

Musical Brill-Noether loci

Parts joint with Asher Auel & Hannah Larson.

↳ classical Brill-Noether theory.

Brill-Noether theory of alg. curves can be understood as "representation theory for curves".

Q Given an abstract curve C , can we represent C as a curve in \mathbb{P}^r of deg. d ?

Study linear systems on curves.

C sm. curve.

Defn A gd on C is a pair

$L \in \text{Pic}^d(C)$ w/ $h^0(L) \geq r+1$ and

$V \subseteq H^0(L)$ of rank r .

→ gives a map $C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^r$ of degree d .

Q When does C have a gd?

Recall: Geometrische Riemann-Roch

Let $D = P_1 + \dots + P_d$ divisor on C

$\phi_k: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{g-1}$ com. emb.

$$\overline{\phi_k(D)} = \text{span } \{\phi_k(P_1), \dots, \phi_k(P_d)\}$$

$$\dim \overline{D} = h^0(C, D) - 1 = d - r - \dim \overline{\phi_k(D)}$$

Thus if D is a gd, then

$$\dim \overline{\phi_k(D)} = d - r - 1.$$

we want a r -dim space of these.

C has a gd iff $\phi_k(C)$ has an r -dim family of $(d-r-1)$ -planes that are d -secants inside $\mathbb{P}(d-r-1, g-1)$, the planes meeting C once has codim $g-d+r-1$.

we want a plane to meet d times,

so want

$$\dim \phi(d-r-1, g-1) - d(g-d+r-1) \geq r$$

space of planes meeting C
 d times (ndep).

[Griffith-Harris] [Laszfeld]

Brill-Noether theorem A general curve C of genus g admits a gd iff $\rho(g, r, d) = g - (r+1)(g-d+r) \geq 0$.

We have more precise results as well:

$G_d^r(C) = \{ \text{gd's on } C \}$

$G_d^r(C) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^d(C)$, image is called
 $(A, V) \mapsto A$ $W_d^r(C)$.

BN Theorem [Gieseker, Griffiths, Harris, Fulton, Kempf, Lazarsfeld]

- (i) If $\rho \geq 0$, then $W_d^r(C) \neq \emptyset$ for all $C \in M_g$. ($\Rightarrow G_d^r(C) \neq \emptyset$)
- (ii) For $C \in M_g$ general,
 $\dim G_d^r(C) = \rho(g, r, d)$, and it is smooth if > 0 , then it is irreducible.

E.g./ Not every curve of genus 3 is hyperelliptic.

$$p(3, 1, 2) = 3 - (2)(2) = -1.$$

Moreover, there clearly are hyperelliptic curves of every genus:

$C: y^2 = f(x)$, with $f(x)$ a degree $2g+2$ poly. w/ distinct roots

(take a 2:1 map $C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ ramified at $2g+2$ points).

Defn Curves admitting a gd with p_{20} are called Birch-Noether special.

• What are some other BN special cases?

Defn The gonality of a curve is

$\text{gon}(C) = \min \{ k \mid C \text{ admits a } g_k \}$.

By the BN thm, $\text{gon}(C) \leq \lfloor \frac{g+3}{2} \rfloor$, with equality for general C .

Let $M_{g,k}^! := \{ c \in M_g \mid \text{gon}(c) \leq k \}$.

We have a stratification of M_g by gonality:

$$M_{g,2}^! \subseteq M_{g,3}^! \subseteq \dots \subseteq M_{g,\lfloor \frac{g+1}{2} \rfloor}^! \subseteq M_g.$$

\swarrow more special

$M_{g,k}^!$ is an i.med. var. of $\text{codim } -\rho(g, \cdot, d)$.

More generally, we can consider other r, d :

Defn The Birr-Noether loci are

$$M_{g,d}^r := \{ c \in M_g \text{ admitting a } gd \}$$

when $\rho(g, r, d) < 0$, $M_{g,d}^r \subseteq M_g$ is a proper subvariety.

Facts about BN loci

- $M_{g,d}^r$ can have multiple components, of different dimensions.

- Each component has co-dimension at most $-p$, the expected co-dim.
 $\hookrightarrow \text{codim} \geq 3$ when $p \leq -3$.
- $\text{codim } M_{g,d}^r = -p$ for $-3 \leq p \leq -1$
- $M_{g,d}^r$ irreducible when $p = -1, -2$. (and distinct)
 $\hookrightarrow BN$ divisors used in study of [Ezbanbad
Kodaira dimension of M_g -Harris,
choi-kim-kim]
- When p is not too negative:
 $M_{g,d}^r$ ($\& Y_d^r$) have components of
the exp. dim $-p$, and are expected to
behave nicely.

\hookrightarrow Refined BN Theory

Q: What linear systems does
a "general" $C \in M_{g,d}^r$ have?

For fixed gonality:

Thm [Pflueger, Jensen-Pragnanathan]

- C general of gonality k , then C has a gd iff

$$P_k(g, r, d) = \max_{0 \leq l \leq r} P(g, r-l, d) - lk \geq 0.$$

$$(r' = \min \{ r, g-d+r-1 \})$$

Coarser Q: How do BN loci stratify M_g ?

Trivial containments:

$$\cdot M_{g,d}^r \subseteq M_{g,d+1}^r$$

$$\cdot M_{g,d}^r \subseteq M_{g,d-1}^{r'}$$

Q what are the maximal BN loci?

Defn $M_{g,d}$ is expected max'l, if $d \leq g-1$,

- $\rho(g, r, d) < 0$, $(d = r + \lceil \frac{g-r}{r+1} \rceil - 1)$.
- $\rho(g, r, d+1) \geq 0$, and
- $\rho(g, r-1, d-1) \geq 0$.

Rk By the trivial containments, every BN locus (or its Serre dual) is contained

Conj [Auel-H.] For any $g \geq 3$, except 5, 8, 9,
the expected max'l BN loci are
max'l.

i.e., For each $M_{g,d}$, $M_{g,e}^S$ exp. max'l
BN loci; $\exists C \in M_{g,d}$, $C \notin M_{g,e}^S$,
and $\exists C' \in M_{g,e}^S$, $C' \notin M_{g,d}$

Known cases on Max BN loci conj:

• if all loci have $p=-1$ or all have $p=-2$

i.e., $g+1$ or $g+2 \in \{ \text{lcm}(1, \dots, n) \mid n \geq 4 \}$

- for $g \leq 23$ [Lelli-Chiesa, Auel-H, Auel-H-Larson, Buel-H]
- many non-containment known
[Lelli-Chiesa, Auel-H-Larson, Tezuka, Bryz]

What happens in genus 7, 8, 9?

- Secant varieties give non-trivial containments.

E.g. genus 8

$M_{8,4}^1$, $M_{8,7}^2$ are the exp. mod'l loci

Let A be a g_4^1 , then $w_C - A = g_{10}^4$ gives $C \subseteq \mathbb{P}^4$, which will have a 3-secant line, giving a g_7^2 . so $M_{8,4}^1 \subseteq M_{8,7}^2$.

↳ Via gonality stratification. J.W. Auber Auel
& Hannah Larson.

Defn

$$K(g, r, d) = \max \{ k \mid M_{g, k} \subseteq M_{g, d} \}$$

Prop If $K(g, r, d) > K(g, s, e)$, then
 $M_{g, d} \not\subseteq M_{g, e}$.

Pf/ Since $K = K(g, r, d) > K(g, s, e)$,
so $M_{g, d} \not\subseteq M_{g, e}$.

$$\begin{array}{c} M_{g, d} \not\subseteq M_{g, e} \\ \text{or} \\ M_{g, d} \end{array} \quad \boxed{\exists}.$$

E.g/ $K(8, 2, 7) = 4$

By BN for covers of fixed gonality,

$$K(g, r, d)^{[P, J-R]} = \max \{ k \mid P_k(g, r, d) \geq 0 \}.$$

Prop If $d \leq g-1$, $K(g, r, d) = \begin{cases} \lfloor \frac{d}{r} \rfloor & ; g+r > \lfloor \frac{d}{r} \rfloor + d \\ g+r - d + 2r + 1 - 2\sqrt{pr} & ; \text{else} \end{cases}$

Focus on exp. max'l BN loci.

Thm For $g \geq 9$, $\mathcal{M}_{g, \lfloor \frac{g+1}{2} \rfloor}^r \notin \mathcal{M}_{g, d}^r$ $\forall r \geq 2$ exp. max'l

Pf/ $K(g, r, d) < \lfloor \frac{g+1}{2} \rfloor$. \square

Lemma: if $p(g, r, d) = p(g, s, e)$, then
 $K(g, r, d) \neq K(g, s, e)$.

Prop If $r, s \geq 2$, $p(g, r, d) = p(g, s, e)$

and $\mathcal{M}_{g, d}^r, \mathcal{M}_{g, e}^s$ core exp. max'l,
 then one non-cont. holds

Thm If $p(g, r, d) = p(g, s, e) = -1$

then $\mathcal{M}_{g, d}^r \notin \mathcal{M}_{g, e}^s$.

(and $\mathcal{M}_{g, e}^s \notin \mathcal{M}_{g, d}^r$)

Fact: $\mathcal{M}_{g, d}$ is irred. if $p = -1$)
 [Eisenbud, Haimo]

Lemma For $M_{g,e}^s$ exp. max'l,

$$\frac{g}{s+1} + s - 2\sqrt{s+1} \leq K(g, s_e) \leq \frac{g}{s+1} + s.$$

*Draw sketch of $K(g, r, d)$. *

Thm $\exists G(r) \leq 4(r+1)^{5/2} + (r+1)^2 + 2(r+1)^{3/2}$ s.t.
 $M_{g,d}^r \neq M_{g,e}^s \quad \forall s > r, g \geq G(r)$ exp max'l

Thm For $g \geq 28$, $M_{g,d}^2$ exp. max'l is max'l.

Pf/ $M_{g,d}^2 \neq M_{g,e}^s \quad \forall s \geq 3$ by Thm.

RJD $M_{g,d}^2 \neq M_g(\lfloor \frac{g+1}{2} \rfloor)$.

↪ Via k_3 surfaces. J.W. Asher and

Strategy: To show $M_{g,d} \neq M_{g,e}$,
find C w/ a g_d^r , but no g_e^r
on a k_3 .

① C with a g_d^r :

Let (S, H) be a polarized k_3 surface

with

$$Pic(S) = \begin{array}{c|cc} H & H & L \\ \hline H & 2g-2 & d \\ L & d & 2r-2 \end{array}$$

Prop $C \in |H|$ sm. irred has gonality

$\left\lfloor \frac{g+3}{2} \right\rfloor$ and has a g_d^r

(might not be L_C , but in nice cases, it is.)

cor $M_{g,d} \neq M_{g,\left\lfloor \frac{g+1}{2} \right\rfloor}$ for $r \geq 2$ exp max'l.

② what if C has a g_e^S ?

Idea: • Then $\exists M \in \text{Pic}(S)$ w/ certain numerical properties (*)
• Show such M cannot exist.

(*)

Donagi-Momzon Conj.

If C has a g_e^S w/ $p < 0$, then
 $\exists M \in \text{Pic}(S)$ s.t. $g_e^S \subseteq |M|_C$ and

M satisfies some numerical properties.

False in general [Lelli-Chiesa - Knutson]

Bounded versions for $e \in \underline{\mathcal{B}}(g_{\text{an}}(C), g, \text{Pic}(S))$

Known: $s=1$ [DM]

$s=2$ [Lelli-Chiesa]

$s=3$ [H].

Proof idea: Study Lazarsfeld-Ruken bundle E associated to g_e^S (it is unstable)

Prop If $N \subseteq E$ saturated line bundle w/
 $h^0(N) \geq 2$, then $M = \det(E/N)$ works.

To find N :

"Morally"

Consider a destabilizing filtration

$\mathcal{O} \subset \mathcal{E}_1 \subset \mathcal{E}_2 \subset \dots \subset \mathcal{E}_l \subset \mathcal{E}$ of E s.t. E_{i+1}/E_i stable,

Torsion-free, and $\mu^*(E_i/E_{i-1}) \geq \mu^*(E_{i+1}/E_i)$.

Show that if $l > 1$ & $k_E > 1$,

then $C_2(E) \gg 0$, and does not exist on S .

so $\exists N \subseteq E$, as desired.

slogan $Pic(S)$ controls which unstable LM bounelles exist.