

Fachschaftsrat · Hasso Plattner Institute  
Prof.-Dr.-Helmert-Str. 2-3 · 14482 Potsdam, Germany



**Student Representatives**  
Hasso Plattner Institute  
University of Potsdam

Prof.-Dr.-Helmert-Str. 2-3  
14482 Potsdam, Germany

Phone: +49 331 5509-255  
Email: [fachschaftsrat@hpi.de](mailto:fachschaftsrat@hpi.de)  
Url: [www.myhpi.de](http://www.myhpi.de)

Chairman: Johannes Wolf

**April 11, 2015**

Dr. Regina Hebig

## Evaluation Results

Dear Dr. Hebig:

enclosed we are sending you the evaluation results of the courses in which you participated as a lecturer.

Yours sincerely,

Johannes Wolf

encl: Evaluation Results of "Model-driven Software Development (Winter term 2012/2013)"  
Evaluation Results of "Investigating Practical MDE-Approches (Winter term 2012/2013)"

Model-driven Software Development (Winter term 2012/2013)

Overview					
Degree	Type	Responsible	Average Grade	Median Grade	Voters
Bachelor	Vorlesung	Prof. Dr. Holger Giese	1.3	1.5	<div><div>3/6</div>50%</div>

Course					
Lecture's contents					
I learned something in the lecture.			(3)	<div><div></div></div>	1.3
The techniques taught in the lecture seemed to be up to date.			(3)	<div><div></div></div>	1.3
The content of the lecture was well structured.			(3)	<div><div></div></div>	1.7
Learning material					
The amount of provided learning material was sufficient.			(3)	<div><div></div></div>	1.0
The provided learning material was useful.			(3)	<div><div></div></div>	1.7
The learning material was provided in time.			(3)	<div><div></div></div>	1.7
Miscellaneous					
I enjoyed the lecture.			(3)	<div><div></div></div>	1.3
I am satisfied with my learning success.			(3)	<div><div></div></div>	1.3
The lecture provided all information and skills that I need to deepen my knowledge in this topic.			(3)	<div><div></div></div>	1.0
The lecture is important for my studies.			(3)	<div><div></div></div>	1.3
I think the expenditure of time for the course was appropriate.			(3)	<div><div></div></div>	1.0
I can understand how the grading is influenced by specific criteria.			(3)	<div><div></div></div>	2.3
Project					
I enjoyed the project.			(3)	<div><div></div></div>	1.0
I think the expenditure of time for the project was appropriate.			(3)	<div><div></div></div>	2.0
I learned something from the project.			(3)	<div><div></div></div>	1.0
I can understand how the grading is influenced by specific criteria.			(3)	<div><div></div></div>	2.0

## Model-driven Software Development (Winter term 2012/2013)

### Contributors

#### Thomas Beyhl

##### Project's supervisor

... showed respect for the students.	(3)	<div><div></div></div>	1.0
... imparted knowledge.	(3)	<div><div></div></div>	1.0
... addressed questions and proposals.	(3)	<div><div></div></div>	1.0
... made a good job in actively supervising me/my team.	(3)	<div><div></div></div>	1.0
... seemed to be well prepared.	(3)	<div><div></div></div>	1.0
... was available even outside the meetings.	(3)	<div><div></div></div>	1.0

#### Regina Hebig

##### Lecturer

... showed respect for the students.	(3)	<div><div></div></div>	1.0
... imparted knowledge.	(3)	<div><div></div></div>	1.0
... addressed questions and proposals.	(3)	<div><div></div></div>	1.0
... gave the course in an interesting way.	(3)	<div><div></div></div>	1.0
... seemed to be well prepared.	(3)	<div><div></div></div>	1.0
... was available even outside the course.	(2)	<div><div></div></div>	1.0

#### Prof. Dr. Holger Giese

##### Lecturer

... showed respect for the students.	(3)	<div><div></div></div>	1.0
... imparted knowledge.	(3)	<div><div></div></div>	1.0
... addressed questions and proposals.	(3)	<div><div></div></div>	1.0
... gave the course in an interesting way.	(3)	<div><div></div><div></div></div>	1.7
... seemed to be well prepared.	(3)	<div><div></div><div></div></div>	1.3
... was available even outside the course.	(2)	<div><div></div></div>	1.0






# Investigating Practical MDE-Approches (Winter term 2012/2013)

## Overview


Degree	Type	Responsible	Average Grade	Median Grade	Voters
Master	Projektseminar	Prof. Dr. Holger Giese	2.2	2.5	5/9 56%

## Course

### Seminar

I enjoyed the seminar.	(5)		3.0
The seminar's topics were chosen very well.	(5)		2.2
The provided learning material was a good start for own research.	(5)		3.0
I think the expenditure of time for the seminar was appropriate.	(5)		3.2
I learned something from the seminar.	(5)		1.6
I can understand how the grading is influenced by specific criteria.	(4)		2.5

### Project

I enjoyed the project.	(5)		2.8
I think the expenditure of time for the project was appropriate.	(5)		3.0
I learned something from the project.	(5)		2.0
I can understand how the grading is influenced by specific criteria.	(4)		2.3






## Contributors

### Regina Hebig

#### Seminar lecturer

... showed respect for the students.	(5)		1.6
... imparted knowledge.	(4)		2.0
... addressed questions and proposals.	(5)		2.0
... conducted the seminar in an interesting way.	(5)		2.4
... seemed to be well prepared.	(5)		2.0
... was available even outside the seminar.	(5)		1.4
... made a good job in actively supporting me/my team.	(5)		1.4

#### Project's supervisor

... showed respect for the students.	(5)		1.6
... imparted knowledge.	(4)		1.8
... addressed questions and proposals.	(5)		2.0
... made a good job in actively supervising me/my team.	(5)		1.4
... seemed to be well prepared.	(5)		2.0
... was available even outside the meetings.	(5)		1.4