Resume Assessment Rubric

| | Stage 1: No Experience | Stage 2: Some Experience | Stage 3: Relevant Experience | Stage 4: Professional |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| Professionalism | Resume is difficult to navigate. Information may not be in the right place. Non-standard format. Information might be misrepresented due to lack of understanding from the candidate. | Resume is clear and easy to navigate, however, significant edits could make it much higher quality. | Resume is clear and easy to navigate. Candidate has put significant time and effort into editing. | Resume is <i>clear</i> and <i>easy to navigate</i> . Candidate has put significant time and effort into <i>editing</i> and <i>curating</i> the content for this <i>specific</i> role. |
| Work Experience | Candidate has never worked before. | Candidate has worked before but possibly not in this field. | Candidate has held an internship in technology. Better would be an internship in this area. | Candidate has existing professional work experience in this area. |
| Teamwork and Professional Practices | Candidate has no work experience, team experience. Possibly familiar with Agile but only from an academic perspective, not in practice. | Candidate has good understanding of modern team practices and best-practices related to the field. May not have significant experience at them. Example: using agile, github, CI, code review, PRs, branching, & pair programming as part of a course assignment. | Candidate has good experience with modern team and professional practices which is evidenced by using these practices in their personal projects with multiple team members. Example: using agile, github, CI, code review, PRs, branching, & pair programming as part of larger projects. | Candidate has professional experience with modern team and professional practices. Example: using agile, github, Cl, code review, PRs, branching, & pair programming as part of paid work. |
| Education | Candidate does not hold a degree or formal titles or certifications in this domain. | Candidate holds a degree in the same area and may have some relevant coursework. | Candidate has had coursework that directly contributes to their knowledge of this domain and/or was engaged in significant projects or research related to this area. | Candidate was involved in <i>published</i> peer-reviewed research in this area. Or is a professional <i>educator</i> in the area. |
| Non-work Experience | Candidate has no projects or anything tangible to indicate they have experience in this area. | Candidate has limited experience in the area. It is possible that this experience is from homework assignments or required work from a University course, workshop, bootcamp, or following a tutorial. Example: listing homework on resume, listing class projects | Candidate has original projects in this area that demonstrate signficant thought and interest. Demonstrates an ability to think about problems and solutions. Ability to design solutions from scratch. Example: senior projects, to a lesser degree hackathon projects | Candidate has deployed high-quality projects that are published and used by people. Example: releasing a web app or mobile app |
| Skills | Candidate may list a hodgepodge of skills but none directly relevant to the position. | Candidate lists skills relevant to the position but not obvious that it is significant experience. Not obvious that the candidate isn't just lying or artificially tailoring their resume. | Candidate lists skills directly relevant to the position. They seem legit based on the context, wording, depth. It seems legit. | Candidate has real skills relevant to the position, <i>verifiable</i> by their work experience, education, and/or projects. |