SUPPLEMENT 2 TO CHAPTER 19

A DISCOUNTED COST CRITERION

19S2-1.

Let states 0, 1 and 2 denote \$600, \$800 and \$1000 offers respectively and let state 3 designate the case that the car has already been sold (state ∞ of the hint). Let decisions 1 and 2 be to reject and to accept the offer respectively.

$$C_{01} = C_{11} = C_{21} = 60$$
, $C_{02} = 600$, $C_{12} = -800$ and $C_{22} = -1000$

$$P(1) = \begin{pmatrix} 5/8 & 1/4 & 1/8 & 0 \\ 5/8 & 1/4 & 1/8 & 0 \\ 5/8 & 1/4 & 1/8 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, P(2) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Start with the policy to reject only the \$600 offer. The relevant equations are:

$$V_0 = 60 + 0.95 \left(\frac{5}{8} V_0 + \frac{1}{4} V_1 + \frac{1}{8} V_2 \right)$$

$$V_1 = -800 + 0.95V_3$$

$$V_2 = -1000 + 0.95V_3$$

$$V_3 = 0.95V_3$$
,

which admit the unique solution $(V_0, V_1, V_2, V_3) = (-7960/13, -800, -1000, 0)$.

Policy improvement:

State 0 with decision 2: $-600 + 0.95V_3 = -600 > V_0$

State 1 with decision 1:
$$60 + 0.95[(5/8)V_0 + (1/4)V_1 + (1/8)V_2] = -7960/13 > V_1$$

State 2 with decision 1:
$$60 + 0.95[(5/8)V_0 + (1/4)V_1 + (1/8)V_2] = -7960/13 > V_2$$

Hence, the policy to reject the \$600 offer and to accept \$800 and \$1000 offers is optimal.

19S2-2.

(a) minimize
$$60y_{01} - 600y_{02} + 60y_{11} - 800y_{12} + 60y_{21} - 1000y_{22}$$

subject to $y_{01} + y_{02} - 0.95\left(\frac{5}{8}\right)\left(y_{01} + y_{11} + y_{21}\right) = \frac{1}{3}$
 $y_{11} + y_{12} - 0.95\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)\left(y_{01} + y_{11} + y_{21}\right) = \frac{1}{3}$
 $y_{21} + y_{22} - 0.95\left(\frac{1}{8}\right)\left(y_{01} + y_{11} + y_{21}\right) = \frac{1}{3}$
 $y_{ik} \ge 0 \text{ for } i = 0, 1, 2 \text{ and } k = 1, 2$

(b) Using the simplex method, we find $y_{01} = 0.81979$, $y_{12} = 0.5277$, $y_{22} = 0.43056$ and the remaining y_{ik} 's are zero. Hence, the optimal policy is to reject the \$600 offer and to accept the \$800 and \$1000 offers.

19S2-3.

The approximate optimal solution is to reject the \$600 offer and to accept the \$800 and \$1000 offers. This policy is indeed optimal, as found in Problem 19S2-1 and 19S2-2.

19S2-4.

Let states 0, 1 and 2 denote the selling price of \$10, \$20 and \$30 respectively and let state 3 designate the case that the stock has already been sold. Let decisions 1 and 2 be to hold and to sell the stock respectively.

$$P(1) = \begin{pmatrix} 4/5 & 1/5 & 0 & 0 \\ 1/4 & 1/4 & 1/2 & 0 \\ 0 & 3/4 & 1/4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, P(2) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Start with the policy to sell only when the price is \$30. The relevant equations are:

$$V_0 = 0 + 0.9 \left(\frac{4}{5} V_0 + \frac{1}{5} V_1 \right)$$

$$V_1 = 0 + 0.9 \left(\frac{1}{4} V_0 + \frac{1}{4} V_1 + \frac{1}{2} V_2 \right)$$

$$V_2 = -30 + 0.9 V_3$$

$$V_3 = 0 + 0.9 V_3$$

which admit the unique solution $(V_0, V_1, V_2, V_3) = (-4860/353, -7560/353, -30, 0)$.

Policy improvement:

State 0 with decision 2: $-10 + 0.9V_3 = -10 > V_0$

State 1 with decision 2: $-20 + 0.9V_3 = -20 > V_1$

State 2 with decision 1: $0 + 0.9[(3/4)V_1 + (1/4)V_2] = -21.21 > V_2$

Hence, the policy to hold the stock when the price is \$10 and \$20, and to sell it when the price is \$30.

19S2-5.

(a) minimize
$$-10y_{02} - 20y_{12} - 30y_{22}$$
subject to
$$y_{01} + y_{02} - 0.9\left(\frac{4}{5}y_{01} + \frac{1}{4}y_{11}\right) = \frac{1}{3}$$

$$y_{11} + y_{12} - 0.9\left(\frac{1}{5}y_{01} + \frac{1}{4}y_{11} + \frac{3}{4}y_{21}\right) = \frac{1}{3}$$

$$y_{21} + y_{22} - 0.9\left(\frac{1}{2}y_{11} + \frac{1}{4}y_{21}\right) = \frac{1}{3}$$

$$y_{ik} > 0 \text{ for } i = 0, 1, 2 \text{ and } k = 1, 2$$

(b) Using the simplex method, we find $y_{01} = 1.96059$, $y_{11} = 0.95851$, $y_{22} = 0.76463$ and the remaining y_{ik} 's are zero. Hence, the optimal policy is to hold the stock at the prices \$10 and \$20 and to sell it at the price \$30.

19S2-6.

$$\begin{split} V_0^n &= \min\{0.9((4/5)V_0^{n-1} + (1/5)V_1^{n-1}), -10\} \\ V_1^n &= \min\{0.9((1/4)V_0^{n-1} + (1/4)V_1^{n-1} + (1/2)V_2^{n-1}), -20\} \\ V_2^n &= \min\{0.9((3/4)V_1^{n-1} + (1/4)V_2^{n-1}), -30\} \\ V_2^0 &= 0 \text{ for } i = 0, 1, 2 \\ \hline \text{Iteration 1:} \qquad V_0^1 &= \min\{0, -10\} = -10 \Rightarrow \text{Sell} \\ V_1^1 &= \min\{0, -20\} = -20 \Rightarrow \text{Sell} \\ V_2^1 &= \min\{0, -30\} = -30 \Rightarrow \text{Sell} \\ \hline \text{Iteration 2:} \qquad V_0^2 &= \min\{-10.8, -10\} = -10.8 \Rightarrow \text{Hold} \\ V_1^2 &= \min\{-20.25, -20\} = -20.25 \Rightarrow \text{Hold} \\ V_2^2 &= \min\{-20.25, -30\} = -30 \Rightarrow \text{Sell} \\ \hline \text{Iteration 3:} \qquad V_0^3 &= \min\{-11.42, -10\} = -11.42 \Rightarrow \text{Hold} \\ V_1^3 &= \min\{-20.49, -20\} = -20.49 \Rightarrow \text{Hold} \\ V_2^3 &= \min\{-20.42, -30\} = -30 \Rightarrow \text{Sell} \end{split}$$

The approximate optimal solution is to sell if the price is \$30 and to hold otherwise. This policy is indeed optimal, as found in Problem 19S2-3 and 19S2-4.

19S2-7.

(a) Let states 0 and 1 be the chemical produced this month, C1 and C2 respectively, and decisions 1 and 2 refer to the process to be used next month, A and B respectively. There are four stationary deterministic policies.

i	$d_i(R_1)$	$d_i(R_2)$	$d_i(R_3)$	$d_i(R_4)$
0	1	1	2	2
1	1	2	1	2

The transition matrix is the same for every decision, viz.

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 0.3 & 0.7 \\ 0.4 & 0.6 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The costs C_{ik} correspond to the expected amount of pollution using the process k in the next period.

$$C_{01} = 0.3(15) + 0.7(2) = 5.9,$$

 $C_{02} = 0.3(3) + 0.7(8) = 6.5,$
 $C_{11} = 0.4(15) + 0.6(2) = 7.2,$
 $C_{12} = 0.4(3) + 0.6(8) = 6.$

(b)

Initial Policy:

```
dO(R1) = 1
dI(R1) = 1
```

Discount Factor = 0.5

Discounted Cost Policy Improvement Algorithm:

ITERATION # 1

Value Determination:

```
g(R1) = 5.9 + (0.5) [ 0.3VO(R1) + 0.7VI(R1) ]

g(R1) = 7.2 + (0.5) [ 0.4VO(R1) + 0.6VI(R1) ]
```

Solution of Value Determination Equations:

```
V1(R1) = 12.67

V2(R1) = 13.9
```

Policy Improvement:

State 0:

$$5.9 + (0.5) [0.3 (12.67) + 0.7 (13.9)] = 12.67$$

 $6.5 + (0.5) [0.3 (12.67) + 0.7 (13.9)] = 13.27$

State 1:

$$7.2 + (0.5) [0.4 (12.67) + 0.6 (13.9)] = 13.9$$

 $6 + (0.5) [0.4 (12.67) + 0.6 (13.9)] = 12.7$

New Policy:

$$dO(R2) = 1$$

ITERATION # 2

Value Determination:

19S2-8.

Optimal Policy: d0(R3) = 1 d1(R3) = 2

(a) minimize
$$5.9y_{01} + 6.5y_{02} + 7.2y_{11} + 6y_{12}$$

subject to $y_{01} + y_{02} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{3}{10} y_{01} + \frac{4}{10} y_{11} + \frac{3}{10} y_{02} + \frac{4}{10} y_{12} \right) = \frac{1}{2}$
 $y_{11} + y_{12} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{7}{10} y_{01} + \frac{6}{10} y_{11} + \frac{7}{10} y_{02} + \frac{6}{10} y_{12} \right) = \frac{1}{2}$

 $y_{ik} \ge 0$ for i = 0, 1 and k = 1, 2

(b) Using the simplex method, we find $y_{01} = 0.857$, $y_{12} = 1.143$ and $y_{02} = y_{11} = 0$. Hence, the optimal policy is to use process A if C1 is produced and B if C2 is produced this month.

19S2-9.

```
Discount Factor = 0.5
Method of Successive Approximations:
Initial V(i):
 v(1) = 0
 v(2) = 0
ITERATION #1
New Policy and New V(i):
 dO(R1) = 1, V(0) = 5.9 dI(R1) = 2, V(1) = 6
ITERATION # 2
State 0:
5.9 + (0.5) [0.3 ( 5.9) + 0.7 ( 6) ] = 8.885
6.5 + (0.5) [0.3 (5.9) + 0.7 (6)] = 9.485
State 1:
7.2 + (0.5) [0.4 (5.9) + 0.6 (6)] = 10.18
    + (0.5) [0.4 ( 5.9) + 0.6 ( 6) ] = 8.98
New Policy and New V(i):
  dO(R2) = 1, V(0) = 8.885
  d1(R2) = 2,
                   V(1) = 8.98
ITERATION # 3
State 0:
5.9 + (0.5) [0.3 (8.885) + 0.7 (8.98)] = 10.38
6.5 + (0.5) [0.3 (8.885) + 0.7 (8.98)] = 10.98
State 1:
7.2 + (0.5) [0.4 (8.885) + 0.6 (8.98)] = 11.67
6 + (0.5) [0.4 (8.885) + 0.6 (8.98)] = 10.47
New Policy and New V(i):
```

19S2-10.

The three iterations of successive approximations in Problem 19S2-9 gives the optimal policy for the three-period problem. The optimal policy is, therefore, to use the process A if C1 is produced and B if C2 is produced in all periods.

19S2-11.

```
V_0^n = \min\{0 + 0.90((7/8)V_1^{n-1} + (1/16)V_2^{n-1} + (1/16)V_3^{n-1}), 4000 + 0.90V_1^{n-1}, 6000 + 0.90V_0^{n-1}\}
V_1^n = \min\{1000 + 0.90((3/4)V_1^{n-1} + (1/8)V_2^{n-1} + (1/8)V_3^{n-1}), 4000 + 0.90V_1^{n-1}, 6000 + 0.90V_0^{n-1}\}
V_2^n = \min\{3000 + 0.90((1/2)V_2^{n-1} + (1/2)V_3^{n-1}), 4000 + 0.90V_1^{n-1}, 6000 + 0.90V_0^{n-1}\}
V_3^n = 6000 + 0.90V_0^{n-1}
V_i^0 = 0 for i = 0, 1, 2, 3
<u>Iteration 1:</u> V_0^1 = \min\{0, 4000, 6000\} = 0 \Rightarrow \text{Do nothing}
                   V_1^1 = \min\{1000, 4000, 6000\} = 1000 \Rightarrow \text{Do nothing}
                   V_2^1 = \min\{3000, 4000, 6000\} = 3000 \Rightarrow \text{Do nothing}
                   V_3^1 = 6000 \Rightarrow \text{Replace}
                 V_0^2 = \min\{1293.75, 4900, 6000\} = 1293.75 \Rightarrow \text{Do nothing}
Iteration 2:
                   V_1^2 = \min\{2687.5, 4900, 6000\} = 2687.5 \Rightarrow \text{Do nothing}
                   V_2^2 = \min\{7050, 4900, 6000\} = 4900 \Rightarrow \text{Overhaul}
                   V_3^2 = 6000 \Rightarrow \text{Replace}
                V_0^3 = \min\{2729.53, 6418.75, 7164.38\} = 2729.53 \Rightarrow \text{Do nothing}
Iteration 3:
                   V_1^3 = \min\{4040.31, 6418.75, 7164.38\} = 4040.31 \Rightarrow \text{Do nothing}
                   V_2^3 = \min\{7905, 6418.75, 7164.38\} = 6418.75 \Rightarrow \text{Overhaul}
                   V_3^3 = 7164.38 \Rightarrow \text{Replace}
                  V_0^4 = \min\{3945.80, 7636.28, 8456.58\} = 3945.80 \Rightarrow \text{Do nothing}
Iteration 4:
                   V_1^4 = \min\{5255.31, 7636.28, 8456.58\} = 5255.31 \Rightarrow \text{Do nothing}
                   V_2^4 = \min\{9112.41, 7636.28, 8456.58\} = 7636.28 \Rightarrow \text{Overhaul}
                   V_3^4 = 8456.58 \Rightarrow \text{Replace}
```

The optimal policy is to do nothing in states 0, 1 and to replace in state 3 in all periods. When in state 2, it is best to overhaul in periods 1, 2, 3 and to do nothing in period 4.