

Problem statement

We all want to do accurate Bayesian inference quickly:

- In terms of compute (wall time, model evaluations, parallelism)
- In terms of analyst effort (tuning, algorithmic complexity)

Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) can be straightforward and accurate but slow.

Black Box Variational Inference (BBVI) can be faster alternative to MCMC. **But...**

- BBVI is cast as an optimization problem with an intractable objective \Rightarrow
 - Most BBVI methods use **stochastic gradient (SG)** optimization \Rightarrow
 - SG algorithms can be hard to tune
 - Assessing convergence and stochastic error can be difficult
 - SG optimization can perform worse than second-order methods on tractable objectives
 - Many BBVI methods employ a **mean-field (MF) approximation** \Rightarrow
 - Posterior variances are poorly estimated
-

Our proposal: replace the intractable BBVI objective with a fixed approximation.

- Better optimization methods can be used (e.g. true second-order methods)
- Convergence and approximation error can be assessed directly
- Can correct posterior covariances with linear response covariances
- This technique is well-studied (but there's still work to do in the context of BBVI)

\Rightarrow **Simpler, faster, and better BBVI posterior approximations ... in some cases.**

- BBVI Background and our proposal
 - Automatic differentiation variational inference (ADVI) (a BBVI method)
 - Our approximation: “Deterministic ADVI” (DADVI)
 - Linear response (LR) covariances
 - Estimating approximation error
- Experimental results: DADVI vs ADVI
 - DADVI converges faster than ADVI, and requires no tuning
 - DADVI’s posterior mean estimates’ accuracy are comparable to ADVI
 - DADVI+LR provides more accurate posterior variance estimates than ADVI
 - DADVI provides accurate estimates of its own approximation error
 - ADVI often results in better objective function values (eventually)
- Why don’t we do DADVI all the time?
 - DADVI fails for expressive BBVI approximations (e.g. full-rank ADVI)
 - Pessimistic dimension dependence results from optimization theory
 - ...which may not apply in certain BBVI settings.