

EVALUATION RUBRICS for MAIN PROJECT

Continuous Evaluation by Guide

No.	Parameters	Marks	Poor	Fair	Good
1	Design	10	The student tried to gather easy information .No objectives formed. (0-3 marks)	The student has some knowledge on the design procedure to be adopted and the methodologies. (4- 6 marks)	Shows clear evidence of having a well defined design methodology. (7-10 marks)
2	Rough Record and git hub	10	Rough record is not properly maintained. Not extensive documentation is done. (0-3 marks)	Neatly presented the rough record. Most of the project details were documented well enough. (4-6 marks)	Rough record neatly written and well maintained. The documentation structure is well planned and can easily grow into the project report. (7-10 marks)
3	Guide Interaction and Class Attendance	10	No proper interaction with the guide and class attendance is low. (0-3 marks)	Scrum meetings are done periodically. Class attendance is fair enough. (4-6 marks)	Proper interaction with guide and updations are done as per the suggestions provided by the Guide. Class attendance is good enough. (7-10 marks)

Evaluation by the Project Assessment Board

No.	Parameters	Marks	Poor	Fair	Good
1	Code Knowledge and Interface design	15	The student has not done work with respect to the design and analysis, need to improve. Lack of clarity and inconsistency in UI design. (0-4 marks)	There is evidence to show the student has done good to the analysis/ modeling/ respect to the project. Progress in the project, they can improve further. Designed an easy-to navigate interface, navigations are clear and self evident. (5-12 marks)	Strong evidence for excellent progress in the project. The student has completed required work in an excellent manner. Followed the basic principles of layout design and used effective techniques for improving visual hierarchy and readability. (13-15marks)
2	Validation	15	Testing is not done in all forms. (0-4marks)	Most of the forms are properly validated. (5-12 marks)	Proper validation has done in all aspects. (13-15 marks)
3	Documentation and Project Report	10	The prepared report is shallow and not as per standard format. Lack of effort in preparation is evident. All references are not cited properly. (0-3marks)	Most of the project details were documented well enough. Project report shows the evidence of systematic documentation. Report is following the standard format. Most of the references are cited. (4-7 marks)	The documentation structure is well planned and can easily grow into the project report. The report is exceptionally good,, neatly organized. All references cited. Tables, diagrams and figures are properly numbered. Language is excellent and follows standard styles. (8-10 marks)

