will lead to increase traffic through Bramhope. Eastgate and Breary Lane are already used as a rat run from the A660 to Cookridge, the road and number of cars already parking on the road make this quite dangerous.

Traffic along Old Lane, the Cross and Church Hill is already dangerous. Exiting Churchgate on to Church Hill is difficult as is exiting Breary Lane at the Cross.

Moor Road, from where access would be required, is a country lane with a number of brows, providing blind spots and areas of double white lines.

Should be considered the same as adjoining site 3434 (unsuitable).

30% increase of size of village is simply unreasonable.

When the most recent block of apartments were built on Moor Road planners took into consideration the need for on site parking. Alas not sufficient provision was made with the result that there is now parking for most of the day on both sides of the road, resulting at times in single lane traffic.

Will present access problems on the already busy and fast Moor Rd. 48 plots on green belt land will ruin that end of the village.

Recent application for use as school refused on the grounds of poor access.

There needs to be a far greater range of accommodation meeting a broader need for young people and families wanting to stay in the area who can't afford the usual property prices.

Future expansion of airport - this will have even more effect on traffic in the immediate area including Bramhope.

There have been 3 significant developments adjacent to Green Acres within the last 15 years.

This site has already been subject to development without prior planning consent which resulted in various legal and planning appeals. This resulted in the planning inspector from the Planning Inspectorate stating that no further planning approvals should be allowed for this site (Ref: APP/N4720/C/04/1144551 and APP IN4 720/C/04/1164868).

Conflicts with Village development plan.

Alternative approach - Alwoodley Gates (2054)

Statutory

**WYCA** 

We are be minded to recommend that these site were not prioritised for housing until other more accessible site have been considered. Sites which fall marginally outside the 400m catchments and have high bus

frequencies or are small low capacity sites are less of concern but are flagged up nonetheless.

Distance to Core Network (Centre of site) Buses Per Hour Access to Primary Schools Access to Secondary Schools Access to Primary Health

2336 6 No Yes Yes

Comments on phasing

DPP Allocation

Preferred housing allocation

**DPP Allocation Conclusion** 

Green belt site. Part greenfield, part brownfield. Development of the site would constitute rounding off of the settlement to parallel the southern boundary of new development to the east of Moor Road. No Highways concerns.

Site Details							
Easting	424493	Northing	442921	Site area ha	7	SP7	Smaller Settlement Extension
HMCA	Outer North West			Ward	Adel and W	/harfedale	

# **Site Characteristics**

Site type Greenfield

On-site land uses

Agriculture

Energy production and distribution

Agriculture

Neighbouring land uses

Dwellings

Other land uses

Stables

Topography	Flat	Landscape	Limited Tree Cover
Boundaries	Poorly defined	Road front	Yes

Description

Majority of site in agricultural use. A substation is located on the eastern site boundary next to Moor Road.

# **Greenbelt Assessment**

# 1. Check the unresticted sprawl of large built up areas

Would development lead to/cons	titue ribbon development?	No
Would development result in an	isolated development?	No
Is the site well connected to the	built up area?	No
Would development round off the	e settlement?	No
Is there a good existing barrier band the undeveloped land?	etween the existing urban area	No
Unrestricted Sprawl Conclusion	High potential to lead to unrestric	cted sprawl

# 2. Prevent neighbouring towns from merging

Would development lead to physical connection of settlements?		No	
Do features provide boundaries to contain the development?		No	
Coalescence Conclusion	No merging but there is no defensible bo	undary	

# 3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroahment

No
No
No
No
Yes
Yes
No

Encroachment Conclusion | Site does not perform an important role in safeguarding from encroachment

# 4. Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns

Site within/adjacent to conservation area/listed building/historical features?		No	
Can development preserve this character?			
Character Conclusion	Character Conclusion No effect on the setting and special character of histori		

# Overall Conclusion from assessment against all 4 purposes of green belt and essential characteristics of openness and permanence

FZ1 over1 ha. See comments in main text of our response.

Green Belt site. Development of the site would constitute urban sprawl and is unrelated to the existing settlement, with no defensible Green Belt boundary.

SHLAA conclusions				
Availability: Short (=<5 yrs)	Suitability:	LDF to determine	Achievability: Longterm (11+yrs)	
Summary of infrastructure provider of	omments			
LCC Highways Comments				
Public transport accessibility comments				Rank (1-5)
Poor all round accessibility				1
				•
Access comments				
Good frontage with adopted highway but trees fi	ronting highwa	ay may be an issue. Requires foo	tway and access works	
				4
Local network comments  Possible cummulative impact in local area				
rossible cultificative impact in local area				4
Mitigation measures				Total score
access and footway improvements				9
				,
Highways site support				
no				
Contingent on other sites				
yes with 3400				
Contingent on other sites				
yes with 3400				
Highways Agency				
Impact No material impact Netwo	rk Status	No objection		
Network Rail				
Yorkshire Water				
Treatment Works Knostrop High Level	ما معلف مرسمه عاماً	aville of Locale. Thous is consolited	an airmificant development Developmen	4 4 h a 4
Knostrop High and Low level are large works wh connect to the public sewer system needs to be				
infrastructure and capacity can be provided to se method used to ensure sites are brought forward				
greater increase in population served by the wor	ks should take	e into account available sewerage	e and WwTW capacity. If a developer wa	nts to bring
a site forward before YW have completed any pl would be determined by a developer funded fea-	anned improve			
would be determined by a developer fullded leas	Sibility Study.			
Environment Agency				

LCC	1			
Ecology support	Supported			
Supported				
Ecology boundary				
Education comments				
Flood Risk				
Flood Zone 1. There	s an un-named watercourse runningthrough	across the middle of the s	site.	
<b>Utilities</b> Gas				
Electric				
Fire and Rescue				
Telecoms				
Other	1			
English Heritage				
Natural England				
Planning History	Applications since 1/1/2009, covering more the	nan 50% of the site		
App Number	Proposal	De	ecision	% of site

# Spatial relationships

**UDP Designations** 

N32 Greenbelt	100.00	% overlap
N34 PAS	0.00	
RL1 Rural Land	0.00	
N1 Greenspace	0.00	
N1A Allotments	0.00	
N5 Open Space	0.00	
N6 Playing Pitch	0.00	
N8 Urban Green Corridor	0.00	
CC Shopping Quarter	0.00	
UDP City Centre	0.00	

RLT Rurai Land	0.00
N1 Greenspace	0.00
N1A Allotments	0.00
N5 Open Space	0.00
N6 Playing Pitch	0.00
N8 Urban Green Corridor	0.00
CC Shopping Quarter	0.00
UDP City Centre	0.00
S2S6 Town Centre	0.00
Proposed Local Centre	0.00
Overlaps N37 SLA	

Sch. Ancient Mon.

0.00

# **Core Strategy**

Main Urban Area	0.00	% overlap
Major Settlement	0.00	
Minor Settlement	0.00	
Overlaps Urban Extension	<b>V</b>	

# **Regeneration Areas**

Inner South RA	0.00	%
LB Corridor RA	0.00	
EASEL RA	0.00	
Aire Valley RA	0.00	
West Leeds Gateway	0.00	

overlap

Other Spatial Relationshi	р
LCC ownership %	0.00
Nearest train station	Horsforth
Nearest train station distance (m)	3782.47
Nearest bus stop	10840
Nearest bus stop distance (m)	479.29
Agricultural classification	Grade 4
Overlaps SSSI Overlaps SEGI Overlaps LNA Overlaps LNR Overlaps Conservation Area Overlaps Listed Building Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer Overlaps Public Right of Way	
Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone	
Overlaps EA Flood Zone	
Overlaps HSE Major Hazard	
Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline	
Overlaps Pot. Contamination	
Overlaps Minerals Safeguard	ed
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded 100	)m

#### Conclusions

Issues and Options Summary

Green Belt site. Development of the site would constitute urban sprawl and is unrelated to the existing settlement, with no defensible Green Belt boundary. Highways concerns; poor accessibility, access difficult to achieve due to short frontage and dense trees.

Site affects others?

Sustainability summary

Summary of reps

Dro

Available - the site (which is owned by a small group of individuals), is available for development now and the owners have been actively looking for alternative uses, hence the engagement of Steven Abbott Associates to advise on this matter.

Suitable - the site is located in a sustainable location on a previously developed site outside the village of Bramhope. The development would comprise a natural extension to the Moor Road residential area and would facilitate a new defensible boundary to the Green Belt. The site is located in the vicinity of shops, services and public transport. The development could provide a range of housing to meet local needs and the development of the site would contribute to the creation of a sustainable, mixed community in Bramhope. We do not consider that the site contains any overriding technical or physical constraints that would potentially impede the delivery of housing on site.

Achievable - the site measures 8.37 hectares. Clearly the whole site would not be developed for housing, the area to the west of Hill top could be retained as Green Belt. However if 2-3 hectares of the site were development, assuming a benchmark of 30 dwellings per hectare, the site could readily provide a viable development comprising up to 60-90 dwellings in the next 5-10 years. The allocation of this wider site is justified on the basis that the whole site is deliverable and developable in accordance with Paragraph 47 of the NPPF and the definitions set out in footnotes

11 and 12 of that document. We consider the allocation of the site will help ensure a continuous supply of housing from the site in the early part of the plan period, which is in accordance with national planning policy, Ministerial Statements and the emerging Leeds Core Strategy.

#### Con

congestion in the area. Environmental impact. Loss of green belt. Moor Road is not suitable for more housing. Inappropriate development. More commuting as no employment or facilities in the village. Bramhope would become a small town. Not in keeping with the ara. It would change the fabric of the village. Beautiful countryside and traquil. Would make the junction more dangerous. Not well connected to bramhope. Increase in car numbers in the area. Poor roads - inc width. Rat running. Expensive houses doesn't help the housing crisis in Leeds. Would effect the use of PROW and the enjoyment of the Green Belt. Already dangerous crossing the A660. 40mph is too fast. Newts have visited gardens in High Ridge Way. Loss of agricultural land. Spread the number of houses out over smaller sites.

Use 2054 instead would prevent building on the greebelt in bramhope.

The Greenacres site has also been built on previously, and some permission granted. Would this not be a more obvious site to maximize to meet the number requirement, and possibly even extending backwards into the Red site 3434 without too much impact on visual, and infrastructure? Please ensure sufficient facilities for the potential and future of our village.

Statutory

WYCA

'Sites not considered suitable for allocation for housing' and fall outside 400m of the current core bus network. Consideration needs to be given to if this site would be able to meet the LDF public

transport accessibility requirements should housing be brought forward.

We agree that these sites would not be suitable for housing development. Distance to the core network 2230m. 6 buses an hour. No access to primary school. Access to secondary school and healthcare.

Comments on phasing

DPP Allocation

Not proposed as housing allocation

**DPP Allocation Conclusion** 

Green Belt site. Development of the site would constitute urban sprawl and is unrelated to the existing settlement, with no defensible Green Belt boundary. Highways concerns; poor accessibility, access difficult to achieve due to short frontage and dense trees.

# 4153 Eccup Lane, Adel

Site Details	

Site Deta	alis						
Easting	427525	Northing	441317	Site area ha	19.3	SP7	Main Urban Area Extension
HMCA	Outer North	West			Ward	Alwoodley	

#### **Site Characteristics**

Site type Greenfield

On-site land uses

Agriculture

Neighbouring land uses

Agriculture

Other land uses - None

Topography	Flat and sloping	Landscape	Limited Tree Cover
Boundaries	Partially well-defined	Road front	Yes

Description

Green Belt site. Large site in agricultural use, the ground slopes gently from north to south. The western boundary boarders a small wooded area providing a natural barrier. The north and east side boarder roads. A collection of cottages are set to the south.

#### **Greenbelt Assessment**

#### 1. Check the unresticted sprawl of large built up areas

Would development lead to/constitue ribbon development?	No
Would development result in an isolated development?	Yes
Is the site well connected to the built up area?	No
Would development round off the settlement?	No
Is there a good existing barrier between the existing urban area and the undeveloped land?	Yes
Unrestricted Sprawl Conclusion High potential to lead to unrestri	cted sprawl

#### 2. Prevent neighbouring towns from merging

Would development lead to physical connection of settlements?		No
Do features provide boundaries to contain the development?		No
Coalescence Conclusion	No merging but there is no defensible bo	undary

#### 3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroahment

Strong defensible boundary between site and urban area	Yes
Does the site provide access to the countryside	No
Does the site include local/national nature conservation areas (SSSIs	No
Areas of protected/unprotected woodland/trees/hedgerows?	No
Site includes Grade 1, Grade 2 or Grade 3a agricultural land?	No
Does the site contain buildings	No
Are these buildings used for agricultural purposes?	No

Encroachment Conclusion Site performs an important role in safeguarding from encroachment

# 4. Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns

Site within/adjacent to conservation area/listed building/historical features?		No		
Can development preserve this character?		Yes		
Character Conclusion	Ision No effect on the setting and special character of historic features			

# Overall Conclusion from assessment against all 4 purposes of green belt and essential characteristics of openness and permanence

#### 4153 Eccup Lane, Adel

#### **SHLAA** conclusions

Availability: Short (=<5 yrs)

Suitability: LDF to determine

Achievability: Longterm (11+yrs)

#### Summary of infrastructure provider comments

# LCC Highways Comments

Public transport accessibility comments

CS Standards not met

1

Access comments

Sufficient site frontage to create an access on to Ecup lane or Arthington Lane

5

Local network comments

The local network that the sites fronts consists of country lanes with no footways which are unsuitable to serve additional development. Church Lane junction with A660 congested, as is A660

2

Mitigation measures

Major upgrade of local highway network required, mitigation of congestion on A660

Total score

8

Highways site support

No

Contingent on other sites

Combine as a leter phase of 4159 and 4160 with dirct accessfrom A660

Contingent on other sites

Combine as a leter phase of 4159 and 4160 with dirct accessfrom A660

# **Highways Agency**

Impact No material impact Network Status No objection, no mitigation required

#### **Network Rail**

#### Yorkshire Water

Treatment Works

Owing to the size of this site we would expect a surface water management plan (utilising SuDS as the preferred option) to be drawn up for the whole area

#### **Environment Agency**

Constraints

South West corner of site in FZ3. See comments in our previous I&O consultation. Ordinary Watercourse (Adel Beck) and unnamed culvert runs through the south west corner of site. Ordinary Watercourse (Running Dike) and drain runs through the middle of the site

LCC

Ecology support

Not supported

Red - Poor site due to impact on SEGI and wildlife habitat network. Lies adjacent to Adel Dam SEGI a Yorkshire Wildlife Trust Reserve. The site is designated for its regionally rare wet woodland communities, but is also a valuable site for birds, plants, dragonflies and butterflies. There is potential for adverse impacts caused by changes to the hydrology of the land surrounding the site and increased levels of disturbance.

Also - Archaeology, Roman Site issue at south-eastern portion.

#### **Ecology boundary**

Supported with mitigation (Amber) if Red hatched areas are excluded and boundary amended as per drawing RM/4153. Mitigation will still be

#### 4153 Eccup Lane, Adel

required to ensure impacts on adjacent habitat are addressed. Allow a stand off of at least 50m from the SEGI boundary. Establish with species rich grassland and a belt of locally native woodland edge trees. The southern end of this proposed allocation lies along the Adel Beck and supports Lowland mixed deciduous woodland a UK BAP priority habitat. This land form an important corridor between Meanwood Valley LNR and Breary Marsh SSSI. Avoid developing any of the woodland within the proposed allocation. Manage this land as an extention to the neighbouring nature reserve. Provide a minimum stand off from the beck of 20m from the top of the banks. Adel Beck supports white clawed crayfish, water voles and probably otter. The reserve has an impressive list of birds many of which are on the red and amber list of birds of conservation concern. The woodland also supports badger, harvet mouse and bats. Avoid any layout which will result in disturbance to the reserve through poor lighting, unsympathetic recreational activities. Ensure that the hydrology of the wetlands is maintained. Avoid development next to the woodland or water course.

Education comments			
Flood Risk			
	s located in Flood Zone 1 and is appropriate for housing. However, t	he hottom SW o	orner is located in the flood plain of Adel
Beck = FZ 3A(i). Any	development in this part of the site will need to pass the Exception	Test.	orner is located in the flood plain of Adel
	_		
Utilities			
Gas			
Electric			
Licetific			
	_		
Fire and Rescue			
Telecoms			
rerecente			
Other			
English Heritage			
Natural England			
Planning History	Applications since 1/1/2009, covering more than 50% of the site		
App Number	Proposal	Decision	% of site

# Spatial relationships

# **UDP Designations**

		<b>g</b>
% overlap	100.00	N32 Greenbelt
	0.00	N34 PAS
	0.00	RL1 Rural Land
	0.00	N1 Greenspace
	0.00	N1A Allotments
	0.00	N5 Open Space
	0.00	N6 Playing Pitch
	0.00	N8 Urban Green Corridor
	0.00	CC Shopping Quarter
	0.00	UDP City Centre
	0.00	S2S6 Town Centre
	0.00	Proposed Local Centre
	<b>✓</b>	Overlaps N37 SLA
	1.00	Sch. Ancient Mon.

# **Core Strategy**

Main Urban Area	0.00	% overlap
Major Settlement	0.00	
Minor Settlement	0.00	
Overlaps Urban Extension	<b>~</b>	

# **Regeneration Areas**

Inner South RA	0.00	% overlap
LB Corridor RA	0.00	
EASEL RA	0.00	
Aire Valley RA	0.00	
West Leeds Gateway	0.00	

# 4153 Eccup Lane, Adel

# Other Spatial Relationship

LCC ownership %	0.00
Nearest train station	Horsforth
Nearest train station distance (m)	3792.38
Nearest bus stop	11118
Nearest bus stop distance (m)	671.06
Agricultural classification	Grade 3
Overlaps SSSI	
Overlaps SEGI	✓
Overlaps LNA	
Overlaps LNR	
Overlaps Conservation Area	
Overlaps Listed Building	
Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer	
Overlaps Public Right of Way	<b>V</b>
Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone	<b>~</b>
Overlaps EA Flood Zone	
Overlaps HSE Major Hazard	
Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline	
Overlaps Pot. Contamination	
Overlaps Minerals Safeguard	ed
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded 100	)m

#### 4153 Eccup Lane, Adel

# Conclusions

Issues and Options Summary

Green Belt site. Large site in agricultural use, the ground slopes gently from north to south. The western boundary borders a small wooded area providing a natural barrier. The north and east side border roads. A collection of cottages are set to the south. The site is set away from the urban area in an isolated position, any development would be unrelated to the settlement and result in urban sprawl.

Site affects others?

Sustainability summary

To complete - Significant negative - greenhouse emissions, accessibility and ecology. Minor negative - transport network (accessibility, site access and transport network).

Summary of reps

Comments on phasing

DPP Allocation

Not proposed as housing allocation

**DPP Allocation Conclusion** 

The site has no connection to the settlement unless other sites are released. Green belt site. Development would represent sprawl to the north of the urban area extending as far as Golden Acre Park, unrelated to the existing settlement form.

# 4159 Otley Road, Adel

C:1-	Detail	_
SIT A	HETAI	

Easting	427050	Northing	440774	Site area ha	5.2	SP7	Main Urban Area Extension
HMCA	Outer North	n West		Ward Adel and Wharfedale			

# **Site Characteristics**

Site type Greenfield

On-site land uses

Agriculture

Neighbouring land uses

Agriculture

Dwellings

Other land uses - None

Topograph	Flat and undulating	Landscape	Limited Tree Cover
Boundarie	Existing well defined	Road front	Yes

Description

Flat open site with current agricultural use, tree lined along eastern boundary. Road frontage along western boundary (A660).

# **Greenbelt Assessment**

# 1. Check the unresticted sprawl of large built up areas

Would development lead to/cons	titue ribbon development?	Yes
Would development result in an i	Yes	
Is the site well connected to the built up area?		No
Would development round off the settlement?		No
Is there a good existing barrier between the existing urban area and the undeveloped land?		Yes
Unrestricted Sprawl Conclusion High potential to lead to unrestri		cted sprawl

# 2. Prevent neighbouring towns from merging

Would development lead to physical connection of settlements?		No	
Do features provide boundaries to contain the development?		No	
Coalescence Conclusion	No merging but there is no defensible bou	ındary	

# 3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroahment

Strong defensible boundary between site and urban area	Yes
Does the site provide access to the countryside	No
Does the site include local/national nature conservation areas (SSSIs	No
Areas of protected/unprotected woodland/trees/hedgerows?	No
Site includes Grade 1, Grade 2 or Grade 3a agricultural land?	No
Does the site contain buildings	No
Are these buildings used for agricultural purposes?	No

Encroachment Conclusion | Site performs an important role in safeguarding from encroachment

# 4. Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns

Site within/adjacent to	conservation area/listed building/historical features?	No	
Can development pres	erve this character?	Yes	
Character Conclusion	No effect on the setting and special character of histor	ic features	

LCC

Ecology support

Not supported

# 4159 Otley Road, Adel

Overall Conclusion from assessment against all 4 purposes of green belt and essential characteristics of openness and permanence

Performs poorly in GB terms. Not linked to main urban area unless PAS site to south comes forward.

SHLAA conclusions			
Availability: Short (=<5 yrs)	Suitability: LDF to determine	Achievability: Longterm (11+yr	s)
Summary of infrastructure pro	ovider comments		
LCC Highways Comments			
Public transport accessibility comments			Rank (1-5)
CS Standards not met			1
Access comments			
Direct access from A660, would need to	be a roundabout or traffic signals only one acce	ess to serve 4159, 4160 and 4161	4
Local network comments			
No footways on A660 east side, Conges	ition concerns on A660		3
Mitigation measures			Total score
Upgrade A660 footways, aite access ma	ajor junction, mitigation of congestion on A660		8
Highways site support			_
No			
Contingent on other sites			
Combine 4159, 4160 and 4161			
Contingent on other sites			_
Combine 4159, 4160 and 4161			
Highways Agency			
Impact No material impact	Network Status No objection, no mitigati	on required	
Notarous Poil			
Network Rail			
Yorkshire Water			
Treatment Works			
<b>Environment Agency</b>			
Constraints	vious ISO consultation. Ordinary vistaria	unning through the south of the	
FZ I over Ina. See comments in our pre	evious I&O consultation. Ordinary watercourse (r	unning through the south of site)	

Red - includes an unimproved area of rush pasture to the southern area. A 20 metre buffer is required to the southern wetland area and eastern boundary to mitigate from disturbance - the biodiversity buffer to be enhanced.

#### 4159 Otley Road, Adel

Ecology boundary

Supported with mitigation (Amber) - if Red hatched areas are excluded as per drawing RM/4159. Mitigation will still be required to ensure impacts on adjacent habitats are addressed. Protect hedgerows and woodland belt to the east. This field is subdivided by hedgerows a UK BAP Priority Habitat. The eastern boundary is a narrow belt of lowland mixed deciduous woodland which will be good feeding habitat for bats. Retain hedgerows or include locally native species rich hedges within public open space and between alternate gadrens. Provide additional scrub habitat linked to eastern boundary. Avoid lighting the woodland edge habitat.

**Education comments** 

Flood Risk

Flood Zone 1 Flood Zone 1

**Utilities** 

Gas

Electric

Fire and Rescue

Telecoms

#### Other

English Heritage

Natural England

Planning History Applications since 1/1/2009, covering more than 50% of the site

App Number Proposal Decision % of site

# Spatial relationships

# **UDP Designations**

our besignations		
N32 Greenbelt	100.00	% overlap
N34 PAS	0.00	
RL1 Rural Land	0.00	
N1 Greenspace	0.00	
N1A Allotments	0.00	
N5 Open Space	0.00	
N6 Playing Pitch	0.00	
N8 Urban Green Corridor	0.00	
CC Shopping Quarter	0.00	
UDP City Centre	0.00	
S2S6 Town Centre	0.00	
Proposed Local Centre	0.00	
Overlaps N37 SLA	<b>✓</b>	
Sch. Ancient Mon.	0.00	

# **Core Strategy**

Main Urban Area	0.00	% overlap
Major Settlement	0.00	
Minor Settlement	0.00	
Overlaps Urban Extension	<b>✓</b>	

# **Regeneration Areas**

Inner South RA	0.00	% overlap
LB Corridor RA	0.00	
EASEL RA	0.00	
Aire Valley RA	0.00	
West Leeds Gateway	0.00	

# 4159 Otley Road, Adel

# Other Spatial Relationship

LCC ownership %	0.00
No	11611
Nearest train station	Horsforth
Nearest train station distance (m)	3096.21
Nearest bus stop	8653
Nearest bus stop distance (m)	267.69
Agricultural classification	Grade 3
Overlaps SSSI	
Overlaps SEGI	
Overlaps LNA	
Overlaps LNR	
Overlaps Conservation Area	
Overlaps Listed Building	
Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer	
Overlaps Public Right of Way	
Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone	
Overlaps EA Flood Zone	
<u> </u>	
Overlaps HSE Major Hazard	
Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline	
Overlaps Pot. Contamination	
Overlaps Minerals Safeguard	ed
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded 100	)m

#### 4159 Otley Road, Adel

# Conclusions

Issues and Options Summary

Green Belt site. Flat open site with current agricultural use, tree lined along eastern boundary. Set to the north of existing PAS site which is currently undeveloped. Consequently no connection to settlement, the site is isolated and would result in urban sprawl. Western boundary adjoins A660, no other access unless through existing PAS site (2130) or as part of larger scheme combined with 4160 / 4161. Could have potential for future PAS linked with other sites - boundary could be altered depending on capacity required.

Site affects others?

Sustainability summary

To complete - Significant negative - accessibility, green house emissions and ecology. Minor negative - transport network (accessibility, site access & transport network).

Summary of reps

Comments on phasing

**DPP Allocation** 

Not proposed as housing allocation

**DPP Allocation Conclusion** 

Green Belt site. Flat open site with current agricultural use, tree lined along eastern boundary. Set to the north of existing PAS site which is currently undeveloped. Consequently no connection to settlement, the site is isolated and would result in urban sprawl.

# 4160 Adel Mill, Otley Road, Adel

Site Det	ails						
Easting	427305	Northing	440814	Site area ha	10.9	SP7	Main Urban Area Extension
HMCA	Outer North West Ward Adel and Wharfedale			/harfedale			

#### **Site Characteristics**

Site type Greenfield

On-site land uses

Agriculture

Neighbouring land uses

Agriculture

**Dwellings** 

Other land uses - None

Topography	Flat and sloping	Landscape	Limited Tree Cover
Boundaries	Partially well-defined	Road front	Yes

Description

Slightly sloping open site with agricultural use, tree lined along western boundary. Farm housing / small residential use adjoins site to the east.

#### **Greenbelt Assessment**

# 1. Check the unresticted sprawl of large built up areas

Would development lead to/const	titue ribbon development?	No	
Would development result in an is	solated development?	Yes	
Is the site well connected to the I	ouilt up area?	No	
Would development round off the settlement?		No	
Is there a good existing barrier be and the undeveloped land?	etween the existing urban area	Yes	
Unrestricted Sprawl Conclusion	High potential to lead to unrestri	cted sprawl	

# 2. Prevent neighbouring towns from merging

Nould development lead to physical connection of settlements?	No
Oo features provide boundaries to contain the development?	No
Coalescence Conclusion No merging but there is no defensible be	oundary

#### 3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroahment

Strong defensible boundary between site and urban area	Yes
Does the site provide access to the countryside	No
Does the site include local/national nature conservation areas (SSSIs	No
Areas of protected/unprotected woodland/trees/hedgerows?	No
Site includes Grade 1, Grade 2 or Grade 3a agricultural land?	No
Does the site contain buildings	No
Are these buildings used for agricultural purposes?	No

Encroachment Conclusion | Site performs an important role in safeguarding from encroachment

# 4. Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns

	•	
Site within/adjacent to	conservation area/listed building/historical features?	No
Can development pres	erve this character?	Yes
Character Conclusion	No effect on the setting and special character of histor	ic features

# Overall Conclusion from assessment against all 4 purposes of green belt and essential characteristics of openness and permanence

Performs poorly as no links to settlement.

# 4160 Adel Mill, Otley Road, Adel

SHLAA conclusions				
Availability: Short (=<5 yrs)	Suitabilit	:y: LDF to determine	Achievability: Longterm (11+yrs)	
Summary of infrastructure prov	vider comments	s		
LCC Highways Comments				
Public transport accessibility comments				Rank (1-5)
PT CS Standards not met Primary Educat	tion and Health care	e partial, no secondary ed		2
Access comments		Anoffic cionale anticono a	2000 to 2000 41FO 41/O and 41/O	
Direct access from A660, would need to	be a roundabout or	traffic signals only one a	ccess to serve 4159, 4160 and 4162	4
Local network comments	ion concerns on A4	/ 1		
No footways on A660 east side, Congest	IOH CONCERNS ON AO	01		3
Mitigation measures				Total score
Upgrade A660 footways, aite access maj	ior junction, mitigat	ion of congestion on A660		9
Highways site support				
No				
Contingent on other sites				
Combine 4159, 4160 and 4162	· 			
Contingent on other sites				
Combine 4159, 4160 and 4162				
Highways Agency				
Impact No material impact	Network Status	No objection, no mitig	ation required	
Network Rail				
Yorkshire Water Treatment Works				
Heather Works				
Environment Agency				

# Environment Agency

Constraints

FZ 2 & 3 (Eastern section of site). See comments in our previous I&O consultation. Ordinary watercourse (Adel Beck) running through the east of site.

LCC

Ecology support Not supported

Red - Poor site due to impact on Meanwood to Breary Marsh wildlife habitat network. Breary Marsh SSSI, Adel Dam SEGI and important wildlife corridor - development will lead to increased isolation. This is generally a poor site as it lies along a very important connecting section of the wildlife habitat network between Meanwood Valley LNR, Adel Dam, Golden Acre Park and Breary Marsh. Threat of truncating this corridor and increased pressure from disturbance. To allow any development a significant corridor for wildlife will be needed and enhanced by specifically managing for nature conservation. Note - Archaeology issues - Roman settlement (Ian Sanderson).

# 4160 Adel Mill, Otley Road, Adel

Ecology boundary

Supported with mitigation (Amber) if Red hatched areas are excluded and boundary amended as per drawing RM/4160. Mitigation will still be required to ensure impacts on adjacent habitats are addressed. White clawed crayfish, water voles and otters recorded on the beck. Will also be important for feeding bats. Any road access can only be from the field to the south and where it crosses the water feature the bridge will need to be ecologically designed to maintain open water and associated bankside vegetation and flight lines for bats.

Education comments

Flood Risk

Flood Zone 1

#### **Utilities**

Gas

Electric

Fire and Rescue

Telecoms

# Other

English Heritage

Natural England

Planning History Applications since 1/1/2009, covering more than 50% of the site

App Number Proposal Decision % of site

# Spatial relationships

# **UDP Designations**

% overlap	100.00	N32 Greenbelt
	0.00	N34 PAS
	0.00	RL1 Rural Land
	0.00	N1 Greenspace
	0.00	N1A Allotments
	0.00	N5 Open Space
	0.00	N6 Playing Pitch
	0.00	N8 Urban Green Corridor
	0.00	CC Shopping Quarter
	0.00	UDP City Centre
	0.00	S2S6 Town Centre
	0.00	Proposed Local Centre
	<b>✓</b>	Overlaps N37 SLA
	1.00	Sch. Ancient Mon.

# **Core Strategy**

Main Urban Area	0.00	% overlap
Major Settlement	0.00	
Minor Settlement	0.00	
Overlaps Urban Extension	<b>V</b>	

# **Regeneration Areas**

Inner South RA	0.00	% overlap
LB Corridor RA	0.00	
EASEL RA	0.00	
Aire Valley RA	0.00	
West Leeds Gateway	0.00	

# 4160 Adel Mill, Otley Road, Adel

LCC ownership % 0.14
Nearest train station Horsforth
earest train station distance (m) 3334.74
Nearest bus stop 8653
Nearest bus stop distance (m) 433.18
Agricultural classification Grade 3
Overlaps SSSI
Overlaps SEGI
Overlaps LNA
Overlaps LNR
Overlaps Conservation Area
<u> </u>
Overlaps Listed Building
erlaps Strat. Employment buffer
erlaps Strat. Employment buffer  Overlaps Public Right of Way  ✓
Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone
Overlaps EA Flood Zone
Overlaps HSE Major Hazard
Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline
Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline Overlaps Pot. Contamination
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded

#### 4160 Adel Mill, Otley Road, Adel

# Conclusions

Issues and Options Summary

Green Belt site. Slightly sloping open site with agricultural use, tree lined along western boundary. Farm housing / small residential use adjoins site to the east. Set to the north of existing PAS site which is currently undeveloped. Consequently no connection to settlement, the site is isolated and would result in urban sprawl. Eastern boundary adjoins Church Lane for access. Potential for future PAS depending on capacity required.

Site affects others?

Sustainability summary

To complete. Significant negative - ecology. Minor negative - greenhouse emissions & accessibility. Neutral - transport network (accessibility, site access & transport network)

Summary of reps

Comments on phasing

**DPP Allocation** 

Not proposed as housing allocation

**DPP Allocation Conclusion** 

Green Belt site. Slightly sloping open site with agricultural use, tree lined along western boundary. Farm housing / small residential use adjoins site to the east. Set to the north of existing PAS site which is currently undeveloped. Consequently no connection to settlement, the site is isolated and would result in urban sprawl. Eastern boundary adjoins Church Lane for access.

# 4161 Otley Road, Leeds

Site Details								
Easting	427335	Northing	440607	Site area ha	6.7	SP7	Main Urban Area Extension	
HMCA Outer North West		Ward	Adel and Wharfedale					

#### **Site Characteristics**

Site type Greenfield

On-site land uses

Agriculture

Neighbouring land uses

Agriculture

Dwellings

Other land uses - None

Topography	Flat and undulating	Landscape	Limited Tree Cover
Boundaries	Partially well-defined	Road front	No

Description

Green Belt site. Largely flat open site with agricultural use, tree lined along western boundary.

#### **Greenbelt Assessment**

# 1. Check the unresticted sprawl of large built up areas

Would development lead to/cons	titue ribbon development?	No	
Would development result in an	solated development?	Yes	
Is the site well connected to the	built up area?	No	
Would development round off the settlement?		No	
Is there a good existing barrier between the existing urban area and the undeveloped land?		Yes	
Unrestricted Sprawl Conclusion	High potential to lead to unrestricted sprawl		

# 2. Prevent neighbouring towns from merging

Would development lead to physical connection of settlements?		No
Do features provide boundaries to contain the development?		No
Coalescence Conclusion No merging but there is no defensible be		undary

#### 3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroahment

Strong defensible boundary between site and urban area	Yes
Does the site provide access to the countryside	
Does the site include local/national nature conservation areas (SSSIs	
Areas of protected/unprotected woodland/trees/hedgerows?	
Site includes Grade 1, Grade 2 or Grade 3a agricultural land?	
Does the site contain buildings	
Are these buildings used for agricultural purposes?	

Encroachment Conclusion

# 4. Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns

Site within/adjacent	to conservation area/listed building/historical features?	No	
Can development pr	eserve this character?	Yes	
Character Conclusion	No effect on the setting and special character of histor	ic features	

# Overall Conclusion from assessment against all 4 purposes of green belt and essential characteristics of openness and permanence

Performs poorly as it does not link to settlement.

# 4161 Otley Road, Leeds

# **SHLAA** conclusions Availability: Medium (6-10yrs) Suitability: LDF to determine Achievability: Longterm (11+yrs) Summary of infrastructure provider comments **LCC Highways Comments** Public transport accessibility comments Rank (1-5) CS Standards not met 1 Access comments Direct access from A660, would need to be a roundabout or traffic signals only one access to serve 4159, 4160 and 4163 4 Local network comments No footways on A660 east side, Congestion concerns on A662 3 Total score Mitigation measures Upgrade A660 footways, aite access major junction, mitigation of congestion on A660 8 Highways site support Contingent on other sites Combine 4159, 4160 and 4163 Contingent on other sites Combine 4159, 4160 and 4163 **Highways Agency** Impact No material impact **Network Status** No objection, no mitigation required **Network Rail** Yorkshire Water Treatment Works **Environment Agency** FZ1 over 1ha. See comments in our previous I&O consultation. Ordinary watercourse (drain) running through the centre and eastern boundary of site

LCC

Ecology support Not supported

Red - Poor site due to impact on Meanwood to Breary Marsh wildlife habitat network. Breary Marsh SSSI, Adel Dam SEGI and important wildlife corridor - development will lead to increased isolation. This is generally a poor site as it lies along a very important connecting section of the wildlife habitat network between Meanwood Valley LNR, Adel Dam, Golden Acre Park and Breary Marsh. Threat of truncating this corridor and increased pressure from disturbance. To allow any development a significant corridor for wildlife will be needed and enhanced by specifically managing for nature conservation.

# 4161 Otley Road, Leeds

Ecology boundary

Supported with mitigation (Amber) if Red hatched areas are excluded and boundary amended as per drawing RM/4161. Mitigation will still be required to ensure impacts on adjacent habitats are addressed. White clawed crayfish, water voles and otters recorded on the beck. Will also be important for commuting and feeding bats. The allocation would need to be split into two to avoid an additional road crossing of the central water course flowing north-south (which is being enhanced along its margins as part of proposals on land to the south). Road access for the western portion can only be from the field to the south but will result in significant loss of valuable trees that form the hedgerow boundary. Where an access road may need to cross the water feature northwards (to proposed allocation 4160) a bridge will need to be ecologically designed to maintain open water and associated bankside vegetation and flight lines for bats.

	· · · · ·
Education comments	S
Flood Risk	
Flood Zone 1	
Utilities	
Gas	
Electric	
Fire and Rescue	
Telecoms	
0.11	
Other English Heritage	
English Heritage	
Natural England	
reaction England	
Planning History	Applications since 1/1/2009, covering more than 50% of the site

#### Spatial relationships

**App Number** 

<b>UDP Designations</b>		
N32 Greenbelt	99.99	% overlap
N34 PAS	0.00	
RL1 Rural Land	0.00	
N1 Greenspace	0.00	
N1A Allotments	0.00	
N5 Open Space	0.00	
N6 Playing Pitch	0.00	
N8 Urban Green Corridor	0.00	
CC Shopping Quarter	0.00	
UDP City Centre	0.00	
S2S6 Town Centre	0.00	
Proposed Local Centre	0.00	
Overlaps N37 SLA	<b>✓</b>	
Sch. Ancient Mon.	0.00	

**Proposal** 

# Core Strategy

Main Urban Area	0.00	% overlap
Major Settlement	0.00	
Minor Settlement	0.00	
Overlaps Urban Extension	<b>✓</b>	

% of site

% overlap

Decision

# **Regeneration Areas**

Inner South RA	0.00
LB Corridor RA	0.00
EASEL RA	0.00
Aire Valley RA	0.00
West Leeds Gateway	0.00

# 4161 Otley Road, Leeds

# Other Spatial Relationship

LCC ownership of	%	0.00
Nearest train station	Н	orsforth
Nearest train station distance (n	n) 3	3263.07
Nearest bus sto	р	8653
Nearest bus stop distance (n	n)	354.84
Agricultural classification	on Gra	ide 3
Overlaps SS	SI	
Overlaps SE0		$\bar{\Box}$
Overlaps LN		$\bar{\sqcap}$
Overlaps LN	IR	
Overlaps Conservation Are	ea	
Overlaps Listed Buildir	ng	
Overlaps Strat. Employment buff	er	
Overlaps Public Right of Wa	ay	
Overlaps SFRA Flood Zor	ne	
Overlaps EA Flood Zor	ne	
Overlaps HSE Major Haza	rd	
Overlaps HSE Gas Pipelir	ne	Ī
Overlaps Pot. Contamination	on	<b>✓</b>
Overlaps Minerals Safegua		
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded 1	100m	

#### 4161 Otley Road, Leeds

# Conclusions

Issues and Options Summary

Green Belt site. Largely flat open site with agricultural use, tree lined along western boundary. Set to the north of existing PAS site which is currently undeveloped. Consequently no connection to settlement, the site is isolated and would result in urban sprawl, though this is the closest of this group of sites to the urban area. Eastern boundary adjoins Church Lane for access. Could be combined with 4159 as potential future PAS.

Site affects others?

Sustainability summary

To complete. Significant negative - greenhouse emissions, accessibility & ecology. Minor negative - transport network (accessibility, site access & accessibility).

Summary of reps

Comments on phasing

**DPP Allocation** 

Not proposed as housing allocation

**DPP Allocation Conclusion** 

Green Belt site. Largely flat open site with agricultural use, tree lined along western boundary. Set to the north of existing PAS site which is currently undeveloped. Consequently no connection to settlement, the site is isolated and would result in urban sprawl. Eastern boundary adjoins Church Lane for access.

# 4173 Pool Road, LS21

Site Details							
Easting	423693	Northing	445305	Site area ha	12.5	SP7	
HMCA	Outer North	West			Ward	Adel and W	harfedale

#### **Site Characteristics**

Site type Mixed

On-site land uses

Manufacturing and Wholesale

Neighbouring land uses

Agriculture

Dwellings

Manufacturing and Wholesale

Other land uses - None

Topography	Flat	Landscape	Limited Tree Cover
Boundaries	Existing well defined	Road front	Yes

Description

A paper making factory with cricket pitch, agricultural land and a small area of woodland. Site is within the Green Belt. Part of the site is a cricket pitch.

#### **Greenbelt Assessment**

# 1. Check the unresticted sprawl of large built up areas

Would development lead to/constitue ribbon development?	No
Would development result in an isolated development?	Yes
Is the site well connected to the built up area?	No
Would development round off the settlement?	No
Is there a good existing barrier between the existing urban area and the undeveloped land?	
Unrestricted Sprawl Conclusion High potential to lead to unrestri	cted sprawl

# 2. Prevent neighbouring towns from merging

Would d	No		
Do featu	ires provide boun	daries to contain the development?	
Coalesce	ence Conclusion		

#### 3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroahment

Strong defensible boundary between site and urban area	Yes
Does the site provide access to the countryside	
Does the site include local/national nature conservation areas (SSSIs	
Areas of protected/unprotected woodland/trees/hedgerows?	
Site includes Grade 1, Grade 2 or Grade 3a agricultural land?	Yes
Does the site contain buildings	
Are these buildings used for agricultural purposes?	

Encroachment Conclusion

# 4. Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns

Site within/adjacent to	conservation area/listed building/historical features?	No
Can development pres	erve this character?	
Character Conclusion		

250m

#### 4173 Pool Road, LS21

# Overall Conclusion from assessment against all 4 purposes of green belt and essential characteristics of openness and permanence

The site on its own would be an isolated development. However if brought forward with the adjacent site 1095 and the PAS site would lead to a significant development site for a village the size of Pool. The site is brownfield land so redevelopment of some sort, if it had a lesser impact on the openness of the Green Belt, would be acceptable in planning policy terms if it came forward as windfall.

SHLAA cor	nclusions					
Availabilit	y: Medium (6-10yrs)	Suitability:	LDF to determine	Achievabili	ty: Longterm (11+yrs)	
Summary	of infrastructure prov	vider comments				
	vays Comments					
Public trans	port accessibility comments					Rank (1-5)
CS Standard	ds not met					
						_ 1
Access com						
Access poss	sible to the east of the pool B	usiness Park opposite.				5
Local patura	ark comments					
	ork comments concerns in Otley and A660//	A658 junction				
oorigestieri	denies in energ and riceer	nood janotion				3
Mitigation n	neasures					Total score
	pacity issues at Otley and A66	60 / A638				
						9
Highways si						
Yes with mi	tigation					
	on other sites			450		
Combine wi	th 1095B and 1369, three sep	parate accesses can't i	be provided on to A	659		
	on other sites			450		
Combine wi	th 1095B and 1369, three sep	parate accesses can't i	be provided on to A	659		
Highways	Agency					
	material impact	Network Status	No objection, no n	nitigation required		
IIIIpact INC	material impact	Network Status	No objection, no n	Titigation required		
Network F	Rail					
v						
Yorkshire						
Treatment \				(.4111-1 C. DC H	d and and the first street	6 11
				(utilising SuDS as the preferrencestigation will be required if		up for the
			, , ,	3		
	ent Agency					
Constraints						
	a Soc comments in our	ious IOO consultation	Ordinary waters	rse and culvert running throug	h west of site. Action 1	andfill within

# 4173 Pool Road, LS21

LCC

Ecology support Not supported

Red - Woodland within the site is Lowland mixed deciduous woodland UK BAP priority habitat and should not be further fragmented by any access roads. Grassland along western boundary is too small to be developed but offers opportunity for woodland and meadow creation to offset impacts elsewhere on-site.

# Ecology boundary

Supported with mitigation (Amber) if Red hatched areas are excluded and boundary amended as per drawing RM/4173. Mitigation will still be required to ensure impacts on adjacent woodland habitat is addressed. Retain and enhance with locally native scrub and woodland edge habitat linking woodland blocks. There is a high probability of bats being present within the existing buildings and trees on the site.

linking woodland blocks. There is a high probability of bats being present within the existing buildings and trees on the site.
Education comments
Flood Risk
Flood Zone 1
Utilities
Gas
Electric
Fire and Rescue
Telecoms
Other
English Heritage
Natural England

# Planning History Applications since 1/1/2009, covering more than 50% of the site

App Number Proposal Decision % of site

# Spatial relationships

# **UDP Designations**

UDP Designations		
N32 Greenbelt	100.00	% overlap
N34 PAS	0.00	
RL1 Rural Land	0.00	
N1 Greenspace	0.00	
N1A Allotments	0.00	
N5 Open Space	0.00	
N6 Playing Pitch	15.69	
N8 Urban Green Corridor	0.00	
CC Shopping Quarter	0.00	
UDP City Centre	0.00	
S2S6 Town Centre	0.00	
Proposed Local Centre	0.00	
Overlaps N37 SLA		

# **Core Strategy**

Main Urban Area	0.00	% overlap
Major Settlement	0.00	
Minor Settlement	0.00	
Overlaps Urban Extension	<b>V</b>	

# **Regeneration Areas**

0.00	% overlap
0.00	
0.00	
0.00	
0.00	
	0.00 0.00 0.00

#### 4173 Pool Road, LS21

 Sch.	Ancient	Mon.	0.00

Other Spatial Relationship	
LCC ownership %	0.00
Nearest train station Gu	iseley
Nearest train station distance (m) 57	37.93
Nearest bus stop	3519
Nearest bus stop distance (m) 1	66.40
Agricultural classification Grade	e 3
Overlaps SSSI	
Overlaps SEGI	
Overlaps LNA	
Overlaps LNR	
Overlaps Conservation Area	
Overlaps Listed Building	•
Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer	
Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer Overlaps Public Right of Way	
Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone	
Overlaps EA Flood Zone	7
Overlaps HSE Major Hazard	
Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline	•
Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline Overlaps Pot. Contamination	•
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded	

Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded 100m

#### 4173 Pool Road, LS21

# Conclusions

Issues and Options Summary

Existing factory in employment use, in an area where loss of employment is a concern. No connections with the settlement. Could come forward as a windfall site under NPPF subject to satisfying policy considerations, but not considered suitable for allocation for reasons given. Recommend retaining the circket pitch as part of any development.

Site affects others?

Sustainability summary

To complete. Significant negative - greenhouse emissions, accessibility & ecology. Neutral - transport network (accessibility, site access & transport network).

Summary of reps

Comments on phasing

**DPP Allocation** 

Not proposed as housing allocation

**DPP Allocation Conclusion** 

Existing factory in employment use, in an area where loss of employment is a concern. No connections with the settlement. Could come forward as a windfall site under NPPF subject to satisfying policy considerations, but not considered suitable for allocation for reasons given.

#### 4230 Land behind Moor Road, Bramhope

Site Deta	ails
-----------	------

Easting	424640	Northing	442707	Site area ha	0.6	SP7	Other Rural Extension
HMCA Outer North West		Ward	Adel and W	harfedale			

#### **Site Characteristics**

Site type Greenfield

On-site land uses

Agriculture

Neighbouring land uses

Agriculture

Dwellings

Other land uses - None

Topography	Sloping	Landscape	No Tree Cover
Boundaries	Existing well defined	Road front	No

Description

Field set outside village of Bramhope. No access.

#### **Greenbelt Assessment**

# 1. Check the unresticted sprawl of large built up areas

Would development lead to/cons	No	
Would development result in an i	Yes	
Is the site well connected to the	Is the site well connected to the built up area?	
Would development round off the settlement?		No
Is there a good existing barrier between the existing urban area and the undeveloped land?		Yes
Unrestricted Sprawl Conclusion High potential to lead to unrestri		cted sprawl

# 2. Prevent neighbouring towns from merging

Would development lead to physical connection of settlements?		No
Do features provide boundaries to contain the development?		No
Coalescence Conclusion No merging but there is no defensible bo		undary

#### 3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroahment

Strong defensible boundary between site and urban area	Yes
Does the site provide access to the countryside	No
Does the site include local/national nature conservation areas (SSSIs	No
Areas of protected/unprotected woodland/trees/hedgerows?	No
Site includes Grade 1, Grade 2 or Grade 3a agricultural land?	No
Does the site contain buildings	No
Are these buildings used for agricultural purposes?	No

Encroachment Conclusion | Site performs an important role in safeguarding from encroachment

# 4. Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns

	•	
Site within/adjacent to conservation area/listed building/historical features?		No
Can development preserve this character?		No
Character Conclusion No effect on the setting and special character of historic features		

# Overall Conclusion from assessment against all 4 purposes of green belt and essential characteristics of openness and permanence

Isolated position, not linked to settlement.

# 4230 Land behind Moor Road, Bramhope **SHLAA** conclusions Availability: Unknown Suitability: LDF to determine Achievability: Summary of infrastructure provider comments **Highways Agency** Impact **Network Status Network Rail** Yorkshire Water Treatment Works **Environment Agency** Constraints LCC Ecology support Ecology boundary **Education comments** Flood Risk Utilities Gas Electric Fire and Rescue Telecoms Other English Heritage Natural England **Planning History** Applications since 1/1/2009, covering more than 50% of the site **App Number Proposal** Decision % of site

#### 4230 Land behind Moor Road, Bramhope

# Spatial relationships

UDP Designations		
N32 Greenbelt	100.00	% overlap
N34 PAS	0.00	
RL1 Rural Land	0.00	
N1 Greenspace	0.00	
N1A Allotments	0.00	
N5 Open Space	0.00	
N6 Playing Pitch	0.00	
N8 Urban Green Corridor	0.00	
CC Shopping Quarter	0.00	
UDP City Centre	0.00	
S2S6 Town Centre	0.00	
Proposed Local Centre	0.00	
Overlaps N37 SLA		
Sch. Ancient Mon.	0.00	

# **Core Strategy**

Main Urban Area	0.00	% overlap
Major Settlement	0.00	
Minor Settlement	0.00	
Overlaps Urban Extension	<b>✓</b>	

% overlap

# **Regeneration Areas**

Inner South RA	0.00
LB Corridor RA	0.00
EASEL RA	0.00
Aire Valley RA	0.00
West Leeds Gateway	0.00

)	0.00	LB Corridor RA
)	0.00	EASEL RA
)	0.00	Aire Valley RA
)	0.00	West Leeds Gateway

# Other Spatial Relationship

LCC ownership %	0.00
-----------------	------

Nearest train station		Horsforth
Nearest train station distance (m)		3573.97
Nearest bus stop		10840
Nearest bus stop distance (m)		587.57

# Agricultural classification Grade 4

Overlaps SSSI	
Overlaps SEGI	
Overlaps LNA	
Overlaps LNR	
Overlaps Conservation Area	
Overlaps Listed Building	
Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer	
Overlaps Public Right of Way	
Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone	
Overlaps EA Flood Zone	
Overlaps HSE Major Hazard	
Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline	
Overlaps Pot. Contamination	

Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded 100m

# 4230 Land behind Moor Road, Bramhope

Conclusions	
Issues and Options Summary	
-	h no access or road frontage. Not suitable for housing.
Site affects others?	
Sustainability summary	
Summary of reps	
, ,	
Comments on phasing	
DPP Allocation	
Not proposed as housing allocation	
DPP Allocation Conclusion	
Sieved out site. Not within settleme approach.	nt hierarchy - unrelated to settlement and development would be contrary to overall Core Strategy

#### 4232 Lawnswood Arms, Holt Lane, Adel

Site Deta	ails						
Easting	426960	Northing	440129	Site area ha	0.8	SP7	Main Urban Area Infill
HMCA	MCA North Leeds, Outer North West		Ward	Adel and W	harfedale		

#### **Site Characteristics**

Site type Brownfield

On-site land uses

Public House

Neighbouring land uses

Dwellings

Agriculture

Other land uses - None

Topography	Flat	Landscape	Limited Tree Cover
Boundaries	Existing well defined	Road front	Yes

Description

Lawnswood Arms site - currently in use. Consists of public house and car park. Set in main urban area with road frontage.

#### **Greenbelt Assessment - Not Required**

# 1. Check the unresticted sprawl of large built up areas

Would development lead to/constitue ribbon development?	
Would development result in an isolated development?	
Is the site well connected to the built up area?	
Would development round off the settlement?	
Is there a good existing barrier between the existing urban area and the undeveloped land?	
Unrestricted Sprawl Conclusion	

### 2. Prevent neighbouring towns from merging

2. Frevent neighbouring terms neighing	
Would development lead to physical connection of settlements?	
Do features provide boundaries to contain the development?	

Coalescence Conclusion

#### 3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroahment

Strong defensible boundary between site and urban area	
Does the site provide access to the countryside	
Does the site include local/national nature conservation areas (SSSIs	
Areas of protected/unprotected woodland/trees/hedgerows?	
Site includes Grade 1, Grade 2 or Grade 3a agricultural land?	
Does the site contain buildings	
Are these buildings used for agricultural purposes?	

Encroachment Conclusion

# 4. Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns

Site within/adjacent to conservation area/listed building/historical features?		
Can development pres		
Character Conclusion		

Overall Conclusion from assessment against all 4 purposes of green belt and essential characteristics of openness and permanence

diaracteristics of openiness and permanence					

# 4232 Lawnswood Arms, Holt Lane, Adel

SHI	ΔΔ	conclus	ions		

Availability: Unknown Suitability: Suitable Achievability: Longterm (11+yrs)

# Summary of infrastructure provider comments

# **Highways Agency**

 Impact
 No material impact
 Network Status
 No objection, no mitigation required

#### **Network Rail**

# Yorkshire Water

Treatment Works

# **Environment Agency**

Constraints

FZ1 under 1ha. See comments in our previous I&O consultation

# LCC

Ecology support Supported

Supported

Ecology boundary

**Education comments** 

Flood Risk

Flood Zone 1

#### Utilities

Gas

Electric

Fire and Rescue

Telecoms

#### Other

English Heritage

Natural England

Planning History Applications since 1/1/2009	, covering more than 50% of the site
--	--------------------------------------

App NumberProposalDecision% of site12/04038/FUSingle-storey extension to pub/restaurantA95

## Spatial relationships

UDP Designations		
N32 Greenbelt	0.00	% overlap
N34 PAS	0.00	
RL1 Rural Land	0.00	
N1 Greenspace	0.00	
N1A Allotments	0.00	
N5 Open Space	0.00	
N6 Playing Pitch	0.00	
N8 Urban Green Corridor	0.00	
CC Shopping Quarter	0.00	
UDP City Centre	0.00	
S2S6 Town Centre	0.00	
Proposed Local Centre	1.00	
Overlaps N37 SLA		
Sch. Ancient Mon.	0.00	

## Core Strategy

Main Urban Area	100.00	% overlap
Major Settlement	0.00	
Minor Settlement	0.00	
Overlaps Urban Extension	<b>✓</b>	

% overlap

## **Regeneration Areas**

Inner South RA	0.00
LB Corridor RA	0.00
EASEL RA	0.00
Aire Valley RA	0.00
West Leeds Gateway	0.00

## Other Spatial Relationship

LCC ownership	0.00	
Nearest train station	Nearest train station	
Nearest train station distance	2724.96	
Nearest bus s	6702	
Nearest bus stop distance (m)		90.10
Agricultural classification		Grade 3
Overlaps S	SSI	

Overlaps SSSI	
Overlaps SEGI	
Overlaps LNA	
Overlaps LNR	
Overlaps Conservation Area	
Overlaps Listed Building	
Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer	
Overlaps Public Right of Way	
Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone	
Overlaps EA Flood Zone	
Overlaps HSE Major Hazard	$\overline{\Box}$
Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline	$\overline{\Box}$
Overlaps Pot. Contamination	$\overline{\Box}$

Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded 100m

## 4232 Lawnswood Arms, Holt Lane, Adel

Conclusions	
Issues and Options Summary	
Brownfield site in main urban area.	Principle for residential development acceptable (not submitted by landowner so may not come forward).
Site affects others?	
Sustainability summary	
Summary of reps	
Comments on phasing	
DPP Allocation	
Not proposed as housing allocation	
DPP Allocation Conclusion	]
Whilst this is a brownfield site, so o	could be considered suitable for residential use in principle, it is in existing use as a public house and the e (rather it was suggested by the public). As such, the site is not considered available.

#### 4236 Moorcock Hill, Old Lane, Bramhope

C:+-	Detai	-
SITE	Detai	115

Easting	423347	Northing	443339	Site area ha	3.6	SP7	Other Rural Extension
HMCA Outer North West		Ward	Adel and W	harfedale			

#### **Site Characteristics**

Site type Greenfield

On-site land uses

Agriculture

Neighbouring land uses

Agriculture

Dwellings

Other land uses - None

Topography	Sloping	Landscape	Limited Tree Cover
Boundaries	Partially well-defined	Road front	Yes

Description

Greenfield site in agricultural use. Road frontage along north and west boundaries. Housing to the northern side.

#### **Greenbelt Assessment**

#### 1. Check the unresticted sprawl of large built up areas

Would development lead to/cons	No
Would development result in an i	Yes
Is the site well connected to the	No
Would development round off the	No
Is there a good existing barrier b and the undeveloped land?	Yes
Unrestricted Sprawl Conclusion	cted sprawl

#### 2. Prevent neighbouring towns from merging

Would development lead to physical connection of settlements?		No	
Do features provide boundaries to contain the development?		No	
Coalescence Conclusion	No merging but there is no defensible bou	undary	

#### 3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroahment

Strong defensible boundary between site and urban area	Yes
Does the site provide access to the countryside	No
Does the site include local/national nature conservation areas (SSSIs	No
Areas of protected/unprotected woodland/trees/hedgerows?	No
Site includes Grade 1, Grade 2 or Grade 3a agricultural land?	No
Does the site contain buildings	No
Are these buildings used for agricultural purposes?	No

Encroachment Conclusion | Site performs an important role in safeguarding from encroachment

### 4. Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns

	•	
Site within/adjacent to	conservation area/listed building/historical features?	No
Can development pres	erve this character?	Yes
Character Conclusion	No effect on the setting and special character of histor	ic features

## Overall Conclusion from assessment against all 4 purposes of green belt and essential characteristics of openness and permanence

Set away from main settlement (Bramhope), would increase housing in isolated location. Not suitable.

# 4236 Moorcock Hill, Old Lane, Bramhope **SHLAA** conclusions Availability: Unknown Suitability: LDF to determine Achievability: Summary of infrastructure provider comments **Highways Agency** Impact **Network Status Network Rail** Yorkshire Water Treatment Works **Environment Agency** Constraints LCC Ecology support Ecology boundary **Education comments** Flood Risk Utilities Gas Electric Fire and Rescue Telecoms Other English Heritage Natural England **Planning History** Applications since 1/1/2009, covering more than 50% of the site **App Number Proposal** Decision % of site

#### 4236 Moorcock Hill, Old Lane, Bramhope

## Spatial relationships

UDP Designations		
N32 Greenbelt	100.00	% overlap
N34 PAS	0.00	
RL1 Rural Land	0.00	
N1 Greenspace	0.00	
N1A Allotments	0.00	
N5 Open Space	0.00	
N6 Playing Pitch	0.00	
N8 Urban Green Corridor	0.00	
CC Shopping Quarter	0.00	
UDP City Centre	0.00	
S2S6 Town Centre	0.00	
Proposed Local Centre	0.00	
Overlaps N37 SLA		
Sch. Ancient Mon.	0.00	

Core	Strategy

Main Urban Area	0.00	% overlap
Major Settlement	0.00	
Minor Settlement	0.00	
Overlaps Urban Extension	<b>✓</b>	

## **Regeneration Areas**

Inner South RA	0.00
LB Corridor RA	0.00
EASEL RA	0.00
Aire Valley RA	0.00
West Leeds Gateway	0.00

)	%	overlap
١		

ITITIEL SOUTH KA	0.00
LB Corridor RA	0.00
EASEL RA	0.00
Aire Valley RA	0.00
West Leeds Gateway	0.00

## Other Spatial Relationship

LCC ownership % 0.00

Nearest train station		Horsforth
Nearest train station distance (	(m)	4334.96
Nearest bus s	top	12343
Nearest bus stop distance (	(m)	164.81

## Agricultural classification Grade 4

Overlaps SSSI	
Overlaps SEGI	
Overlaps LNA	
Overlaps LNR	
Overlaps Conservation Area	
Overlaps Listed Building	
Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer	
Overlaps Public Right of Way	
Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone	
Overlaps EA Flood Zone	
Overlaps HSE Major Hazard	
Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline	
Overlaps Pot. Contamination	
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded	
Over laps iviil let als safegual ded	

Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded 100m

## 4236 Moorcock Hill, Old Lane, Bramhope

Conclusions	
Issues and Options Summary	
Green Belt site. Site has poor links to	o settlement and would increase urban sprawl. Location unsuitable for further housing development.
Site affects others?	
Sustainability summary	
Summary of reps	
Comments on phasing	
DPP Allocation	
Not proposed as housing allocation	
DPP Allocation Conclusion	
Green belt site. Unrelated to settlem	nent and development would be contrary to overall Core Startegy approach.

#### 4251 Land at Eccup Lane, Adel

Ci+^	Details	

Easting	427230	Northing	441047	Site area ha	6.4	SP7	Main Urban Area Extension
HMCA Outer North West		Ward	Adel and W	harfedale			

#### **Site Characteristics**

Site type Greenfield

On-site land uses

Agriculture

Neighbouring land uses

Agriculture

Other land uses - None

Topography	Flat and undulating	Landscape	Limited Tree Cover
Boundaries	Partially well-defined	Road front	Yes

Description

Green Belt site. Line of trees split the site down the centre. Agricultural use. Separated from the settlement.

#### **Greenbelt Assessment**

#### 1. Check the unresticted sprawl of large built up areas

Would development lead to/constitue ribbon development?	No
Would development result in an isolated development?	Yes
Is the site well connected to the built up area?	No
Would development round off the settlement?	No
Is there a good existing barrier between the existing urban area and the undeveloped land?	Yes
Unrestricted Sprawl Conclusion High potential to lead to unrestri	cted sprawl

#### 2. Prevent neighbouring towns from merging

Would development lead to physical connection of settlements?			No
Do features provide boundaries to contain the development?		No	
Coalescence Conclusion No merging but there is no defensible bo		undary	

#### 3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroahment

Yes
No

Encroachment Conclusion | Site performs an important role in safeguarding from encroachment

## 4. Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns

Site within/adjacent to	conservation area/listed building/historical features?	No	
Can development pres	erve this character?	Yes	
Character Conclusion No effect on the setting and special character of histori		ic features	

## Overall Conclusion from assessment against all 4 purposes of green belt and essential characteristics of openness and permanence

No links to urban area. Performs poorly in GB terms.

## 4251 Land at Eccup Lane, Adel **SHLAA** conclusions Availability: Longterm (+11yrs) Suitability: LDF to determine Achievability: Longterm (11+yrs) Summary of infrastructure provider comments **LCC Highways Comments** Public transport accessibility comments Rank (1-5) Fails CS standards 1 Access comments Wouldn't want isolated access on this section of A660 2 Local network comments A660 towards city and Dynley Arms 3 Total score Mitigation measures 6 Highways site support No Contingent on other sites Contingent on other sites **Highways Agency** Impact No material impact **Network Status** No objection, no mitigation required **Network Rail Yorkshire Water** Treatment Works Owing to the size of this site we would expect a surface water management plan (utilising SuDS as the preferred option) to be drawn up for the whole area **Environment Agency** FZ1 over 1ha. See comments in our previous I&O consultation. Ordinary watercourse (drain) runs across north boundary. Flood zones 20m east of site LCC Not supported **Ecology support** Red - This proposed allocation lies adjacent to Adel Dam SEGI a Yorkshire Wildlife Trust Nature Reserve an regionally important wetland for birds,

Red - This proposed allocation lies adjacent to Adel Dam SEGI a Yorkshire Wildlife Trust Nature Reserve an regionally important wetland for birds, wet woodland and reedbed. The reserve has an impressive list of birds many of which are on the red and amber list of birds of conservation concern. The woodland also supports badger, harvet mouse and bats. Generally a poor site which will result in pressure on the SEGI, and it lies along a very important connecting section of the wildlife habitat network between Meanwood Valley LNR, Adel Dam, Golden Acre Park and Breary Marsh. Threat of truncating this corridor and increased pressure from disturbance. To allow any development a significant corridor for wildlife will be needed and enhanced as part of mitigation by specifically managing for nature conservation (potential to work with Yorkshire Wildlife Trust on transfer of land shown as red hatching on drawing RM/4251).

#### 4251 Land at Eccup Lane, Adel

Ecology boundary

Supported with mitigation (Amber) if Red hatched areas are excluded and boundary amended as per drawing RM/4251. Mitigation will still be required to ensure impacts on adjacent habitats are addressed - but transfer and enhancement of land shown as Red hatched area would deliver this.

**Education comments** 

Flood Risk

Flood Zone 1

#### **Utilities**

Gas

Electric

Fire and Rescue

Telecoms

#### Other

English Heritage

Natural England

Planning History Applications since 1/1/2009, covering more than 50% of the site

App Number Proposal Decision % of site

## Spatial relationships

**UDP Designations** 

#### 100.00 % overlap N32 Greenbelt N34 PAS 0.00 **RL1 Rural Land** 0.00 N1 Greenspace 0.00 N1A Allotments 0.00 N5 Open Space 0.00 0.00 N6 Playing Pitch N8 Urban Green Corridor 0.00 0.00 **CC Shopping Quarter UDP City Centre** 0.00 S2S6 Town Centre 0.00 Proposed Local Centre 0.00 Overlaps N37 SLA **~**

Core	Strategy

Main Urban Area	0.00	% overlap
Major Settlement	0.00	
Minor Settlement	0.00	
Overlaps Urban Extension		
Overlaps of Dail Exterision	<b>✓</b>	

## **Regeneration Areas**

Inner South RA	0.00	% overlap
LB Corridor RA	0.00	
EASEL RA	0.00	
Aire Valley RA	0.00	
West Leeds Gateway	0.00	

#### Other Spatial Relationship

Sch. Ancient Mon.

0.00

## 4251 Land at Eccup Lane, Adel

LCC ownership %	0.00
Nearest train station	Horsforth
Nearest train station distance (m)	3395.89
Nearest bus stop	8917
Nearest bus stop distance (m)	479.44
Agricultural classification	Grade 3
Overlaps SSSI	
Overlaps SEGI	
Overlaps LNA	
Overlaps LNR	
Overlaps Conservation Area	
Overlaps Listed Building	
Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer	
Overlaps Public Right of Way	<b>✓</b>
Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone	
Overlaps EA Flood Zone	
Overlaps HSE Major Hazard	
Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline	
Overlaps Pot. Contamination	
Overlaps Minerals Safeguard	ed
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded 100	m

## 4251 Land at Eccup Lane, Adel

Conclusions	
Issues and Options Summary	
Green Belt site. No links to settleme	ent consequently not supported.
Site affects others?	
Sustainability summary	
To complete. Significant negative - access & transport network).	greenhouse emissions, accessibility & ecology. Minor negative - transport network (accessibility, site
Summary of reps	
Comments on phasing	
DPP Allocation	
Not proposed as housing allocation	
DPP Allocation Conclusion	
Green belot site. Development woul existing settlement form.	ld represent sprawl to the north of the urban area extending towards Golden Acre Park, unrelated to the

## 4259 Former Inglewood Children's Home, White Croft Garth, Otley

Site Details								
Easting	419922	Northing	445028	Site area ha	(	0.4	SP7	Major Settlement Infill
HMCA	Outer North	n West				Ward	Otley and Y	Yeadon
Site Characteristics								
Site type Mix 50:50								
On-site land uses								
Residential institution								

Neighbouring land uses

Dwellings

Other land uses - None

Topography	Flat	Landscape	Limited Tree Cover
Boundaries	Existing well defined	Road front	Yes

Description

A brownfield site located within the urban area. Former childrens home.

#### **Greenbelt Assessment - Not Required**

#### 1. Check the unresticted sprawl of large built up areas

Would development lead to/constitue ribbon development?	
Would development result in an isolated development?	
Is the site well connected to the built up area?	
Would development round off the settlement?	
Is there a good existing barrier between the existing urban area and the undeveloped land?	
Unrestricted Sprawl Conclusion	

#### 2. Prevent neighbouring towns from merging

_		
Would development lead		
Do features provide bound		
Coalescence Conclusion		•

## 3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroahment

Strong defensible boundary between site and urban area	
Does the site provide access to the countryside	
Does the site include local/national nature conservation areas (SSSIs	
Areas of protected/unprotected woodland/trees/hedgerows?	
Site includes Grade 1, Grade 2 or Grade 3a agricultural land?	
Does the site contain buildings	
Are these buildings used for agricultural purposes?	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Encroachment Conclusion

#### 4. Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns

Site within/adjacent to		
Can development pres		
Character Conclusion		•

Overall Conclusion from assessment against all 4 purposes of green belt and essential characteristics of openness and permanence

## Former Inglewood Children's Home, White Croft Garth, Otley

SHLAA conclusions							
Availability: Short (=	=<5 yrs)	Suitability:	Suitable		Achievability:	Short (=<5yrs)	
Summary of infra	astructure provider	comments					
LCC Highways Com	ments						
Public transport access							Rank (1-5)
Access comments		1					
	n from Whiteley Croft G	arth					5
Local network commer	nts	]					
Suitable for the likely s							5
Mitigation measures							Total score
None identified							
Highways site support		]					
Yes							
Contingent on other si	tes						
Contingent on other si	tes						
Highways Agency Impact No material i	mpact Netv	work Status	No objection, n	o mitigation require	ed		
Network Rail							
Yorkshire Water	1						
Treatment Works							
	<u></u>						
Environment Agend	у						
Constraints	<u> </u>	100					
	omments in our previous	s 1&O consultatio	n				
LCC	Supported						
Ecology support	Supported						
Supported  Ecology boundary	]						
	1						
Education comments							

## 4259 Former Inglewood Children's Home, White Croft Garth, Otley Flood Risk Flood Zone 1 Utilities Gas Electric Fire and Rescue Telecoms Other English Heritage Natural England Applications since 1/1/2009, covering more than 50% of the site **Planning History App Number** % of site **Proposal** Decision

## Spatial relationships

<b>UDP Designations</b>		
N32 Greenbelt	0.00	% overlap
N34 PAS	0.00	
RL1 Rural Land	0.00	
N1 Greenspace	0.00	
N1A Allotments	0.00	
N5 Open Space	0.00	
N6 Playing Pitch	0.00	
N8 Urban Green Corridor	0.00	
CC Shopping Quarter	0.00	
UDP City Centre	0.00	
S2S6 Town Centre	0.00	
Proposed Local Centre	0.00	
Overlaps N37 SLA		
Sch. Ancient Mon.	0.00	

## Core Strategy

Main Urban Area	0.00	% overlap
Major Settlement	1.00	
Minor Settlement	0.00	
Overlaps Urban Extension	<b>✓</b>	

## **Regeneration Areas**

Inner Sou	th RA 0.00
LB Corrido	or RA 0.00
EASE	EL RA 0.00
Aire Valle	ey RA 0.00
West Leeds Gat	eway 0.00

% overlap

## Other Spatial Relationship

LCC ownership	%	98.42
Nearest train station	Guiseley	
Nearest train station distance (	(m)	3008.33
Nearest bus s	top	2742
Nearest bus stop distance (	m)	140.76

Agricultural classification Urban

0	uter	No	rth	W	bet
v	uter	INO		vv	62

## Former Inglewood Children's Home, White Croft Garth, Otley

Overlaps SSSI	
Overlaps SEGI	
Overlaps LNA	
Overlaps LNR	
Overlaps Conservation Area	
Overlaps Listed Building	
Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer	
Overlaps Public Right of Way	
Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone	
Overlaps EA Flood Zone	[
Overlaps HSE Major Hazard	Ē
Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline	
Overlaps Pot. Contamination	<b>V</b>
Overden a Minerala Cafe manda	
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarde	_ '
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded 100r	n

## 4259 Former Inglewood Children's Home, White Croft Garth, Otley

Conclusions				
Issues and Options Summary				
Suitable for residential development for elderly accommodation.	in principle. Brownfield site	within the settlement.	Planning statement prepared.	Could be suitable site
Site affects others?				
Sustainability summary				
To complete. Neutral - ecology. Acc	essibility score to be provide	d.		
Summary of reps				
Comments on phasing				
DPP Allocation				
Preferred housing allocation				
DPP Allocation Conclusion				
Brownfield site within the settlemen	.Suitable for residential deve	elopment in principle.	Planning statement prepared.	Could be suitable site for

#### 5006 Pool Road

Site Det	ails						
Fasting	123172	Northing	445204	Site area ha	10.0	SD7	

Ward

Adel and Wharfedale

Site type Mixed

Outer North West

On-site land uses

Agriculture

**HMCA** 

Neighbouring land uses

Manufacturing and Wholesale

Agriculture

Other land uses - None

Topography	Flat	Landscape	Limited Tree Cover
Boundaries	Partially well-defined	Road front	Yes

Description

Land to the west of Whiteleys Paper Mill. The land is some distance from the main village of Pool within the Green Belt. The site is in agricultural use with farm buildings located in the north western boundary with access off Pool Road.

#### **Greenbelt Assessment**

#### 1. Check the unresticted sprawl of large built up areas

Would development lead to/constitue ribbon development?	Yes
Would development result in an isolated development?	Yes
Is the site well connected to the built up area?	No
Would development round off the settlement?	No
Is there a good existing barrier between the existing urban area and the undeveloped land?	Yes
Unrestricted Sprawl Conclusion High potential to lead to unres	stricted sprawl

#### 2. Prevent neighbouring towns from merging

Would development lead to physical connection of settlements?			
Do features provide bound			
Coalescence Conclusion			

#### 3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroahment

Strong defensible boundary between site and urban area	Yes
Does the site provide access to the countryside	
Does the site include local/national nature conservation areas (SSSIs	
Areas of protected/unprotected woodland/trees/hedgerows?	
Site includes Grade 1, Grade 2 or Grade 3a agricultural land?	
Does the site contain buildings	
Are these buildings used for agricultural purposes?	

Encroachment Conclusion

## 4. Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns

Site within/adjacent to conservation area/listed building/historical features?	No
Can development preserve this character?	

Character Conclusion

#### 5006 Pool Road

## Overall Conclusion from assessment against all 4 purposes of green belt and essential characteristics of openness and permanence

Site would consitute isolated development within the green belt. If the neighbouring sites were brought forward, this site would lead to ribbon development along Pool Road and unrestricted urban sprawl.

development along re	Sor Road drid driftes	tricted di bari spiawi.				
SHLAA conclusions						
Availability: Short (=	<5 yrs)	Suitability:	LDF to determine	Achievability:	Longterm (11+yrs)	
Summary of infra	structure prov	ider comments				
LCC Highways Comn	ments					
Public transport access	sibility comments					Rank (1-5)
Part Education and Hea	alth, no PT					2
Access comments						
Access onto A639 requ	iires tree loss for v	sibility and footway				3
Local network commen	nts					
Congestion at Dyneley	Arms A658/A660 a	and Otley, lack of foot	way on site side			2
Mitigation measures					_	Total score
Dyneley Arms and Otle	ey capacity improve	ements, footway				7
Highways site support						
Contingent on other sit 4173 to provide compre		llution				
Contingent on other sit 4173 to provide compre		lution				
Highways Agency						
Impact No material in n/a	mpact	Network Status	No objection, no mit	gation required		
Network Rail						
Yorkshire Water						
Treatment Works						
Environment Agenc	у					
Constraints						
FZ1 over 1ha. See com	nments in our previ	ous I&O consultation.	Drain/culvert running	g through south of site. EA reg	julated site close to s	ite
LCC						
Ecology support	Not supported					
part of the site. These	are all UK BAP Price	ority Habitats. A disus	ed railway runs along	orth and west boundary and a the southern boundary and fo together with a 20 metre buffe	rms part of a wildlife	corridor - a

#### 5006 Pool Road

boundaries to retain existing trees.

#### Ecology boundary

Supported with mitigation if Red hatched areas are excluded and boundary amended as per drawing RM/5006. Mitigation will still be required to ensure impacts on adjacent habitats are addressed. Retain and enhance the tree belts along the north and west boundary keeping them in the public open space - not in private garden space. Add a habitat corridor along the southern boundary and pond using locally native scrub and grassland species.

**Education comments** 

#### Flood Risk

Site is located within Flood Zone 1. However, because of close proximity to River Wharfe, FFLs may need to be raised 600mm above the 100 yr flood level.

#### Utilities

Gas

Electric

Fire and Rescue

Telecoms

#### Other

English Heritage

Natural England

#### Planning History Applications since 1/1/2009, covering more than 50% of the site

App Number Proposal Decision % of site

## Spatial relationships

## **UDP Designations**

ODI Designations		
N32 Greenbelt	100.00	% overlap
N34 PAS	0.00	
RL1 Rural Land	0.00	
N1 Greenspace	0.00	
N1A Allotments	0.00	
N5 Open Space	0.00	
N6 Playing Pitch	0.00	
N8 Urban Green Corridor	0.00	
CC Shopping Quarter	0.00	
UDP City Centre	0.00	
S2S6 Town Centre	0.00	
Proposed Local Centre	0.00	
Overlaps N37 SLA	<b>✓</b>	
Sch. Ancient Mon.	0.00	

## **Core Strategy**

Main Urban Area	0.00	% overlap
Major Settlement	0.00	
Minor Settlement	0.00	
Overlaps Urban Extension	<b>V</b>	

## **Regeneration Areas**

Inner South RA	0.00	% overlap
LB Corridor RA	0.00	
EASEL RA	0.00	
Aire Valley RA	0.00	
West Leeds Gateway	0.00	

## 5006 Pool Road

## Other Spatial Relationship

Nearest train station  Nearest train station  Nearest train station  Nearest train station  Nearest bus stop  Nearest bus stop  Nearest bus stop distance (m)  Agricultural classification  Overlaps SSSI  Overlaps SEGI  Overlaps LNA  Overlaps LNR  Overlaps LNR  Overlaps Listed Building  Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer  Overlaps Public Right of Way  Overlaps HSE Major Hazard  Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline  Overlaps Pot. Contamination  Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded  Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded  Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded  Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded
Nearest train station distance (m)  Nearest bus stop  Nearest bus stop distance (m)  Nearest bus stop distance (m)  Agricultural classification  Overlaps SSSI  Overlaps SEGI  Overlaps LNA  Overlaps LNR  Overlaps LNR  Overlaps Listed Building  Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer  Overlaps Public Right of Way  Overlaps HSE A Flood Zone  Overlaps HSE Major Hazard  Overlaps Pot. Contamination  Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded
Nearest train station distance (m)  Nearest bus stop  Nearest bus stop  Nearest bus stop distance (m)  Agricultural classification  Overlaps SSSI  Overlaps SEGI  Overlaps LNA  Overlaps LNR  Overlaps Listed Building  Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer  Overlaps Public Right of Way  Overlaps HSE A Flood Zone  Overlaps HSE Major Hazard  Overlaps Pot. Contamination  Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded
Nearest bus stop distance (m) 365.54  Agricultural classification Grade 3  Overlaps SSSI Overlaps SEGI Overlaps LNA Overlaps LNR Overlaps Conservation Area Overlaps Listed Building Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer Overlaps Public Right of Way Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone Overlaps HSE Major Hazard Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline Overlaps Pot. Contamination  Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded
Agricultural classification Grade 3  Overlaps SSSI Overlaps LNA Overlaps LNR Overlaps Conservation Area Overlaps Listed Building Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer Overlaps Public Right of Way Overlaps EA Flood Zone Overlaps HSE Major Hazard Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline Overlaps Pot. Contamination  Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded
Overlaps SSSI Overlaps SEGI Overlaps LNA Overlaps LNR Overlaps Conservation Area Overlaps Listed Building Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer Overlaps Public Right of Way Overlaps FRA Flood Zone Overlaps HSE Major Hazard Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline Overlaps Pot. Contamination  Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded
Overlaps SEGI Overlaps LNA Overlaps LNR Overlaps Conservation Area Overlaps Listed Building Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer Overlaps Public Right of Way Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone Overlaps EA Flood Zone Overlaps HSE Major Hazard Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline Overlaps Pot. Contamination Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded
Overlaps LNA Overlaps LNR Overlaps Conservation Area Overlaps Listed Building Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer Overlaps Public Right of Way Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone Overlaps EA Flood Zone Overlaps HSE Major Hazard Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline Overlaps Pot. Contamination Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded
Overlaps LNR Overlaps Conservation Area Overlaps Listed Building Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer Overlaps Public Right of Way Overlaps FRA Flood Zone Overlaps HSE Major Hazard Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline Overlaps Pot. Contamination Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded
Overlaps Conservation Area Overlaps Listed Building Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer Overlaps Public Right of Way Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone Overlaps EA Flood Zone Overlaps HSE Major Hazard Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline Overlaps Pot. Contamination  Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded
Overlaps Listed Building Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer Overlaps Public Right of Way Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone Overlaps EA Flood Zone Overlaps HSE Major Hazard Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline Overlaps Pot. Contamination  Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded
Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer Overlaps Public Right of Way Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone Overlaps EA Flood Zone Overlaps HSE Major Hazard Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline Overlaps Pot. Contamination  Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded
Overlaps Public Right of Way Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone Overlaps EA Flood Zone Overlaps HSE Major Hazard Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline Overlaps Pot. Contamination Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded
Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone Overlaps EA Flood Zone Overlaps HSE Major Hazard Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline Overlaps Pot. Contamination Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded
Overlaps EA Flood Zone Overlaps HSE Major Hazard Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline Overlaps Pot. Contamination  Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded
Overlaps HSE Major Hazard Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline Overlaps Pot. Contamination  Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded
Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline Overlaps Pot. Contamination  Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded
Overlaps Pot. Contamination  Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded
· · ·
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded 100m

## 5006 Pool Road

Conclusions	
Issues and Options Summary	
Site is isolated within the green belt	. No suitable for development.
Site affects others?	
Sustainability summary	
Summary of reps	
Comments on phasing	
DPP Allocation	
Not proposed as housing allocation	
DPP Allocation Conclusion	
Site is isolated within the green belt	. Not suitable for development.

## 5127 The Tannery, Leeds Road, Otley, LS21 1QX

Site Details							
Easting	420771	Northing	445019	Site area ha	0.3	SP7	Major Settlement Infill
HMCA	HMCA Outer North West Ward Otley and Yeadon			Yeadon			
Site Characteristics							
Site type	Brownfield						

On-site land uses - None

Adjacent land uses - None

Other land uses - None

Topography	Landscape	
Boundaries	Road front	

Descri	ption

## **Greenbelt Assessment - Not Required**

#### 1. Check the unresticted sprawl of large built up areas

Would development lead to/cons		
Would development result in an i		
Is the site well connected to the built up area?		
Would development round off the settlement?		
Is there a good existing barrier between the existing urban area and the undeveloped land?		
Unrestricted Sprawl Conclusion		

#### 2. Prevent neighbouring towns from merging

Would development lead to physical connection of settlements?		
Do features provide bound	daries to contain the development?	
Coalescence Conclusion		

## 3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroahment

Strong defensible boundary		
Does the site provide acces	s to the countryside	
Does the site include local/i	national nature conservation areas (SSSIs	
Areas of protected/unprotected woodland/trees/hedgerows?		
Site includes Grade 1, Grad		
Does the site contain buildings		
Are these buildings used for agricultural purposes?		
Encroachment Conclusion		

## 4. Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns

Site within/adjacent to conservation area/listed building/historical features?		
Can development preserve this character?		
Character Conclusion		

Overall Conclusion from assessment against all 4 purposes of green belt and essential characteristics of openness and permanence

5127 The	Гannery, Leeds Road, Otley, LS21	1 1QX
SHLAA conclusions	S	
Availability:	Suitability:	Achievability:
Summary of infr	rastructure provider comments	
Highways Agency		
Impact	Network Status	
Network Rail		
Yorkshire Water		
Treatment Works		
Environment Ager	ncy	
Constraints		
LCC Ecology support		
Ecology support		
Ecology boundary		
Education comments		
Flood Risk		
Utilities		
Gas		
Electric		
Fire and Rescue		
Telecoms		
Other		
English Heritage		
Natural England		
Diamaina History	Applications since 1/1/2009, covering more	a than 50% of the cita

Planning History	Applications since 1/1/2009, covering more than 50% of the site				
App Number	Proposal	Decision	% of site		
14/04539/COND	Consent, agreement or approval required by conditions 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 16, 20, 22 and 23 of Planning Application	Α	100		

5127 The	Tannery, Leeds Road, Otley, LS21 1QX			
09/03485/CA	Conservation Area Application to demolish commercial building	W	99	
10/00739/FU	Demolition of tannery buildings, laying out of access road and erection of 12 houses, with car parking and landscaping	R	100	
10/00742/CA	Conservation Area Application to demolish tannery buildings	R	100	
11/04382/FU	Demolition of tannery buildings, laying out of access road and erection of 10 houses, with car parking and landscaping	A	100	
09/01585/CA	Conservation Area Application to demolish the commercial buildings to the rear of tannery building	R	99	
09/01586/FU	Demolition of commercial buildings to the rear, change of use, including alterations of the tannery building to form 10 two bedroom flats, 2 one bedroom flats and 2 studio flats and erection of 6 three bedroom houses, with car parking and landscaping	w	99	
11/04383/CA	Conservation Area Application to demolish former tannery building	A	100	
14/04173/COND	Consent, agreement or approval required by conditions 3, 4b and 6 of Planning Application 11/04383/CA	A	100	

## Spatial relationships

<b>UDP Designations</b>		
N32 Greenbelt	0.00	% overlap
N34 PAS	0.00	
RL1 Rural Land	0.00	
N1 Greenspace	0.00	
N1A Allotments	0.00	
N5 Open Space	0.00	
N6 Playing Pitch	0.00	
N8 Urban Green Corridor	0.00	
CC Shopping Quarter	0.00	
UDP City Centre	0.00	
S2S6 Town Centre	0.00	
Proposed Local Centre	0.00	
Overlaps N37 SLA		
Sch. Ancient Mon.	0.00	

Core Strategy	

Main Urban Area	0.00	% overlap
Major Settlement	1.00	
Minor Settlement	0.00	
Overlaps Urban Extension		

Inner South RA	0.00	% overlap
LB Corridor RA	0.00	
EASEL RA	0.00	
Aire Valley RA	0.00	
West Leeds Gateway	0.00	

## Other Spatial Relationship

LCC ownership	%	0.00
Nearest train station		Guiseley
Nearest train station distance (	(m)	3395.17
Nearest bus s	top	7259
Nearest bus stop distance (	(m)	33.15
Agricultural classificat	ion	Grade 4

## 5127 The Tannery, Leeds Road, Otley, LS21 1QX

Overlaps SSSI	
Overlaps SEGI	
Overlaps LNA	
Overlaps LNR	
Overlaps Conservation Area	<b>~</b>
Overlaps Listed Building	
Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer	
Overlaps Public Right of Way	Ī
Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone	Ē
Overlaps EA Flood Zone	
Overlaps HSE Major Hazard	ſ
Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline	Ē
Overlaps Pot. Contamination	~
Occasion - Minamala Cafe annual al	, –
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded	
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded 100m	

## 5127 The Tannery, Leeds Road, Otley, LS21 1QX

Conclusions	
Issues and Options Summary	
Site affects others?	
Sustainability summary	
ouotamaziiti ouminary	
C	
Summary of reps	
Comments on phasing	
DPP Allocation	
Identified housing site	
DPP Allocation Conclusion	
	planning permission or existing UDP allocation. Principle of residential development accepted

#### 5155 Land east of Moor Road Bramhope

Site Deta	ails						
Easting	424879	Northing	442918	Site area ha	3.8	SP7	Smaller Settlement Extension
HMCA	Outer North	West			Ward	Adel and W	harfedale

#### **Site Characteristics**

Site type Greenfield

On-site land uses

Agriculture

Neighbouring land uses

Agriculture

Dwellings

Other land uses - None

Topography	Sloping	Landscape	Limited Tree Cover
Boundaries	Partially well-defined	Road front	Yes

Description

Greenfield site on the southern edge of Bramhope. The land slopes downwards towards the southern boundary beyond which there is an area of established woodland. The route of the railway tunnel on the Leeds-Harrogate line lies to the east of the site.

#### **Greenbelt Assessment**

#### 1. Check the unresticted sprawl of large built up areas

Would development lead to/cons	No	
Would development result in an isolated development?		No
Is the site well connected to the built up area?		No
Would development round off the settlement?		No
Is there a good existing barrier between the existing urban area and the undeveloped land?		No
Unrestricted Sprawl Conclusion Low potential to lead to unrestrict		ted sprawl

#### 2. Prevent neighbouring towns from merging

•		
Would development lead to physical connection of settlements?		No
Do features provide boun	daries to contain the development?	No
Coalescence Conclusion	No merging but there is no defensible bo	oundary

#### 3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroahment

Strong defensible boundary between site and urban area	No
Does the site provide access to the countryside	No
Does the site include local/national nature conservation areas (SSSIs	No
Areas of protected/unprotected woodland/trees/hedgerows?	No
Site includes Grade 1, Grade 2 or Grade 3a agricultural land?	
Does the site contain buildings	No
Are these buildings used for agricultural purposes?	

Encroachment Conclusion

#### 4. Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns

Site within/adjacent to conservation area/listed building/historical features?		No	
Can development preserve this character?			
Character Conclusion	No effect on the setting and special character of historic features		

## 5155 Land east of Moor Road Bramhope

Overall Conclusion from assessment against all 4 purposes of green belt and essential characteristics of openness and permanence

Whilst the site is mainly contained by the adjacent existing housing and planting, it is an indefensible boundary. Development of the site creates an incursion into the Green Belt creating an irregular boundary.

SHLAA co	nclusions		
Availabilit	ty:	Suitability:	Achievability:
Summar	y of infra	structure provider comments	
Highways	s Agency		
Impact N	lo material i	mpact Network Status	No objection
		-	
Network	Dail	1	
INCLINOIR	Kali		
Yorkshire	Water		
Treatment	Works		
Environm	nent Agenc	;v	
Constraints			
LCC			
Ecology sup		Supported	
Supported	- Green.		
Ecology bo	undary		
Education of	comments		
EL 1811		1	
Flood Risk	minor water	requires rupping along the Southern bour	ndary of the site. It should be assumed that there will be no development within 9m
of the beck	k. Surface w	vater flood risk = Low.	idaly of the site. It should be assumed that there will be no development within 4m
		1	
Utilities			
Gas			
Electric			
Fire and Re	escue		
Talagama		1	
Telecoms			
Other			
English Her	ritage		
Notural Fra	aland		
Natural Eng	yıarıu		

#### 5155 Land east of Moor Road Bramhope

Planning History Applications since 1/1/2009, covering more than 50% of the site

App Number Proposal Decision % of site

## Spatial relationships

**UDP Designations** 

#### 99.91 % overlap N32 Greenbelt N34 PAS 0.00 **RL1 Rural Land** 0.00 N1 Greenspace 0.00 N1A Allotments 0.00 N5 Open Space 0.00 N6 Playing Pitch 0.00 N8 Urban Green Corridor 0.00 CC Shopping Quarter 0.00

**UDP City Centre** 

S2S6 Town Centre

Overlaps N37 SLA
Sch. Ancient Mon.

Proposed Local Centre

0.00

0.00

0.00

0.00

Core Strategy
---------------

Main Urban Area	0.00	% overlap
Major Settlement	0.00	
Minor Settlement	1.00	
Overlaps Urban Extension	<b>✓</b>	

## **Regeneration Areas**

Inner South RA	0.00	% overla
LB Corridor RA	0.00	
EASEL RA	0.00	
Aire Valley RA	0.00	
West Leeds Gateway	0.00	

## Other Spatial Relationship

LCC ownership %	0.00
LCC OWNERSHIP 70	0.00

Nearest train station	Horsforth
Nearest train station distance (m)	3806.56
Nearest bus stop	10840
Nearest bus stop distance (m)	348.11

## Agricultural classification Grade 4

Overlaps SSSI	
Overlaps SEGI	
Overlaps LNA	
Overlaps LNR	
Overlaps Conservation Area	
Overlaps Listed Building	
Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer	
Overlaps Public Right of Way	
Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone	
Overlaps EA Flood Zone	
Overlaps HSE Major Hazard	$\overline{\Box}$
Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline	$\overline{\Box}$
Overlaps Pot. Contamination	<b>✓</b>

Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded 100m

## 5155 Land east of Moor Road Bramhope

Conclusions		
Issues and Options Summary		
	ainly contained by the adjacent existing housing and planting, it is an indefensible boundary. It the Green Belt creating an irregular boundary.	Development
Site affects others?		
No		
Sustainability summary		
Summary of reps		
Comments on phasing		
DPP Allocation		
Not proposed as housing allocation		
DPP Allocation Conclusion		
	ainly contained by the adjacent existing housing and planting, it is an indefensible boundary. It the Green Belt creating an irregular boundary.	Development

#### HLA29013 23-5 Manor Square, Otley

Easting 420198 Northing 445596 Site area ha 0 SP7 Major Settlement Infill  HMCA Outer North West Ward Otley and Yeadon	Si	Site Details							
HMCA Outer North West Ward Otley and Yeadon		Easting	420198	Northing	445596	Site area ha	0	SP7	Major Settlement Infill
		HMCA Outer North West V			Ward	Otley and Y	- /eadon		

#### **Site Characteristics**

Site type Brownfield

On-site land uses - None

Adjacent land uses - None

Other land uses - None

Topography	Landscape	
Boundaries	Road front	

Description

## **Greenbelt Assessment - Not Required**

#### 1. Check the unresticted sprawl of large built up areas

Would development lead to/consti		
Would development result in an is		
Is the site well connected to the built up area?		
Would development round off the		
Is there a good existing barrier be and the undeveloped land?		
Unrestricted Sprawl Conclusion		

#### 2. Prevent neighbouring towns from merging

Would development lead	to physical connection of settlements?	
Do features provide bound		
Coalescence Conclusion		

#### 3. Assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroahment

Strong defensible boundary between site and urban area	
Does the site provide access to the countryside	
Does the site include local/national nature conservation areas (SSSIs	
Areas of protected/unprotected woodland/trees/hedgerows?	
Site includes Grade 1, Grade 2 or Grade 3a agricultural land?	
Does the site contain buildings	
Are these buildings used for agricultural purposes?	

Encroachment Conclusion

## 4. Preserve the setting and special character of historic towns

Site within/adjacent to conservation area/listed building/historical features?				
Can development preserve this character?				
Character Conclusion				

Overall Conclusion from assessment against all 4 purposes of green belt and essential characteristics of openness and permanence

# **Outer North West** HLA29013 23-5 Manor Square, Otley SHLAA conclusions Availability: Achievability: Suitability: Summary of infrastructure provider comments Highways Agency Impact Network Status Network Rail Yorkshire Water Treatment Works **Environment Agency** Constraints LCC Ecology support Ecology boundary **Education comments** Flood Risk Utilities

Gas		
Electric		
Fire and Rescue		
Telecoms		

English Heritage	

Other

Natural England

Planning History	Applications since 1/1/2009, covering more than 50% of the site		
App Number	Proposal	Decision	% of site
14/01917/LI	Listed building application for alterations including new first and second floors to form 2 flats; division of existing ground floor shop into 2 retail units	R	100

## HLA29013 23-5 Manor Square, Otley

14/01916/FU	Alterations including new first and second floors to form 2 flats; division of existing ground floor shop into 2 retail units	R	100
11/04439/EXT	Extension of time period for planning application 29/377/04/FU for change of use of 1st and 2nd floor offices to 8 flats	Α	100
11/04440/EXT	Extension of time period for Listed Building Application 29/376/04/LI to carry out alterations of 1st and 2nd floor offices to form 8 flats	Α	100

## Spatial relationships

## **UDP Designations**

N32 Greenbelt	0.00
N34 PAS	0.00
RL1 Rural Land	0.00
N1 Greenspace	0.00
N1A Allotments	0.00
N5 Open Space	0.00
N6 Playing Pitch	0.00
N8 Urban Green Corridor	0.00
CC Shopping Quarter	0.00
UDP City Centre	0.00
S2S6 Town Centre	1.00
Proposed Local Centre	0.00
Overlaps N37 SLA	
Sch. Ancient Mon.	0.00

## **Core Strategy**

% overlap

Main Urban Area	0.00	% overla
Major Settlement	1.00	
Minor Settlement	0.00	
Overlaps Urban Extension	<b>✓</b>	

## **Regeneration Areas**

Inner South RA	0.00	% overlap
LB Corridor RA	0.00	
EASEL RA	0.00	
Aire Valley RA	0.00	
West Leeds Gateway	0.00	

## Other Spatial Relationship

LCC ownership %	0.00
Nearest train station	Guiseley
Nearest train station distance (m)	3637.87
Nearest bus stop	10941
Nearest bus stop distance (m)	144.67

## Agricultural classification Urban

riginountariar oraconnountori		
Overlaps SSSI		
Overlaps SEGI		
Overlaps LNA		
Overlaps LNR		
Overlaps Conservation Area	<b>✓</b>	
Overlaps Listed Building	<b>✓</b>	
Overlaps Strat. Employment buffer		
Overlaps Public Right of Way		
Overlaps SFRA Flood Zone		
Overlaps EA Flood Zone		
Overlaps HSE Major Hazard		
Overlaps HSE Gas Pipeline	$\Box$	
Overlaps Pot. Contamination	$\overline{\sqcap}$	
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded		
Overlaps Minerals Safeguarded 100m		

## HLA29013 23-5 Manor Square, Otley

Conclusions	
Issues and Options Summary	
Site affects others?	
Sustainability summary	
Summary of reps	
Comments on phasing	
DPP Allocation	
Identified housing site	
DPP Allocation Conclusion	
Site with current or recently expired planning permission or existing UDP allocation. Principle of residential development accepted	