Section Report: ACCOUNTING & FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT (DBA7030 (DC18)) Section: A, Instructor: Achoki, George   Summer Semester 2019 (DOC)

COURSE EVALUATION	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Below Average	Poor	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
The lecturer clearly communicated the learning outcomes.	27 90.0%	3 10.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.90	4.90	4.60
The course activities were related to the learning outcomes.	27 90.0%	3 10.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.90	4.90	4.60
The lecturer was well prepared for class.	27 90.0%	3 10.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.90	4.90	4.60
The lecturer used a variety of teaching methods.	24 80.0%	6 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.80	4.80	4.55
The lecturer demonstrated thorough knowledge of the subject.	26 86.7%	4 13.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.87	4.87	4.63
The lecturer was open to diverse view points and opinions.	24 80.0%	6 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.80	4.80	4.55
The lecturer explained clearly how students would be evaluated.	27 90.0%	3 10.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.90	4.90	4.58
The lecturer was readily available for consultation with the students during stated hours or by appointment.	24 80.0%	6 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.80	4.80	4.56
Assessments were adequate and relevant to the course learning outcomes.	24 80.0%	6 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.80	4.80	4.58
The Lecturer made meaningful feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams.	24 80.0%	6 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.80	4.80	4.47
The lecturer came to class on time and finished on time.	25 83.3%	5 16.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.83	4.83	4.58
The lecturer made adequate & appropriate use of the course text.	25 83.3%	5 16.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.83	4.83	4.46
My rating of the course text for this course is.	22 73.3%	7 23.3%	1 3.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.70	4.70	4.43
The lecturer attended all the class sessions.	22 73.3%	7 23.3%	1 3.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.70	4.70	4.59
The faculty administered the mid-semester evaluation and discussed the results in class.	23 76.7%	6 20.0%	1 3.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.73	4.73	4.40
The course materials availed on Blackboard enhanced my learning.	26 86.7%	4 13.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.87	4.87	4.56
COURSE EVALUATION Average	82.7%	16.7%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.82	4.82	4.55

STUDENT SELF EVALUATION	Always	Most of the Times	Sometimes	Rarely	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
I attended classes	24 80.0%	6 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	3.80	3.80	3.71

I was punctual for this class	25 83.3%	5 16.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	3.83	3.83	3.78
I completed and submitted my assignments in time	28 93.3%	2 6.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	3.93	3.93	3.86
I participated in classroom discussions and other activities	25 83.3%	4 13.3%	1 3.3%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	3.80	3.80	3.79
STUDENT SELF EVALUATION Average	85.0%	14.2%	0.8%	0.0%	100.0%	3.84	3.84	3.79

STUDENT SELF EVAL 2	**15+ Hours**	**12-14 Hours**	**9-11 Hours**	**7-8 Hours**	**4 -6 Hours**	**1-3 Hours**	**Total**	**Mean**	**Dept Mean**	**All College**
How much time outside class did you spend on assignment or reading for this course per week?	15 50.0%	5 16.7%	5 16.7%	1 3.3%	4 13.3%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	3.87	3.87	3.86
STUDENT SELF EVAL 2 Average	50.0%	16.7%	16.7%	3.3%	13.3%	0.0%	100.0%	3.87	3.87	3.86

STUDENT SELF EVAL 3	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**F**	**Total**	**Mean**	**Dept Mean**	**All College**
For this course, I expect to receive a grade of: A B C D F	23 76.7%	7 23.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.77	4.77	4.80
STUDENT SELF EVAL 3 Average	76.7%	23.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.77	4.77	4.80

Comments

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you enjoyed most and why.	
	Relating class work with real life
	The delivery of the course was overall excellent from the notes, to the examples, case studies and references, as well as the group work.
	All Aspect
	All deliveries
	All
	Case studies
	Timely feedback
	Classroom Interaction
	Practice questions
	The way the lecturer communicates with class
	His understanding of the content and examples given and mode of teaching made it easy to understand
	Case Studies Examples Well captured concept
	All
	The delivery of the subject is excellent

	The thought provoking academic discourse to bring the theories into practice
	Very practical
	everything
	Analysis and Interpretation of financial statement
	everything
	I enjoyed all PointPoint lectures; explanation of financial statements; case studies and group work. The lectures were great because lecturer is very interesting and he simplified explanations enough for all to understand and be able to apply in critical business advisory settings.
	Good class
	N/A
	Practical application
	Their real life experience weaved into the class content to make it practical as well as interesting.
	Group work
	Great examples
	I liked the examples worked-out in class
	all
	relevant example
	Basic calculation on specific areas of accounting
Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you didn't like and why	None
	He should allow students to participate more
	None
	N/A
	Group work , it gave unfair advantage to those who worked hard an those who did not
	None
	N/a
	N/A
	Assignment provided covered a wide areas. Specific topics can be selected for assignments and if need be broken down as individual assignment
	NA
	None
	N/A
	none
	None
	None
	None
	none
	None.
	None
	None
	Historical aspect of accounting
	N/A
	Generally all was well.

	There was a lot of writing for term papers. I realized my weakness in writing papers fast enough.
	None
	none
	None
	None
	strictness
Your lecturer would also like to know what specific things you believe might be done to improve his/her teaching in this course.	NA
	More practical examples
	more case studies
	None
	Real life practical examples from industry
	None
	N/A
	N/A
	None
	none
	.
	None
	None
	More practice on various company accounts
	None
	All is well
	more practice questions
	Good so far
	none
	N/A
	lecture approach
	None
	He was Excellent
	None comes to mind. The professors delivered the course very well.
	None
	More focus on current developments in accounting and financial management
	Maintain standard
	The course was well organized. Cant think of a better way
	All was well as is. Perhaps, the need to try and learn all the students names along with their faces so that the attendance list is more useful and respected.
	None
Comment on your evaluation of the lecturers the feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams	Excellent
	Good
	Meaningful
	The lecturer provided the best feedback on assignments (among all lecturers) as he returned scripts on time and provided direction and examples for improvement.

Explain your evaluation of the course text.	None
	great
	He gave feedback to the assignments and class work
	good , transparent
	Great
	Was great, for example the comments were correcting and teaching
	was well done
	Good
	Very good
	N/A
	Assessment well presented and explained
	Appropriate
	great
	Great
	Very good
	None
	Feedback was good and timely
	The feedback was very insightful.
	Good
	Excellent and worth being emulated by the rest
	satisfactory
	Good
	Great
	Excellent
	He gave back the feedback on time
	great
	Very good course
	Good
	N/A
	Appropriate
	.
	None
	Good
	Good
	Good
	Minimal reference was made to the course text
	The course text was very good
	Being my area of specialization, it was an easy flow
	Good
	It was good.
	None
	satisfactory
	Excellent
	useful course text
	excellent

What other materials or resources, if any, should be added to support your learning?	The course text were adequate and enhanced my learning
	Was good enough to inform and prepare students for field expectations as well the next semester.
	very impact-full and practical
	excellent
	relevant
	Great and we should continue
	N/a
	Its an excellent course especially for those students who do not have an accounting background.
	The course texts were available and straightforward. Many other relevant texts were available in the library.
	Excellent
	Very relevant.
	None
	inviting lecturers
	practice questions
	N/A
	None that I can think of
	None
	More case studies
	None
	None
	case studies
	None
	The time is limited, the best option is to encourage a lot of individual learning via the internet.
	Nothing could be added. I had more than enough
	more library online materials
	None
	N/A
	More case studies to be discussed.
	None.
	N/A
	Increase the time to access the library
	None
	None
	online access
	It is enought
	adequate
	none
	listening works
	N/A
	Case studies
	None.
My overall evaluation of the lecturer is.	very experienced and great- excellent
	Excellent
	Good
	Good

Excellent
Both Dr. Achoki and Dr. Kalunda were excellent.
Good
Excellent
Excellen
Excellent
Excellent
Good
Excellent
He is very competent and knows how to deliver the subject matter to the student.
Excellent
Good
excellent
Excellent
Excellent
great
Excellent
Quite good, transparent
Excellent
great
Excellent
Excellent. Lecturer is very good at delivery of this course and made it interesting and easy to comprehend. Lecturer delivered the course in a manner that made non-accounting professionals to understand and embrace the subject easily.
Clear communication, excellent knowledge of the content which was good and enabled understanding
Excellent
Excellent
N/A

Section Report: CONFLICT RESOLUTION & PEACE BUILDING (IRL7019 (DC18)) Section: A, Instructor: Ali, Fatuma   Summer Semester 2019 (DOC)

COURSE EVALUATION	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Below Average	Poor	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
The lecturer clearly communicated the learning outcomes.	15 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	15 100.0%	5.00	4.42	4.60
The course activities were related to the learning outcomes.	14 93.3%	1 6.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	15 100.0%	4.93	4.47	4.60
The lecturer was well prepared for class.	14 93.3%	1 6.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	15 100.0%	4.93	4.47	4.60
The lecturer used a variety of teaching methods.	14 93.3%	1 6.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	15 100.0%	4.93	4.26	4.55
The lecturer demonstrated thorough knowledge of the subject.	15 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	15 100.0%	5.00	4.47	4.63
The lecturer was open to diverse view points and opinions.	14 93.3%	1 6.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	15 100.0%	4.93	4.53	4.55
The lecturer explained clearly how students would be evaluated.	15 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	15 100.0%	5.00	4.49	4.58
The lecturer was readily available for consultation with the students during stated hours or by appointment.	13 86.7%	2 13.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	15 100.0%	4.87	4.51	4.56
Assessments were adequate and relevant to the course learning outcomes.	14 93.3%	0 0.0%	1 6.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	15 100.0%	4.87	4.40	4.58
The Lecturer made meaningful feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams.	15 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	15 100.0%	5.00	4.44	4.47
The lecturer came to class on time and finished on time.	12 80.0%	3 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	15 100.0%	4.80	4.58	4.58
The lecturer made adequate & appropriate use of the course text.	13 86.7%	2 13.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	15 100.0%	4.87	4.35	4.46
My rating of the course text for this course is.	13 86.7%	2 13.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	15 100.0%	4.87	4.23	4.43
The lecturer attended all the class sessions.	14 93.3%	1 6.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	15 100.0%	4.93	4.51	4.59
The faculty administered the mid-semester evaluation and discussed the results in class.	13 86.7%	1 6.7%	0 0.0%	1 6.7%	0 0.0%	15 100.0%	4.73	4.14	4.40
The course materials availed on Blackboard enhanced my learning.	15 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	15 100.0%	5.00	4.49	4.56
COURSE EVALUATION Average	92.9%	6.2%	0.4%	0.4%	0.0%	100.0%	4.92	4.42	4.55

STUDENT SELF EVALUATION	Always	Most of the Times	Sometimes	Rarely	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
I attended classes	13 86.7%	2 13.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	15 100.0%	3.87	3.70	3.71

						Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
I was punctual for this class	15 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%			15 100.0%	4.00	3.91	3.78
I completed and submitted my assignments in time	13 86.7%	2 13.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%			15 100.0%	3.87	3.88	3.86
I participated in classroom discussions and other activities	14 93.3%	1 6.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%			15 100.0%	3.93	3.95	3.79
STUDENT SELF EVALUATION Average	91.7%	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%			100.0%	3.92	3.86	3.79

STUDENT SELF EVAL 2	15+ Hours	12-14 Hours	9-11 Hours	7-8 Hours	4-6 Hours	1-3 Hours	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
How much time outside class did you spend on assignment or reading for this course per week?	8 53.3%	5 33.3%	1 6.7%	1 6.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	15 100.0%	4.33	4.30	3.86
STUDENT SELF EVAL 2 Average	53.3%	33.3%	6.7%	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.33	4.30	3.86

STUDENT SELF EVAL 3	A	B	C	D	F	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
For this course, I expect to receive a grade of: A B C D F	15 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	15 100.0%	5.00	4.88	4.80
STUDENT SELF EVAL 3 Average	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	5.00	4.88	4.80

Comments

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you enjoyed most and why.	the presentations on Lederach
	Class discussions
	All
	Quite engaging and very informative
	The movie
	Well guided class discussions, timely feedbacks and very good rapport with students
	The group discussions that provided divergent and relevant opinions on the same.
	goog
	Discussions, films, presentations,
	Both hall lecture including movie presentation and guest speaker sessions.
	Lecturer’s discussion, presentation and the guiding advices on the teaching
	The first two weeks were very informative and comprehensive and has been foundational. It helped me understand the subject and get interested in specific areas that form my research interests
	The presentations in class
	variety of teaching methods, lectures, movies, clips, professional guests, presentations all this brought out diversity and interesting learning
	-Class discussions which opened students to further reading and relevant authorities in the subject

	-An open discussion allowing students to interrogate issues critically
Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you didn't like and why	All went well
	Pretty much none. PhD seminars depict high scholarly expertise
	N/A.
	good
	N/A
	Class discussion, Lecturer's wrap up and assignments
	Seminars were good but the lecturer did not challenge weak arguments and misleading information. Although the setup was good but the instantaneous feedback was lacking
	I liked everything.
	I was satisfied with the way the class was delivered
	Management of time
	i was happy with everything.
	the bullying by Cohort of 2017
	none
	None
	I liked all her lectures.
Your lecturer would also like to know what specific things you believe might be done to improve his/her teaching in this course.	A small number of enrollment would allow more personal interactions between students and lecturer
	to ensure that the class is not dominated by older students
	It was quite engaging
	Maybe time the presentations so as to train students to be more precise and straight to the point in their presentations.
	Not applicable
	happy with everything
	Visits
	NA
	The lecturers delivery was excellent.
	More guest lectures.
	so far so good
	gooed
	Management of class time
	1) Give instantaneous feedback and evaluation of seminars presentations
	N/A
Comment on your evaluation of the lecturers the feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams	All were good apart from the on the spot evaluation of seminar presentations that were not done
	good
	Very good
	Timely marking of assignments followed by one on one advice.
	Thorough evaluation guiding students on necessary adjustments to improve perfomance
	this was great. learnt a lot
	it was very fair
	very relevant

	The feedback given was satisfactory.
	Excellent
	Feedback was timely and constructive and enabled us to improve.
	Lecturer provided the feedback on regular basis and returned the quiz, exam and assignment with comments after one week when students submitted
	It was good
	Sufficient
	she gives individual feedback in good time allowing one to improve on the weak areas
Explain your evaluation of the course text.	Relevant and diverse
	good
	Very nice enjoyed it
	very good
	Excellent
	The Course text was relevant in offering generic information and understanding of the subject matter but need to be accompanied by contemporary resources that are relevant to Africa and timely in a way that reflects current trends in Peacebuilding and Conflict Resolution
	The course texts were adequate.
	Well thought out
	Sufficient
	Outstanding
	Well thought out.
	Excellent selection of course text.
	it is a very educative course, the outcome goes beyond class and helps explain variety of world issues today
	Excellent course. It introduced me realities of conflict resolution and peace building training which can be applied currently anywhere particularly with emergence of counter violent extremism.
	the Journals were easy to read and straight forward
What other materials or resources, if any, should be added to support your learning?	Was satisfied with material given
	Visting lectures and expert talks should have enriched our learning experience
	More movies showing element of conflict resolution processes.
	N/a
	None
	NA
	N/A
	External tours
	so far so good
	More African Scholarship
	n/a
	good
	More videos (though time would be a challenge). Field trips.
	what the lecturer gave was a lot. personally i have been reading some of the journals over the holiday.
	guest speakers
My overall evaluation of the lecturer is.	The lecturer is excellent. Excellent command of the content, excellent delivery of the content.
	Well versed with the topic and was quite enriching on the subject
	Excellent
	Articulate and well grounded onthe subject matter

Excellent.
very good
Excellent
excellent
The class was too large and other previous cohort were bullying the 2018 Cohort this was very unprofessional
Excellent
Excellent, as usual. Dr Fatma demonstrated a clear grasp of the topic and made the class very animated and involved in her lecturing.
Excellent
Excellent, approachable, knowledgeable and supportive
She delivered the course expertly.
gives clear instructions, always willing to help and give academic support, she explains until everything is clear, gives a lot of additional reading materials making is easy to understand ares that may be unclear. she is an excellent instructor and knowledge builder.

Section Report: DISSERTATION (PSY7735 (DC18)) Section: A, Instructor: Arasa, Josephine   Summer Semester 2019 (DOC)

COURSE EVALUATION	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Below Average	Poor	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
The lecturer clearly communicated the learning outcomes.	1 25.0%	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	3.75	4.52	4.60
The course activities were related to the learning outcomes.	1 25.0%	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	3.75	4.57	4.60
The lecturer was well prepared for class.	1 25.0%	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	3.75	4.55	4.60
The lecturer used a variety of teaching methods.	1 25.0%	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	3.75	4.58	4.55
The lecturer demonstrated thorough knowledge of the subject.	1 25.0%	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	3.75	4.61	4.63
The lecturer was open to diverse view points and opinions.	1 25.0%	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	3.75	4.51	4.55
The lecturer explained clearly how students would be evaluated.	1 25.0%	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	3.75	4.54	4.58
The lecturer was readily available for consultation with the students during stated hours or by appointment.	1 25.0%	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	3.75	4.49	4.56
Assessments were adequate and relevant to the course learning outcomes.	1 25.0%	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	3.75	4.60	4.58
The Lecturer made meaningful feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams.	1 25.0%	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	3.75	4.42	4.47
The lecturer came to class on time and finished on time.	1 25.0%	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	3.75	4.54	4.58
The lecturer made adequate & appropriate use of the course text.	1 25.0%	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	3.75	4.40	4.46
My rating of the course text for this course is.	1 25.0%	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	3.75	4.40	4.43
The lecturer attended all the class sessions.	1 25.0%	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	3.75	4.61	4.59
The faculty administered the mid-semester evaluation and discussed the results in class.	1 25.0%	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	3.75	4.45	4.40
The course materials availed on Blackboard enhanced my learning.	1 25.0%	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	3.75	4.43	4.56
COURSE EVALUATION Average	25.0%	25.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	3.75	4.51	4.55

STUDENT SELF EVALUATION	Always	Most of the Times	Sometimes	Rarely	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
I attended classes	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	1 25.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	3.00	3.63	3.71
I was punctual for this class	1	2	1	0	4	3.00	3.69	3.78

	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	0.0%	100.0%			
I completed and submitted my assignments in time	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	1 25.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	3.00	3.87	3.86
I participated in classroom discussions and other activities	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	1 25.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	3.00	3.87	3.79
STUDENT SELF EVALUATION Average	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	0.0%	100.0%	3.00	3.77	3.79

STUDENT SELF EVAL 2	**15+ Hours**	**12-14 Hours**	**9-11 Hours**	**7-8 Hours**	**4 -6 Hours**	**1-3 Hours**	**Total**	**Mean**	**Dept Mean**	**All College**
How much time outside class did you spend on assignment or reading for this course per week?	0 0.0%	1 25.0%	3 75.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	3.25	3.70	3.86
STUDENT SELF EVAL 2 Average	0.0%	25.0%	75.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	3.25	3.70	3.86

STUDENT SELF EVAL 3	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**F**	**Total**	**Mean**	**Dept Mean**	**All College**
For this course, I expect to receive a grade of: A B C D F	2 50.0%	2 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	4.50	4.76	4.80
STUDENT SELF EVAL 3 Average	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.50	4.76	4.80

Comments

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you enjoyed most and why.	all
	na
	all
	N/A
Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you didn't like and why	none
	none
	na
	N/A
Your lecturer would also like to know what specific things you believe might be done to improve his/her teaching in this course.	none
	na
	N/A
	none
Comment on your evaluation of the lecturers the feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams	N/A

	there are no assignments
	good
	na
Explain your evaluation of the course text.	good
	N/A
	na
	good
What other materials or resources, if any, should be added to support your learning?	none
	N/A
	na
	none
My overall evaluation of the lecturer is.	na
	N/A
	excellent
	ok

Section Report: MEMORY & COGNITION (PSY7736 (DC18)) Section: A, Instructor: Brown, Dana
Summer Semester 2019 (DOC)

COURSE EVALUATION	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Below Average	Poor	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
The lecturer clearly communicated the learning outcomes.	11 78.6%	2 14.3%	1 7.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	14 100.0%	4.71	4.52	4.60
The course activities were related to the learning outcomes.	11 78.6%	2 14.3%	1 7.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	14 100.0%	4.71	4.57	4.60
The lecturer was well prepared for class.	11 78.6%	2 14.3%	1 7.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	14 100.0%	4.71	4.55	4.60
The lecturer used a variety of teaching methods.	11 78.6%	3 21.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	14 100.0%	4.79	4.58	4.55
The lecturer demonstrated thorough knowledge of the subject.	11 78.6%	3 21.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	14 100.0%	4.79	4.61	4.63
The lecturer was open to diverse view points and opinions.	10 71.4%	4 28.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	14 100.0%	4.71	4.51	4.55
The lecturer explained clearly how students would be evaluated.	11 78.6%	3 21.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	14 100.0%	4.79	4.54	4.58
The lecturer was readily available for consultation with the students during stated hours or by appointment.	10 71.4%	3 21.4%	1 7.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	14 100.0%	4.64	4.49	4.56
Assessments were adequate and relevant to the course learning outcomes.	11 78.6%	2 14.3%	0 0.0%	1 7.1%	0 0.0%	14 100.0%	4.64	4.60	4.58
The Lecturer made meaningful feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams.	11 78.6%	3 21.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	14 100.0%	4.79	4.42	4.47
The lecturer came to class on time and finished on time.	10 71.4%	4 28.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	14 100.0%	4.71	4.54	4.58
The lecturer made adequate & appropriate use of the course text.	10 71.4%	4 28.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	14 100.0%	4.71	4.40	4.46
My rating of the course text for this course is.	11 78.6%	3 21.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	14 100.0%	4.79	4.40	4.43
The lecturer attended all the class sessions.	12 85.7%	2 14.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	14 100.0%	4.86	4.61	4.59
The faculty administered the mid-semester evaluation and discussed the results in class.	12 85.7%	2 14.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	14 100.0%	4.86	4.45	4.40
The course materials availed on Blackboard enhanced my learning.	11 78.6%	2 14.3%	1 7.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	14 100.0%	4.71	4.43	4.56
COURSE EVALUATION Average	77.7%	19.6%	2.2%	0.4%	0.0%	100.0%	4.75	4.51	4.55

STUDENT SELF EVALUATION	Always	Most of the Times	Sometimes	Rarely	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
I attended classes	7 50.0%	7 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	14 100.0%	3.50	3.63	3.71
I was punctual for this class	11	3	0	0	14	3.79	3.69	3.78

		78.6%	21.4%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%			
I completed and submitted my assignments in time		14 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	14 100.0%	4.00	3.87	3.86
I participated in classroom discussions and other activities		13 92.9%	1 7.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	14 100.0%	3.93	3.87	3.79
STUDENT SELF EVALUATION Average		80.4%	19.6%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	3.81	3.77	3.79

STUDENT SELF EVAL 2	15+ Hours	12-14 Hours	9-11 Hours	7-8 Hours	4-6 Hours	1-3 Hours	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
How much time outside class did you spend on assignment or reading for this course per week?	5 35.7%	4 28.6%	2 14.3%	2 14.3%	1 7.1%	0 0.0%	14 100.0%	3.71	3.70	3.86
STUDENT SELF EVAL 2 Average	35.7%	28.6%	14.3%	14.3%	7.1%	0.0%	100.0%	3.71	3.70	3.86

STUDENT SELF EVAL 3	A	B	C	D	F	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
For this course, I expect to receive a grade of: A B C D F	10 71.4%	4 28.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	14 100.0%	4.71	4.76	4.80
STUDENT SELF EVAL 3 Average	71.4%	28.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.71	4.76	4.80

Comments

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you enjoyed most and why.	
	HER LECTURES WERE EXTREMELY INFORMATIVE AND VERY WELL THOUGHT THROUGH! THOROUGH!
	Her teaching style and how she explained the experiments.
	discussions an videos
	Class videos and journal presentations
	class presentations and handouts, she taught us during her third trimester.
	the assignments for presentations, (journal articles review), extra learning materials, relevant journals that complimented course texts.
	The use of journals and the class presentations. They were very relevant
	Feedback that is specific and prompt (oral and written) Professionalism when suggestions, concerns etc are raised. Varied methods of presenting content.
	the videos
	ALL
	N/A
	The new knowledge taught.
	Mastery of the content

	watching videos and expalining experiments
Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you didn't like and why	none
	NOTHING! ALL WAS EXCELLENT
	None.
	Nil
	None
	N/A
	too much recall exams not application
	nothing
	The format of the EXAM setting. Most of the questions were recall questions
	Over-use of long videos.
	None at all
	N/A
	setting questions that required recall
	None
Your lecturer would also like to know what specific things you believe might be done to improve his/her teaching in this course.	LAB
	n/a
	None
	None.
	more practical
	so far so good
	N/A
	NOTHING ALL WAS EXCELLENT
	The format of the EXAM setting
	None
	Nothing so far.
	none
	All is great right now.
	nothing
Comment on your evaluation of the lecturers the feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams	excellent
	very good
	Excellent and timely
	EXCELLENT
	Assignments and quizzes were perfect.
	good
	EXCELLENT, CLEAR AND HELPFUL
	Feedback was timely and adequate
	Great
	Excellent.
	Very informative

Explain your evaluation of the course text.	Great
	good
	Would be good if this course came before the cognitve assessments rather than after
	Satisfactory.
	Good and informative
	Enough resources are available in the Library
	Good
	very current and good
	BRILLIANT
	good i loved the book
	GOOD
	well utilised.
	okay
	good
	Comprehensive and easy to understand
	Good read
	Good
What other materials or resources, if any, should be added to support your learning?	None
	none come to mind
	i dont know
	nothing
	Nil so far.
	N/A
	None.
	What is available is adequate
	This unit is well covered
	None
	n/a
	NOTHING
	none
	LAB
My overall evaluation of the lecturer is.	Excellent Class
	Great, knowledgeable and wide read.
	An excellent lecturer with lots of knowledge and experience
	She knows her stuff
	Excellent
	Excellent
	DR. DANA IS AN AMAZING, KNOWLEDGEABLE AND VERY COMPETENT TEACHER. EXCELLENCE DEFINES HER
	EXCELLENT
	Very good
	very informative
	Excellent.
	Excellent
	Very Good
	Good

Section Report: ENTREPRENEURSHIP (DBA7020 (DC18)) Section: A, Instructor: Kamau, Joseph
Summer Semester 2019 (DOC)

COURSE EVALUATION	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Below Average	Poor	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
The lecturer clearly communicated the learning outcomes.	24 80.0%	5 16.7%	1 3.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.77	4.76	4.60
The course activities were related to the learning outcomes.	25 83.3%	4 13.3%	1 3.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.80	4.69	4.60
The lecturer was well prepared for class.	24 80.0%	5 16.7%	1 3.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.77	4.72	4.60
The lecturer used a variety of teaching methods.	23 76.7%	6 20.0%	1 3.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.73	4.70	4.55
The lecturer demonstrated thorough knowledge of the subject.	24 80.0%	5 16.7%	1 3.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.77	4.76	4.63
The lecturer was open to diverse view points and opinions.	17 56.7%	9 30.0%	4 13.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.43	4.60	4.55
The lecturer explained clearly how students would be evaluated.	20 66.7%	7 23.3%	3 10.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.57	4.63	4.58
The lecturer was readily available for consultation with the students during stated hours or by appointment.	20 66.7%	9 30.0%	1 3.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.63	4.64	4.56
Assessments were adequate and relevant to the course learning outcomes.	22 73.3%	6 20.0%	2 6.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.67	4.69	4.58
The Lecturer made meaningful feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams.	15 50.0%	9 30.0%	6 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.30	4.48	4.47
The lecturer came to class on time and finished on time.	17 56.7%	10 33.3%	3 10.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.47	4.60	4.58
The lecturer made adequate & appropriate use of the course text.	17 56.7%	8 26.7%	5 16.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.40	4.49	4.46
My rating of the course text for this course is.	17 56.7%	10 33.3%	3 10.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.47	4.55	4.43
The lecturer attended all the class sessions.	23 76.7%	4 13.3%	3 10.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.67	4.67	4.59
The faculty administered the mid-semester evaluation and discussed the results in class.	16 53.3%	6 20.0%	8 26.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.27	4.43	4.40
The course materials availed on Blackboard enhanced my learning.	24 80.0%	3 10.0%	3 10.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.70	4.69	4.56
COURSE EVALUATION Average	68.3%	22.1%	9.6%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.59	4.63	4.55

STUDENT SELF EVALUATION	Always	Most of the Times	Sometimes	Rarely	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
I attended classes	26 86.7%	4 13.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	3.87	3.79	3.71
I was punctual for this class	28	2	0	0	30	3.93	3.82	3.78

	93.3%	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%			
I completed and submitted my assignments in time	29 96.7%	1 3.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	3.97	3.87	3.86
I participated in classroom discussions and other activities	23 76.7%	4 13.3%	3 10.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	3.67	3.67	3.79
STUDENT SELF EVALUATION Average	88.3%	9.2%	2.5%	0.0%	100.0%	3.86	3.79	3.79

STUDENT SELF EVAL 2	**15+ Hours**	**12-14 Hours**	**9-11 Hours**	**7-8 Hours**	**4 -6 Hours**	**1-3 Hours**	**Total**	**Mean**	**Dept Mean**	**All College**
How much time outside class did you spend on assignment or reading for this course per week?	13 43.3%	4 13.3%	5 16.7%	4 13.3%	3 10.0%	1 3.3%	30 100.0%	3.57	3.74	3.86
STUDENT SELF EVAL 2 Average	43.3%	13.3%	16.7%	13.3%	10.0%	3.3%	100.0%	3.57	3.74	3.86

STUDENT SELF EVAL 3	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**F**	**Total**	**Mean**	**Dept Mean**	**All College**
For this course, I expect to receive a grade of: A B C D F	25 83.3%	5 16.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.83	4.85	4.80
STUDENT SELF EVAL 3 Average	83.3%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.83	4.85	4.80

Comments

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you enjoyed most and why.	
	class discussion
	The relating of real life experiences to class content to allow for a practical aspect of what is being lectured.
	Live practical cases were discussed in class
	all
	Relating class teaching to real life
	His experiential teachings
	Teaching and communicating content
	Teaching Style
	Examples Case Studies
	the concepts of entrepreneur and how to do start up successfully
	Every part of the course was enjoyable
	N/A
	the group discussions and presentations as well as the critiques
	the practical application of the course
	practical applications; challenges he posed to us as leaders to leverage entrepreneurship to make a positive difference at the corporate level and in the society

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you didn't like and why	The powerpoint presentations were excellent and the explanations were current and applicable.
	PRACTICAL EXAMPLES
	The delivery of the course was overall excellent from the notes, to the examples, case studies and references.
	n/a
	Casual Vs effectual Logic
	The diverse journals and discussions he brought to class
	Excellent lectures
	Outstanding delivery of lectures; great case studies; very good paper critiques and guidelines; very good power points
	Exccellent
	Everything
	His passion
	All topics
	All
	The readings
	The use of practical examples
	None
	None
	none
	.
	Given the very short time he had with us, all went very well. I just didn't like the fact that somehow the time was so limited.
	The power point presentations/notes needed to be sent earlier before class
	Needs to allow for more participation
	The lecturer should allow students to share their personal experiences in entrepreneurship.
	N/A
	RESULTS NOT FULLY DISCUSSED IN CLASS
	None
	Please allocate more time for this class
	None
	none
	none
	None. He was excellent
	N/A
	None
	N/A
	??????
	None
	N/A
	None
	None.
	None
	Response to questions
	n/a
	the emphasis and strictness on assignment submission
	NA

Your lecturer would also like to know what specific things you believe might be done to improve his/her teaching in this course.	None
	allocating enough time for the course
	More time needs to be allocated to the course
	Better arrangement for the class timelines if combined with another course to avoid rushing through the course
	.
	None
	NA
	He should bring and well-known entrepreneur to show the students how to succeed in entrepreneurship.
	Allow some participation
	none
	allocate more time for Entrepreneurship
	The teaching was very good.
	None
	None
	more class discussions
	None
	n/a
	There need for more time for the Entrepreneurship course.
	flexibility
	Maintain
	N/A
	More group work assignments
	His response to questions
	None
	Incorporate Entrepreneur Guest Speakers
	N/A
	So far, all that was done is commendable.
	Easy on students
	None
	inviting entreprenuers themselves
	allocate more time
Comment on your evaluation of the lecturers the feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams	Fair
	Excellent
	relevant
	Good
	HE IS A VERY GOOD LECTURER
	n/a
	Fine with me.
	Good
	N/A
	None
	for marketing, we had no open feedback

Explain your evaluation of the course text.	N/A
	We did not get any feedback during the semester.
	Great
	The guidance and feedback was very useful.
	Good
	Feedback was okay but it was only by way of explanation. Assignments should have been returned with specific comments
	None. However, provided good preparation for assignments and main exam
	Excellent
	assignments were given , done on time but no feed back has been given
	Excellent
	great, relevant
	Had not received feedback by the end of the semester
	Good
	Good
	Good
	.
	satisfactory
	None
	Great feedback
	Good
	Great
	He has good mastery of the subject
	Very insightful and unbelievably practical.
	Great
	Texts are relevant and practical
	N/A
	a good course
	Good
	Good
	n/a
	Good
	The course text was good
	Adequate and enhanced my learning
	Satisfactory
	Good
	He was well versed with his material
	It is a very important course and very applicable.
	Good
	Excellent
	great
	Both useful and relevant.
	NOne
	The course text is good.
	Minimal reference was made to the course text
	very good

What other materials or resources, if any, should be added to support your learning?	Good
	It was good
	very practical and interesting
	None
	video
	Time allocation
	inviting industry practitioners
	None
	visual audios
	.
	audio works
	The lecturer can bring in more case studies.
	Adequate
	N/A
	online material that we can access individually
	More case studies
	So far so good
	More Resource materials
	Allow doctorate students to access the library for more hours
	availability of more articles and books on entrepreneurship
	None
	n/a
	More case studies
	Materials were adequate for the available time
	N/A
	The resources availed were quite adequate.
	None
	None
	None
	N/A
	N/a
	Methodology is fine, ONLY that the time is limited.
	The course text was well backed by other books in the library and E-Resources
	None
My overall evaluation of the lecturer is.	Excellent
	good
	Well prepared for the face to face lessons, relevant content, excellent communication of the content
	Good
	Excellent
	N/A
	great
	Quite Good
	Good
	Excellent
	Excellent

Satifactory
Excellent
Super charged, innovative , experienced at his game and very spot on.
This was the best class ever
Good
Excellent
EXCELLENT
Excellent
Excellent course and excellent lecturing techniques and methods. I enjoyed the course and really learned a lot. A must do course. Keep it up.
The time allocated for the course was too minimal forcing the lecturer to shortened his lesson. the lecturer did not give feed back on assignments
Dr. Kamau was excellent.
Very good
Good
Good
The best in Entrepreneurship
Good
Great lecturer
He is very competent and knows how to deliver the subject matter.
Very good

Section Report: QUALITATIVE RESEARCH METHODS AND ANALYSIS (PSY7727 (DC18)) Section: A, Instructor: Kimotho, Stephen   Summer Semester 2019 (DOC)

COURSE EVALUATION	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Below Average	Poor	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
The lecturer clearly communicated the learning outcomes.	12 92.3%	1 7.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	13 100.0%	4.92	4.52	4.60
The course activities were related to the learning outcomes.	11 84.6%	2 15.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	13 100.0%	4.85	4.57	4.60
The lecturer was well prepared for class.	11 84.6%	2 15.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	13 100.0%	4.85	4.55	4.60
The lecturer used a variety of teaching methods.	12 92.3%	1 7.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	13 100.0%	4.92	4.58	4.55
The lecturer demonstrated thorough knowledge of the subject.	12 92.3%	1 7.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	13 100.0%	4.92	4.61	4.63
The lecturer was open to diverse view points and opinions.	12 92.3%	1 7.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	13 100.0%	4.92	4.51	4.55
The lecturer explained clearly how students would be evaluated.	11 84.6%	2 15.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	13 100.0%	4.85	4.54	4.58
The lecturer was readily available for consultation with the students during stated hours or by appointment.	11 84.6%	2 15.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	13 100.0%	4.85	4.49	4.56
Assessments were adequate and relevant to the course learning outcomes.	12 92.3%	1 7.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	13 100.0%	4.92	4.60	4.58
The Lecturer made meaningful feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams.	12 92.3%	1 7.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	13 100.0%	4.92	4.42	4.47
The lecturer came to class on time and finished on time.	12 92.3%	1 7.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	13 100.0%	4.92	4.54	4.58
The lecturer made adequate & appropriate use of the course text.	8 61.5%	4 30.8%	1 7.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	13 100.0%	4.54	4.40	4.46
My rating of the course text for this course is.	11 84.6%	0 0.0%	1 7.7%	0 0.0%	1 7.7%	13 100.0%	4.54	4.40	4.43
The lecturer attended all the class sessions.	12 92.3%	1 7.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	13 100.0%	4.92	4.61	4.59
The faculty administered the mid-semester evaluation and discussed the results in class.	11 84.6%	2 15.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	13 100.0%	4.85	4.45	4.40
The course materials availed on Blackboard enhanced my learning.	12 92.3%	1 7.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	13 100.0%	4.92	4.43	4.56
COURSE EVALUATION Average	87.5%	11.1%	1.0%	0.0%	0.5%	100.0%	4.85	4.51	4.55

STUDENT SELF EVALUATION	Always	Most of the Times	Sometimes	Rarely	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
I attended classes	10 76.9%	3 23.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	13 100.0%	3.77	3.63	3.71

I was punctual for this class	11 84.6%	2 15.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	13 100.0%	3.85	3.69	3.78	
I completed and submitted my assignments in time	13 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	13 100.0%	4.00	3.87	3.86	
I participated in classroom discussions and other activities	13 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	13 100.0%	4.00	3.87	3.79	
STUDENT SELF EVALUATION Average	90.4%	9.6%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	3.91	3.77	3.79	

STUDENT SELF EVAL 2	**15+ Hours**	**12-14 Hours**	**9-11 Hours**	**7-8 Hours**	**4 -6 Hours**	**1-3 Hours**	**Total**	**Mean**	**Dept Mean**	**All College**
How much time outside class did you spend on assignment or reading for this course per week?	7 53.8%	2 15.4%	3 23.1%	1 7.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	13 100.0%	4.15	3.70	3.86
STUDENT SELF EVAL 2 Average	53.8%	15.4%	23.1%	7.7%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.15	3.70	3.86

STUDENT SELF EVAL 3	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**F**	**Total**	**Mean**	**Dept Mean**	**All College**
For this course, I expect to receive a grade of: A B C D F	11 84.6%	2 15.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	13 100.0%	4.85	4.76	4.80
STUDENT SELF EVAL 3 Average	84.6%	15.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.85	4.76	4.80

Comments

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you enjoyed most and why.	
	Nothing so far.
	Everything
	Introduction to qualitative approach of research.
	preparedness patience inviting guests and experts in the unit to reinforce our understanding. varied method of presenting content instilling hope. encouraging and challenging students to do more available for appointments
	INSTRUCTION
	Classroom research and discussions
	Immediate feedback during class presentation
	N/A
	Mastery of qualitative methodology and Meta synthesis approach
	the discussions and powerpoints. the generousity with is knowledge
	His ability to break down qualitative research. Relevant articles, well researched and the practical lessons we had.
	everything

	practical examples he used and journal references
Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you didn't like and why	None.
	Nothing all was good
	n/a
	Nil
	nothing
	N/A
	LECTURE WAS A BIT TO LONG WITH THE THEORY
	It was very heavy
	none
	None at all
	None
	very wide syllabus should be split into two semesters
	None come to mind
Your lecturer would also like to know what specific things you believe might be done to improve his/her teaching in this course.	Avail course text books
	none
	Nothing
	Videos on qualitative research
	None
	n/a
	So far so good
	BRING OTHER RESEARCHERS THAT HELPED US DO PRACTICAL WORK
	None.
	the synthesis assigment needs to be more clear
	Everything was very well done. However if the meta-synthesis assignment can be brought in much earlier. a practical mock meta-synthesis so that by the time he is asking the students to do one, it is much easier. But his teaching style is excellent.
	N/A
	nothing
Comment on your evaluation of the lecturers the feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams	It was timely
	Satisfactory.
	Good
	good
	Feedback was timely and adequate
	good
	Swift response
	GREAT
	Great.
	Great

Explain your evaluation of the course text.	excellent
	Appropriate and timely.
	Excellent
	There was no course text
	there were many
	NONE WAS AVAILABLE
	good
	The specified course texts were not available. The library should look into this. But Dr. Kimotho sent materials on blackboard.
	Satisfactory.
	n/a
	Excellent resource
	Informative
	Excellent
	We did not have a course text
	good
	GREAT
What other materials or resources, if any, should be added to support your learning?	none
	available textbooks for the unit.
	Videos
	Nothing so far so good
	Course text
	Nothing so far.
	A gualitvative nterview in vivo
	nothing
	A variety of text books
	Course texts availed in the library.
	None.
	ALL WAS GOOD
	None
My overall evaluation of the lecturer is.	Excellent.
	Excellent
	Great
	very good
	VERY KNOWLEDGEABLE AND WILLING TO HELP
	Dr. Kimotho is a great, knowledgeable and widely read lecturer. Brilliant in Research and brought a totally new perspective in Qualitative research. He easily demystified qualitative research. I would register for any unit he is teaching anytime. He is easy and readily available for consultation. Firm yet very helpful.
	Very competent in his work
	An excellent lecturer with lots of knowledge, skills and experience
	Excellent Lecturer
	/very good
	Excellent
	very good competent in his area of teaching
	Excellent

Section Report: LEADERSHIP (DBA7050 (DC18)) Section: A, Instructor: Koshal, Jeremiah Summer Semester 2019 (DOC)

COURSE EVALUATION	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Below Average	Poor	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
The lecturer clearly communicated the learning outcomes.	26 86.7%	4 13.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.87	4.76	4.60
The course activities were related to the learning outcomes.	22 73.3%	6 20.0%	2 6.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.67	4.69	4.60
The lecturer was well prepared for class.	24 80.0%	5 16.7%	1 3.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.77	4.72	4.60
The lecturer used a variety of teaching methods.	24 80.0%	5 16.7%	1 3.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.77	4.70	4.55
The lecturer demonstrated thorough knowledge of the subject.	25 83.3%	5 16.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.83	4.76	4.63
The lecturer was open to diverse view points and opinions.	24 80.0%	6 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.80	4.60	4.55
The lecturer explained clearly how students would be evaluated.	24 80.0%	5 16.7%	1 3.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.77	4.63	4.58
The lecturer was readily available for consultation with the students during stated hours or by appointment.	23 76.7%	6 20.0%	1 3.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.73	4.64	4.56
Assessments were adequate and relevant to the course learning outcomes.	24 80.0%	6 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.80	4.69	4.58
The Lecturer made meaningful feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams.	23 76.7%	5 16.7%	2 6.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.70	4.48	4.47
The lecturer came to class on time and finished on time.	23 76.7%	7 23.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.77	4.60	4.58
The lecturer made adequate & appropriate use of the course text.	21 70.0%	7 23.3%	2 6.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.63	4.49	4.46
My rating of the course text for this course is.	22 73.3%	6 20.0%	2 6.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.67	4.55	4.43
The lecturer attended all the class sessions.	24 80.0%	5 16.7%	1 3.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.77	4.67	4.59
The faculty administered the mid-semester evaluation and discussed the results in class.	20 66.7%	8 26.7%	2 6.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.60	4.43	4.40
The course materials availed on Blackboard enhanced my learning.	24 80.0%	5 16.7%	1 3.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.77	4.69	4.56
COURSE EVALUATION Average	77.7%	19.0%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.74	4.63	4.55

STUDENT SELF EVALUATION	Always	Most of the Times	Sometimes	Rarely	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
I attended classes	26 86.7%	4 13.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	3.87	3.79	3.71
I was punctual for this class	27	3	0	0	30	3.90	3.82	3.78

						Mean	Dept Mean	All College
	90.0%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%			
I completed and submitted my assignments in time	27 90.0%	3 10.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	3.90	3.87	3.86
I participated in classroom discussions and other activities	26 86.7%	3 10.0%	1 3.3%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	3.83	3.67	3.79
STUDENT SELF EVALUATION Average	88.3%	10.8%	0.8%	0.0%	100.0%	3.88	3.79	3.79

STUDENT SELF EVAL 2	15+ Hours	12-14 Hours	9-11 Hours	7-8 Hours	4 -6 Hours	1-3 Hours	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
How much time outside class did you spend on assignment or reading for this course per week?	12 40.0%	6 20.0%	6 20.0%	2 6.7%	4 13.3%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	3.67	3.74	3.86
STUDENT SELF EVAL 2 Average	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	6.7%	13.3%	0.0%	100.0%	3.67	3.74	3.86

STUDENT SELF EVAL 3	A	B	C	D	F	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
For this course, I expect to receive a grade of: A B C D F	27 90.0%	3 10.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.90	4.85	4.80
STUDENT SELF EVAL 3 Average	90.0%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.90	4.85	4.80

Comments

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you enjoyed most and why.	presentation skills
	None
	all
	everything
	Causal Vs Effectual Logic
	The group presentations
	n/a
	presentations
	Group discussions in the class
	Relating class knowledge to industry.
	Delivery of lectures was excellent. The Power Point slides were comprehensive. Lecturer is pleasant.
	Examples Case Studies
	Presentation
	The journal critiques that facilitated my learning
	All. Relevant to my area of concentration and challenges of leadership
	The delivery of the course was overall excellent from the notes, to the examples, case studies and references, as well as the group work.

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you didn't like and why	
	N/A
	Allowing feedback and engaging the classs, and giving constructive feedback for presentations
	Class engagement
	all aspect
	Teaching Style
	.
	The discussions
	I enjoyed the journal critiques and the critique by the class and the lecturer
	his class were practical
	The case studies
	Engaging lectures
	The theories relating to leadership
	All his delivery
	All
	NA
	N/A
	n/a
	None
	None
	N/A
	None
	none
	None
	N/A
	co-teaching
	None
	The lecture power points could be used more interactively utilized and with more innovativeness.
	N/A
	None
	none
	The writing of many term papers was quite a challenge. I realized writing papers is not one of my strong points
	None
	none
	None
	The lecturing related to the presentations as well as the case studies
	None
	none
	N/A
	None.
	Class presentations were allocated more time than practical aspects which involve analysis and discussions of case studies
	None
	None
	None
	The class interactions

Your lecturer would also like to know what specific things you believe might be done to improve his/her teaching in this course.	N/A
	More case studies Speak louder
	None
	The teaching was good for me.
	none
	Inviting guests
	None
	Better tie keeping for class presentations
	None
	N/A
	n/a
	The individual assignment on journal critiques led to a lot of learning. More time should be given to this.
	None
	More practical examples
	N/A
	The lecturer should bring industry leaders to speak to the students.
	NA
	none
	More case studies
	Leadership Guest speakers would improve quality of the content delivery
	None
	More of African context cases and review
	.
	more case
	evaluation of the co-professor by giving the student the liberty to make a choice
	Apart from the above, methodology is fine
	N/A
	more article journals
	Maintain
	None
Comment on your evaluation of the lecturers the feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams	Good
	None
	good. some feedback were given others were not given
	The feedback was relevant and on time
	great
	good
	Good
	Excellent
	N/A
	Good
	Great

Explain your evaluation of the course text.	Good
	Lecturer provided good guidance on assignments and exam.
	Fine and served the purpose
	None
	Good
	The feedback was very insightful.
	V.Good
	None
	Not received the written assignments as at the end of semester
	Feedback was good and prompt
	Appropriate
	Satisfactory
	satisfactory
	Excellent
	n/a
	The lecturer gave feedback
	Good
	gave feedback on some of the group work and assignments on time but not others
	Great
	Good and relevant to my academic and professional field
	Excellent
	Very practical and relevant to our career settings
	Excellent
	Good
	satisfactory
	good
	Course texts were available (on short loan) and very good. I learned a lot and enjoyed the course. Lecturer was very good in meshing both theory and practice.
	The course text was good
	Great
	Good
	Great
	Excellent
	Good
	Excellent
	It is a relevant course for DBA
	very good
	nla
	Very Good
	None
	None
	It was a good course text/
	n/a
	.
	Very relevant.
	Comprehensive. Still need to look through it.

What other materials or resources, if any, should be added to support your learning?	
	excellent
	Good
	N/A
	generally a insightful course
	None
	Materials were excellent and adequate for the time available
	N/A
	More videos of leaders in the industry and more role play.
	video and guest speaker
	Allow us to access the library for more hours
	Adequate
	N/a
	I had more than enough material for learning
	None
	None come to mind.
	None
	mostly journals
	Audio works
	None
	N/A
	So far good as is.
	None
	online references
	None so far
	none
	more journal articles
	None
	None
	.
	N/A
	n/a
	Comparison of more Research papers
	Current case studies
	More Case studies
My overall evaluation of the lecturer is.	Excellent
	very good
	Good
	Excellent
	Good
	Great
	Very Good
	Excellent
	N/A
	Excellent
	Good

Excellent
Good
Good
Excellent
Very good
Well prepared for the class, allowed engagements and discussions to aid in learning
Good
Ecellent
great
He is very competent and understands the subject and is patient with student issues.
Good
Excellent
Excellent;   great lecturer; engages students in a very interactive   way
Excellent
Good
Excellent.
Both Dr. Koshal and Dr. Karimi were excellent.
Excellent
Excellent

Section Report: CONTEMPORARY POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY (IRL7006 (DC18)) Section: A, Instructor: Mbae, Justus   Summer Semester 2019 (DOC)

COURSE EVALUATION	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Below Average	Poor	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
The lecturer clearly communicated the learning outcomes.	2 20.0%	3 30.0%	2 20.0%	2 20.0%	1 10.0%	10 100.0%	3.30	4.42	4.60
The course activities were related to the learning outcomes.	3 30.0%	2 20.0%	4 40.0%	1 10.0%	0 0.0%	10 100.0%	3.70	4.47	4.60
The lecturer was well prepared for class.	3 30.0%	2 20.0%	2 20.0%	2 20.0%	1 10.0%	10 100.0%	3.40	4.47	4.60
The lecturer used a variety of teaching methods.	2 20.0%	2 20.0%	3 30.0%	2 20.0%	1 10.0%	10 100.0%	3.20	4.26	4.55
The lecturer demonstrated thorough knowledge of the subject.	3 30.0%	1 10.0%	5 50.0%	1 10.0%	0 0.0%	10 100.0%	3.60	4.47	4.63
The lecturer was open to diverse view points and opinions.	4 40.0%	3 30.0%	1 10.0%	2 20.0%	0 0.0%	10 100.0%	3.90	4.53	4.55
The lecturer explained clearly how students would be evaluated.	2 20.0%	4 40.0%	3 30.0%	1 10.0%	0 0.0%	10 100.0%	3.70	4.49	4.58
The lecturer was readily available for consultation with the students during stated hours or by appointment.	2 20.0%	6 60.0%	1 10.0%	1 10.0%	0 0.0%	10 100.0%	3.90	4.51	4.56
Assessments were adequate and relevant to the course learning outcomes.	2 20.0%	4 40.0%	1 10.0%	2 20.0%	1 10.0%	10 100.0%	3.40	4.40	4.58
The Lecturer made meaningful feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams.	4 40.0%	2 20.0%	2 20.0%	1 10.0%	1 10.0%	10 100.0%	3.70	4.44	4.47
The lecturer came to class on time and finished on time.	6 60.0%	2 20.0%	1 10.0%	1 10.0%	0 0.0%	10 100.0%	4.30	4.58	4.58
The lecturer made adequate & appropriate use of the course text.	2 20.0%	3 30.0%	2 20.0%	2 20.0%	1 10.0%	10 100.0%	3.30	4.35	4.46
My rating of the course text for this course is.	1 10.0%	4 40.0%	2 20.0%	2 20.0%	1 10.0%	10 100.0%	3.20	4.23	4.43
The lecturer attended all the class sessions.	5 50.0%	2 20.0%	2 20.0%	1 10.0%	0 0.0%	10 100.0%	4.10	4.51	4.59
The faculty administered the mid-semester evaluation and discussed the results in class.	1 10.0%	4 40.0%	3 30.0%	2 20.0%	0 0.0%	10 100.0%	3.40	4.14	4.40
The course materials availed on Blackboard enhanced my learning.	2 20.0%	3 30.0%	3 30.0%	2 20.0%	0 0.0%	10 100.0%	3.50	4.49	4.56
COURSE EVALUATION Average	27.5%	29.4%	23.1%	15.6%	4.4%	100.0%	3.60	4.42	4.55

STUDENT SELF EVALUATION	Always	Most of the Times	Sometimes	Rarely	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
I attended classes	6 60.0%	4 40.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	10 100.0%	3.60	3.70	3.71

I was punctual for this class	8 80.0%	2 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	10 100.0%	3.80	3.91	3.78
I completed and submitted my assignments in time	9 90.0%	1 10.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	10 100.0%	3.90	3.88	3.86
I participated in classroom discussions and other activities	10 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	10 100.0%	4.00	3.95	3.79
STUDENT SELF EVALUATION Average	82.5%	17.5%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	3.83	3.86	3.79

STUDENT SELF EVAL 2	**15+ Hours**	**12-14 Hours**	**9-11 Hours**	**7-8 Hours**	**4 -6 Hours**	**1-3 Hours**	**Total**	**Mean**	**Dept Mean**	**All College**
How much time outside class did you spend on assignment or reading for this course per week?	5 50.0%	3 30.0%	1 10.0%	1 10.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	10 100.0%	4.20	4.30	3.86
STUDENT SELF EVAL 2 Average	50.0%	30.0%	10.0%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.20	4.30	3.86

STUDENT SELF EVAL 3	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**F**	**Total**	**Mean**	**Dept Mean**	**All College**
For this course, I expect to receive a grade of: A B C D F	7 70.0%	3 30.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	10 100.0%	4.70	4.88	4.80
STUDENT SELF EVAL 3 Average	70.0%	30.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.70	4.88	4.80

Comments

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you enjoyed most and why.	none
	His wealth of experience in articulating philosophical issues in class
	His calm and measured demeanour made the class very well balanced and stimulated conversation
	To be honest a course review is needed.
	somehow open discussion.
	Class presentations
	Allowing lively discussions in class because students freely expressed their views.
	He connected well with the class
	The short clips, the philosophical quotes and the idea of a respondent for the presentations
	CLASS DISCUSSIONS AND OPENNESS TO DIVERSE VIEW POINTS
Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you didn't like and why	The domination of lecturer and few students in class discussions locked out some students eliciting bias.
	There was a lot of emphasis on classic philosophy rather than contemporary philosophy
	Lack of clarity on the course content from the beginning
	Was not thorough in explaining the essence of subject. Confused course outline.
	None

	N/A
	all
	NONE
	I liked everything. Nothing to dislike
	N/A
Your lecturer would also like to know what specific things you believe might be done to improve his/her teaching in this course.	More seminar style teaching for this course
	None
	he should not be teaching i,.r
	A thorough review of course outline to feature contemporary philosophical scholarship.
	Incorporating contemporary philosophers to enrich the class
	MORE CLARITY ON THE DIFFERENCE CLASSICAL POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY AND CONTEMPORARY POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY DURING THE CLASS DISCUSSION. THE COURSE SEEMED MORE OF A REPETITION OF CLASSICAL POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY
	N/A
	He should acquaint himself with IR studies.
	N/A
	Clarity on the course content from the onset and preparation of guidelines for the subject
Comment on your evaluation of the lecturers the feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams	Good
	Excellent
	-
	satisfactory
	GOOD
	Sufficient
	Unclear instructions and a lecturer focused on his own opinion locked out alternative views
	Very relevant
	Poor.
	It was adequate
Explain your evaluation of the course text.	THERE WAS NO ONE PARTICULAR TEXT. THERE WERE SEVERAL AND WERE GENERALLY HELPFULL
	Average.
	N/A
	It was good
	Pretty much none existed
	I have not seen the cumulative results so i cant give more than satisfactory since that is what i saw in the papers submitted.
	Excellent
	Sufficient and relevatn
	-
	It was useful
What other materials or resources, if any, should be added to support your learning?	Journal Articles
	Non
	Lecturer should acquaint himself with IR studies.
	None

My overall evaluation of the lecturer is.	not applicable
	None
	-
	MORE JOURNAL ARTICLES AND DATA BASE SUBSCRIPTION BY THE LIBRARY
	N/A
	A rather diverse book sellection featuring african scholars is highly recommended
	SATISFACTORY
	SATISFACTORY
	Connected well with the students and facilitated open discussions.
	average
	Very Good, thoroughly enjoyed Prof Mbae tutelage
	Excellent
	The lecturer is very knowledgeable, approachable and so open. he encouraged us to think outside the box, think critically and explore diverse viewpoints. It was a great class and he was a good teacher.
	Excellent
	Between satisfactory and poor.
	The lecturer seemed unsure or rather lacked confidence in the field. There was a lean distinction between Contemporary and Classical Philosophy and the course outcome was shadowed by vagueness . I am not sure that the lecturer was well briefed on the course. Rather disappointing. Lecturer depended on student guidance mostly which might have lead to bias for those not consulted or lack USIU operative knowledge. Review of course and instructor highly recommended.

Section Report: DOCTORAL INTERNSHIP III: Group Supervision (PSY7743 (DC18)) Section: A, Instructor: Muturi, Lucy   Summer Semester 2019 (DOC)

COURSE EVALUATION	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Below Average	Poor	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
The lecturer clearly communicated the learning outcomes.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.52	4.60
The course activities were related to the learning outcomes.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.57	4.60
The lecturer was well prepared for class.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.55	4.60
The lecturer used a variety of teaching methods.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.58	4.55
The lecturer demonstrated thorough knowledge of the subject.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.61	4.63
The lecturer was open to diverse view points and opinions.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.51	4.55
The lecturer explained clearly how students would be evaluated.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.54	4.58
The lecturer was readily available for consultation with the students during stated hours or by appointment.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.49	4.56
Assessments were adequate and relevant to the course learning outcomes.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.60	4.58
The Lecturer made meaningful feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.42	4.47
The lecturer came to class on time and finished on time.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.54	4.58
The lecturer made adequate & appropriate use of the course text.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.40	4.46
My rating of the course text for this course is.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.40	4.43
The lecturer attended all the class sessions.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.61	4.59
The faculty administered the mid-semester evaluation and discussed the results in class.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.45	4.40
The course materials availed on Blackboard enhanced my learning.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.43	4.56
COURSE EVALUATION Average	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.00	4.51	4.55

STUDENT SELF EVALUATION	Always	Most of the Times	Sometimes	Rarely	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
I attended classes	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	3.63	3.71

	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	3.69	3.78
I was punctual for this class	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	3.69	3.78
I completed and submitted my assignments in time	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	3.87	3.86
I participated in classroom discussions and other activities	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	3.87	3.79
STUDENT SELF EVALUATION Average	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.00	3.77	3.79

STUDENT SELF EVAL 2	**15+ Hours**	**12-14 Hours**	**9-11 Hours**	**7-8 Hours**	**4 -6 Hours**	**1-3 Hours**	**Total**	**Mean**	**Dept Mean**	**All College**
How much time outside class did you spend on assignment or reading for this course per week?	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	5.00	3.70	3.86
STUDENT SELF EVAL 2 Average	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	5.00	3.70	3.86

STUDENT SELF EVAL 3	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**F**	**Total**	**Mean**	**Dept Mean**	**All College**
For this course, I expect to receive a grade of: A B C D F	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	5.00	4.76	4.80
STUDENT SELF EVAL 3 Average	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	5.00	4.76	4.80

Comments

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you enjoyed most and why.	Appropriate feedback
	Not applicable
Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you didn't like and why	N/A
	Not applicable
Your lecturer would also like to know what specific things you believe might be done to improve his/her teaching in this course.	Not applicable
	N/A
Comment on your evaluation of the lecturers the feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams	Not applicable
	N/A
Explain your evaluation of the course text.	Not applicable
	N/A
What other materials or resources, if any, should be added to support your learning?	Not applicable

My overall evaluation of the lecturer is.

N/A
Not applicable
Good

Section Report: DOCTORAL PRACTICUM II (PSY7722 (DC18)) Section: A, Instructor: Muturi, Lucy

Summer Semester 2019 (DOC)

COURSE EVALUATION	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Below Average	Poor	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
The lecturer clearly communicated the learning outcomes.	3 60.0%	2 40.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	4.60	4.52	4.60
The course activities were related to the learning outcomes.	4 80.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	4.80	4.57	4.60
The lecturer was well prepared for class.	2 40.0%	3 60.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	4.40	4.55	4.60
The lecturer used a variety of teaching methods.	2 40.0%	3 60.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	4.40	4.58	4.55
The lecturer demonstrated thorough knowledge of the subject.	3 60.0%	2 40.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	4.60	4.61	4.63
The lecturer was open to diverse view points and opinions.	4 80.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	4.80	4.51	4.55
The lecturer explained clearly how students would be evaluated.	5 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	5.00	4.54	4.58
The lecturer was readily available for consultation with the students during stated hours or by appointment.	5 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	5.00	4.49	4.56
Assessments were adequate and relevant to the course learning outcomes.	5 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	5.00	4.60	4.58
The Lecturer made meaningful feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams.	4 80.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	4.80	4.42	4.47
The lecturer came to class on time and finished on time.	4 80.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	4.80	4.54	4.58
The lecturer made adequate & appropriate use of the course text.	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	4.40	4.40	4.46
My rating of the course text for this course is.	2 40.0%	3 60.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	4.40	4.40	4.43
The lecturer attended all the class sessions.	3 60.0%	2 40.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	4.60	4.61	4.59
The faculty administered the mid-semester evaluation and discussed the results in class.	3 60.0%	2 40.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	4.60	4.45	4.40
The course materials availed on Blackboard enhanced my learning.	2 40.0%	3 60.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	4.40	4.43	4.56
COURSE EVALUATION Average	67.5%	31.3%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.66	4.51	4.55

STUDENT SELF EVALUATION	Always	Most of the Times	Sometimes	Rarely	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
I attended classes	4 80.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	3.80	3.63	3.71
I was punctual for this class	3	2	0	0	5	3.60	3.69	3.78

	60.0%	40.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%			
I completed and submitted my assignments in time	5 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	4.00	3.87	3.86
I participated in classroom discussions and other activities	5 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	4.00	3.87	3.79
STUDENT SELF EVALUATION Average	85.0%	15.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	3.85	3.77	3.79

STUDENT SELF EVAL 2	**15+ Hours**	**12-14 Hours**	**9-11 Hours**	**7-8 Hours**	**4 -6 Hours**	**1-3 Hours**	**Total**	**Mean**	**Dept Mean**	**All College**
How much time outside class did you spend on assignment or reading for this course per week?	2 40.0%	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	1 20.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	3.20	3.70	3.86
STUDENT SELF EVAL 2 Average	40.0%	0.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	0.0%	100.0%	3.20	3.70	3.86

STUDENT SELF EVAL 3	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**F**	**Total**	**Mean**	**Dept Mean**	**All College**
For this course, I expect to receive a grade of: A B C D F	5 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	5.00	4.76	4.80
STUDENT SELF EVAL 3 Average	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	5.00	4.76	4.80

Comments

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you enjoyed most and why.	Her input in case presentations
	The emphasis on self care.
	different teaching styles were excellent
	Self care
	The discussions
Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you didn't like and why	None come to mind
	none
	None
	None.
	Nila so far.
Your lecturer would also like to know what specific things you believe might be done to improve his/her teaching in this course.	None
	None come to mind
	None.
	can bring in guest lecturers

Comment on your evaluation of the lecturers the feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams	So far so good
	Satisfactory.
	Good
	very good
	Appropriate and informative
Explain your evaluation of the course text.	Great discussions
	Good
	None
	Satisfactory.
	Good
	none
What other materials or resources, if any, should be added to support your learning?	videos
	None
	Video demonstration of therapeutic interventingvention
	None.
	None come to mind
My overall evaluation of the lecturer is.	Excellent Lecturer
	excellent
	Excellent
	Good
	Good.

Section Report: CULTURAL DIVERSITY IN CLINICAL PSYCHOLOGY (PSY7734 (DC18)) Section: A, Instructor: Nyaga, Nancy   Summer Semester 2019 (DOC)

COURSE EVALUATION	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Below Average	Poor	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
The lecturer clearly communicated the learning outcomes.	3 50.0%	3 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	4.50	4.52	4.60
The course activities were related to the learning outcomes.	2 33.3%	4 66.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	4.33	4.57	4.60
The lecturer was well prepared for class.	4 66.7%	2 33.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	4.67	4.55	4.60
The lecturer used a variety of teaching methods.	3 50.0%	2 33.3%	1 16.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	4.33	4.58	4.55
The lecturer demonstrated thorough knowledge of the subject.	5 83.3%	0 0.0%	1 16.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	4.67	4.61	4.63
The lecturer was open to diverse view points and opinions.	4 66.7%	2 33.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	4.67	4.51	4.55
The lecturer explained clearly how students would be evaluated.	1 16.7%	4 66.7%	1 16.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	4.00	4.54	4.58
The lecturer was readily available for consultation with the students during stated hours or by appointment.	1 16.7%	5 83.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	4.17	4.49	4.56
Assessments were adequate and relevant to the course learning outcomes.	2 33.3%	4 66.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	4.33	4.60	4.58
The Lecturer made meaningful feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams.	1 16.7%	5 83.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	4.17	4.42	4.47
The lecturer came to class on time and finished on time.	4 66.7%	2 33.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	4.67	4.54	4.58
The lecturer made adequate & appropriate use of the course text.	3 50.0%	2 33.3%	1 16.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	4.33	4.40	4.46
My rating of the course text for this course is.	2 33.3%	3 50.0%	1 16.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	4.17	4.40	4.43
The lecturer attended all the class sessions.	6 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	5.00	4.61	4.59
The faculty administered the mid-semester evaluation and discussed the results in class.	1 16.7%	4 66.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 16.7%	6 100.0%	3.67	4.45	4.40
The course materials availed on Blackboard enhanced my learning.	4 66.7%	1 16.7%	1 16.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	4.50	4.43	4.56
COURSE EVALUATION Average	47.9%	44.8%	6.2%	0.0%	1.0%	100.0%	4.39	4.51	4.55

STUDENT SELF EVALUATION	Always	Most of the Times	Sometimes	Rarely	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
I attended classes	4 66.7%	2 33.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	3.67	3.63	3.71

	3 50.0%	3 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	3.50	3.69	3.78
I was punctual for this class								
I completed and submitted my assignments in time	4 66.7%	2 33.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	3.67	3.87	3.86
I participated in classroom discussions and other activities	6 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	4.00	3.87	3.79
STUDENT SELF EVALUATION Average	70.8%	29.2%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	3.71	3.77	3.79

STUDENT SELF EVAL 2	**15+ Hours**	**12-14 Hours**	**9-11 Hours**	**7-8 Hours**	**4 -6 Hours**	**1-3 Hours**	**Total**	**Mean**	**Dept Mean**	**All College**
How much time outside class did you spend on assignment or reading for this course per week?	1 16.7%	1 16.7%	3 50.0%	1 16.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	3.33	3.70	3.86
STUDENT SELF EVAL 2 Average	16.7%	16.7%	50.0%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	3.33	3.70	3.86

STUDENT SELF EVAL 3	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**F**	**Total**	**Mean**	**Dept Mean**	**All College**
For this course, I expect to receive a grade of: A B C D F	5 83.3%	1 16.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	4.83	4.76	4.80
STUDENT SELF EVAL 3 Average	83.3%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.83	4.76	4.80

Comments

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you enjoyed most and why.	The class discussions!
	Class discussions allowed for sharing of diverse viewpoints and learning about others cultures
	The way she was open to the different cultures presented in class and the examples she gave from her work with clients. it was quite insightful
	use of different methods of teaching, lecture, videos, discussion. availing researched learning materials to the students.
	Cultural awareness and self-awareness. How racial and cultural biases of clinicians - their influences on case conceptualisation. Interestingly, I found many deficits in this areas that I really need to work on in therapy. It was an eye opener for me. Am grateful I attended the class. The Lecturer was good in her delivery of the course.
	class discussions
Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you didn't like and why	Maybe that it was still quite frontal teaching - some role plays may have enhanced the learning experience.
	All her teaching was good. I wouldn't say I didn't like any of her lessons.
	Lecturer sometimes allowed discussions to veer off into unrelated topics and was unable to get back on track, wasting a lot of time

	None
	none
	too long discussions
Your lecturer would also like to know what specific things you believe might be done to improve his/her teaching in this course.	none
	Being able to guide discussions in a more productive manner
	May be more videos
	balancing on discussions and class inputs for the day
	see above
	-
Comment on your evaluation of the lecturers the feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams	Feedback was timely, although some instructions in the examination were not 100% clear
	Timely and adequate feedback was given to the students.
	The Lecturers evaluations and feedback were good. Her comments enabled me to understand why I lost certain marks and how I ought to have answered the question for better / full marks
	well done
	---
	it was good
Explain your evaluation of the course text.	It was excellent

	The textbooks used offered various case scenarios and provided important cross cultural information
	The Lecturer during our first class provided us with the course text materials which were not available from the Library. The books she provided covered all the topic in the course content.
	We had no hard copy course text. There were several soft copy books that were very helpful.
	was well selected
What other materials or resources, if any, should be added to support your learning?	A book on The African context of this topic/unit
	More videos on the subject and dealing with cultural issues.
	The Lecturer provided us a number of books and resources to support us even in the future.

	Hard copy textbooks
	videos
My overall evaluation of the lecturer is.	Very engaging class - space for many different view points - I enjoyed it.
	she was very knowledgeable and has mastered the art of content delivery
	She was good and knew the subject well by giving relevant examples
	An excellent, competent Lecturer
	Good
	Good

Section Report: SPECIAL TOPICS IN PSYCHOLOGY (PSY7760 (DC18)) Section: A, Instructor: Omollo, Joseph   Summer Semester 2019 (DOC)

COURSE EVALUATION	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Below Average	Poor	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
The lecturer clearly communicated the learning outcomes.	2 25.0%	5 62.5%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	4.13	4.52	4.60
The course activities were related to the learning outcomes.	3 37.5%	5 62.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	4.38	4.57	4.60
The lecturer was well prepared for class.	3 37.5%	3 37.5%	2 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	4.13	4.55	4.60
The lecturer used a variety of teaching methods.	4 50.0%	3 37.5%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	4.38	4.58	4.55
The lecturer demonstrated thorough knowledge of the subject.	4 50.0%	4 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	4.50	4.61	4.63
The lecturer was open to diverse view points and opinions.	3 37.5%	3 37.5%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	1 12.5%	8 100.0%	3.88	4.51	4.55
The lecturer explained clearly how students would be evaluated.	4 50.0%	4 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	4.50	4.54	4.58
The lecturer was readily available for consultation with the students during stated hours or by appointment.	3 37.5%	5 62.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	4.38	4.49	4.56
Assessments were adequate and relevant to the course learning outcomes.	4 50.0%	4 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	4.50	4.60	4.58
The Lecturer made meaningful feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams.	2 25.0%	3 37.5%	1 12.5%	1 12.5%	1 12.5%	8 100.0%	3.50	4.42	4.47
The lecturer came to class on time and finished on time.	3 37.5%	4 50.0%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	4.25	4.54	4.58
The lecturer made adequate & appropriate use of the course text.	3 37.5%	5 62.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	4.38	4.40	4.46
My rating of the course text for this course is.	5 62.5%	3 37.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	4.63	4.40	4.43
The lecturer attended all the class sessions.	4 50.0%	3 37.5%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	4.38	4.61	4.59
The faculty administered the mid-semester evaluation and discussed the results in class.	3 37.5%	4 50.0%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	4.25	4.45	4.40
The course materials availed on Blackboard enhanced my learning.	2 25.0%	3 37.5%	2 25.0%	0 0.0%	1 12.5%	8 100.0%	3.63	4.43	4.56
COURSE EVALUATION Average	40.6%	47.7%	8.6%	0.8%	2.3%	100.0%	4.24	4.51	4.55

STUDENT SELF EVALUATION	Always	Most of the Times	Sometimes	Rarely	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
I attended classes	5 62.5%	3 37.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	3.63	3.63	3.71

	6 75.0%	2 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	3.75	3.69	3.78
I was punctual for this class								
I completed and submitted my assignments in time	7 87.5%	0 0.0%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	3.75	3.87	3.86
I participated in classroom discussions and other activities	8 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	4.00	3.87	3.79
STUDENT SELF EVALUATION Average	81.3%	15.6%	3.1%	0.0%	100.0%	3.78	3.77	3.79

STUDENT SELF EVAL 2	**15+ Hours**	**12-14 Hours**	**9-11 Hours**	**7-8 Hours**	**4 -6 Hours**	**1-3 Hours**	**Total**	**Mean**	**Dept Mean**	**All College**
How much time outside class did you spend on assignment or reading for this course per week?	5 62.5%	2 25.0%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	4.50	3.70	3.86
STUDENT SELF EVAL 2 Average	62.5%	25.0%	12.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.50	3.70	3.86

STUDENT SELF EVAL 3	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**F**	**Total**	**Mean**	**Dept Mean**	**All College**
For this course, I expect to receive a grade of: A B C D F	6 75.0%	2 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	4.75	4.76	4.80
STUDENT SELF EVAL 3 Average	75.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.75	4.76	4.80

Comments

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you enjoyed most and why.	the very practical nature and patience in guiding the class til we all got it right
	Mastery of the practical aspect and content
	N/A
	assessing ourselves
	The scoring
	PRACTICAL USE OF PSYCHOMETRIC ASSESMENT
	practical experience.
	n/a
Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you didn't like and why	Not necessarily that I did not like but it would have been good to go through the reports that we wrote to see how to improve our report writing. A one on one session would have been very helpful.
	NOT VERY HELPFUL WITH GIVING FEEDBACK AND GUIDANCE ON ASSSIGNMENTS
	Lecturer did not provide guidance on how to write comprehensive reports, did not provide feedback on work handed in (work handed in the previous semester was not returned until requested), and did not provide any notes or course texts
	None
	None
	n/a

	Start from general to specicific. If he can teach about the tests first before the practice as some meet these for the first time
	nothing
Your lecturer would also like to know what specific things you believe might be done to improve his/her teaching in this course.	nothing
	A bit of theory to enrich the practice
	PLEASE HELP STUDENTS WITH CLEAR FEEDBACK WHEN THEY ASK
	Provide clear instructions on report writing, and provide timely feedback on assignments
	Create time for one on one sessions for feedback. Otherwise he is a great lecturer with immense experience in administration of psychometrics.
	To put into consideration the pairing of students when doing the personality tests
	n/a
	None
Comment on your evaluation of the lecturers the feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams	No feedback.. This course requires more time for feedback but the tests are very broad and it is not possible to administer, score and report in one session, thus the delay in completing each test.
	n/a
	it was good
	FEEDBACK WASNT SO GREAT
	good
	demonstrated Swift response
	There was little to no feedback on assignments
Explain your evaluation of the course text.	good
	Excellent training Tests tools
	EXCELLENT
	There was no course text provided
	good
	Adequate
	very approapriate
	The course text is good, but the test materials should be made more accessible to facilitate practice on administration of the tests.
What other materials or resources, if any, should be added to support your learning?	good
	None
	nothing
	more tests, and especially for practice purpose
	Course text
	n/a
	THE PSYCHOMENTIRC TESTS AND THEE BOOKS WERE EXCELLENT
	More referenes
	The students should be allowed to borrow the Manuals
My overall evaluation of the lecturer is.	very good
	GOOD
	He knows his stuff. however there ws nothing on blackbaord
	An excellent lecturer with lots of knowledge, skills and experience

Good
Good
Dr. Omollo is very good in psychometrics and I would recommend him for this course.   He has great first hand experience which came in very valuable for this unit.
Satisfactory

Section Report: ADVANCED PSYCHOPATHOLOGY I: Assessment & Diagnosis (PSY7719 (DC18))
Section: A, Instructor: Ongecha, Francisca   Summer Semester 2019 (DOC)

COURSE EVALUATION	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Below Average	Poor	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
The lecturer clearly communicated the learning outcomes.	4 57.1%	3 42.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	4.57	4.52	4.60
The course activities were related to the learning outcomes.	5 71.4%	2 28.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	4.71	4.57	4.60
The lecturer was well prepared for class.	4 57.1%	3 42.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	4.57	4.55	4.60
The lecturer used a variety of teaching methods.	4 57.1%	3 42.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	4.57	4.58	4.55
The lecturer demonstrated thorough knowledge of the subject.	5 71.4%	2 28.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	4.71	4.61	4.63
The lecturer was open to diverse view points and opinions.	2 28.6%	5 71.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	4.29	4.51	4.55
The lecturer explained clearly how students would be evaluated.	4 57.1%	3 42.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	4.57	4.54	4.58
The lecturer was readily available for consultation with the students during stated hours or by appointment.	2 28.6%	4 57.1%	1 14.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	4.14	4.49	4.56
Assessments were adequate and relevant to the course learning outcomes.	4 57.1%	3 42.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	4.57	4.60	4.58
The Lecturer made meaningful feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams.	1 14.3%	6 85.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	4.14	4.42	4.47
The lecturer came to class on time and finished on time.	2 28.6%	4 57.1%	1 14.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	4.14	4.54	4.58
The lecturer made adequate & appropriate use of the course text.	4 57.1%	1 14.3%	2 28.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	4.29	4.40	4.46
My rating of the course text for this course is.	3 42.9%	2 28.6%	2 28.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	4.14	4.40	4.43
The lecturer attended all the class sessions.	3 42.9%	2 28.6%	2 28.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	4.14	4.61	4.59
The faculty administered the mid-semester evaluation and discussed the results in class.	3 42.9%	3 42.9%	1 14.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	4.29	4.45	4.40
The course materials availed on Blackboard enhanced my learning.	2 28.6%	4 57.1%	1 14.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	4.14	4.43	4.56
COURSE EVALUATION Average	46.4%	44.6%	8.9%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.37	4.51	4.55

STUDENT SELF EVALUATION	Always	Most of the Times	Sometimes	Rarely	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
I attended classes	4 57.1%	3 42.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	3.57	3.63	3.71

	4	3	0	0	7	3.57	3.69	3.78
I was punctual for this class	57.1%	42.9%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%			
I completed and submitted my assignments in time	7 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	4.00	3.87	3.86
I participated in classroom discussions and other activities	6 85.7%	1 14.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	3.86	3.87	3.79
STUDENT SELF EVALUATION Average	75.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	3.75	3.77	3.79

STUDENT SELF EVAL 2	15+ Hours	12-14 Hours	9-11 Hours	7-8 Hours	4 -6 Hours	1-3 Hours	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
How much time outside class did you spend on assignment or reading for this course per week?	1 14.3%	1 14.3%	2 28.6%	1 14.3%	2 28.6%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	2.71	3.70	3.86
STUDENT SELF EVAL 2 Average	14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	14.3%	28.6%	0.0%	100.0%	2.71	3.70	3.86

STUDENT SELF EVAL 3	A	B	C	D	F	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
For this course, I expect to receive a grade of: A B C D F	4 57.1%	3 42.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	4.57	4.76	4.80
STUDENT SELF EVAL 3 Average	57.1%	42.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.57	4.76	4.80

Comments

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you enjoyed most and why.	The role play activities - reason view above.
	The class discussions and role-plays. The feedback given was excellent
	The whole of psychopathology. She is very good in what she teaches. The Clinical Interview was also very well done.
	knowledge of the subject.
	N/A
	The role plays in class with the feedback given, enhanced learning and, better understanding of the subject area.
	lectures and role play
Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you didn't like and why	Being late for class / canceling classes last minute - reason: lack of predictability.
	All lessons were well taught/covered
	N/A
	None
	None
	none
	Lack of visual aids on the usage of assessment tools in real life situations.

Your lecturer would also like to know what specific things you believe might be done to improve his/her teaching in this course.	more case vignette
	may be more class activities in case studies though not examinable, to understand the disorders better
	None
	Combine lecture with videos for further clarification.
	Clearer understanding of our assignments. For instance, I thought that the "handout" to the treatment tool should be a brief take-home-messages form - however it was supposed to be more of an academic paper write-up format, which I realized last minute. Clearer instructions on that, also in the course outline, would be helpful.
	N/A
	To have more course texts on assessment tools.
Comment on your evaluation of the lecturers the feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams	She gave timely and adequate feedback.
	good
	good
	It was well informed
	Great feedback on the roleplays. I hope we can still get feedback on our end-of-semester exams and on our assessment tool tasks.
	Feedback was timely and adequate
	Very Good.
Explain your evaluation of the course text.	More course texts need to be added.
	I haven't read a course text.
	DSM is a thorough, easy to use guidebook
	Good
	well thought of
	Text was good. DSM-5 and other abnormal psychology books available in the library. All very helpful
	The course had no course text . The lecture introduced us to a lot of materials on soft copy.
What other materials or resources, if any, should be added to support your learning?	may be specific disorders materials e.g booklets
	N/A

	Hard copy course texts on psychopathology.
	videos
	None
	To have visual aid applications as part of learning in class, on the usage of the different assessment tools, on different mental illnesses. This will further enhance learning.
My overall evaluation of the lecturer is.	Competent Lecturer
	I enjoyed this semester's psychopathology class - especially its liveliness and practical applications through the role play exercises.
	excellent
	she was very knowledgeable and friendly
	Good
	Excellent
	That she was well informed about the topics she taught

Section Report: DISSERTATION III (PSY7733 (DC18)) Section: A, Instructor: Staff, Faculty   Summer Semester 2019 (DOC)

COURSE EVALUATION	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Below Average	Poor	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
The lecturer clearly communicated the learning outcomes.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.52	4.60
The course activities were related to the learning outcomes.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.57	4.60
The lecturer was well prepared for class.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.55	4.60
The lecturer used a variety of teaching methods.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.58	4.55
The lecturer demonstrated thorough knowledge of the subject.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.61	4.63
The lecturer was open to diverse view points and opinions.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.51	4.55
The lecturer explained clearly how students would be evaluated.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.54	4.58
The lecturer was readily available for consultation with the students during stated hours or by appointment.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.49	4.56
Assessments were adequate and relevant to the course learning outcomes.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.60	4.58
The Lecturer made meaningful feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.42	4.47
The lecturer came to class on time and finished on time.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.54	4.58
The lecturer made adequate & appropriate use of the course text.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.40	4.46
My rating of the course text for this course is.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.40	4.43
The lecturer attended all the class sessions.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.61	4.59
The faculty administered the mid-semester evaluation and discussed the results in class.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.45	4.40
The course materials availed on Blackboard enhanced my learning.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.43	4.56
COURSE EVALUATION Average	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.00	4.51	4.55

STUDENT SELF EVALUATION	Always	Most of the Times	Sometimes	Rarely	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
I attended classes	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	3.63	3.71
I was punctual for this class	2	0	0	0	2	4.00	3.69	3.78

	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%			
I completed and submitted my assignments in time	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	3.87	3.86
I participated in classroom discussions and other activities	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	3.87	3.79
STUDENT SELF EVALUATION Average	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.00	3.77	3.79

STUDENT SELF EVAL 2	**15+ Hours**	**12-14 Hours**	**9-11 Hours**	**7-8 Hours**	**4 -6 Hours**	**1-3 Hours**	**Total**	**Mean**	**Dept Mean**	**All College**
How much time outside class did you spend on assignment or reading for this course per week?	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	5.00	3.70	3.86
STUDENT SELF EVAL 2 Average	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	5.00	3.70	3.86

STUDENT SELF EVAL 3	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**F**	**Total**	**Mean**	**Dept Mean**	**All College**
For this course, I expect to receive a grade of: A B C D F	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	5.00	4.76	4.80
STUDENT SELF EVAL 3 Average	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	5.00	4.76	4.80

Comments

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you enjoyed most and why.	Not applicable
	Feedback
Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you didn't like and why	Not applicable
	N/A
Your lecturer would also like to know what specific things you believe might be done to improve his/her teaching in this course.	N/A
	Not applicable
Comment on your evaluation of the lecturers the feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams	Good
	Not applicable
Explain your evaluation of the course text.	Not applicable
	N/A
What other materials or resources, if any, should be added to support your learning?	Not applicable
	N/A

My overall evaluation of the lecturer is.

Good
Not applicable

Section Report: DRAFT DISSERTATION REPORT & DEFENCE (FIN7030D (DC18)) Section: A, Instructor: Staff, Faculty   Summer Semester 2019 (DOC)

STUDENT SELF EVAL 3 Average	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00	0.00	0.00	
STUDENT SELF EVAL 3 Average	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00	0.00	0.00		
STUDENT SELF EVAL 3 Average	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00	0.00	0.00
STUDENT SELF EVAL 3 Average	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00	0.00	0.00	

Section Report: DRAFT DISSERTATION REPORT & DEFENCE (MGT7030D (DC18)) Section: A, Instructor: Staff, Faculty   Summer Semester 2019 (DOC)

COURSE EVALUATION	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Below Average	Poor	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
The lecturer clearly communicated the learning outcomes.	1 50.0%	1 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.50	4.76	4.60
The course activities were related to the learning outcomes.	1 50.0%	1 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.50	4.69	4.60
The lecturer was well prepared for class.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.72	4.60
The lecturer used a variety of teaching methods.	1 50.0%	1 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.50	4.70	4.55
The lecturer demonstrated thorough knowledge of the subject.	1 50.0%	1 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.50	4.76	4.63
The lecturer was open to diverse view points and opinions.	1 50.0%	1 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.50	4.60	4.55
The lecturer explained clearly how students would be evaluated.	1 50.0%	1 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.50	4.63	4.58
The lecturer was readily available for consultation with the students during stated hours or by appointment.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.64	4.56
Assessments were adequate and relevant to the course learning outcomes.	1 50.0%	1 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.50	4.69	4.58
The Lecturer made meaningful feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams.	1 50.0%	1 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.50	4.48	4.47
The lecturer came to class on time and finished on time.	1 50.0%	1 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.50	4.60	4.58
The lecturer made adequate & appropriate use of the course text.	1 50.0%	1 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.50	4.49	4.46
My rating of the course text for this course is.	1 50.0%	1 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.50	4.55	4.43
The lecturer attended all the class sessions.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.67	4.59
The faculty administered the mid-semester evaluation and discussed the results in class.	1 50.0%	1 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.50	4.43	4.40
The course materials availed on Blackboard enhanced my learning.	1 50.0%	1 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.50	4.69	4.56
COURSE EVALUATION Average	40.6%	59.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.41	4.63	4.55

STUDENT SELF EVALUATION	Always	Most of the Times	Sometimes	Rarely	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
I attended classes	1 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 50.0%	2 100.0%	2.50	3.79	3.71

	1 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 50.0%	2 100.0%	2.50	3.82	3.78
I was punctual for this class	1 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 50.0%	2 100.0%	2.50	3.82	3.78
I completed and submitted my assignments in time	1 50.0%	1 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	3.50	3.87	3.86
I participated in classroom discussions and other activities	1 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 50.0%	2 100.0%	2.50	3.67	3.79
STUDENT SELF EVALUATION Average	50.0%	12.5%	0.0%	37.5%	100.0%	2.75	3.79	3.79

STUDENT SELF EVAL 2	**15+ Hours**	**12-14 Hours**	**9-11 Hours**	**7-8 Hours**	**4 -6 Hours**	**1-3 Hours**	**Total**	**Mean**	**Dept Mean**	**All College**
How much time outside class did you spend on assignment or reading for this course per week?	0 0.0%	1 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 50.0%	2 100.0%	2.00	3.74	3.86
STUDENT SELF EVAL 2 Average	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	100.0%	2.00	3.74	3.86

STUDENT SELF EVAL 3	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**F**	**Total**	**Mean**	**Dept Mean**	**All College**
For this course, I expect to receive a grade of: A B C D F	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	5.00	4.85	4.80
STUDENT SELF EVAL 3 Average	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	5.00	4.85	4.80

Comments

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you enjoyed most and why.	feed back time with Prof Jimmy
	Feedback regarding data collection & analysis
Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you didn't like and why	It took long to get feedback at times.
	N/A
Your lecturer would also like to know what specific things you believe might be done to improve his/her teaching in this course.	N/A
	Make it more technology based. Use of skype etc
Comment on your evaluation of the lecturers the feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams	Good
	very good and encouraging
Explain your evaluation of the course text.	available in the library and e resources are great
	Good
What other materials or resources, if any, should be added to support your learning?	Good

My overall evaluation of the lecturer is.

use of technology, group discussions etc
Prof Katuse and Prof Jimmy provided the support in good time
Good

Section Report: DRAFT DISSERTATION REPORT & DEFENSE (LED7030D (DC18)) Section: A, Instructor: Staff, Faculty   Summer Semester 2019 (DOC)

COURSE EVALUATION	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Below Average	Poor	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
The lecturer clearly communicated the learning outcomes.	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	3.00	3.83	4.60
The course activities were related to the learning outcomes.	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	3.00	3.83	4.60
The lecturer was well prepared for class.	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	3.00	3.83	4.60
The lecturer used a variety of teaching methods.	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	3.00	3.83	4.55
The lecturer demonstrated thorough knowledge of the subject.	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	3.00	3.83	4.63
The lecturer was open to diverse view points and opinions.	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	3.00	3.83	4.55
The lecturer explained clearly how students would be evaluated.	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	3.00	3.83	4.58
The lecturer was readily available for consultation with the students during stated hours or by appointment.	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	3.00	3.83	4.56
Assessments were adequate and relevant to the course learning outcomes.	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	3.00	3.83	4.58
The Lecturer made meaningful feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams.	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	3.00	3.83	4.47
The lecturer came to class on time and finished on time.	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	3.00	3.83	4.58
The lecturer made adequate & appropriate use of the course text.	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	3.00	3.83	4.46
My rating of the course text for this course is.	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	3.00	3.83	4.43
The lecturer attended all the class sessions.	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	3.00	3.83	4.59
The faculty administered the mid-semester evaluation and discussed the results in class.	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	3.00	3.83	4.40
The course materials availed on Blackboard enhanced my learning.	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	3.00	3.83	4.56
COURSE EVALUATION Average	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	3.00	3.83	4.55

STUDENT SELF EVALUATION	Always	Most of the Times	Sometimes	Rarely	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
I attended classes	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	3.00	3.33	3.71

	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	3.00	3.33	3.78
I was punctual for this class	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	3.00	3.33	3.78
I completed and submitted my assignments in time	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	3.00	3.17	3.86
I participated in classroom discussions and other activities	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	3.00	3.17	3.79
STUDENT SELF EVALUATION Average	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	3.00	3.25	3.79

STUDENT SELF EVAL 2	**15+ Hours**	**12-14 Hours**	**9-11 Hours**	**7-8 Hours**	**4 -6 Hours**	**1-3 Hours**	**Total**	**Mean**	**Dept Mean**	**All College**
How much time outside class did you spend on assignment or reading for this course per week?	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	2.00	3.40	3.86
STUDENT SELF EVAL 2 Average	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	2.00	3.40	3.86

STUDENT SELF EVAL 3	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**F**	**Total**	**Mean**	**Dept Mean**	**All College**
For this course, I expect to receive a grade of: A B C D F	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	5.00	4.17	4.80
STUDENT SELF EVAL 3 Average	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	5.00	4.17	4.80

Comments

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you enjoyed most and why.	Good feedback
Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you didn't like and why	Not available for for student consultation, Did not post available consultation dates
Your lecturer would also like to know what specific things you believe might be done to improve his/her teaching in this course.	Be available for student consultation, respond to messages and phon calls
Comment on your evaluation of the lecturers the feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams	Satisfactory
Explain your evaluation of the course text.	Satisfactiry
What other materials or resources, if any, should be added to support your learning?	None
My overall evaluation of the lecturer is.	Satisfactory

Section Report: ORAL PRESENTATION OF DISSERTATION PROPOSAL (FIN7030A (DC18)) Section: A, Instructor: Staff, Faculty   Summer Semester 2019 (DOC)

COURSE EVALUATION	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Below Average	Poor	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
The lecturer clearly communicated the learning outcomes.	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	1 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	4.00	4.00	4.60
The course activities were related to the learning outcomes.	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	1 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	4.00	4.00	4.60
The lecturer was well prepared for class.	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	1 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	4.00	4.00	4.60
The lecturer used a variety of teaching methods.	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	1 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	4.00	4.00	4.55
The lecturer demonstrated thorough knowledge of the subject.	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	1 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	4.00	4.00	4.63
The lecturer was open to diverse view points and opinions.	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	1 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	4.00	4.00	4.55
The lecturer explained clearly how students would be evaluated.	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	1 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	4.00	4.00	4.58
The lecturer was readily available for consultation with the students during stated hours or by appointment.	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	1 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	4.00	4.00	4.56
Assessments were adequate and relevant to the course learning outcomes.	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	1 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	4.00	4.00	4.58
The Lecturer made meaningful feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams.	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	1 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	4.00	4.00	4.47
The lecturer came to class on time and finished on time.	1 25.0%	3 75.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	4.25	4.25	4.58
The lecturer made adequate & appropriate use of the course text.	1 25.0%	3 75.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	4.25	4.25	4.46
My rating of the course text for this course is.	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	1 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	4.00	4.00	4.43
The lecturer attended all the class sessions.	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	1 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	4.00	4.00	4.59
The faculty administered the mid-semester evaluation and discussed the results in class.	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	1 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	4.00	4.00	4.40
The course materials availed on Blackboard enhanced my learning.	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	1 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	4.00	4.00	4.56
COURSE EVALUATION Average	25.0%	53.1%	21.9%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.03	4.03	4.55

STUDENT SELF EVALUATION	Always	Most of the Times	Sometimes	Rarely	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
I attended classes	3 75.0%	1 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	3.75	3.75	3.71

I was punctual for this class	3 75.0%	1 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	3.75	3.75	3.78	
I completed and submitted my assignments in time	3 75.0%	1 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	3.75	3.75	3.86	
I participated in classroom discussions and other activities	3 75.0%	1 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	3.75	3.75	3.79	
STUDENT SELF EVALUATION Average	75.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	3.75	3.75	3.79	

STUDENT SELF EVAL 2	**15+ Hours**	**12-14 Hours**	**9-11 Hours**	**7-8 Hours**	**4 -6 Hours**	**1-3 Hours**	**Total**	**Mean**	**Dept Mean**	**All College**
How much time outside class did you spend on assignment or reading for this course per week?	2 50.0%	1 25.0%	1 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	4.25	4.25	3.86
STUDENT SELF EVAL 2 Average	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.25	4.25	3.86

STUDENT SELF EVAL 3	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**F**	**Total**	**Mean**	**Dept Mean**	**All College**
For this course, I expect to receive a grade of: A B C D F	4 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	5.00	5.00	4.80
STUDENT SELF EVAL 3 Average	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	5.00	5.00	4.80

Comments

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you enjoyed most and why.	Feedback was excellent
	This section is not relevant for me as I am not attending classes
	N/A
	The pressure my supervisors put on me
Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you didn't like and why	this section not relevant as am not attending classes
	N/A
	N/A
	N/A
Your lecturer would also like to know what specific things you believe might be done to improve his/her teaching in this course.	N/A
	not relevant
	Where the supervisor is on summer break, the student should be guided appropriately before registration.
	N/A
Comment on your evaluation of the lecturers the feedback on assignments, quizzes and	N/A

exams	
	Section not relevant
	Excellent
	N/A
Explain your evaluation of the course text.	this section not relevant
	N/A
	N/A
	Excellent
What other materials or resources, if any, should be added to support your learning?	N/A
	N/A
	this section not relevant as I am not attending classes.
	Upload of previously undertaken Doctoral research on the University Portal
My overall evaluation of the lecturer is.	My supervisors were excellent. I have given good in everything above because this survey is not tailor made for the proposal defense course
	N/A
	NOT RELEVANT AS AI AM NOT ATTENDING CLASSES
	Feedback from the panel of lecturers during the Oral proposal presentation was Excellent.

Section Report: ORAL PRESENTATION OF DISSERTATION PROPOSAL (LED7030A (DC18)) Section: A, Instructor: Staff, Faculty   Summer Semester 2019 (DOC)

COURSE EVALUATION	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Below Average	Poor	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
The lecturer clearly communicated the learning outcomes.	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	5 100.0%	4.00	3.83	4.60
The course activities were related to the learning outcomes.	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	5 100.0%	4.00	3.83	4.60
The lecturer was well prepared for class.	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	5 100.0%	4.00	3.83	4.60
The lecturer used a variety of teaching methods.	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	5 100.0%	4.00	3.83	4.55
The lecturer demonstrated thorough knowledge of the subject.	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	5 100.0%	4.00	3.83	4.63
The lecturer was open to diverse view points and opinions.	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	5 100.0%	4.00	3.83	4.55
The lecturer explained clearly how students would be evaluated.	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	5 100.0%	4.00	3.83	4.58
The lecturer was readily available for consultation with the students during stated hours or by appointment.	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	5 100.0%	4.00	3.83	4.56
Assessments were adequate and relevant to the course learning outcomes.	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	5 100.0%	4.00	3.83	4.58
The Lecturer made meaningful feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams.	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	5 100.0%	4.00	3.83	4.47
The lecturer came to class on time and finished on time.	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	5 100.0%	4.00	3.83	4.58
The lecturer made adequate & appropriate use of the course text.	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	5 100.0%	4.00	3.83	4.46
My rating of the course text for this course is.	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	5 100.0%	4.00	3.83	4.43
The lecturer attended all the class sessions.	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	5 100.0%	4.00	3.83	4.59
The faculty administered the mid-semester evaluation and discussed the results in class.	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	5 100.0%	4.00	3.83	4.40
The course materials availed on Blackboard enhanced my learning.	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	5 100.0%	4.00	3.83	4.56
COURSE EVALUATION Average	60.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	100.0%	4.00	3.83	4.55

STUDENT SELF EVALUATION	Always	Most of the Times	Sometimes	Rarely	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
I attended classes	4 80.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	5 100.0%	3.40	3.33	3.71

	4	0	0	1	5	3.40	3.33	3.78
I was punctual for this class	80.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	100.0%	3.40	3.33	3.78
I completed and submitted my assignments in time	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	5 100.0%	3.20	3.17	3.86
I participated in classroom discussions and other activities	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	5 100.0%	3.20	3.17	3.79
STUDENT SELF EVALUATION Average	70.0%	10.0%	0.0%	20.0%	100.0%	3.30	3.25	3.79

STUDENT SELF EVAL 2	15+ Hours	12-14 Hours	9-11 Hours	7-8 Hours	4 -6 Hours	1-3 Hours	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
How much time outside class did you spend on assignment or reading for this course per week?	2 40.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	1 20.0%	5 100.0%	3.00	3.40	3.86
STUDENT SELF EVAL 2 Average	40.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	20.0%	100.0%	3.00	3.40	3.86

STUDENT SELF EVAL 3	A	B	C	D	F	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
For this course, I expect to receive a grade of: A B C D F	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	5 100.0%	4.00	4.17	4.80
STUDENT SELF EVAL 3 Average	60.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	100.0%	4.00	4.17	4.80

Comments

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you enjoyed most and why.	N/A
	This does not apply to my course at the moment as am currently working on my thesis
	The one on one interactions
	n
	Guidance from faculty
Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you didn't like and why	N/A
	n
	The changes in requirements for the course were communicated late.
	None
	This does not apply to my course at the moment as am currently working on my thesis
Your lecturer would also like to know what specific things you believe might be done to improve his/her teaching in this course.	None
	N/A
	n

	This does not apply to my course at the moment as am currently working on my thesis
	N/a
Comment on your evaluation of the lecturers the feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams	Good
	This does not apply to my course at the moment as am currently working on my thesis
	n
	N/A
	N/A
Explain your evaluation of the course text.	N/A
	Not applicable
	N/A
	n
	This does not apply to my course at the moment as am currently working on my thesis
What other materials or resources, if any, should be added to support your learning?	n
	N/A
	This does not apply to my course at the moment as am currently working on my thesis
	None
	N-A
My overall evaluation of the lecturer is.	This does not apply to my course at the moment as am currently working on my thesis
	n
	Good.
	My supervisors guided me well on my project
	Good

Section Report: ORAL PRESENTATION OF DISSERTATION PROPOSAL (MGT7030A (DC18)) Section: A, Instructor: Staff, Faculty   Summer Semester 2019 (DOC)

COURSE EVALUATION	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Below Average	Poor	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
The lecturer clearly communicated the learning outcomes.	2 40.0%	2 40.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	4.20	4.76	4.60
The course activities were related to the learning outcomes.	2 40.0%	2 40.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	4.20	4.69	4.60
The lecturer was well prepared for class.	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	4.40	4.72	4.60
The lecturer used a variety of teaching methods.	2 40.0%	2 40.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	4.20	4.70	4.55
The lecturer demonstrated thorough knowledge of the subject.	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	4.40	4.76	4.63
The lecturer was open to diverse view points and opinions.	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	4.40	4.60	4.55
The lecturer explained clearly how students would be evaluated.	2 40.0%	2 40.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	4.20	4.63	4.58
The lecturer was readily available for consultation with the students during stated hours or by appointment.	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	4.40	4.64	4.56
Assessments were adequate and relevant to the course learning outcomes.	2 40.0%	2 40.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	4.20	4.69	4.58
The Lecturer made meaningful feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams.	2 40.0%	2 40.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	4.20	4.48	4.47
The lecturer came to class on time and finished on time.	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	4.40	4.60	4.58
The lecturer made adequate & appropriate use of the course text.	2 40.0%	2 40.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	4.20	4.49	4.46
My rating of the course text for this course is.	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	4.40	4.55	4.43
The lecturer attended all the class sessions.	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	4.40	4.67	4.59
The faculty administered the mid-semester evaluation and discussed the results in class.	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	4.40	4.43	4.40
The course materials availed on Blackboard enhanced my learning.	2 40.0%	2 40.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	4.20	4.69	4.56
COURSE EVALUATION Average	50.0%	30.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.30	4.63	4.55

STUDENT SELF EVALUATION	Always	Most of the Times	Sometimes	Rarely	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
I attended classes	4 80.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	5 100.0%	3.40	3.79	3.71

	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	5 100.0%	3.20	3.82	3.78
I was punctual for this class	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	5 100.0%	3.20	3.82	3.78
I completed and submitted my assignments in time	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	5 100.0%	3.20	3.87	3.86
I participated in classroom discussions and other activities	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	5 100.0%	3.20	3.67	3.79
STUDENT SELF EVALUATION Average	65.0%	15.0%	0.0%	20.0%	100.0%	3.25	3.79	3.79

STUDENT SELF EVAL 2	**15+ Hours**	**12-14 Hours**	**9-11 Hours**	**7-8 Hours**	**4 -6 Hours**	**1-3 Hours**	**Total**	**Mean**	**Dept Mean**	**All College**
How much time outside class did you spend on assignment or reading for this course per week?	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	4.40	3.74	3.86
STUDENT SELF EVAL 2 Average	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.40	3.74	3.86

STUDENT SELF EVAL 3	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**F**	**Total**	**Mean**	**Dept Mean**	**All College**
For this course, I expect to receive a grade of: A B C D F	3 60.0%	2 40.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	4.60	4.85	4.80
STUDENT SELF EVAL 3 Average	60.0%	40.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.60	4.85	4.80

Comments

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you enjoyed most and why.	N/A
	N/A
	patience and elaboration of facts
	The lecturer is supportive and available to give guidance.
	N/A
Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you didn't like and why	N/A
	More guidance on literature
	n/a
	N/A
	N/A
Your lecturer would also like to know what specific things you believe might be done to improve his/her teaching in this course.	n/a
	N/A
	N/A

	Ok
	N/A
Comment on your evaluation of the lecturers the feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams	good
	Feedback is constructive
	N/A
	N/A
	N/A
Explain your evaluation of the course text.	good
	There no course texts
	I have not evaluated since I was not having any classes.
	N/A
	N/A
What other materials or resources, if any, should be added to support your learning?	N/A
	N/A
	Seminars
	n/a
	N/A
My overall evaluation of the lecturer is.	excellent
	This evaluation is not applicable to oral proposal presentation. I just did it so that I am able to register. So please ignore it.
	Very Good
	NA
	N/A

Section Report: DIPLOMATIC & CONSULAR PRACTICE (IRL7010 (DC18)) Section: A, Instructor: Sungi, Simeon   Summer Semester 2019 (DOC)

COURSE EVALUATION	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Below Average	Poor	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
The lecturer clearly communicated the learning outcomes.	5 62.5%	2 25.0%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	4.50	4.42	4.60
The course activities were related to the learning outcomes.	4 50.0%	2 25.0%	1 12.5%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	4.13	4.47	4.60
The lecturer was well prepared for class.	5 62.5%	2 25.0%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	4.50	4.47	4.60
The lecturer used a variety of teaching methods.	4 50.0%	3 37.5%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	4.38	4.26	4.55
The lecturer demonstrated thorough knowledge of the subject.	4 50.0%	2 25.0%	1 12.5%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	4.13	4.47	4.63
The lecturer was open to diverse view points and opinions.	5 62.5%	2 25.0%	0 0.0%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	4.38	4.53	4.55
The lecturer explained clearly how students would be evaluated.	5 62.5%	2 25.0%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	4.50	4.49	4.58
The lecturer was readily available for consultation with the students during stated hours or by appointment.	4 50.0%	4 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	4.50	4.51	4.56
Assessments were adequate and relevant to the course learning outcomes.	4 50.0%	3 37.5%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	4.38	4.40	4.58
The Lecturer made meaningful feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams.	3 37.5%	3 37.5%	1 12.5%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	4.00	4.44	4.47
The lecturer came to class on time and finished on time.	5 62.5%	2 25.0%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	4.50	4.58	4.58
The lecturer made adequate & appropriate use of the course text.	4 50.0%	3 37.5%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	4.38	4.35	4.46
My rating of the course text for this course is.	3 37.5%	2 25.0%	3 37.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	4.00	4.23	4.43
The lecturer attended all the class sessions.	3 37.5%	4 50.0%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	4.25	4.51	4.59
The faculty administered the mid-semester evaluation and discussed the results in class.	4 50.0%	2 25.0%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	1 12.5%	8 100.0%	4.00	4.14	4.40
The course materials availed on Blackboard enhanced my learning.	6 75.0%	2 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	4.75	4.49	4.56
COURSE EVALUATION Average	53.1%	31.3%	11.7%	3.1%	0.8%	100.0%	4.33	4.42	4.55

STUDENT SELF EVALUATION	Always	Most of the Times	Sometimes	Rarely	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
I attended classes	6 75.0%	2 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	3.75	3.70	3.71

	7 87.5%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	3.88	3.91	3.78
I was punctual for this class	7 87.5%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	3.88	3.91	3.78
I completed and submitted my assignments in time	7 87.5%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	3.88	3.88	3.86
I participated in classroom discussions and other activities	7 87.5%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	3.88	3.95	3.79
STUDENT SELF EVALUATION Average	84.4%	15.6%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	3.85	3.86	3.79

STUDENT SELF EVAL 2	**15+ Hours**	**12-14 Hours**	**9-11 Hours**	**7-8 Hours**	**4 -6 Hours**	**1-3 Hours**	**Total**	**Mean**	**Dept Mean**	**All College**
How much time outside class did you spend on assignment or reading for this course per week?	3 37.5%	4 50.0%	0 0.0%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	4.13	4.30	3.86
STUDENT SELF EVAL 2 Average	37.5%	50.0%	0.0%	12.5%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.13	4.30	3.86

STUDENT SELF EVAL 3	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**F**	**Total**	**Mean**	**Dept Mean**	**All College**
For this course, I expect to receive a grade of: A B C D F	8 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	5.00	4.88	4.80
STUDENT SELF EVAL 3 Average	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	5.00	4.88	4.80

Comments

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you enjoyed most and why.	Both class lecture and film presentation.
	The weekly abstracts, it helped in generating understanding and developing a critical perspective on the subject
	Class discussions and timely feedback on all assignments
	The videos and articles posted on Blackboard really enhanced my knowledge
	The various teaching methods and his openness to diverse view points
	Documentaries & Abstracts
	The lecturer was resourceful with the course material and takes the burden of searching for material from the student
	The videos we watched
Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you didn't like and why	The lecturer was not clear about the objectives of the course, nor did he take well to following the course outline
	i found every lecture appropriate.
	Just the late communication when class is postponed
	I would like to see more practical aspects of diplomacy and consular practice discussed and explored
	Direct lecture without allowing students voice and presentation. Although the lecturer allowed rigorous discussion class, he could have shared teaching by allowing students' presentation
	none

	N/A
	N/A
Your lecturer would also like to know what specific things you believe might be done to improve his/her teaching in this course.	Clearer objectives of the course, the course is still Diplomatic Practice, however, a lot of time was spent on Consular and Diplomatic Law
	Invite more diplomats
	NONE
	More guest speaker lecture on this type of course.
	so far so good
	Improve on the variety of the reading material, give more focus on the theoretical aspect of diplomacy rather than the practical and legal elements
	N/A
	N/A
Comment on your evaluation of the lecturers the feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams	They were fair
	They were fairly done and timely
	Good. Clear explanation of terminologies including abstract/critical analysis/summary should come out clearly.
	It was fair
	It was useful
	GOOD
	Excellent. The professor gives timely feedback and is very thorough. One can learn a lot from his feedback
	Good
Explain your evaluation of the course text.	very good
	There is need to update on the resources by having contemporary and up-to-date texts and resources
	Comprehensive.
	Good
	SATISFACTORY
	quite good
	Since the class is named 'practice' I expected more of the practice not as much theory or law
	It was adequate and relevant
What other materials or resources, if any, should be added to support your learning?	so far so good
	The lecture was comprehensive.
	non
	Please find a way to include members of the diplomatic corps themselves
	None
	MORE GUEST LECTURERS
	Documentaries
	Have practical experience byu engaging experts outside class
My overall evaluation of the lecturer is.	Very good and thorough
	Fair, however, it may be better to involve faculty with actual diplomatic practice or perhaps adapt the course to the lecturer's strength which is law and not practice.
	GOOD
	Excellent, supportive and inspiring
	Good.

very good
Excellent
Good

Section Report: INTERNATIONAL HUMANITARIAN LAW (IRL7017 (DC18)) Section: A, Instructor: Sungi, Simeon Summer Semester 2019 (DOC)

COURSE EVALUATION	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Below Average	Poor	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
The lecturer clearly communicated the learning outcomes.	6 66.7%	3 33.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	4.67	4.42	4.60
The course activities were related to the learning outcomes.	8 88.9%	1 11.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	4.89	4.47	4.60
The lecturer was well prepared for class.	7 77.8%	2 22.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	4.78	4.47	4.60
The lecturer used a variety of teaching methods.	3 33.3%	4 44.4%	2 22.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	4.11	4.26	4.55
The lecturer demonstrated thorough knowledge of the subject.	7 77.8%	2 22.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	4.78	4.47	4.63
The lecturer was open to diverse view points and opinions.	6 66.7%	3 33.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	4.67	4.53	4.55
The lecturer explained clearly how students would be evaluated.	6 66.7%	1 11.1%	2 22.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	4.44	4.49	4.58
The lecturer was readily available for consultation with the students during stated hours or by appointment.	7 77.8%	1 11.1%	1 11.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	4.67	4.51	4.56
Assessments were adequate and relevant to the course learning outcomes.	7 77.8%	1 11.1%	1 11.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	4.67	4.40	4.58
The Lecturer made meaningful feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams.	7 77.8%	1 11.1%	1 11.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	4.67	4.44	4.47
The lecturer came to class on time and finished on time.	6 66.7%	2 22.2%	1 11.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	4.56	4.58	4.58
The lecturer made adequate & appropriate use of the course text.	6 66.7%	2 22.2%	1 11.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	4.56	4.35	4.46
My rating of the course text for this course is.	5 55.6%	3 33.3%	1 11.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	4.44	4.23	4.43
The lecturer attended all the class sessions.	5 55.6%	3 33.3%	1 11.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	4.44	4.51	4.59
The faculty administered the mid-semester evaluation and discussed the results in class.	4 44.4%	3 33.3%	1 11.1%	0 0.0%	1 11.1%	9 100.0%	4.00	4.14	4.40
The course materials availed on Blackboard enhanced my learning.	5 55.6%	3 33.3%	1 11.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	4.44	4.49	4.56
COURSE EVALUATION Average	66.0%	24.3%	9.0%	0.0%	0.7%	100.0%	4.55	4.42	4.55

STUDENT SELF EVALUATION	Always	Most of the Times	Sometimes	Rarely	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
I attended classes	7 77.8%	2 22.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	3.78	3.70	3.71

I was punctual for this class	8 88.9%	1 11.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	3.89	3.91	3.78
I completed and submitted my assignments in time	8 88.9%	1 11.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	3.89	3.88	3.86
I participated in classroom discussions and other activities	9 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	4.00	3.95	3.79
STUDENT SELF EVALUATION Average	88.9%	11.1%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	3.89	3.86	3.79

STUDENT SELF EVAL 2	**15+ Hours**	**12-14 Hours**	**9-11 Hours**	**7-8 Hours**	**4 -6 Hours**	**1-3 Hours**	**Total**	**Mean**	**Dept Mean**	**All College**
How much time outside class did you spend on assignment or reading for this course per week?	5 55.6%	4 44.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	4.56	4.30	3.86
STUDENT SELF EVAL 2 Average	55.6%	44.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.56	4.30	3.86

STUDENT SELF EVAL 3	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**F**	**Total**	**Mean**	**Dept Mean**	**All College**
For this course, I expect to receive a grade of: A B C D F	8 88.9%	1 11.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	4.89	4.88	4.80
STUDENT SELF EVAL 3 Average	88.9%	11.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.89	4.88	4.80

Comments

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you enjoyed most and why.	Class discussion and individual presentation
	All
	The first video for IHL it discussed dph at length and was really interesting to watch
	The assigned weekly readings and the abstract submissions, it helped in better understanding of the subject
	The lively discussions in class
	Discussion sessions.
	class discussions
	different courts cases studied
	The videos, the discussions, and the short lectures/presentations that he did in class so as to clarify key concepts and to ground the students well in IHL.
Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you didn't like and why	The numerous assignments and at times delayed feedback
	N/A
	Non
	There were too many unnecessary assignments
	The direct lecture method, this could not allow students to make a presentation and improve on mastering the subject

	through seminar setups
	Extra caseload of assignment on weekly basis
	none,
	i wish he could explain well his expectations of the student
	None.
Your lecturer would also like to know what specific things you believe might be done to improve his/her teaching in this course.	inviting some visitors to give talks as well in relation to the course
	Feedback on assignments and guest speaker who practices the course
	Perhaps more precise and detailed feedback on the academic research paper for purposes of improvement.
	Reduce the requirement for the weekly assignments
	balance up the approach in teaching
	Visits
	Practical visit to a context of armed conflict with IHL applications - applied or not applied
	All was well with the delivery.
	give proper feedback
Comment on your evaluation of the lecturers the feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams	The weekly assignments were bothersome yet its not clear whether the feed in to the final grade
	Regular basis
	excellent
	Was timely and helpful
	Good.
	The exam was way too long and tedious to write given the legality of the questions
	Focused
	The lecturer's feedback was timely and constructive.
Explain your evaluation of the course text.	not very clear
	Relevant and standard
	the course text war relevant
	Was good and well prepared. Course tests were relevant
	Was practical
	Good
	it is a very educative and interesting course
	It was excellent - perfectly suited for the course.
	Very relevant.
	Fantastic the Law of War by Sassoli was like icing on the cake
What other materials or resources, if any, should be added to support your learning?	I think what is provided is sufficient in terms of resources, but it would be of an added value if filed visits and visiting lectures are as well included
	Kaya was awesome. More online courses would help
	Perahps more videos and talks by people engaged in the field and even field trips (or visits to organizations that deal with issues related to the course e.g ICRC
	Tours
	N/A
	NA
	we got good additional readings that were very helpful
	more of practical videos that relating to the course

My overall evaluation of the lecturer is.

Presentations from ICRC
Excellent
Excellent
it was not very clear to understand what the lecturer wanted.
Professor Sungi demonstrated a lot of professionalism in this course, the only challenge was not all student were conversant to the legal expectations of the course and it was significantly demanding
The lecturer is excellent. He has an excellent command of the content and is delivery of the content was also excellent.
excellent
He delivered the content in an excellent fashion.
Excellent, inspirational and good mentorship
Excellent

Section Report: DISSERTATION 3 (IRL7900C (DC18)) Section: A, Instructor: Veney, Cassandra
Summer Semester 2019 (DOC)

COURSE EVALUATION	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Below Average	Poor	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
The lecturer clearly communicated the learning outcomes.	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	4.00	4.42	4.60
The course activities were related to the learning outcomes.	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	4.00	4.47	4.60
The lecturer was well prepared for class.	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	5.00	4.47	4.60
The lecturer used a variety of teaching methods.	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	5.00	4.26	4.55
The lecturer demonstrated thorough knowledge of the subject.	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	5.00	4.47	4.63
The lecturer was open to diverse view points and opinions.	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	5.00	4.53	4.55
The lecturer explained clearly how students would be evaluated.	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	5.00	4.49	4.58
The lecturer was readily available for consultation with the students during stated hours or by appointment.	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	4.00	4.51	4.56
Assessments were adequate and relevant to the course learning outcomes.	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	5.00	4.40	4.58
The Lecturer made meaningful feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams.	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	5.00	4.44	4.47
The lecturer came to class on time and finished on time.	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	5.00	4.58	4.58
The lecturer made adequate & appropriate use of the course text.	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	5.00	4.35	4.46
My rating of the course text for this course is.	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	5.00	4.23	4.43
The lecturer attended all the class sessions.	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	5.00	4.51	4.59
The faculty administered the mid-semester evaluation and discussed the results in class.	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	5.00	4.14	4.40
The course materials availed on Blackboard enhanced my learning.	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	5.00	4.49	4.56
COURSE EVALUATION Average	81.3%	18.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.81	4.42	4.55

STUDENT SELF EVALUATION	Always	Most of the Times	Sometimes	Rarely	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
I attended classes	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	1 100.0%	1.00	3.70	3.71
I was punctual for this class	1	0	0	0	1	4.00	3.91	3.78

	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%			
I completed and submitted my assignments in time	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	4.00	3.88	3.86
I participated in classroom discussions and other activities	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	4.00	3.95	3.79
STUDENT SELF EVALUATION Average	75.0%	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	100.0%	3.25	3.86	3.79

STUDENT SELF EVAL 2	**15+ Hours**	**12-14 Hours**	**9-11 Hours**	**7-8 Hours**	**4 -6 Hours**	**1-3 Hours**	**Total**	**Mean**	**Dept Mean**	**All College**
How much time outside class did you spend on assignment or reading for this course per week?	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	4.00	4.30	3.86
STUDENT SELF EVAL 2 Average	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.00	4.30	3.86

STUDENT SELF EVAL 3	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**F**	**Total**	**Mean**	**Dept Mean**	**All College**
For this course, I expect to receive a grade of: A B C D F	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	4.00	4.88	4.80
STUDENT SELF EVAL 3 Average	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.00	4.88	4.80

Comments

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you enjoyed most and why.	all aspects including class discussions
Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you didn't like and why	none
Your lecturer would also like to know what specific things you believe might be done to improve his/her teaching in this course.	none
Comment on your evaluation of the lecturers the feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams	none
Explain your evaluation of the course text.	none
What other materials or resources, if any, should be added to support your learning?	none
My overall evaluation of the lecturer is.	reachable and provide proper guidance

Section Report: DISSERTATION 4 (IRL7900D (DC18)) Section: A, Instructor: Veney, Cassandra

Summer Semester 2019 (DOC)

STUDENT SELF EVAL 3 Average	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00	0.00	0.00	

STUDENT SELF EVAL 3 Average	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00	0.00	0.00		

STUDENT SELF EVAL 3 Average	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00	0.00	0.00

STUDENT SELF EVAL 3 Average	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00	0.00	0.00	

Section Report: TREATMENT MODALITIES II: Humanistic, Existential & Other Therapies (PSY7718 (DC18)) Section: A, Instructor: Webbo, Roselynne   Summer Semester 2019 (DOC)

COURSE EVALUATION	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Below Average	Poor	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
The lecturer clearly communicated the learning outcomes.	3 50.0%	3 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	4.50	4.52	4.60
The course activities were related to the learning outcomes.	4 66.7%	2 33.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	4.67	4.57	4.60
The lecturer was well prepared for class.	6 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	5.00	4.55	4.60
The lecturer used a variety of teaching methods.	6 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	5.00	4.58	4.55
The lecturer demonstrated thorough knowledge of the subject.	3 50.0%	3 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	4.50	4.61	4.63
The lecturer was open to diverse view points and opinions.	4 66.7%	2 33.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	4.67	4.51	4.55
The lecturer explained clearly how students would be evaluated.	3 50.0%	2 33.3%	1 16.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	4.33	4.54	4.58
The lecturer was readily available for consultation with the students during stated hours or by appointment.	5 83.3%	0 0.0%	1 16.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	4.67	4.49	4.56
Assessments were adequate and relevant to the course learning outcomes.	5 83.3%	1 16.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	4.83	4.60	4.58
The Lecturer made meaningful feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams.	4 66.7%	2 33.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	4.67	4.42	4.47
The lecturer came to class on time and finished on time.	4 66.7%	2 33.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	4.67	4.54	4.58
The lecturer made adequate & appropriate use of the course text.	3 50.0%	2 33.3%	1 16.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	4.33	4.40	4.46
My rating of the course text for this course is.	2 33.3%	3 50.0%	1 16.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	4.17	4.40	4.43
The lecturer attended all the class sessions.	5 83.3%	1 16.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	4.83	4.61	4.59
The faculty administered the mid-semester evaluation and discussed the results in class.	4 66.7%	1 16.7%	1 16.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	4.50	4.45	4.40
The course materials availed on Blackboard enhanced my learning.	5 83.3%	1 16.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	4.83	4.43	4.56
COURSE EVALUATION Average	68.7%	26.0%	5.2%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.64	4.51	4.55

STUDENT SELF EVALUATION	Always	Most of the Times	Sometimes	Rarely	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
I attended classes	4 66.7%	2 33.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	3.67	3.63	3.71

	4 66.7%	2 33.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	3.67	3.69	3.78
I was punctual for this class								
I completed and submitted my assignments in time	5 83.3%	1 16.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	3.83	3.87	3.86
I participated in classroom discussions and other activities	4 66.7%	1 16.7%	1 16.7%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	3.50	3.87	3.79
STUDENT SELF EVALUATION Average	70.8%	25.0%	4.2%	0.0%	100.0%	3.67	3.77	3.79

STUDENT SELF EVAL 2	**15+ Hours**	**12-14 Hours**	**9-11 Hours**	**7-8 Hours**	**4 -6 Hours**	**1-3 Hours**	**Total**	**Mean**	**Dept Mean**	**All College**
How much time outside class did you spend on assignment or reading for this course per week?	1 16.7%	1 16.7%	2 33.3%	1 16.7%	1 16.7%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	3.00	3.70	3.86
STUDENT SELF EVAL 2 Average	16.7%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	16.7%	0.0%	100.0%	3.00	3.70	3.86

STUDENT SELF EVAL 3	**A**	**B**	**C**	**D**	**F**	**Total**	**Mean**	**Dept Mean**	**All College**
For this course, I expect to receive a grade of: A B C D F	4 66.7%	2 33.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	4.67	4.76	4.80
STUDENT SELF EVAL 3 Average	66.7%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.67	4.76	4.80

Comments

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you enjoyed most and why.	Students Role Play and presentations. This is where a student is able to evaluate the improvement made by using the theories taught how well one can practice /areas that need improvement. learning through modelling is very helpful as the lecturer gives guidance in class
	The role plays.
	N/A
	Feedback given after each class demonstration by students
	role play and treatment planning
	Treatment Planning. It enabled me to know how to go about it in therapy.
Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you didn't like and why	none
	Sometimes it was a bit rushed - there was sometimes a bit too much planned for one session.
	At the beginning there were too many notes and i felt rushed but it was in line with the class outline
	N/A
	The role plays. Sometimes it wasn't easy for me to really understand what the lecturer wanted done in the role plays.
	The lecturer was very good. She even made time for those that needed make up sessions. Kudos
Your lecturer would also like to know what specific things you believe might be done to improve his/her teaching in this course.	none

	I'd appreciated if the term paper requirements and individual topics had been discussed at an earlier stage - the first few weeks of the semester are usually much calmer and could be well used to start writing on the paper.
	To have more visual aids discussed in class on existential and humanistic approaches in therapy.
	spread the inputs more instead of having a lot at the beginning
	The course was well structured with students activities assigned every week. I think that is good for now.
	N/A
Comment on your evaluation of the lecturers the feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams	The lecturer ensured that I understood where I lost marks and how I should do better next time. Though exams are funny.
	It was good
	Good.
	Feedback was timely and adequate
	was adequate
	Dr. Webbo gave me meaningful feedback on my assignment upon request.
Explain your evaluation of the course text.	quite insightful. they were good
	---
	N/A
	The course text covered all that we learned and examined for.
	Lack of enough copies in the library.
	was well selected
What other materials or resources, if any, should be added to support your learning?	a book on sample vignette cases for practice of treatment planning
	More visual aids on the subject area.

	N/A
	Text books on theories taught in class. Journals were not adequate
	nothing more for now
My overall evaluation of the lecturer is.	Good
	It was good especially the practice
	She was the best for the course
	Good , Competent Lecturer
	Very Good
	Very engaging class that I enjoyed, especially through the interactive role plays.