

competitive_analysis

November 04, 2025 at 10:54 AM

Competitive Analysis: zztable1_nextgen vs gt Package Ecosystem

Executive Summary

After conducting a deep dive into both packages, **zztable1_nextgen is positioned as a specialized, medical journal-focused Table 1 generator**, while **gt is a general-purpose table grammar system** similar to ggplot2 for graphics. They serve different but overlapping markets.

Current Status: - **zztable1_nextgen:** ~5,500 LOC, 33 exported functions, specialized for clinical trials - **gt ecosystem:** 2,100+ GitHub stars, 56 contributors, 8,982 commits, general-purpose

Architecture Comparison

Table Construction Philosophy

zztable1_nextgen: - **Formula-based:** `table1(arm ~ age + sex, data=trial)` - familiar to R users - **Lazy evaluation blueprint:** Cell metadata stored until rendering - **Sparse storage:** 60-80% memory reduction via environment-based hash tables - **Purpose-built:** Designed specifically for “Table 1” demographic comparisons

gt Package: - **Grammar-based:** Layered API like ggplot2 (`gt() %>% tab_header() %>% fmt_()`) - **Immediate evaluation:** Tables built progressively through piping - **Full materialization:** Complete table object with all data - **General-purpose:** Any tabular display, not just clinical tables

gtsummary (gt companion): - **Hybrid approach:** `tbl_summary(by=arm)` - simple interface like zztable1_nextgen - **Builds on gt:** Exports to gt object for further customization - **Specialized:** Designed specifically for medical/clinical tables

Feature Matrix Comparison

Feature Category	zztable1_nextgen	gt	gtsummary
Core Capabilities			

Feature Category	zztable1_nextgen	gt	gtsummary
Formula interface	[CHECKMARK] Native	[X] No	[CHECKMARK] Via by=
Piping/chaining API	[X] Limited	[CHECKMARK] Extensive	[CHECKMARK] Decorator functions
Automatic variable detection	[CHECKMARK]	[X] Manual	[CHECKMARK] All types + dichotomous
Statistical tests	Factor/numeric [CHECKMARK] 5 tests	[X] No	[CHECKMARK] Auto-selection
Missing data handling	[CHECKMARK] Counts	[X] No	[CHECKMARK] Automatic
Output Formats			
HTML	[CHECKMARK] Basic	[CHECKMARK] Rich	[CHECKMARK] Via gt
LaTeX	[CHECKMARK] PDF-ready	[CHECKMARK] Advanced	[CHECKMARK] Via gt
RTF	[X] No	[CHECKMARK] Yes	[CHECKMARK] Via gt
Word	[X] No	[CHECKMARK] Via gtsave()	[CHECKMARK] Via gt
PNG/image export	[X] No	[CHECKMARK] Via gtsave()	[CHECKMARK] Via gt
Styling System			
Built-in themes	[CHECKMARK] 4 medical journals	[X] No themes	[CHECKMARK] Journal themes
Custom CSS	[CHECKMARK] gen- erate_theme_css()	[CHECKMARK] Extensive	[CHECKMARK] Via gt
Row striping	[CHECKMARK] NEJM theme	[CHECKMARK] Manual	[CHECKMARK] Manual
Cell formatting	[CHECKMARK] Limited	[CHECKMARK] 20+ fmt_*(*) functions	[CHECKMARK] Extensive
Conditional formatting	[X] No	[CHECKMARK] tab_style() with location	[CHECKMARK] Bold/italics
Table Structure			
Headers/titles	[X] Limited	[CHECKMARK] tab_header()	[CHECKMARK] Modify functions
Footnotes	[CHECKMARK] Variable-specific	[CHECKMARK] Cell-specific	[CHECKMARK] Multiple types
Source notes	[X] No	[CHECKMARK] tab_source_note()	[CHECKMARK] Via modify_*(*)
Spanning headers	[X] No	[CHECKMARK] tab_spinner()	[X] Limited

Feature Category	zztable1_nextgen	gt	gtsummary
Row groups	[X] No	[CHECKMARK] tab_row_group()	[CHECKMARK] Auto from variables
Summary rows	[X] No	[CHECKMARK] summary_rows()	[CHECKMARK] Add N, p-values
Data Transformation			
Column selection			
[CHECKMARK]			
Formula			
Data manipulation	[X] Pre-process only	[CHECKMARK] Within gt	[X] Pre-process only
Column merging	[X] No	[CHECKMARK] cols_merge()	[CHECKMARK] tbl_merge()
Table stacking	[X] No	[X] Limited	[CHECKMARK] tbl_stack()
Specialized Features			
Medical themes (NEJM, Lancet, JAMA)	[CHECKMARK]	[X]	[CHECKMARK]
Regression tables	[X]	[X]	[CHECKMARK] tbl_regression()
Cross-tabulation	[X]	[X]	[CHECKMARK] tbl_cross()
Survey data	[X]	[X]	[CHECKMARK] tbl_svysummary()
Inline reporting	[X]	[X]	[CHECKMARK] inline_text()
Extensibility			
Custom functions	[CHECKMARK] numeric_summary=	[CHECKMARK] Functions everywhere	[CHECKMARK] Custom stats
Themes API	[CHECKMARK] create_custom_theme()	[CHECKMARK] Full CSS control	[CHECKMARK] Theme + gt
Plugin system	[X]	[CHECKMARK] gtExtras package	[CHECKMARK] Multiple extensions

Critical Feature Gaps

High Priority (Must-Have for Competition)

1. Output Formats:

- RTF export** - Critical for Word manuscript submission
- Image export** (PNG/PDF) - Essential for presentations
- Word/DOCX** - Direct Word integration needed

2. Advanced Formatting:

- Cell-specific styling** - Cannot conditionally format individual cells
- Spanning headers** - Multi-level column headers not supported
- Source notes** - Cannot add data source attributions

3. Table Composition:

- Table merging** - Cannot combine multiple tables side-by-side
- Table stacking** - Cannot stack tables vertically
- Summary rows** - No subtotals or group summaries

4. Formatting Functions:

- Currency formatting** - No `fmt_currency()`
- Percentage formatting** - No `fmt_percent()` with flexible options
- Date formatting** - No `fmt_date()` helpers
- Scientific notation** - No `fmt_scientific()`
- Number suffixing** - No `fmt_number()` with K/M suffixes

Medium Priority (Important for Differentiation)

5. Inline Reporting:

- Extract values for text** - Cannot easily pull statistics into prose
 - Example: `gtsummary` can do `inline_text(tbl, variable="age")`

6. Regression Tables:

- Logistic regression** - No automated odds ratio tables
- Cox proportional hazards** - No hazard ratio tables
- Linear regression** - No coefficient tables

7. Interactive Features:

- Sortable columns** - Static tables only
- Filterable** - No interactivity
- DT integration** - No `DataTables.js` support

8. Advanced Customization:

- Cell background colors** - Cannot color-code cells
- Cell borders** - Limited border control
- Custom cell renderers** - Fixed rendering logic

Lower Priority (Nice-to-Have)

9. Data Manipulation:

- Column reordering** - Cannot rearrange columns after creation
- Column hiding** - Cannot selectively hide columns
- Column widths** - No width specification

10. Accessibility:

- Screen reader support** - No ARIA labels
- Alt text** - No descriptive text for assistive technology

Competitive Advantages of `ztable1_nextgen`

What You Do Better

1. Formula Interface ☰☐☐☐

- More intuitive for statisticians: `arm ~ age + sex + bmi`
- Familiar to R users (similar to `lm()`, `anova()`)
- Less verbose than `gt`'s piping chains

2. Memory Efficiency ☰☐☐

- Sparse storage (60-80% reduction)
- Lazy evaluation architecture
- Scales better for large datasets

3. Medical Journal Themes ☰☐☐☐

- Authentic NEJM, Lancet, JAMA formatting
- Based on actual journal papers
- Row striping, precise spacing, typography
- **gt/gtsummary don't have these built-in**

4. Automatic Statistical Tests ☰☐☐

- Configurable: t-test, ANOVA, Welch, Kruskal-Wallis
- Fisher's exact, Chi-square
- **gt has NO statistical testing**
- `gtsummary` has tests but less flexible

5. Stratified Analysis ☰☐☐

- Native support: `strata="center"`
- Multi-center trial analysis
- **gt doesn't support this pattern natively**

6. Missing Data Display ☰☐

- Automatic detection and display
- Counts per variable
- **gt requires manual handling**

What Makes You Different (Not Better/Worse, Just Different)

7. Specialized Focus:

- **You:** Purpose-built for Table 1 in clinical trials
- **Them:** General-purpose table system

8. Design Philosophy:

- **You:** Immediate results, sensible defaults
- **Them:** Incremental building, maximal flexibility

Recommendations for Competitive Positioning

Short-Term (Essential for Viability)

1. Add RTF Export (Critical)

```
export_rtf <- function(blueprint, file) {  
  # RTF is essential for Word manuscripts  
}
```

2. Add Image Export (High Priority)

```
save_table <- function(blueprint, file, format=c("png", "pdf", "html")) {  
  # Use webshot2 or similar for PNG  
}
```

3. Enhance Footnote System (High)

- Cell-specific footnotes
- Multiple footnote types (regular, abbreviations, statistical notes)

4. Add Spanning Headers (High)

```
table1(...) %>%  
  add_spinner("Treatment Groups", columns = c("Control", "Drug A", "Drug B"))
```

5. Basic Cell Formatting Functions (High)

```
fmt_percent(blueprint, columns = "response_rate")  
fmt_pvalue(blueprint, columns = "p_value", threshold = 0.001)
```

Medium-Term (Competitive Differentiation)

6. Regression Table Support

```
table_regression(glm_model, theme = "nejm", exponentiate = TRUE)
```

7. Table Merging

```
merge_tables(table1_demographics, table1_outcomes, direction = "horizontal")
```

8. Inline Reporting

```
extract_stat(blueprint, variable = "age", group = "Treatment", stat = "mean")
```

9. Enhanced Styling API

```
blueprint %>%  
  style_cells(rows = p_value < 0.05, bold = TRUE) %>%  
  style_cells(rows = age > 65, background = "#ffffcc")
```

10. Interactive Output Option

```
table1(..., interactive = TRUE) # Uses DT package
```

Long-Term (Market Expansion)

11. Visual Elements

- Sparklines in cells
- Bar charts for comparison
- Color scales for continuous variables

12. Additional Table Types

- Adverse events tables (AE tables)
- Time-to-event summaries
- Longitudinal data tables

13. Reporting Integration

- Quarto extension
- Shiny widgets
- Officer package integration for PowerPoint

14. Collaboration Features

- Export table specification for reproducibility
- Import from RedCap/EHR formats
- API for clinical trial management systems

Strategic Positioning

Don't Try to Beat gt at Everything

gt is a **general-purpose table grammar** (like ggplot2 for graphics). You cannot and should not compete on:

- Breadth of formatting functions
- Flexibility for non-clinical tables
- Visual customization depth

Instead, Dominate Your Niche

Position as “**The Clinical Trials Table 1 Specialist**”:

1. Better defaults for medical research:

- “Works out of the box for RCTs”
- “Authentic journal formatting”
- “Statistical tests included”

2. Faster for your use case:

- “One line vs 10 lines for Table 1”
- Formula: `table1(arm ~ age + sex, trial, theme="nejm")`
- vs `gt+gtsummary` requiring multiple pipes

3. Domain expertise:

- Medical journal themes
- Stratified analysis
- Missing data handling
- Regulatory compliance considerations

Complementary Positioning

You are NOT a gt competitor. You are a gt COMPLEMENT.

Many users will use both:

- **zztable1_nextgen** for quick Table 1 generation
- **gt** for customized results tables, adverse events, etc.

Consider: **as_gt() function** to export zztable1_nextgen to gt for further customization:

```
blueprint <- table1(arm ~ age + sex, data = trial, theme = "nejm")
gt_table <- as_gt(blueprint) # Export to gt for more customization
```

```
gt_table %>% tab_style(...) %>% gtsave("table1.rtf")
```

This makes you **interoperable** rather than **competitive**.

Priority Implementation Roadmap

Phase 1: Core Gaps (3-6 months)

1. RTF export via gt::gtsave() or similar
2. PNG export via webshot2/chromote
3. Spanning column headers
4. Enhanced footnote system
5. fmt_percent(), fmt_pvalue() formatters

Estimated effort: 120-180 hours

Phase 2: Differentiation (6-12 months)

6. Regression table support (tbl_regression equivalent)
7. as_gt() export function for interoperability
8. Inline statistics extraction
9. Table merging (horizontal/vertical)
10. Conditional cell styling

Estimated effort: 200-300 hours

Phase 3: Expansion (12-18 months)

11. Interactive tables option
12. Adverse event tables
13. Quarto/Shiny integration
14. Additional medical journal themes (BMJ, Annals, JAMA subspecialties)
15. Visual enhancements (sparklines, etc.)

Estimated effort: 300-400 hours

Market Analysis

Target Users

Primary (You Excel): - Clinical trial statisticians - Medical researchers writing manuscripts
- Regulatory submission specialists - Epidemiologists

Secondary (Shared with gt): - Academic researchers - Data scientists in healthcare - Medical journal editors

Tertiary (gt Dominates): - Business analysts - Marketing researchers - General R users

Estimated Market Share Opportunity

- **Total medical/clinical R users:** ~50,000-100,000

- **Clinical trial statisticians:** ~5,000-10,000
- **Your potential users:** 10-30% = **1,000-3,000 primary users**
- **gt's broader market:** 500,000+ R users

Conclusion: You can succeed with a smaller but more loyal user base by being THE BEST at clinical Table 1 generation.

Code Architecture Recommendations

Current Strengths to Preserve

1. **Sparse storage with environments** - Keep this, it's brilliant
2. **Blueprint/lazy evaluation** - Unique approach, maintain
3. **Theme system** - Well-designed, extend it
4. **Formula interface** - Core differentiator, protect

Areas Needing Enhancement

1. **Rendering system** - Add pluggable renderers for RTF, DOCX

```
# R/renderers/rtf_renderer.R
# R/renderers/docx_renderer.R
# R/renderers/png_renderer.R
```

2. **Formatting functions** - Create fmt_* family

```
# R/formatting/fmt_percent.R
# R/formatting/fmt_pvalue.R
# R/formatting/fmt_number.R
```

3. **Interoperability layer** - Bridge to gt/flextable

```
# R/export/as_gt.R
# R/export/as_flextable.R
```

4. **Table composition** - Merge/stack operations

```
# R/composition/merge_tables.R
# R/composition/stack_tables.R
```

Technical Implementation Priorities

1. RTF Export (Critical - Week 1-2)

Use existing packages:

```
# Option 1: Via gt (easiest)
as_gt.table1_blueprint <- function(blueprint, ...) {
  # Convert blueprint to gt object
  gt_obj <- gt::gt(as.data.frame(blueprint))
  # Apply theme
  # Return gt object for gtsave("file.rtf")
```

```

}

# Option 2: Direct RTF via officer/flextable
export_rtf <- function(blueprint, file) {
  ft <- flextable::flextable(as.data.frame(blueprint))
  # Apply formatting
  flextable::save_as_rtf(ft, path = file)
}

```

2. Image Export (Critical - Week 3-4)

```

save_table <- function(blueprint, file, format = "png",
                      width = 8, height = 6, dpi = 300) {
  # Render to HTML first
  html_file <- tempfile(fileext = ".html")
  html <- render_html(blueprint)
  writeLines(html, html_file)

  # Convert to image
  if (format %in% c("png", "pdf")) {
    webshot2::webshot(html_file, file = file,
                       vwidth = width * dpi,
                       vheight = height * dpi)
  }
}

```

3. Spanning Headers (High Priority - Week 5-6)

```

add_spinner <- function(blueprint, label, columns) {
  # Modify blueprint$metadata$spinners
  blueprint$metadata$spinners <- c(
    blueprint$metadata$spinners,
    list(list(label = label, columns = columns)))
}

blueprint
}

# Update rendering to handle spinners
render_html.table1_blueprint <- function(blueprint, ...) {
  # Check for spinners in metadata
  # Render <thead> with multiple rows
}

```

4. Formatting Functions (High Priority - Week 7-8)

```
fmt_percent <- function(blueprint, columns, decimals = 1,
                        scale = 100, pattern = "{x}%) {
  # Modify cells in specified columns
  for (col in columns) {
    # Apply formatting to blueprint cells
  }
  blueprint
}

fmt_pvalue <- function(blueprint, columns,
                      threshold = 0.001,
                      pattern = "{x}") {
  # Format p-values: <0.001, 0.023, etc.
  blueprint
}
```

5. `as_gt()` Interoperability (Medium Priority - Week 9-10)

```
as_gt.table1_blueprint <- function(blueprint,
                                    include_footnotes = TRUE,
                                    include_theme = TRUE, ...) {
  # 1. Evaluate all cells
  df <- as.data.frame(blueprint)

  # 2. Create gt object
  gt_obj <- gt::gt(df, rowname_col = names(df)[1])

  # 3. Apply theme styling
  if (include_theme) {
    theme <- blueprint$metadata$theme
    # Map theme to gt tab_style() calls
  }

  # 4. Add footnotes
  if (include_footnotes) {
    # Add via gt::tab_footnote()
  }

  gt_obj
}
```

Final Recommendations

Must-Do (Survival)

1. [CHECKMARK] Add RTF export
2. [CHECKMARK] Add PNG/PDF export
3. [CHECKMARK] Build `as_gt()` interoperability
4. [CHECKMARK] Add spanning headers
5. [CHECKMARK] Enhance footnotes

Should-Do (Competitive)

6. [CHECKMARK] Regression tables
7. [CHECKMARK] Table merging
8. [CHECKMARK] Inline statistics
9. [CHECKMARK] Basic conditional formatting
10. [CHECKMARK] Word export

Could-Do (Nice-to-Have)

11. Interactive tables
12. Sparklines/visual elements
13. Additional journal themes
14. Shiny/Quarto deep integration
15. REDCap integration

Don't-Do (Wrong Direction)

- General business intelligence tables
- Financial statement formatting
- Web dashboard tables
- Pivot table functionality
- Trying to match gt's breadth

Your competitive moat is DEPTH in clinical trials, not BREADTH in table types.

Conclusion

ztable1_nextgen has a clear path to success by:

1. **Dominating the clinical trials niche** (1,000-3,000 loyal users)
2. **Interoperating with gt** (not competing)
3. **Focusing on depth** (better Table 1) not breadth (all tables)
4. **Filling critical gaps** (RTF, PNG, spanning headers)
5. **Maintaining unique strengths** (formula interface, medical themes, sparse storage)

The package is 70% feature-complete for its niche. The remaining 30% (output formats, advanced formatting) are achievable in 6-12 months with focused development.

Strategic positioning: “The fastest way to create publication-ready Table 1 for clinical trials, with authentic medical journal formatting and one-line statistical testing.”

Tagline: “Table 1, done right. First time.”