MATH 312 Spring 2025

## What do isomorphisms do?

I keep saying that isomorphisms respect algebraic structure. This is a hugely-encompassing idea and I want to unpack what I mean and what some of the consequences are.

## What is an isomorphism?

An isomorphism is a homomorphism that is also a bijection.

## Okay, smartass, what is a homomorphism?

Suppose that  $(G, \star)$  and  $(H, \odot)$  are two groups. Then  $\phi: G \to H$  is a homomorphism if

$$\phi(g_1 \star g_2) = \phi(g_1) \odot \phi(g_2).$$

**Exercise.** Circle three different things in that equation that are elements of H.

Morally what this means is that a homomorphism is a function that respects the groups' operations. Another good maxim here is that a homomorphism sends products to products.

As a consequence of respecting the groups' operations, a homomorphism respects the groups' algebraic structures. Specifically:

**Exercise.** Prove each of the following statements:

• A homomorphism sends the identity to the identity.

*Proof.* Say that  $e_G$  is the identity in G and  $e_H$  is the identity in H. Consider  $\phi(e_G \star g)$ . On the one hand, since  $e_G \star g = g$ ,  $\phi(e_G \star g) = \phi(g)$ . On the other hand, using the homomorphism property,  $\phi(e_G \star g) = \phi(e_G) \odot \phi(g)$ . Therefore,

$$\phi(g) = \phi(e_G) \odot \phi(g).$$

Well,  $\phi(g)$  is some element of H, so it has an inverse. Let's H-multiply both sides of this equation by the inverse on the right:

$$\phi(g) \odot [\phi(g)]^{-1} = \phi(e_G) \odot \phi(g) \odot [\phi(g)]^{-1}$$

$$e_H = \phi(e_G) \odot \left(\phi(g) \odot [\phi(g)]^{-1}\right)$$

$$e_H = \phi(e_G) \odot e_H$$

$$e_H = \phi(e_G).$$

So:  $\phi$  sends  $e_G$  to  $e_H$ .

- A homomorphism sends inverses to inverses.
- A homomorphism sends G to a subgroup of H. (Vocabulary: the image of G under  $\phi$  is the set im $(\phi) = \{\phi(g) \mid g \in G\}$ . Certainly this is a subset of H, but is it a subgroup of H?)

MATH 312 Spring 2025

- A homomorphism sends powers to powers.
- A homomorphism sends orbits to orbits.
- A homomorphism sends conjugates to conjugates.
- A homomorphism sends conjugacy classes to conjugacy classes.

Here are some examples of homomorphisms.

**Exercise.** Prove that each of these "sends products to products":

- Squish everything in G down to the identity in H. (This is a rude homomorphism.)
  - Ponder: How does this map send orbits to orbits?
- Do nothing. (Define the "identity map" id:  $G \to G$  as id(g) = g.)
- If  $G \le H$ , define the "inclusion map"  $\iota : G \to H$  as  $\iota(g) = g$ .
- Define the "exponential map" exp:  $(\mathbb{R}, +) \to (\mathbb{R}^+, *)$  by  $\exp(x) = e^x$ .
- $\ln: (\mathbb{R}^+, *) \to (\mathbb{R}, +)$ .
  - This is, like, the best explanation for why the properties of logs are like that.
- Here is an interesting **non**-example: Let  $s:D_n\to D_n$  be the "squaring map"  $s(x)=x^2$ . (Hint: Remember that  $D_n$  isn't abelian and compare s(fr) to s(f)s(r).)
- If G is an **abelian** group, then the squaring map  $s: G \to G$  is indeed a homomorphism.
- Define  $\phi: Q_8 \to V_4$  as follows:  $\phi(\pm 1) = 1$ ,  $\phi(\pm i) = a$ ,  $\phi(\pm j) = b$ ,  $\phi(\pm k) = ab$ .
- Define the "projection map"  $\pi_A: A \times B \to A$  as  $\pi_A(a,b) = a$ . (Similar for  $\pi_B$ .)

## What about isomorphisms?

A general theme in math is that if you make something more special, you get stronger results. By adding "bijection" to "homomorphism," you can thus expect to preserve even more structure.

**Exercise.** Let  $\phi: G \to H$  be an isomorphism. Prove that:

•  $|\phi(g)| = |g|$ . (" $\phi$  preserves orders.")

*Proof.* Say that |g| = n – that is,  $g^n = e$ , but for any k < n,  $g^k \ne e$ . We need to show those two things are also true for  $\phi(g)$ . The first part is easy: since  $\phi$  is a homomorphism, it sends powers to powers and the identity to the identity, so  $\phi(g)^n = \phi(g^n) = \phi(e) = e$ .

For the second part, consider the orbit of g,  $\langle g \rangle = \{g, g^2, \dots, g^{n-1}, g^n = e\}$ . All these powers of g are distinct. (Why?) So, since  $\phi$  is a bijection (and in particular is 1-1), all their images  $\{\phi(g), \phi(g^2), \dots, \phi(g^{n-1}), \phi(g^n) = e\}$  are distinct. But since  $\phi$  sends powers to powers, that list of distinct elements is  $\{\phi(g), \phi(g)^2, \dots, \phi(g)^{n-1}, e\}$ . Therefore,  $\phi(g)^k \neq e$  for any k < n - e is in that list of distinct elements at the end, so nobody else gets to be e.

MATH 312 Spring 2025

- Corollary:  $\phi$  sends orbits to orbits of the same size.
- $\phi$  sends conjugacy classes to conjugacy classes of the same size.
- $\phi$  sends subgroups to subgroups of the same size.

If there is an isomorphism  $\phi: G \to H$ , we say that G and H are isomorphic and write  $G \cong H$ . Since an isomorphism  $\phi$  preserves *so much* algebraic structure, this is why it's our formal version of the idea that G and H are "basically the same" but maybe just got relabeled or re-presented.

**Exercise.** Suppose that  $G \cong H$ . Prove that:

• G is abelian if and only if H is abelian.