

- 1. What is ML, anyway?
  - 2. Causality in High Dimensions
  - 3. (Seriously) Heterogeneous Partial Effects
  - 4. Solving Large-Scale General Equilibrium Models
- } **Yesterday**
- } **Today**

## **Heterogeneous Partial Effects**

Let  $Y$  be an outcome and  $X, Z$  be features (covariates). We frequently want to approximate

$$h(x, z) \equiv \mathbb{E}[Y|X = x, Z = z]$$

and the **partial effects**

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} h(x, z) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mathbb{E}[Y|X = x, Z = z].$$

Let  $Y$  be an outcome and  $X, Z$  be features (covariates). We frequently want to approximate

$$h(x, z) \equiv \mathbb{E}[Y|X = x, Z = z]$$

and the **partial effects**

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} h(x, z) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mathbb{E}[Y|X = x, Z = z].$$

- This is a prediction problem after all!

Let  $Y$  be an outcome and  $X, Z$  be features (covariates). We frequently want to approximate

$$h(x, z) \equiv \mathbb{E}[Y|X = x, Z = z]$$

and the **partial effects**

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} h(x, z) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mathbb{E}[Y|X = x, Z = z].$$

- This is a prediction problem after all!
- Approach 1: impose a parametric model for  $h$ , e.g. linear regression. Pros and cons?
- Approach 2: use fully nonparametric methods. Pros and cons?

Let  $Y$  be an outcome and  $X, Z$  be features (covariates). We frequently want to approximate

$$h(x, z) \equiv \mathbb{E}[Y|X = x, Z = z]$$

and the **partial effects**

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} h(x, z) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mathbb{E}[Y|X = x, Z = z].$$

- This is a prediction problem after all!
- Approach 1: impose a parametric model for  $h$ , e.g. linear regression. Pros and cons?
- Approach 2: use fully nonparametric methods. Pros and cons?
- The third way is the charm: a bit of structure, a bit of ML!

## Example I - Heterogenous Treatment Effects

- Outcomes  $Y_i$  depend on a treatment  $X_i \in \mathbb{R}$  and covariates  $Z_i \in \mathbb{R}^p$ ;
- The dose  $X_i$  depends on observables  $Z_i$ ;
- Potential outcomes  $Y_i(x)$  for each dose  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ;

## Example I - Heterogenous Treatment Effects

- Outcomes  $Y_i$  depend on a treatment  $X_i \in \mathbb{R}$  and covariates  $Z_i \in \mathbb{R}^p$ ;
- The dose  $X_i$  depends on observables  $Z_i$ ;
- Potential outcomes  $Y_i(x)$  for each dose  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ;
- The conditional average effect of increasing the dose is  $\tau(x, z) \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mathbb{E}[Y(x)|Z = z]$ ;
- If  $\mathbb{E}[Y(x)|X = x, Z = z] = \mathbb{E}[Y(x)|Z = z]$  (common assumption in the literature), then

$$\tau(x, z) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \mathbb{E}[Y|X = x, Z = z] = \frac{\partial h(x, z)}{\partial x}$$



## Example II - Grouped Heterogeneity

Consider the following model:

$$Y_i = \alpha(Z_i) + X_i'\beta + \varepsilon_i, \quad \mathbb{E}[\varepsilon_i|X_i, Z_i] = 0,$$

- $X_i$  affects  $Y_i$  homogeneously;
- Intercept  $\alpha(Z_i)$  varies with  $Z_i$ , maybe in a highly nonlinear way;
- Since  $Z_i$  and  $X_i$  can be correlated, this can affect inference about  $\beta$ ;
- [Bonhomme and Manresa \(2015\)](#) studied how democracy affects national income using this model;
- In their case:  $\alpha(Z_i)$  is constant across groups but  $Z_i$  defines membership;
- In our notation:  $h(z, x) = \alpha(z) + x'\beta$

**Questions?**

**Thank you!**  
**See you tomorrow, stay tuned!**

# Appendix and References



Bonhomme, Stéphane and Elena Manresa (May 2015). "Grouped Patterns of Heterogeneity in Panel Data: Grouped Patterns of Heterogeneity". In: *Econometrica* 83.3, pp. 1147–1184. ISSN: 0012-9682. DOI: [10.3982/ecta11319](https://doi.org/10.3982/ecta11319). URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3982/ECTA11319>.