MATH 312 Spring 2025

## Homework #5 - Challenges key

Here are proofs for each of the parts of the challenge problems that are proof-based. I have written them to purposefully be a bit annoying; your job is to use the data-claim-warrant structure to validate the proofs, and also to say what is annoying about them.

At least one of these proofs has a subtle error in it (that I originally made by honest accident but then decided to leave in for pedagogical purposes). Can you find it?

**Problem 1.** Here we shall track down the details from our discussion of the mystery group of order 16 from class on Wednesday.

(a) Let  $g \in G$  and suppose that  $\langle g \rangle$  is a normal subgroup of order 2. Prove that  $g \in Z(G)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x \in G$ .  $x\langle g \rangle x^{-1} = \langle g \rangle$ , so either  $xgx^{-1} = e$ , in which case xg = x, so g = 1, which certainly isn't true, or else  $xgx^{-1} = g$ . Then xg = gx, so  $g \in Z(G)$ .

Claims:

- (1)  $x\langle g\rangle x^{-1} = \langle g\rangle$
- (2) either  $xgx^{-1} = e \text{ or } xgx^{-1} = g$
- (3) (Case 1:  $xgx^{-1} = e$ ) xg = x
- (4) g = 1
- (5) which certainly isn't true
- (6) (Case 2:  $xgx^{-1} = g$ ) xg = gx
- $(7)\ g\in Z(G)$

(b) Suppose that G is generated by two generators, say  $G = \langle g, h \mid \ldots \rangle$ . Prove that if  $g \in Z(G)$ , then  $h \in Z(G)$ .

*Proof.* A generic element of G looks like  $s_1^{p_1}s_2^{p_2}\dots s_k^{p_k}$ , where  $p_i\in\mathbb{Z}$  and each  $s_i$  is either g or h. Therefore, it's enough to show that  $h\cdot g^p=g^p\cdot h$ . But since  $g\in Z(G)$ , hg=gh, so  $hg^p=g^ph$ . Therefore,  $h\in Z(G)$ .

Claims:

- (1) A generic element of G looks like...
- (2) It's enough to show that...
- (3) hg = gh
- (4)  $hg^p = g^p h$
- (5)  $h \in Z(G)$

MATH 312 Spring 2025

(c) Let G be a finitely generated group, say  $G = \langle g_1, \ldots, g_n \mid \ldots \rangle$ . (Note that G doesn't have to be finite – the integers, for example, are finitely generated.) Prove that if all the generators  $g_i \in Z(G)$ , then G is abelian.

*Proof.* A generic element of G looks like  $s_1^{p_1} s_2^{p_2} \dots s_k^{p_k}$ , where  $p_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  and each  $s_i$  is one of the generators  $g_i$ . Therefore, it's enough to show for generic generators  $g_i$  and  $g_j$  that  $g_i \cdot g_j^p = g_j^p \cdot g_i$ . Since  $g_i \in Z(G)$ ,  $g_i g_j = g_j g_i$ . Therefore,  $g_i g_j^p = g_j^p g_i$ , so G is abelian. Claims:

- (1) A generic element of G looks like...
- (2) It's enough to show ...
- $(3) g_i g_j = g_j g_i.$
- $(4) g_i g_j^p = g_j^p g_i$
- (5) G is abelian.

(d) Now, getting more specific: in the mystery group, we knew that  $s^2 = r^8 = 1$ . How did we know those two things?

*Proof.* (Well, not really a proof, more of just an observation.) By looking at the lattice,  $|\langle s \rangle| = 2$  and  $|\langle r \rangle| = 8$ .

(e) Suppose that  $\langle s \rangle$  and  $\langle r^4 s \rangle$  aren't normal; therefore they must be conjugate. Prove that  $srs = r^5$ . (Hint: conjugate by r.)

*Proof.* First, note that  $r \notin \langle r^4 s \rangle$ . Therefore,  $r \langle r^4 s \rangle r^{-1} = \langle s \rangle$ . Either  $r(r^4 s)r^{-1} = s$ , in which case  $r^5 s = sr$  so  $r^5 = srs$ , or  $r(r^4 s)r^{-1} = 1$ , in which case  $r^5 s = r$ , so  $s = r^4$ . But s certainly doesn't equal  $r^4$ , so  $srs = r^5$ .

Claims:

- (1)  $r \notin \langle r^4 s \rangle$
- (2)  $r\langle r^4 s \rangle r^{-1} = \langle s \rangle$
- (3) Either  $r(r^4s)r^{-1} = s$  or  $r(r^4s)r^{-1} = 1$
- (4) (Case 1:  $r(r^4s)r^{-1} = s$ )  $r^5s = sr$
- $(5) r^5 = srs$
- (6) (Case 2:  $r(r^4s)r^{-1} = 1$ )  $r^5s = r$
- (7)  $s = r^4$ .
- (8) s certainly doesn't equal  $r^4$

MATH 312 Spring 2025

**Problem 2.** Write down a full proof of Lagrange's theorem:

if 
$$H \le G$$
, then  $|H|$  divides  $|G|$ , and further,  $|G| = [G:H] \cdot |H|$ .

(This just entails stringing together the arguments we made on the slides before the Lagrange's theorem slide, but I think it's moderately nice to see it all written out.)

*Proof.* It's nice to split this proof up into three little lemmas:

- First, note that for any  $g \in G$ , |gH| = |H|. To prove this, consider the function  $\phi : gH \to H$  defined by  $\phi(gh) = h$ . This function is injective (aka 1-1): if  $gh_1 = gh_2$ , then  $h_1 = h_2$ , so  $\phi(gh_1) = \phi(gh_2)$ . This function is also surjective (aka onto): if  $h \in H$ , then  $\phi(gh) = h$ . Therefore, this function is a bijection, so |gH| = |H|.
- Next, note that distinct cosets are disjoint. For suppose  $g \in g_1H$  and  $g \in g_2H$ . Then there exist  $h_1, h_2 \in H$  such that  $g_1h_1 = g = g_2h_2$ . Therefore,  $g_1 = g_2(h_2h_1^{-1})$ , so  $g_1H = g_2H$ .
- Finally, note that the cosets cover all of G, because if  $g \in G$ , then  $g \in gH$ .

So: if |H| = m and [G:H] = n, then G is made up of n sets of m elements, so |G| = mn.

**Problem 3.** Prove that  $|\operatorname{cl}_G(H)| = [G:N_G(H)].$ 

*Proof.* (Signposts: The human-words translation of this sentence is that the number of subgroups conjugate to H is the same as the number of cosets of  $N_G(H)$ . Indeed, any element that makes a nontrivial conjugate of H is precisely *not* in the normalizer, so it'll make a nontrivial coset of the normalizer.)

Because I am already annoyed at typing  $N_G(H)$  repeatedly, let's just call it N. Let's establish a bijection between cosets of N and conjugate subgroups of H; specifically, let's map xN to  $xHx^{-1}$ .

- This map is clearly surjective.
- This map is injective: if  $xHx^{-1} = yHy^{-1}$ , then  $(y^{-1}x)H(y^{-1}x)^{-1} = H$ , so  $y^{-1}x \in N$ . This means that the coset  $y^{-1}xN$  is the identity coset N. Therefore,  $yN = y(y^{-1}xN) = xN$ .
- (A secret third thing should be here. Do you know what it is?)