# Intensional infect proportion of newborn, finding eigenvalues

May 3, 2018

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}S}{d\tau} = \epsilon(1-p) - \mathcal{R}_0 SI - \epsilon S \tag{1}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}I}{d\tau} = \mathcal{R}_0 SI + \epsilon p - I \tag{2}$$

Here  $\gamma$  is mean infectious period,  $\mu$  is birth/death rate, p is probability of intensional infection on newborns.  $\epsilon = \frac{\mu}{\gamma + \mu}$ ,  $\mathcal{R}_0$  is the basic reproduction number.

#### $\mathbf{E}\mathbf{E}$ 1

So to find the E.E. Letting both equal to 0, solve get:

$$I = \frac{\epsilon(\mathcal{R}_0 - 1) + \epsilon\sqrt{(\mathcal{R}_0 - 1)^2 + 4\mathcal{R}_0 p}}{2\mathcal{R}_0}$$

$$S = \frac{1}{\mathcal{R}_0} - \frac{2p}{(\mathcal{R}_0 - 1) + \sqrt{(\mathcal{R}_0 - 1)^2 + 4\mathcal{R}_0 p}}$$
(3)

$$S = \frac{1}{\mathcal{R}_0} - \frac{2p}{(\mathcal{R}_0 - 1) + \sqrt{(\mathcal{R}_0 - 1)^2 + 4\mathcal{R}_0 p}}$$
(4)

$$\mathcal{R}_0 I = \frac{\epsilon (\mathcal{R}_0 - 1) + \epsilon \sqrt{(\mathcal{R}_0 - 1)^2 + 4\mathcal{R}_0 p}}{2} \tag{5}$$

$$\mathcal{R}_{0}I = \frac{\epsilon(\mathcal{R}_{0} - 1) + \epsilon\sqrt{(\mathcal{R}_{0} - 1)^{2} + 4\mathcal{R}_{0}p}}{2}$$

$$\mathcal{R}_{0}S = 1 - \frac{2p\mathcal{R}_{0}}{(\mathcal{R}_{0} - 1) + \sqrt{(\mathcal{R}_{0} - 1)^{2} + 4\mathcal{R}_{0}p}}$$
(5)

Jacobian is the following.

$$\mathcal{J} = \begin{bmatrix} -\mathcal{R}_0 I - \epsilon & -\mathcal{R}_0 S \\ \mathcal{R}_0 I & \mathcal{R}_0 S - 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (7)

Now for simplicity, let  $(\mathcal{R}_0 - 1) + \sqrt{(\mathcal{R}_0 - 1)^2 + 4\mathcal{R}_0 p} = K$ . Notice, K > 0 if  $q \neq 0$ 

So we get:

$$\mathcal{R}_0 I = \frac{\epsilon K}{2} \tag{8}$$

$$\mathcal{R}_0 S = 1 - \frac{2p\mathcal{R}_0}{K} \tag{9}$$

$$\mathcal{J} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\epsilon K}{2} - \epsilon & -1 + \frac{2p\mathcal{R}_0}{K} \\ \frac{\epsilon K}{2} & -\frac{2p\mathcal{R}_0}{K} \end{bmatrix}$$
 (10)

The eigenvalues of this Jacobian is:

$$\lambda = \frac{-(\epsilon K^2 + 2\epsilon K + 4p\mathcal{R}_0) \pm \sqrt{(\epsilon K^2 + 2\epsilon K + 4p\mathcal{R}_0)^2 - 4(2\epsilon K^3 + 8\epsilon Kp\mathcal{R}_0)}}{4K}$$
(11)

Since  $\sqrt{(\epsilon K^2 + 2\epsilon K + 4p\mathcal{R}_0)^2 - 4(2\epsilon K^3 + 8\epsilon Kp\mathcal{R}_0)} \le |\epsilon K^2 + 2\epsilon K + 4p\mathcal{R}_0|$ , we can conclude that  $\text{Re}(\lambda) < 0$  for all  $p \ne 0$ 

We are interested in whether this is a damped oscillation or not, so we look into the discriminant.

### 1.1 Take p as the variable

The following values are used:  $\mu = \frac{1}{50*365}$ ,  $\gamma = \frac{1}{22}$ ,  $\mathcal{R}_0 = 4.5$ . Also,  $\epsilon = \frac{\mu}{\mu + \gamma} = 0.0012$ 

I plotted the discriminant with Mathematica, domain of p is [0,1].




Figure 1: Plot discriminant with p to be the variable.

The p-intercept of this graph is p=0.103995. Meaning, with a proportion of 0.103995 or less, there is going to be a damped oscillation.

## 1.2 Take $\mathcal{R}_0$ as the variable

.

This time, I take 
$$\mu = \frac{1}{80*365}$$
,  $\gamma = \frac{1}{22}$ ,  $\epsilon = \frac{\mu}{\mu + \gamma} = 0.000753$ .

I made a sequence of plots by taking p = 0.1, 0.2, 0.3...

Figure 2: Plot discriminant with  $\mathcal{R}_0$  to be the variable p=0.1, the  $\mathcal{R}_0$ -intercept is  $\mathcal{R}_0=0,5.74966$ 




Figure 3: Plot discriminant with  $\mathcal{R}_0$  to be the variable.p=0.2, the  $\mathcal{R}_0$ -intercept is  $\mathcal{R}_0=0,17.0518$ 





Figure 4: Plot discriminant with  $\mathcal{R}_0$  to be the variable p=0.3, the  $\mathcal{R}_0$ -intercept is  $\mathcal{R}_0=0,37.4537$ 



For p = 0.4 or higher, the graphs look similar, but with even higher value for the discriminant and larger value for  $\mathcal{R}_0$ -intercept.

# 2 DFE

Disease free equilibrium is the equilibrium when there is no body infected in the system, which means,  ${\cal I}=0$ 

Thus we have 
$$\frac{dI}{d\tau} = \mathcal{R}_0 SI + \epsilon p - I = \epsilon p = 0$$

In our case, there is no DFE. When I=0, we are still intensionally infecting susceptible, therefore, there is no equilibrium in which I=0.