



# More about Inheritance

---

# Overriding

- Let's recall the code we were working on from last lecture.
- We had a base class named `Person` and two derived classes named `Student` and `Instructor`.
- We defined a method named `printInfo()` in the base class that prints out generic information, and then overrode that method in the `Student` class (but did not define it in the `Instructor` class)
- We then implemented the following global function:

---

```
void printPersonInfo(Person &aPerson)
{
    aPerson.printInfo();
};
```

---

## Overriding (cont)

- And tried to call it with the following code
- 

```
void printPersonInfo(Person &aPerson)
{
    aPerson.printInfo();
};

int main()
{
    Student aStudent;
    Instructor anInstructor;
    aStudent.setInfo("Joe Student", "1 E Main St", "555-1212");
    aStudent.studentID = 33445;
    anInstructor.setInfo("John Doe", "120 Maple Ave", "555-1313");
    anInstructor.employeeID = 12345;
    printPersonInfo(aStudent);
    printPersonInfo(anInstructor);
}
```

## Overriding (cont)

- Here was the output from the previous program:
- 

Name: Joe Student

Addr: 1 E Main St

Phone: 555-1212

Name: John Doe

Addr: 120 Maple Ave

Phone: 555-1313

- Why do the studentID and the employeeID not appear?

## Overriding (cont)

- We don't see the `printInfo()` method defined in `Student`.
- So, wait a minute. Did the compiler forget that we overrode `Person::printInfo()` in the derived class `Student`?
- No, it's only doing what it was told to do!
- We don't get any complaints from the compiler when we pass `anInstructor` and `aStudent` into the function `printPersonInfo(Person &)`.
- It's legal to do that; since `Instructor` and `Student` are derived from `Person`, the compiler thinks we want to treat whatever argument is passed in as a `Person`.
- And, since inside the scope of `printPersonInfo` the argument passed is an instance of a `Person`, `Person::printInfo()` is used when we call `aPerson.printInfo()`.

# Virtual Functions

- No, we can achieve the desired behavior by making one minor adjustment to the `Person` class:
- 

```
class Person
{
public:
    void setInfo(string Name,string Addr,string Phone);
    virtual void printInfo();
private:
    string name;
    string address;
    string phone;
};
```

- 
- Does this really make a difference?

## Virtual Functions

- By defining `Person::printInfo()` as a *virtual* function, we told the compiler to keep track of any instances of derived classes which may override this function and make sure the overridden version gets called no matter what type that instance may be cast to.
- This is usually the desired behavior.
- When a member function is declared as a virtual function, derived classes have the *option* of overriding it.
  - If they do not, the member function in the base class is always called
- There's one catch, though...
- In order to get this behavior, we needed to declare the argument to `printPersonInfo` as a `"Person &"` (or `Person *`). Had we just used `Person`, a copy of the argument passed would have been used and would have retained no knowledge about actually being a derived class...

# Pure Virtual Functions

- Suppose the case arose where we wanted to force a derived class to override a specific member function in the base class.
- Why would we want to do that?
- Suppose there were a common function implemented across all the derived classes which didn't necessarily make sense to include in the base class.
- Consider a simple member function which would print out a Person's "classification" (student, faculty, staff, etc.).
- Maybe it would look like this

---

```
void Person::printClassification()  
{  
    cout << "This person's classification is... " << ????  
};
```

---



## Pure Virtual Functions (cont)

- The problem here is that the `Person` class has no idea what “classification” a person is... That is handled in the derived class.
  - So it would be very easy to implement `printClassification` as a member function of each derived class...
- 

```
void Student::printClassification()  
{  
    cout << "Classification:  STUDENT" << endl;  
}  
void Instructor::printClassification()  
{  
    cout << "Classification:  INSTRUCTOR" << endl;  
}
```

---

- Now, this will seemingly fit the bill, but there's one problem...

## Pure Virtual Functions (cont)

- How can we call it from our `printPersonInfo()` function?
  - We could add a new member variable to keep track of type...
- 

```
class Person
{
public:
    void setInfo(string Name,string Addr,string Phone);
    virtual void printInfo();
private:
    string name;
    string address;
    string phone;
    int PersonType;
};
```

---

- Then make sure we populate this field in the derived class...

## Pure Virtual Functions (cont)

- Then do something like the following...
- 

```
void printPersonInfo(Person *aPerson) // have to pass pointer
{
    aPerson->printInfo();
    // Now print classification
    switch( aPerson->personType )
    {
        case kStudentType:          // Assume "type" constants exist
            Student *aStudent = (Student *) aPerson;
            aStudent->printClassification();
            break;
        case kInstructorType:
            Instructor *anInstructor = (Instructor *) aPerson;
            anInstructor->printClassification();
            break;
    }
};
```

## Pure Virtual Functions (cont)

- This is unnecessarily complicated!
- C++ gives us a way to declare a member function in the base class and specify that every derived class *must* implement it (because there is no “default” implementation in the base class)
- This is called a *Pure Virtual Function*

---

```
class Person
{
public:
    void setInfo(string Name,string Addr,string Phone);
    virtual void printInfo();
    virtual void printClassification() = 0;    // Pure Virtual
private:
    string name;
    string address;
    string phone;
};
```

## Pure Virtual Functions (cont)

- You declare a member function to be pure virtual by adding a “= 0” initializer right after the declaration.
- After doing this, our `printPersonInfo()` function becomes simple again...

---

```
void printPersonInfo(Person &aPerson)
{
    aPerson.printInfo();
    aPerson.printClassification(); // Call pure virtual function
}
```

---

# Pure Virtual Functions and Abstract Classes

- Declaring `printClassification()` as pure virtual causes compiler errors if we try to work with derived classes which do not define the pure virtual member function.
- The error messages we receive make reference to “abstract class”.
- An abstract class is simply a base class which contains one or more pure virtual member functions.
- As such, an instance of an abstract class can *never* be allocated.
- You must always declare or allocate an instance of one of its derived classes.
- This means our `printPersonInfo()` function *must* either be passed a reference or pointer to `Person`.

# Constructors--Initialization Shorthand

- Sometimes it is tedious to write out all of the initializations like we do below:

---

```
Course::Course(string theCourseName,string theInstructor,  
               int classSize)  
{  
    courseName = theCourseName;  
    instructor = theInstructor;  
    size = classSize;  
}
```

- 
- There is a “shorthand” we can use to simplify this:

## Initialization Shorthand (cont)

- Initialization shorthand:

---

```
Course::Course(string theCourseName,string theInstructor,  
               int classSize): courseName(theCourseName),  
                               instructor(theInstructor),size(classSize)  
{  
}
```

---

- Any member variable may be initialized in any constructor for the same class in this manner.
- The format is to append the following expression after the parameter list:

```
: member-name(expression) , member-name(expression)  
, member-name(expression) , ...
```



## Constructors--Quick Summary

- A *default constructor* is a constructor which takes no arguments
  - If you declare additional constructors you may need to provide a default constructor which does nothing (if you haven't defined one already)
  - Otherwise you may get "Can't construct class" errors when trying to create an instance of the class without passing arguments.
- Other constructors may be added which take arguments
  - This is called *constructor overloading*.
    - A specific form of *function overloading*
    - The linker will make sure the right constructor is called, depending on the arguments passed (or lack thereof)
- A shorthand way to initialize member variables in a Constructor's definition is to follow the parameter list with a colon followed by a comma separated list of member variable names and their initial values in parenthesis.

# Constructors and Resource Allocation

- Another common use of constructors is to allocate system resources
    - Memory, GUI objects (Windows, Menus, etc.)
    - Other dynamic structures/classes
  - Consider the following Course class which allows us to store a dynamic array of Students as a member variable.
- 

```
class Course
{
public:
    Course();
    Course(string theCourse, string theInstructor, int classSize);
private:
    string courseName;
    string instructor;
    int size;
    Student *studentList;
    int nextStudent;
};
```

# Constructors and Resource Allocation

---

```
Course::Course()  
{  
    courseName = "unknown";  
    instructor = "unknown";  
    size = nextStudent = 0;  
    studentList = NULL;  
}  
  
Course::Course(string theCourseName, string theInstructor,  
               int classSize): courseName(theCourseName),  
                               instructor(theInstructor), size(classSize),  
                               nextStudent(0)  
{  
    studentList = new Student[size];  
}
```

---

- It's OK to move the initializations back into the body of the constructor if you're starting to make a mess!

# Constructors and Inheritance

- Remember our `Person` class from earlier?
- 

```
class Person
{
public:
    void setInfo(string Name,string Addr,string Phone);
    virtual void printInfo();
    virtual void printClassification() = 0;    // Pure Virtual
private:
    string name;
    string address;
    string phone;
};
```

---

- We could apply what we've learned about constructors to do the following:

## Constructors and Inheritance (cont)

- Let's provide a constructor to initialize name, address, and phone:
- 

```
class Person
{
public:
    Person(string Name,string Addr,string Phone):name(Name),
        address(Addr),phone(Phone){}
    void setInfo(string Name,string Addr,string Phone);
    virtual void printInfo();
    virtual void printClassification() = 0;    // Pure Virtual
private:
    string name;
    string address;
    string phone;
};
```

---

## Constructors and Inheritance (cont)

- Oh, wait. `Person` is an abstract class (has one or more pure virtual functions).
- That means that we can never create a “standalone” instance of `Person`.
- Hmmm, can we do something with the constructors of the derived classes?
- Let’s look at our `Student` class again and add a constructor there too...

# Constructors and Inheritance (cont)

---

```
class Student: public Person
{
public:
    Student(string Name,string Addr,string Phone,int id);
    void printInfo();
    int  getId() { return studentID; }
    void printClassification();
private:
    int  studentID;
};

Student::Student(string Name,string Addr,string Phone,int id):
    name(Name),address(Addr),phone(Phone),studentID(id)
{
}
```

---

- Does this work?

## Constructors and Inheritance (cont)

- Nope, it won't work.
- You can't access the private members of `Person`, even from the derived class!
- However, you *can* call `Person`'s constructor!

---

```
Student::Student(string Name, string Addr, string Phone, int id):  
    Person(Name, Addr, Phone), studentID(id)  
{  
}
```

---