

24/02/2015

Dear editor,

I am surprised by a report I read, this was published by the BBC in London, I read it recently, it's very recent, this news is focused on a new movement that has developed in recent years; Transhumanism is a science that seeks to improve the man, however this ideology was severely criticized by mainly entities and power groups, who find this pernicious movement and above all judge their vision.

Starting with the father of Transhumanism, a wealthy lord named "Fereidon M. Estandiary," FM 2030 by his nickname that was imposed, the first letters refer to the first acronyms of his name and surname, then the number indicates the date in which he was going to die (Died: July 8, 2000 in New York city, U.S.), many later transhumanists followed his example and use similar nicknames. In this news the World Health Organization is quoted, which states that this sect of transhumanist people, have managed to insert themselves into research on germ genetics (germ genetic was discovered by August Weismann). Which is potentially dangerous, since we are talking about modifications at the molecular level, and it's a very delicate work that should not be supervised by fans but by world organizations. However, the great power and resources that sponsors of trans-humanisms possess have managed to cope with multiple attempts made by different entities in order to stop them, but this has not left the deadlock.

The fear of global entities lies in the number of powerful people who agree to develop this technology, which means that their barriers are open, so there is no control and irreversible damage to humanity can develop these organizations. They were called as "Machiavelli's disciples" because they only care about the "objective". As expected, the church also manifested itself before this controversy indicating that this ideology is playing God, and condemns all practitioners, to go against nature and a radical fanaticism.

The position of Transhumanism, however, is quite attractive, it seeks to modify man to the level that he no longer suffers (none weakness), nor dies irremediably, to extend life, and to cure the incurable, however the most persuasive idea is that of genetic inheritance, that our children will not have to undergo modifications but will be born with the necessary qualities to stop surviving and begin to live, although they have a disturbing side since they affirm that the only impediment to saving humanity is humanity itself it is necessary to change it until achieving Post-humanism.

Have you heard about that? They were badly criticized by close-minded people? Four out of ten people have some illness, should we start this new genetic revolution? What is your point of view?

In my position I think that technology should only focus on saving lives, in the end we should all be Pro-humanists, humanity first, because it's what we are.

Sincerely,

Ramsés Pareja Uría