

Chapter 1 checkpoint!

Hello and welcome to your first checkpoint! Here come six questions, one about each of the learning targets from Chapter 1. This is your scorecard:

Learning target:	P1	P2	L1	L2	L3	L4
Your confidence level before starting (0-5):						
Your confidence level after the quiz (0-5):						
The mark you earned on this attempt:	Success! Revise! Try again!	Success! Revise! Try again!	Success! Revise! Try again!	Success! Revise! Try again!	Success! Revise! Try again!	Success! Revise! Try again!

Before anything else, please do the following:

- Rank your confidence from 0-5 on each of the learning targets. 5 means “I could teach a whole class about this;” 0 means “I am genuinely not sure I have heard these words before.”
- Rip all the pages apart.
- Write your name on this page and on each of the other pages of the quiz.

Then do the quiz! Some reminders:

- Open notes, closed computer.
- If you need more room to write, use the back of the same learning target page, or ask me for some scratch paper.
- Read the questions carefully and make sure you’re answering each part.
- Show all your work and explain all your thinking!

When you are done:

- Rank your confidence from 0-5 on each of the learning targets. 5 means “I absolutely nailed that question for sure;” 0 means “oof, I definitely didn’t get that one.”
- Make double sure your name is on every page, including any scratch paper.
- Hand in your work, separated by learning target.

Have fun and do your best! I believe in u ♥

Learning target P1, version 1

Consider the following proposition:

For all natural numbers n , if n^2 is even, then n is even.

Is the following claimed proof valid? Use Toulmin analysis to decide.

Proof. Consider any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume that n^2 is even.

By definition, $n^2 = 2k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let's take square roots of each side giving us $\sqrt{n^2} = \sqrt{2k}$.

Simplifying, $n = \sqrt{2k}$.

Multiplying by 2 top and bottom inside the square root, $n = \sqrt{\frac{2 \cdot 2k}{2}}$.

So, $n = \sqrt{\frac{4 \cdot k}{2}}$.

Pulling 4 out of the square root, $n = 2 \cdot \sqrt{\frac{k}{2}}$.

Let $m = \sqrt{\frac{k}{2}}$.

Thus $n = 2m$.

Therefore, n is an even number.

So, our theorem is proved.

□

The following definitions are extremely made up and don't mean anything (but they look fun!).

Definition: A function is *laminar* if its intrinsic cofunction \tilde{f} is differentiable.

1. Write a proof framework for a *direct* proof of this proposition.

2. Write a proof framework for a *proof by contrapositive*.

3. Write a proof framework for a *proof by contradiction*.

4. (Bonus!) If for some reason you did not believe this proposition was true (and thus that none of these proof frameworks is appropriate), how would you show it?

Consider these two very similar-looking statements:

$$\forall y \in \mathbb{Z} \exists x \in \mathbb{Z}, x + y = 0$$

1. Translate both statements into human words.
2. Precisely one of these two statements is true. Which is it, and why?
(Moral: the order of quantifiers is super important.)
3. (Bonus!) If \mathbb{Z} is replaced by \mathbb{N} , is this statement still true?

Learning target L2, version 1

Write each of the following statements in “if . . . , then . . .” form. (Note that some of them are true and some of them are not.)

1. Every continuous function is differentiable.

2. A shape is a square only if it is a rectangle.

3. Cooking is necessary for eating salmon.

4. All dogs go to heaven.

5. (The converse of “all dogs go to heaven”.)

6. (The inverse of “all dogs go to heaven”.)

7. (The contrapositive of “all dogs go to heaven”.)

Learning target L3, version 1

Simplify each of the following:

1. $\neg(P \rightarrow Q)$

2. The negation of the **converse** of $P \rightarrow Q$

3. $\neg((P \rightarrow Q) \vee (Q \rightarrow P))$

4. $\neg \exists x \forall y (\neg O(x) \vee E(y)).$

Learning target L4, version 1

I wonder how the implication sign distributes over the logical connectives \vee and \wedge .

1. Are the statements $P \rightarrow (Q \vee R)$ and $(P \rightarrow Q) \vee (P \rightarrow R)$ logically equivalent?
Use a truth table to decide.

2. What about the statements $P \rightarrow (Q \wedge R)$ and $(P \rightarrow Q) \wedge (P \rightarrow R)$? Make another truth table.

Learning target G1, version 1

Fill in the blank boxes.

Adjacency table		Vertex set and edge set	Drawing
vertex	adjacent to		
<i>a</i>	<i>b, d</i>		
<i>b</i>	<i>a, c, d, e, f</i>		
<i>c</i>	<i>b, g</i>		
<i>d</i>	<i>a, b, e</i>		
<i>e</i>	<i>b, d, f</i>		
<i>f</i>	<i>b, e, g</i>		
<i>g</i>	<i>c, f</i>		

Learning target G2, version 1

Two of the graphs on the previous page are isomorphic.

1. Figure out which two,
2. provide a relabeling between them,
3. and convince me that the relabeling respects the edges.