

Section Report: ACCOUNTING & FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT (DBA7030 (DC18)) Section: A, Instructor: Achoki, George Summer Semester 2019 (DOC)

COURSE EVALUATION	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Below Average	Poor	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
The lecturer clearly communicated the learning outcomes.	27 90.0%	3 10.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.90	4.90	4.60
The course activities were related to the learning outcomes.	27 90.0%	3 10.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.90	4.90	4.60
The lecturer was well prepared for class.	27 90.0%	3 10.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.90	4.90	4.60
The lecturer used a variety of teaching methods.	24 80.0%	6 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.80	4.80	4.55
The lecturer demonstrated thorough knowledge of the subject.	26 86.7%	4 13.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.87	4.87	4.63
The lecturer was open to diverse view points and opinions.	24 80.0%	6 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.80	4.80	4.55
The lecturer explained clearly how students would be evaluated.	27 90.0%	3 10.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.90	4.90	4.58
The lecturer was readily available for consultation with the students during stated hours or by appointment.	24 80.0%	6 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.80	4.80	4.56
Assessments were adequate and relevant to the course learning outcomes.	24 80.0%	6 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.80	4.80	4.58
The Lecturer made meaningful feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams.	24 80.0%	6 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.80	4.80	4.47
The lecturer came to class on time and finished on time.	25 83.3%	5 16.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.83	4.83	4.58
The lecturer made adequate & appropriate use of the course text.	25 83.3%	5 16.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.83	4.83	4.46
My rating of the course text for this course is.	22 73.3%	7 23.3%	1 3.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.70	4.70	4.43
The lecturer attended all the class sessions.	22 73.3%	7 23.3%	1 3.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.70	4.70	4.59
The faculty administered the mid-semester evaluation and discussed the results in class.	23 76.7%	6 20.0%	1 3.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.73	4.73	4.40
The course materials availed on Blackboard enhanced my learning.	26 86.7%	4 13.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.87	4.87	4.56
COURSE EVALUATION Average	82.7%	16.7%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.82	4.82	4.55

STUDENT SELF EVALUATION	Always	Most of the Times	Sometimes	Rarely	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
I attended classes	24 80.0%	6 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	3.80	3.80	3.71

I was punctual for this class	25 83.3%	5 16.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	3.83	3.83	3.78
I completed and submitted my assignments in time	28 93.3%	2 6.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	3.93	3.93	3.86
I participated in classroom discussions and other activities	25 83.3%	4 13.3%	1 3.3%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	3.80	3.80	3.79
STUDENT SELF EVALUATION Average	85.0%	14.2%	0.8%	0.0%	100.0%	3.84	3.84	3.79

STUDENT SELF EVAL 2	15+ Hours	12-14 Hours	9-11 Hours	7-8 Hours	4 -6 Hours	1-3 Hours	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
How much time outside class did you spend on assignment or reading for this course per week?	15 50.0%	5 16.7%	5 16.7%	1 3.3%	4 13.3%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	3.87	3.87	3.86
STUDENT SELF EVAL 2 Average	50.0%	16.7%	16.7%	3.3%	13.3%	0.0%	100.0%	3.87	3.87	3.86

STUDENT SELF EVAL 3	A	B	C	D	F	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
For this course, I expect to receive a grade of: A B C D F	23 76.7%	7 23.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.77	4.77	4.80
STUDENT SELF EVAL 3 Average	76.7%	23.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.77	4.77	4.80

Comments

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you enjoyed most and why.

Relating class work with real life
The delivery of the course was overall excellent from the notes, to the examples, case studies and references, as well as the group work.
All Aspect
All deliveries
All
Case studies
Timely feedback
Classroom Interaction
Practice questions
The way the lecturer communicates with class
His understanding of the content and examples given and mode of teaching made it easy to understand
Case Studies
Examples
Well captured concept
All
The delivery of the subject is excellent

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you didn't like and why

The thought provoking academic discourse to bring the theories into practice
Very practical
everything
Analysis and Interpretation of financial statement
everything
I enjoyed all PointPoint lectures; explanation of financial statements; case studies and group work.
The lectures were great because lecturer is very interesting and he simplified explanations enough for all to understand and be able to apply in critical business advisory settings.
Good class
N/A
Practical application
Their real life experience weaved into the class content to make it practical as well as interesting.
Group work
Great examples
I liked the examples worked-out in class
all
relevant example
Basic calculation on specific areas of accounting
None
He should allow students to participate more
None
N/A
Group work , it gave unfair advantage to those who worked hard an those who did not
None
N/a
N/A
Assignment provided covered a wide areas. Specific topics can be selected for assignments and if need be broken down as individual assignment
NA
None
N/A
none
None.
None
None
Historical aspect of accounting
N/A
Generally all was well.

Your lecturer would also like to know what specific things you believe might be done to improve his/her teaching in this course.

There was a lot of writing for term papers. I realized my weakness in writing papers fast enough.
None
none
None
None
strictness
NA
More practical examples
more case studies
None
Real life practical examples from industry
None
N/A
N/A
None
none
.
None
None
More practice on various company accounts
None
All is well
more practice questions
Good so far
none
N/A
lecture approach
None
He was Excellent
None comes to mind. The professors delivered the course very well.
None
More focus on current developments in accounting and financial management
Maintain standard
The course was well organized. Cant think of a better way
All was well as is. Perhaps, the need to try and learn all the students names along with their faces so that the attendance list is more useful and respected.
None
Excellent
Good
Meaningful
The lecturer provided the best feedback on assignments (among all lecturers) as he returned scripts on time and provided direction and examples for improvement.

Comment on your evaluation of the lecturers
the feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams

Explain your evaluation of the course text.

None
great
He gave feedback to the assignments and class work
good , transparent
Great
Was great, for example the comments were correcting and teaching
was well done
Good
Very good
N/A
Assessment well presented and explained
Appropriate
great
Great
Very good
None
Feedback was good and timely
The feedback was very insightful.
Good
Excellent and worth being emulated by the rest
satisfactory
Good
Great
Excellent
He gave back the feedback on time
great
Very good course
Good
N/A
Appropriate
.
None
Good
Good
Good
Minimal reference was made to the course text
The course text was very good
Being my area of specialization, it was an easy flow
Good
It was good.
None
satisfactory
Excellent
useful course text
excellent

What other materials or resources, if any,
should be added to support your learning?

The course text were adequate and enhanced my learning
Was good enough to inform and prepare students for field expectations as well the next semester.
very impact-full and practical
excellent
relevant
Great and we should continue
N/a
Its an excellent course especially for those students who do not have an accounting background.
The course texts were available and straightforward. Many other relevant texts were available in the library.
Excellent
Very relevant.
None
inviting lecturers
practice questions
N/A
None that I can think of
None
More case studies
None
None
case studies
None
The time is limited, the best option is to encourage a lot of individual learning via the internet.
Nothing could be added. I had more than enough
more library online materials
None
N/A
More case studies to be discussed.
None.
N/A
Increase the time to access the library
None
None
online access
It is enought
adequate
none
listening works
N/A
Case studies
None.
very experienced and great- excellent
Excellent
Good
Good

My overall evaluation of the lecturer is.

Excellent
Both Dr. Achoki and Dr. Kalunda were excellent.
Good
Excellent
Excellen
Excellent
Excellent
Good
Excellent
He is very competent and knows how to deliver the subject matter to the student.
Excellent
Good
excellent
Excellent
Excellent
great
Excellent
Quite good, transparent
Excellent
great
Excellent
Excellent. Lecturer is very good at delivery of this course and made it interesting and easy to comprehend. Lecturer delivered the course in a manner that made non-accounting professionals to understand and embrace the subject easily.
Clear communication, excellent knowledge of the content which was good and enabled understanding
Excellent
Excellent
N/A

Section Report: CONFLICT RESOLUTION & PEACE BUILDING (IRL7019 (DC18)) Section: A, Instructor: Ali, Fatuma Summer Semester 2019 (DOC)

COURSE EVALUATION	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Below Average	Poor	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
The lecturer clearly communicated the learning outcomes.	15 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	15 100.0%	5.00	4.42	4.60
The course activities were related to the learning outcomes.	14 93.3%	1 6.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	15 100.0%	4.93	4.47	4.60
The lecturer was well prepared for class.	14 93.3%	1 6.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	15 100.0%	4.93	4.47	4.60
The lecturer used a variety of teaching methods.	14 93.3%	1 6.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	15 100.0%	4.93	4.26	4.55
The lecturer demonstrated thorough knowledge of the subject.	15 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	15 100.0%	5.00	4.47	4.63
The lecturer was open to diverse view points and opinions.	14 93.3%	1 6.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	15 100.0%	4.93	4.53	4.55
The lecturer explained clearly how students would be evaluated.	15 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	15 100.0%	5.00	4.49	4.58
The lecturer was readily available for consultation with the students during stated hours or by appointment.	13 86.7%	2 13.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	15 100.0%	4.87	4.51	4.56
Assessments were adequate and relevant to the course learning outcomes.	14 93.3%	0 0.0%	1 6.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	15 100.0%	4.87	4.40	4.58
The Lecturer made meaningful feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams.	15 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	15 100.0%	5.00	4.44	4.47
The lecturer came to class on time and finished on time.	12 80.0%	3 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	15 100.0%	4.80	4.58	4.58
The lecturer made adequate & appropriate use of the course text.	13 86.7%	2 13.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	15 100.0%	4.87	4.35	4.46
My rating of the course text for this course is.	13 86.7%	2 13.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	15 100.0%	4.87	4.23	4.43
The lecturer attended all the class sessions.	14 93.3%	1 6.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	15 100.0%	4.93	4.51	4.59
The faculty administered the mid-semester evaluation and discussed the results in class.	13 86.7%	1 6.7%	0 0.0%	1 6.7%	0 0.0%	15 100.0%	4.73	4.14	4.40
The course materials availed on Blackboard enhanced my learning.	15 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	15 100.0%	5.00	4.49	4.56
COURSE EVALUATION Average	92.9%	6.2%	0.4%	0.4%	0.0%	100.0%	4.92	4.42	4.55

STUDENT SELF EVALUATION	Always	Most of the Times	Sometimes	Rarely	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
I attended classes	13 86.7%	2 13.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	15 100.0%	3.87	3.70	3.71

I was punctual for this class	15 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	15 100.0%	4.00	3.91	3.78
I completed and submitted my assignments in time	13 86.7%	2 13.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	15 100.0%	3.87	3.88	3.86
I participated in classroom discussions and other activities	14 93.3%	1 6.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	15 100.0%	3.93	3.95	3.79
STUDENT SELF EVALUATION Average	91.7%	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	3.92	3.86	3.79

STUDENT SELF EVAL 2	15+ Hours	12-14 Hours	9-11 Hours	7-8 Hours	4 -6 Hours	1-3 Hours	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
How much time outside class did you spend on assignment or reading for this course per week?	8 53.3%	5 33.3%	1 6.7%	1 6.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	15 100.0%	4.33	4.30	3.86
STUDENT SELF EVAL 2 Average	53.3%	33.3%	6.7%	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.33	4.30	3.86

STUDENT SELF EVAL 3	A	B	C	D	F	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
For this course, I expect to receive a grade of: A B C D F	15 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	15 100.0%	5.00	4.88	4.80
STUDENT SELF EVAL 3 Average	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	5.00	4.88	4.80

Comments

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you enjoyed most and why.

the presentations on Lederach
Class discussions
All
Quite engaging and very informative
The movie
Well guided class discussions, timely feedbacks and very good rapport with students
The group discussions that provided divergent and relevant opinions on the same.
goog
Discussions, films, presentations,
Both hall lecture including movie presentation and guest speaker sessions.
Lecturer's discussion, presentation and the guiding advices on the teaching
The first two weeks were very informative and comprehensive and has been foundational. It helped me understand the subject and get interested in specific areas that form my research interests
The presentations in class
variety of teaching methods, lectures, movies, clips, professional guests, presentations all this brought out diversity and interesting learning
-Class discussions which opened students to further reading and relevant authorities in the subject

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you didn't like and why

-An open discussion allowing students to interrogate issues critically
All went well
Pretty much none. PhD seminars depict high scholarly expertise
N/A.
good
N/A
Class discussion, Lecturerâ€™s wrap up and assignments
Seminars were good but the lecturer did not challenge weak arguments and misleading information. Although the setup was good but the instantaneous feedback was lacking
I liked everything.
I was satisfied with the way the class was delivered
Management of time
i was happy with everything.
the bullying by Cohort of 2017
none
None
I liked all her lectures.
A small number of enrollment would allow more personal interactions between students and lecturer
to ensure that the class is not dominated by older students
It was quite engaging
Maybe time the presentations so as to train students to be more precise and straight to the point in their presentations.
Not applicable
happy with everything
Visits
NA
The lecturers delivery was excellent.
More guest lectures.
so far so good
gooed
Management of class time
1) Give instantaneous feedback and evaluation of seminars presentations
N/A
All were good apart from the on the spot evaluation of seminar presentations that were not done
good
Very good
Timely marking of assignments followed by one on one advice.
Thorough evaluation guiding students on necessary adjustments to improve performance
this was great. learnt a lot
it was very fair
very relevant

Your lecturer would also like to know what specific things you believe might be done to improve his/her teaching in this course.

Comment on your evaluation of the lecturers the feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams

Explain your evaluation of the course text.

The feedback given was satisfactory.
Excellent
Feedback was timely and constructive and enabled us to improve.
Lecturer provided the feedback on regular basis and returned the quiz, exam and assignment with comments after one week when students submitted
It was good
Sufficient
she gives individual feedback in good time allowing one to improve on the weak areas
Relevant and diverse
good
Very nice enjoyed it
very good
Excellent
The Course text was relevant in offering generic information and understanding of the subject matter but need to be accompanied by contemporary resources that are relevant to Africa and timely in a way that reflects current trends in Peacebuilding and Conflict Resolution
The course texts were adequate.
Well thought out
Sufficient
Outstanding
Well thought out.
Excellent selection of course text.
it is a very educative course, the outcome goes beyond class and helps explain variety of world issues today
Excellent course. It introduced me realities of conflict resolution and peace building training which can be applied currently anywhere particularly with emergence of counter violent extremism.
the Journals were easy to read and straight forward
Was satisfied with material given
Visting lectures and expert talks should have enriched our learning experience
More movies showing element of conflict resolution processes.
N/a
None
NA
N/A
External tours
so far so good
More African Scholarship
n/a
good
More videos (though time would be a challenge). Field trips.
what the lecturer gave was a lot. personally i have been reading some of the journals over the holiday.
guest speakers
The lecturer is excellent. Excellent command of the content, excellent delivery of the content.
Well versed with the topic and was quite enriching on the subject
Excellent
Articulate and well grounded onthe subject matter

What other materials or resources, if any, should be added to support your learning?

My overall evaluation of the lecturer is.

Excellent.
very good
Excellent
excellent
The class was too large and other previous cohort were bullying the 2018 Cohort this was very unprofessional
Excellent
Excellent, as usual. Dr Fatma demonstrated a clear grasp of the topic and made the class very animated and involved in her lecturing.
Excellent
Excellent, approachable, knowledgeable and supportive
She delivered the course expertly.
gives clear instructions, always willing to help and give academic support, she explains until everything is clear, gives a lot of additional reading materials making is easy to understand ares that may be unclear. she is an excellent instructor and knowledge builder.

Section Report: DISSERTATION (PSY7735 (DC18)) Section: A, Instructor: Arasa, Josephine **Summer**
Semester 2019 (DOC)

COURSE EVALUATION	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Below Average	Poor	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
The lecturer clearly communicated the learning outcomes.	1 25.0%	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	3.75	4.52	4.60
The course activities were related to the learning outcomes.	1 25.0%	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	3.75	4.57	4.60
The lecturer was well prepared for class.	1 25.0%	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	3.75	4.55	4.60
The lecturer used a variety of teaching methods.	1 25.0%	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	3.75	4.58	4.55
The lecturer demonstrated thorough knowledge of the subject.	1 25.0%	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	3.75	4.61	4.63
The lecturer was open to diverse view points and opinions.	1 25.0%	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	3.75	4.51	4.55
The lecturer explained clearly how students would be evaluated.	1 25.0%	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	3.75	4.54	4.58
The lecturer was readily available for consultation with the students during stated hours or by appointment.	1 25.0%	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	3.75	4.49	4.56
Assessments were adequate and relevant to the course learning outcomes.	1 25.0%	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	3.75	4.60	4.58
The Lecturer made meaningful feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams.	1 25.0%	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	3.75	4.42	4.47
The lecturer came to class on time and finished on time.	1 25.0%	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	3.75	4.54	4.58
The lecturer made adequate & appropriate use of the course text.	1 25.0%	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	3.75	4.40	4.46
My rating of the course text for this course is.	1 25.0%	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	3.75	4.40	4.43
The lecturer attended all the class sessions.	1 25.0%	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	3.75	4.61	4.59
The faculty administered the mid-semester evaluation and discussed the results in class.	1 25.0%	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	3.75	4.45	4.40
The course materials availed on Blackboard enhanced my learning.	1 25.0%	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	3.75	4.43	4.56
COURSE EVALUATION Average	25.0%	25.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	3.75	4.51	4.55

STUDENT SELF EVALUATION	Always	Most of the Times	Sometimes	Rarely	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
I attended classes	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	1 25.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	3.00	3.63	3.71
I was punctual for this class	1	2	1	0	4	3.00	3.69	3.78

	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	0.0%	100.0%			
I completed and submitted my assignments in time	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	1 25.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	3.00	3.87	3.86
I participated in classroom discussions and other activities	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	1 25.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	3.00	3.87	3.79
STUDENT SELF EVALUATION Average	25.0%	50.0%	25.0%	0.0%	100.0%	3.00	3.77	3.79

STUDENT SELF EVAL 2	15+ Hours	12-14 Hours	9-11 Hours	7-8 Hours	4 -6 Hours	1-3 Hours	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
How much time outside class did you spend on assignment or reading for this course per week?	0 0.0%	1 25.0%	3 75.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	3.25	3.70	3.86
STUDENT SELF EVAL 2 Average	0.0%	25.0%	75.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	3.25	3.70	3.86

STUDENT SELF EVAL 3	A	B	C	D	F	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
For this course, I expect to receive a grade of: A B C D F	2 50.0%	2 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	4.50	4.76	4.80
STUDENT SELF EVAL 3 Average	50.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.50	4.76	4.80

Comments

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you enjoyed most and why.

all
na
all
N/A
none
none
na
N/A
none
na
N/A
none
N/A

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you didn't like and why

Your lecturer would also like to know what specific things you believe might be done to improve his/her teaching in this course.

Comment on your evaluation of the lecturers the feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams

Explain your evaluation of the course text.

What other materials or resources, if any,
should be added to support your learning?

My overall evaluation of the lecturer is.

there are no assignments
good
na
good
N/A
na
good
none
N/A
na
none
na
N/A
excellent
ok

Section Report: MEMORY & COGNITION (PSY7736 (DC18)) Section: A, Instructor: Brown, Dana
 Summer Semester 2019 (DOC)

COURSE EVALUATION	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Below Average	Poor	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
The lecturer clearly communicated the learning outcomes.	11 78.6%	2 14.3%	1 7.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	14 100.0%	4.71	4.52	4.60
The course activities were related to the learning outcomes.	11 78.6%	2 14.3%	1 7.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	14 100.0%	4.71	4.57	4.60
The lecturer was well prepared for class.	11 78.6%	2 14.3%	1 7.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	14 100.0%	4.71	4.55	4.60
The lecturer used a variety of teaching methods.	11 78.6%	3 21.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	14 100.0%	4.79	4.58	4.55
The lecturer demonstrated thorough knowledge of the subject.	11 78.6%	3 21.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	14 100.0%	4.79	4.61	4.63
The lecturer was open to diverse view points and opinions.	10 71.4%	4 28.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	14 100.0%	4.71	4.51	4.55
The lecturer explained clearly how students would be evaluated.	11 78.6%	3 21.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	14 100.0%	4.79	4.54	4.58
The lecturer was readily available for consultation with the students during stated hours or by appointment.	10 71.4%	3 21.4%	1 7.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	14 100.0%	4.64	4.49	4.56
Assessments were adequate and relevant to the course learning outcomes.	11 78.6%	2 14.3%	0 0.0%	1 7.1%	0 0.0%	14 100.0%	4.64	4.60	4.58
The Lecturer made meaningful feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams.	11 78.6%	3 21.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	14 100.0%	4.79	4.42	4.47
The lecturer came to class on time and finished on time.	10 71.4%	4 28.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	14 100.0%	4.71	4.54	4.58
The lecturer made adequate & appropriate use of the course text.	10 71.4%	4 28.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	14 100.0%	4.71	4.40	4.46
My rating of the course text for this course is.	11 78.6%	3 21.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	14 100.0%	4.79	4.40	4.43
The lecturer attended all the class sessions.	12 85.7%	2 14.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	14 100.0%	4.86	4.61	4.59
The faculty administered the mid-semester evaluation and discussed the results in class.	12 85.7%	2 14.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	14 100.0%	4.86	4.45	4.40
The course materials availed on Blackboard enhanced my learning.	11 78.6%	2 14.3%	1 7.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	14 100.0%	4.71	4.43	4.56
COURSE EVALUATION Average	77.7%	19.6%	2.2%	0.4%	0.0%	100.0%	4.75	4.51	4.55

STUDENT SELF EVALUATION	Always	Most of the Times	Sometimes	Rarely	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
I attended classes	7 50.0%	7 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	14 100.0%	3.50	3.63	3.71
I was punctual for this class	11	3	0	0	14	3.79	3.69	3.78

	78.6%	21.4%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%			
I completed and submitted my assignments in time	14 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	14 100.0%	4.00	3.87	3.86
I participated in classroom discussions and other activities	13 92.9%	1 7.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	14 100.0%	3.93	3.87	3.79
STUDENT SELF EVALUATION Average	80.4%	19.6%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	3.81	3.77	3.79

STUDENT SELF EVAL 2	15+ Hours	12-14 Hours	9-11 Hours	7-8 Hours	4 -6 Hours	1-3 Hours	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
How much time outside class did you spend on assignment or reading for this course per week?	5 35.7%	4 28.6%	2 14.3%	2 14.3%	1 7.1%	0 0.0%	14 100.0%	3.71	3.70	3.86
STUDENT SELF EVAL 2 Average	35.7%	28.6%	14.3%	14.3%	7.1%	0.0%	100.0%	3.71	3.70	3.86

STUDENT SELF EVAL 3	A	B	C	D	F	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
For this course, I expect to receive a grade of: A B C D F	10 71.4%	4 28.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	14 100.0%	4.71	4.76	4.80
STUDENT SELF EVAL 3 Average	71.4%	28.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.71	4.76	4.80

Comments

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you enjoyed most and why.

HER LECTURES WERE EXTREMELY INFORMATIVE AND VERY WELL THOUGHT THROUGH! THOROUGH!
Her teaching style and how she explained the experiments.
discussions an videos
Class videos and journal presentations
class presentations and handouts, she taught us during her third trimester.
the assignments for presentations, (journal articles review), extra learning materials, relevant journals that complimented course texts.
The use of journals and the class presentations. They were very relevant
Feedback that is specific and prompt (oral and written)
Professionalism when suggestions, concerns etc are raised.
Varied methods of presenting content.
the videos
ALL
N/A
The new knowledge taught.
Mastery of the content

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you didn't like and why

watching videos and explaining experiments
none
NOTHING! ALL WAS EXCELLENT
None.
Nil
None
N/A
too much recall exams not application
nothing
The format of the EXAM setting. Most of the questions were recall questions
Over-use of long videos.
None at all
N/A
setting questions that required recall
None
LAB
n/a
None
None.
more practical
so far so good
N/A
NOTHING ALL WAS EXCELLENT
The format of the EXAM setting
None
Nothing so far.
none
All is great right now.
nothing
excellent
very good
Excellent and timely
EXCELLENT
Assignments and quizzes were perfect.
good
EXCELLENT, CLEAR AND HELPFUL
Feedback was timely and adequate
Great
Excellent.
Very informative

Your lecturer would also like to know what specific things you believe might be done to improve his/her teaching in this course.

Comment on your evaluation of the lecturers the feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams

Explain your evaluation of the course text.

What other materials or resources, if any, should be added to support your learning?

My overall evaluation of the lecturer is.

Great
good
Would be good if this course came before the cognitive assessments rather than after
Satisfactory.
Good and informative
Enough resources are available in the Library
Good
very current and good
BRILLIANT
good i loved the book
GOOD
well utilised.
okay
good
Comprehensive and easy to understand
Good read
Good
None
none come to mind
i dont know
nothing
Nil so far.
N/A
None.
What is available is adequate
This unit is well covered
None
n/a
NOTHING
none
LAB
Excellent Class
Great, knowledgeable and wide read.
An excellent lecturer with lots of knowledge and experience
She knows her stuff
Excellent
Excellent
DR. DANA IS AN AMAZING, KNOWLEDGEABLE AND VERY COMPETENT TEACHER. EXCELLENCE DEFINES HER
EXCELLENT
Very good
very informative
Excellent.
Excellent
Very Good
Good

Section Report: ENTREPRENEURSHIP (DBA7020 (DC18)) Section: A, Instructor: Kamau, Joseph
Summer Semester 2019 (DOC)

COURSE EVALUATION	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Below Average	Poor	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
The lecturer clearly communicated the learning outcomes.	24 80.0%	5 16.7%	1 3.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.77	4.76	4.60
The course activities were related to the learning outcomes.	25 83.3%	4 13.3%	1 3.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.80	4.69	4.60
The lecturer was well prepared for class.	24 80.0%	5 16.7%	1 3.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.77	4.72	4.60
The lecturer used a variety of teaching methods.	23 76.7%	6 20.0%	1 3.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.73	4.70	4.55
The lecturer demonstrated thorough knowledge of the subject.	24 80.0%	5 16.7%	1 3.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.77	4.76	4.63
The lecturer was open to diverse view points and opinions.	17 56.7%	9 30.0%	4 13.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.43	4.60	4.55
The lecturer explained clearly how students would be evaluated.	20 66.7%	7 23.3%	3 10.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.57	4.63	4.58
The lecturer was readily available for consultation with the students during stated hours or by appointment.	20 66.7%	9 30.0%	1 3.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.63	4.64	4.56
Assessments were adequate and relevant to the course learning outcomes.	22 73.3%	6 20.0%	2 6.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.67	4.69	4.58
The Lecturer made meaningful feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams.	15 50.0%	9 30.0%	6 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.30	4.48	4.47
The lecturer came to class on time and finished on time.	17 56.7%	10 33.3%	3 10.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.47	4.60	4.58
The lecturer made adequate & appropriate use of the course text.	17 56.7%	8 26.7%	5 16.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.40	4.49	4.46
My rating of the course text for this course is.	17 56.7%	10 33.3%	3 10.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.47	4.55	4.43
The lecturer attended all the class sessions.	23 76.7%	4 13.3%	3 10.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.67	4.67	4.59
The faculty administered the mid-semester evaluation and discussed the results in class.	16 53.3%	6 20.0%	8 26.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.27	4.43	4.40
The course materials availed on Blackboard enhanced my learning.	24 80.0%	3 10.0%	3 10.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.70	4.69	4.56
COURSE EVALUATION Average	68.3%	22.1%	9.6%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.59	4.63	4.55

STUDENT SELF EVALUATION	Always	Most of the Times	Sometimes	Rarely	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
I attended classes	26 86.7%	4 13.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	3.87	3.79	3.71
I was punctual for this class	28	2	0	0	30	3.93	3.82	3.78

	93.3%	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%			
I completed and submitted my assignments in time	29 96.7%	1 3.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	3.97	3.87	3.86
I participated in classroom discussions and other activities	23 76.7%	4 13.3%	3 10.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	3.67	3.67	3.79
STUDENT SELF EVALUATION Average	88.3%	9.2%	2.5%	0.0%	100.0%	3.86	3.79	3.79

STUDENT SELF EVAL 2	15+ Hours	12-14 Hours	9-11 Hours	7-8 Hours	4 -6 Hours	1-3 Hours	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
How much time outside class did you spend on assignment or reading for this course per week?	13 43.3%	4 13.3%	5 16.7%	4 13.3%	3 10.0%	1 3.3%	30 100.0%	3.57	3.74	3.86
STUDENT SELF EVAL 2 Average	43.3%	13.3%	16.7%	13.3%	10.0%	3.3%	100.0%	3.57	3.74	3.86

STUDENT SELF EVAL 3	A	B	C	D	F	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
For this course, I expect to receive a grade of: A B C D F	25 83.3%	5 16.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.83	4.85	4.80
STUDENT SELF EVAL 3 Average	83.3%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.83	4.85	4.80

Comments

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you enjoyed most and why.

class discussion
The relating of real life experiences to class content to allow for a practical aspect of what is being lectured.
Live practical cases were discussed in class
all
Relating class teaching to real life
His experiential teachings
Teaching and communicating content
Teaching Style
Examples
Case Studies
the concepts of entrepreneur and how to do start up successfully
Every part of the course was enjoyable
N/A
the group discussions and presentations as well as the critiques
the practical application of the course
practical applications; challenges he posed to us as leaders to leverage entrepreneurship to make a positive difference at the corporate level and in the society

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you didn't like and why

The powerpoint presentations were excellent and the explanations were current and applicable.
PRACTICAL EXAMPLES
The delivery of the course was overall excellent from the notes, to the examples, case studies and references.
n/a
Casual Vs effectual Logic
The diverse journals and discussions he brought to class
Excellent lectures
Outstanding delivery of lectures; great case studies; very good paper critiques and guidelines; very good power points
Excelllent
Everything
His passion
All topics
All
The readings
The use of practical examples
None
None
none
.
Given the very short time he had with us, all went very well. I just didn't like the fact that somehow the time was so limited.
The power point presentations/notes needed to be sent earlier before class
Needs to allow for more participation
The lecturer should allow students to share their personal experiences in entrepreneurship.
N/A
RESULTS NOT FULLY DISCUSSED IN CLASS
None
Please allocate more time for this class
None
none
none
None. He was excellent
N/A
None
N/A
???????
None
N/A
None
None.
None
Response to questions
n/a
the emphasis and strictness on assignment submission
NA

Your lecturer would also like to know what specific things you believe might be done to improve his/her teaching in this course.

None
allocating enough time for the course
More time needs to be allocated to the course
Better arrangement for the class timelines if combined with another course to avoid rushing through the course
.
None
NA
He should bring and well-known entrepreneur to show the students how to succeed in entrepreneurship.
Allow some participation
none
allocate more time for Entrepreneurship
The teaching was very good.
None
None
more class discussions
None
n/a
There need for more time for the Entrepreneurship course.
flexibility
Maintain
N/A
More group work assignments
His response to questions
None
Incorporate Entrepreneur Guest Speakers
N/A
So far, all that was done is commendable.
Easy on students
None
inviting entrepreneurs themselves
allocate more time
Fair
Excellent
relevant
Good
HE IS A VERY GOOD LECTURER
n/a
Fine with me.
Good
N/A
None
for marketing, we had no open feedback

Comment on your evaluation of the lecturers
the feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams

Explain your evaluation of the course text.

N/A
We did not get any feedback during the semester.
Great
The guidance and feedback was very useful.
Good
Feedback was okay but it was only by way of explanation. Assignments should have been returned with specific comments
None. However, provided good preparation for assignments and main exam
Excellent
assignments were given , done on time but no feed back has been given
Excellent
great, relevant
Had not received feedback by the end of the semester
Good
Good
Good
.
satisfactory
None
Great feedback
Good
Great
He has good mastery of the subject
Very insightful and unbelievably practical.
Great
Texts are relevant and practical
N/A
a good course
Good
Good
n/a
Good
The course text was good
Adequate and enhanced my learning
Satisfactory
Good
He was well versed with his material
It is a very important course and very applicable.
Good
Excellent
great
Both useful and relevant.
NOne
The course text is good.
Minimal reference was made to the course text
very good

What other materials or resources, if any, should be added to support your learning?

Good
It was good
very practical and interesting
None
video
Time allocation
inviting industry practitioners
None
visual audios
-
audio works
The lecturer can bring in more case studies.
Adequate
N/A
online material that we can access individually
More case studies
So far so good
More Resource materials
Allow doctorate students to access the library for more hours
availability of more articles and books on entrepreneurship
None
n/a
More case studies
Materials were adequate for the available time
N/A
The resources availed were quite adequate.
None
None
None
N/A
N/a
Methodology is fine, ONLY that the time is limited.
The course text was well backed by other books in the library and E-Resources
None
Excellent
good
Well prepared for the face to face lessons, relevant content, excellent communication of the content
Good
Excellent
N/A
great
Quite Good
Good
Excellent
Excellent

My overall evaluation of the lecturer is.

Satisfactory
Excellent
Super charged, innovative , experienced at his game and very spot on.
This was the best class ever
Good
Excellent
EXCELLENT
Excellent
Excellent course and excellent lecturing techniques and methods. I enjoyed the course and really learned a lot. A must do course. Keep it up.
The time allocated for the course was too minimal forcing the lecturer to shortened his lesson. the lecturer did not give feed back on assignments
Dr. Kamau was excellent.
Very good
Good
Good
The best in Entrepreneurship
Good
Great lecturer
He is very competent and knows how to deliver the subject matter.
Very good

**Section Report: QUALITATIVE RESEARCH METHODS AND ANALYSIS (PSY7727 (DC18)) Section: A,
Instructor: Kimotho, Stephen Summer Semester 2019 (DOC)**

COURSE EVALUATION	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Below Average	Poor	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
The lecturer clearly communicated the learning outcomes.	12 92.3%	1 7.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	13 100.0%	4.92	4.52	4.60
The course activities were related to the learning outcomes.	11 84.6%	2 15.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	13 100.0%	4.85	4.57	4.60
The lecturer was well prepared for class.	11 84.6%	2 15.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	13 100.0%	4.85	4.55	4.60
The lecturer used a variety of teaching methods.	12 92.3%	1 7.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	13 100.0%	4.92	4.58	4.55
The lecturer demonstrated thorough knowledge of the subject.	12 92.3%	1 7.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	13 100.0%	4.92	4.61	4.63
The lecturer was open to diverse view points and opinions.	12 92.3%	1 7.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	13 100.0%	4.92	4.51	4.55
The lecturer explained clearly how students would be evaluated.	11 84.6%	2 15.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	13 100.0%	4.85	4.54	4.58
The lecturer was readily available for consultation with the students during stated hours or by appointment.	11 84.6%	2 15.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	13 100.0%	4.85	4.49	4.56
Assessments were adequate and relevant to the course learning outcomes.	12 92.3%	1 7.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	13 100.0%	4.92	4.60	4.58
The Lecturer made meaningful feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams.	12 92.3%	1 7.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	13 100.0%	4.92	4.42	4.47
The lecturer came to class on time and finished on time.	12 92.3%	1 7.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	13 100.0%	4.92	4.54	4.58
The lecturer made adequate & appropriate use of the course text.	8 61.5%	4 30.8%	1 7.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	13 100.0%	4.54	4.40	4.46
My rating of the course text for this course is.	11 84.6%	0 0.0%	1 7.7%	0 0.0%	1 7.7%	13 100.0%	4.54	4.40	4.43
The lecturer attended all the class sessions.	12 92.3%	1 7.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	13 100.0%	4.92	4.61	4.59
The faculty administered the mid-semester evaluation and discussed the results in class.	11 84.6%	2 15.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	13 100.0%	4.85	4.45	4.40
The course materials availed on Blackboard enhanced my learning.	12 92.3%	1 7.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	13 100.0%	4.92	4.43	4.56
COURSE EVALUATION Average	87.5%	11.1%	1.0%	0.0%	0.5%	100.0%	4.85	4.51	4.55

STUDENT SELF EVALUATION	Always	Most of the Times	Sometimes	Rarely	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
I attended classes	10 76.9%	3 23.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	13 100.0%	3.77	3.63	3.71

I was punctual for this class	11 84.6%	2 15.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	13 100.0%	3.85	3.69	3.78
I completed and submitted my assignments in time	13 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	13 100.0%	4.00	3.87	3.86
I participated in classroom discussions and other activities	13 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	13 100.0%	4.00	3.87	3.79
STUDENT SELF EVALUATION Average	90.4%	9.6%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	3.91	3.77	3.79

STUDENT SELF EVAL 2	15+ Hours	12-14 Hours	9-11 Hours	7-8 Hours	4 -6 Hours	1-3 Hours	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
How much time outside class did you spend on assignment or reading for this course per week?	7 53.8%	2 15.4%	3 23.1%	1 7.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	13 100.0%	4.15	3.70	3.86
STUDENT SELF EVAL 2 Average	53.8%	15.4%	23.1%	7.7%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.15	3.70	3.86

STUDENT SELF EVAL 3	A	B	C	D	F	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
For this course, I expect to receive a grade of: A B C D F	11 84.6%	2 15.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	13 100.0%	4.85	4.76	4.80
STUDENT SELF EVAL 3 Average	84.6%	15.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.85	4.76	4.80

Comments

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you enjoyed most and why.

Nothing so far.
Everything
Introduction to qualitative approach of research.
preparedness
patience
inviting guests and experts in the unit to reinforce our understanding.
varied method of presenting content
instilling hope.
encouraging and challenging students to do more available for appointments
INSTRUCTION
Classroom research and discussions
Immediate feedback during class presentation
N/A
Mastery of qualitative methodology and Meta synthesis approach
the discussions and powerpoints. the generosity with is knowledge
His ability to break down qualitative research. Relevant articles, well researched and the practical lessons we had.
everything

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you didn't like and why

Your lecturer would also like to know what specific things you believe might be done to improve his/her teaching in this course.

Comment on your evaluation of the lecturers the feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams

practical examples he used and journal references
None.
Nothing all was good
n/a
Nil
nothing
N/A
LECTURE WAS A BIT TO LONG WITH THE THEORY
It was very heavy
none
None at all
None
very wide syllabus should be split into two semesters
None come to mind
Avail course text books
none
Nothing
Videos on qualitative research
None
n/a
So far so good
BRING OTHER RESEARCHERS THAT HELPED US DO PRACTICAL WORK
None.
the synthesis assigment needs to be more clear
Everything was very well done. However if the meta-synthesis assignment can be brought in much earlier. a practical mock meta-synthesis so that by the time he is asking the students to do one, it is much easier.
But his teaching style is excellent.
N/A
nothing
It was timely
Satisfactory.
Good
good
Feedback was timely and adequate
good
Swift response
GREAT
Great.
Great

Explain your evaluation of the course text.

excellent
Appropriate and timely.
Excellent
There was no course text
there were many
NONE WAS AVAILABLE
good
The specified course texts were not available. The library should look into this. But Dr. Kimotho sent materials on blackboard.
Satisfactory.
n/a
Excellent resource
Informative
Excellent
We did not have a course text
good
GREAT
none
available textbooks for the unit.
Videos
Nothing so far so good
Course text
Nothing so far.
A qualitative interview in vivo
nothing
A variety of text books
Course texts availed in the library.
None.
ALL WAS GOOD
None
Excellent.
Excellent
Great
very good
VERY KNOWLEDGEABLE AND WILLING TO HELP
Dr. Kimotho is a great, knowledgeable and widely read lecturer. Brilliant in Research and brought a totally new perspective in Qualitative research. He easily demystified qualitative research. I would register for any unit he is teaching anytime. He is easy and readily available for consultation. Firm yet very helpful.
Very competent in his work
An excellent lecturer with lots of knowledge, skills and experience
Excellent Lecturer
/very good
Excellent
very good competent in his area of teaching
Excellent

What other materials or resources, if any, should be added to support your learning?

My overall evaluation of the lecturer is.

Section Report: LEADERSHIP (DBA7050 (DC18)) Section: A, Instructor: Koshal, Jeremiah

Summer

Semester 2019 (DOC)

COURSE EVALUATION	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Below Average	Poor	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
The lecturer clearly communicated the learning outcomes.	26 86.7%	4 13.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.87	4.76	4.60
The course activities were related to the learning outcomes.	22 73.3%	6 20.0%	2 6.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.67	4.69	4.60
The lecturer was well prepared for class.	24 80.0%	5 16.7%	1 3.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.77	4.72	4.60
The lecturer used a variety of teaching methods.	24 80.0%	5 16.7%	1 3.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.77	4.70	4.55
The lecturer demonstrated thorough knowledge of the subject.	25 83.3%	5 16.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.83	4.76	4.63
The lecturer was open to diverse view points and opinions.	24 80.0%	6 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.80	4.60	4.55
The lecturer explained clearly how students would be evaluated.	24 80.0%	5 16.7%	1 3.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.77	4.63	4.58
The lecturer was readily available for consultation with the students during stated hours or by appointment.	23 76.7%	6 20.0%	1 3.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.73	4.64	4.56
Assessments were adequate and relevant to the course learning outcomes.	24 80.0%	6 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.80	4.69	4.58
The Lecturer made meaningful feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams.	23 76.7%	5 16.7%	2 6.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.70	4.48	4.47
The lecturer came to class on time and finished on time.	23 76.7%	7 23.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.77	4.60	4.58
The lecturer made adequate & appropriate use of the course text.	21 70.0%	7 23.3%	2 6.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.63	4.49	4.46
My rating of the course text for this course is.	22 73.3%	6 20.0%	2 6.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.67	4.55	4.43
The lecturer attended all the class sessions.	24 80.0%	5 16.7%	1 3.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.77	4.67	4.59
The faculty administered the mid-semester evaluation and discussed the results in class.	20 66.7%	8 26.7%	2 6.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.60	4.43	4.40
The course materials availed on Blackboard enhanced my learning.	24 80.0%	5 16.7%	1 3.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.77	4.69	4.56
COURSE EVALUATION Average	77.7%	19.0%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.74	4.63	4.55

STUDENT SELF EVALUATION	Always	Most of the Times	Sometimes	Rarely	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
I attended classes	26 86.7%	4 13.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	3.87	3.79	3.71
I was punctual for this class	27	3	0	0	30	3.90	3.82	3.78

	90.0%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%			
I completed and submitted my assignments in time	27 90.0%	3 10.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	3.90	3.87	3.86
I participated in classroom discussions and other activities	26 86.7%	3 10.0%	1 3.3%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	3.83	3.67	3.79
STUDENT SELF EVALUATION Average	88.3%	10.8%	0.8%	0.0%	100.0%	3.88	3.79	3.79

STUDENT SELF EVAL 2	15+ Hours	12-14 Hours	9-11 Hours	7-8 Hours	4 -6 Hours	1-3 Hours	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
How much time outside class did you spend on assignment or reading for this course per week?	12 40.0%	6 20.0%	6 20.0%	2 6.7%	4 13.3%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	3.67	3.74	3.86
STUDENT SELF EVAL 2 Average	40.0%	20.0%	20.0%	6.7%	13.3%	0.0%	100.0%	3.67	3.74	3.86

STUDENT SELF EVAL 3	A	B	C	D	F	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
For this course, I expect to receive a grade of: A B C D F	27 90.0%	3 10.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	30 100.0%	4.90	4.85	4.80
STUDENT SELF EVAL 3 Average	90.0%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.90	4.85	4.80

Comments

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you enjoyed most and why.

presentation skills
None
all
everything
Causal Vs Effectual Logic
The group presentations
n/a
presentations
Group discussions in the class
Relating class knowledge to industry.
Delivery of lectures was excellent. The Power Point slides were comprehensive. Lecturer is pleasant.
Examples
Case Studies
Presentation
The journal critiques that facilitated my learning
All. Relevant to my area of concentration and challenges of leadership
The delivery of the course was overall excellent from the notes, to the examples, case studies and references, as well as the group work.

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you didn't like and why

N/A
Allowing feedback and engaging the classs, and giving constructive feedback for presentations
Class engagement
all aspect
Teaching Style
.
The discussions
I enjoyed the journal critiques and the critique by the class and the lecturer
his class were practical
The case studies
Engaging lectures
The theories relating to leadership
All his delivery
All
NA
N/A
n/a
None
None
N/A
None
none
None
N/A
co-teaching
None
The lecture power points could be used more interactively utilized and with more innovativeness.
N/A
None
none
The writing of many term papers was quite a challenge. I realized writing papers is not one of my strong points
None
none
None
The lecturing related to the presentations as well as the case studies
None
none
N/A
None.
Class presentations were allocated more time than practical aspects which involve analysis and discussions of case studies
None
None
None
The class interactions

Your lecturer would also like to know what specific things you believe might be done to improve his/her teaching in this course.

N/A
More case studies
Speak louder
None
The teaching was good for me.
none
Inviting guests
None
Better tie keeping for class presentations
None
N/A
n/a
The individual assignment on journal critiques led to a lot of learning. More time should be given to this.
None
More practical examples
N/A
The lecturer should bring industry leaders to speak to the students.
NA
none
More case studies
Leadership Guest speakers would improve quality of the content delivery
None
More of African context cases and review
-
more case
evaluation of the co-professor by giving the student the liberty to make a choice
Apart from the above, methodology is fine
N/A
more article journals
Maintain
None
Good
None
good. some feedback were given others were not given
The feedback was relevant and on time
great
good
Good
Excellent
N/A
Good
Great

Comment on your evaluation of the lecturers the feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams

Explain your evaluation of the course text.

Good
Lecturer provided good guidance on assignments and exam.
Fine and served the purpose
None
Good
The feedback was very insightful.
V.Good
None
Not received the written assignments as at the end of semester
Feedback was good and prompt
Appropriate
Satisfactory
satisfactory
Excellent
n/a
The lecturer gave feedback
Good
gave feedback on some of the group work and assignments on time but not others
Great
Good and relevant to my academic and professional field
Excellent
Very practical and relevant to our career settings
Excellent
Good
satisfactory
good
Course texts were available (on short loan) and very good. I learned a lot and enjoyed the course. Lecturer was very good in meshing both theory and practice.
The course text was good
Great
Good
Great
Excellent
Good
Excellent
It is a relevant course for DBA
very good
nla
Very Good
None
None
It was a good course text/
n/a
.
Very relevant.
Comprehensive. Still need to look through it.

What other materials or resources, if any, should be added to support your learning?

excellent
Good
N/A
generally a insightful course
None
Materials were excellent and adequate for the time available
N/A
More videos of leaders in the industry and more role play.
video and guest speaker
Allow us to access the library for more hours
Adequate
N/a
I had more than enough material for learning
None
None come to mind.
None
mostly journals
Audio works
None
N/A
So far good as is.
None
online references
None so far
none
more journal articles
None
None
-
N/A
n/a
Comparison of more Research papers
Current case studies
More Case studies
Excellent
very good
Good
Excellent
Good
Great
Very Good
Excellent
N/A
Excellent
Good

My overall evaluation of the lecturer is.

Excellent
Good
Good
Excellent
Very good
Well prepared for the class, allowed engagements and discussions to aid in learning
Good
Ecellent
great
He is very competent and understands the subject and is patient with student issues.
Good
Excellent
Excellent; great lecturer; engages students in a very interactive way
Excellent
Good
Excellent.
Both Dr. Koshal and Dr. Karimi were excellent.
Excellent
Excellent

Section Report: CONTEMPORARY POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY (IRL7006 (DC18)) Section: A, Instructor: Mbae, Justus Summer Semester 2019 (DOC)

COURSE EVALUATION	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Below Average	Poor	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
The lecturer clearly communicated the learning outcomes.	2 20.0%	3 30.0%	2 20.0%	2 20.0%	1 10.0%	10 100.0%	3.30	4.42	4.60
The course activities were related to the learning outcomes.	3 30.0%	2 20.0%	4 40.0%	1 10.0%	0 0.0%	10 100.0%	3.70	4.47	4.60
The lecturer was well prepared for class.	3 30.0%	2 20.0%	2 20.0%	2 20.0%	1 10.0%	10 100.0%	3.40	4.47	4.60
The lecturer used a variety of teaching methods.	2 20.0%	2 20.0%	3 30.0%	2 20.0%	1 10.0%	10 100.0%	3.20	4.26	4.55
The lecturer demonstrated thorough knowledge of the subject.	3 30.0%	1 10.0%	5 50.0%	1 10.0%	0 0.0%	10 100.0%	3.60	4.47	4.63
The lecturer was open to diverse view points and opinions.	4 40.0%	3 30.0%	1 10.0%	2 20.0%	0 0.0%	10 100.0%	3.90	4.53	4.55
The lecturer explained clearly how students would be evaluated.	2 20.0%	4 40.0%	3 30.0%	1 10.0%	0 0.0%	10 100.0%	3.70	4.49	4.58
The lecturer was readily available for consultation with the students during stated hours or by appointment.	2 20.0%	6 60.0%	1 10.0%	1 10.0%	0 0.0%	10 100.0%	3.90	4.51	4.56
Assessments were adequate and relevant to the course learning outcomes.	2 20.0%	4 40.0%	1 10.0%	2 20.0%	1 10.0%	10 100.0%	3.40	4.40	4.58
The Lecturer made meaningful feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams.	4 40.0%	2 20.0%	2 20.0%	1 10.0%	1 10.0%	10 100.0%	3.70	4.44	4.47
The lecturer came to class on time and finished on time.	6 60.0%	2 20.0%	1 10.0%	1 10.0%	0 0.0%	10 100.0%	4.30	4.58	4.58
The lecturer made adequate & appropriate use of the course text.	2 20.0%	3 30.0%	2 20.0%	2 20.0%	1 10.0%	10 100.0%	3.30	4.35	4.46
My rating of the course text for this course is.	1 10.0%	4 40.0%	2 20.0%	2 20.0%	1 10.0%	10 100.0%	3.20	4.23	4.43
The lecturer attended all the class sessions.	5 50.0%	2 20.0%	2 20.0%	1 10.0%	0 0.0%	10 100.0%	4.10	4.51	4.59
The faculty administered the mid-semester evaluation and discussed the results in class.	1 10.0%	4 40.0%	3 30.0%	2 20.0%	0 0.0%	10 100.0%	3.40	4.14	4.40
The course materials availed on Blackboard enhanced my learning.	2 20.0%	3 30.0%	3 30.0%	2 20.0%	0 0.0%	10 100.0%	3.50	4.49	4.56
COURSE EVALUATION Average	27.5%	29.4%	23.1%	15.6%	4.4%	100.0%	3.60	4.42	4.55

STUDENT SELF EVALUATION	Always	Most of the Times	Sometimes	Rarely	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
I attended classes	6 60.0%	4 40.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	10 100.0%	3.60	3.70	3.71

I was punctual for this class	8 80.0%	2 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	10 100.0%	3.80	3.91	3.78
I completed and submitted my assignments in time	9 90.0%	1 10.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	10 100.0%	3.90	3.88	3.86
I participated in classroom discussions and other activities	10 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	10 100.0%	4.00	3.95	3.79
STUDENT SELF EVALUATION Average	82.5%	17.5%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	3.83	3.86	3.79

STUDENT SELF EVAL 2	15+ Hours	12-14 Hours	9-11 Hours	7-8 Hours	4 -6 Hours	1-3 Hours	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
How much time outside class did you spend on assignment or reading for this course per week?	5 50.0%	3 30.0%	1 10.0%	1 10.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	10 100.0%	4.20	4.30	3.86
STUDENT SELF EVAL 2 Average	50.0%	30.0%	10.0%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.20	4.30	3.86

STUDENT SELF EVAL 3	A	B	C	D	F	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
For this course, I expect to receive a grade of: A B C D F	7 70.0%	3 30.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	10 100.0%	4.70	4.88	4.80
STUDENT SELF EVAL 3 Average	70.0%	30.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.70	4.88	4.80

Comments

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you enjoyed most and why.

none
His wealth of experience in articulating philosophical issues in class
His calm and measured demeanour made the class very well balanced and stimulated conversation
To be honest a course review is needed.
somehow open discussion.
Class presentations
Allowing lively discussions in class because students freely expressed their views.
He connected well with the class
The short clips, the philosophical quotes and the idea of a respondent for the presentations
CLASS DISCUSSIONS AND OPENNESS TO DIVERSE VIEW POINTS
The domination of lecturer and few students in class discussions locked out some students eliciting bias.
There was a lot of emphasis on classic philosophy rather than contemporary philosophy
Lack of clarity on the course content from the beginning
Was not thorough in explaining the essence of subject. Confused course outline.
None

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you didn't like and why

Your lecturer would also like to know what specific things you believe might be done to improve his/her teaching in this course.

N/A
all
NONE
I liked everything. Nothing to dislike
N/A
More seminar style teaching for this course
None
he should not be teaching i.,r
A thorough review of course outline to feature contemporary philosophical scholarship.
Incorporating contemporary philosophers to enrich the class
MORE CLARITY ON THE DIFFERENCE CLASSICAL POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY AND CONTEMPORARY POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY DURING THE CLASS DISCUSSION. THE COURSE SEEMED MORE OF A REPETITION OF CLASSICAL POLITICAL PHILOSOPHY
N/A
He should acquaint himself with IR studies.
N/A
Clarity on the course content from the onset and preparation of guidelines for the subject
Comment on your evaluation of the lecturers the feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams
Good
Excellent
-
satisfactory
GOOD
Sufficient
Unclear instructions and a lecturer focused on his own opinion locked out alternative views
Very relevant
Poor.
It was adequate
THERE WAS NO ONE PARTICULAR TEXT. THERE WERE SEVERAL AND WERE GENERALLY HELPFULL
Average.
N/A
It was good
Pretty much none existed
I have not seen the cumulative results so i cant give more than satisfactory since that is what i saw in the papers submitted.
Excellent
Sufficient and relevath
-
It was useful
Journal Articles
Non
Lecturer should acquaint himself with IR studies.
None

Explain your evaluation of the course text.

What other materials or resources, if any, should be added to support your learning?

My overall evaluation of the lecturer is.

not applicable
None
-
MORE JOURNAL ARTICLES AND DATA BASE SUBSCRIPTION BY THE LIBRARY
N/A
A rather diverse book selection featuring african scholars is highly recommended
SATISFACTORY
SATISFACTORY
Connected well with the students and facilitated open discussions.
average
Very Good, thoroughly enjoyed Prof Mbae tutelage
Excellent
The lecturer is very knowledgeable, approachable and so open. he encouraged us to think outside the box, think critically and explore diverse viewpoints. It was a great class and he was a good teacher.
Excellent
Between satisfactory and poor.
The lecturer seemed unsure or rather lacked confidence in the field. There was a lean distinction between Contemporary and Classical Philosophy and the course outcome was shadowed by vagueness . I am not sure that the lecturer was well briefed on the course. Rather disappointing. Lecturer depended on student guidance mostly which might have lead to bias for those not consulted or lack USIU operative knowledge. Review of course and instructor highly recommended.

**Section Report: DOCTORAL INTERNSHIP III: Group Supervision (PSY7743 (DC18)) Section: A,
Instructor: Muturi, Lucy Summer Semester 2019 (DOC)**

COURSE EVALUATION	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Below Average	Poor	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
The lecturer clearly communicated the learning outcomes.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.52	4.60
The course activities were related to the learning outcomes.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.57	4.60
The lecturer was well prepared for class.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.55	4.60
The lecturer used a variety of teaching methods.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.58	4.55
The lecturer demonstrated thorough knowledge of the subject.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.61	4.63
The lecturer was open to diverse view points and opinions.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.51	4.55
The lecturer explained clearly how students would be evaluated.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.54	4.58
The lecturer was readily available for consultation with the students during stated hours or by appointment.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.49	4.56
Assessments were adequate and relevant to the course learning outcomes.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.60	4.58
The Lecturer made meaningful feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.42	4.47
The lecturer came to class on time and finished on time.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.54	4.58
The lecturer made adequate & appropriate use of the course text.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.40	4.46
My rating of the course text for this course is.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.40	4.43
The lecturer attended all the class sessions.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.61	4.59
The faculty administered the mid-semester evaluation and discussed the results in class.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.45	4.40
The course materials availed on Blackboard enhanced my learning.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.43	4.56
COURSE EVALUATION Average	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.00	4.51	4.55

STUDENT SELF EVALUATION	Always	Most of the Times	Sometimes	Rarely	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
I attended classes	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	3.63	3.71

I was punctual for this class	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	3.69	3.78
I completed and submitted my assignments in time	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	3.87	3.86
I participated in classroom discussions and other activities	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	3.87	3.79
STUDENT SELF EVALUATION Average	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.00	3.77	3.79

STUDENT SELF EVAL 2	15+ Hours	12-14 Hours	9-11 Hours	7-8 Hours	4 -6 Hours	1-3 Hours	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
How much time outside class did you spend on assignment or reading for this course per week?	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	5.00	3.70	3.86
STUDENT SELF EVAL 2 Average	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	5.00	3.70	3.86

STUDENT SELF EVAL 3	A	B	C	D	F	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
For this course, I expect to receive a grade of: A B C D F	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	5.00	4.76	4.80
STUDENT SELF EVAL 3 Average	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	5.00	4.76	4.80

Comments

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you enjoyed most and why.

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you didn't like and why

Your lecturer would also like to know what specific things you believe might be done to improve his/her teaching in this course.

Comment on your evaluation of the lecturers the feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams

Explain your evaluation of the course text.

What other materials or resources, if any, should be added to support your learning?

Appropriate feedback
Not applicable
N/A
Not applicable
Not applicable
N/A
Not applicable
N/A
Not applicable
N/A
Not applicable

My overall evaluation of the lecturer is.

N/A
Not applicable
Good

Section Report: DOCTORAL PRACTICUM II (PSY7722 (DC18)) Section: A, Instructor: Muturi, Lucy
Summer Semester 2019 (DOC)

COURSE EVALUATION	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Below Average	Poor	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
The lecturer clearly communicated the learning outcomes.	3 60.0%	2 40.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	4.60	4.52	4.60
The course activities were related to the learning outcomes.	4 80.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	4.80	4.57	4.60
The lecturer was well prepared for class.	2 40.0%	3 60.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	4.40	4.55	4.60
The lecturer used a variety of teaching methods.	2 40.0%	3 60.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	4.40	4.58	4.55
The lecturer demonstrated thorough knowledge of the subject.	3 60.0%	2 40.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	4.60	4.61	4.63
The lecturer was open to diverse view points and opinions.	4 80.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	4.80	4.51	4.55
The lecturer explained clearly how students would be evaluated.	5 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	5.00	4.54	4.58
The lecturer was readily available for consultation with the students during stated hours or by appointment.	5 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	5.00	4.49	4.56
Assessments were adequate and relevant to the course learning outcomes.	5 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	5.00	4.60	4.58
The Lecturer made meaningful feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams.	4 80.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	4.80	4.42	4.47
The lecturer came to class on time and finished on time.	4 80.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	4.80	4.54	4.58
The lecturer made adequate & appropriate use of the course text.	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	4.40	4.40	4.46
My rating of the course text for this course is.	2 40.0%	3 60.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	4.40	4.40	4.43
The lecturer attended all the class sessions.	3 60.0%	2 40.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	4.60	4.61	4.59
The faculty administered the mid-semester evaluation and discussed the results in class.	3 60.0%	2 40.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	4.60	4.45	4.40
The course materials availed on Blackboard enhanced my learning.	2 40.0%	3 60.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	4.40	4.43	4.56
COURSE EVALUATION Average	67.5%	31.3%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.66	4.51	4.55

STUDENT SELF EVALUATION	Always	Most of the Times	Sometimes	Rarely	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
I attended classes	4 80.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	3.80	3.63	3.71
I was punctual for this class	3	2	0	0	5	3.60	3.69	3.78

	60.0%	40.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%			
I completed and submitted my assignments in time	5 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	4.00	3.87	3.86
I participated in classroom discussions and other activities	5 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	4.00	3.87	3.79
STUDENT SELF EVALUATION Average	85.0%	15.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	3.85	3.77	3.79

STUDENT SELF EVAL 2	15+ Hours	12-14 Hours	9-11 Hours	7-8 Hours	4 -6 Hours	1-3 Hours	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
How much time outside class did you spend on assignment or reading for this course per week?	2 40.0%	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	1 20.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	3.20	3.70	3.86
STUDENT SELF EVAL 2 Average	40.0%	0.0%	20.0%	20.0%	20.0%	0.0%	100.0%	3.20	3.70	3.86

STUDENT SELF EVAL 3	A	B	C	D	F	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
For this course, I expect to receive a grade of: A B C D F	5 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	5.00	4.76	4.80
STUDENT SELF EVAL 3 Average	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	5.00	4.76	4.80

Comments

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you enjoyed most and why.

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you didn't like and why

Your lecturer would also like to know what specific things you believe might be done to improve his/her teaching in this course.

Her input in case presentations
The emphasis on self care.
different teaching styles were excellent
Self care
The discussions
None come to mind
none
None
None.
Nila so far.
None
None come to mind
None.
can bring in guest lecturers

Comment on your evaluation of the lecturers
the feedback on assignments, quizzes and
exams

Explain your evaluation of the course text.

What other materials or resources, if any,
should be added to support your learning?

My overall evaluation of the lecturer is.

So far so good
Satisfactory.
Good
very good
Appropriate and informative
Great discussions
Good
None
Satisfactory.
Good
none
videos
None
Video demonstration of therapeutic intervention
None.
None come to mind
Excellent Lecturer
excellent
Excellent
Good
Good.

**Section Report: CULTURAL DIVERSITY IN CLINICAL PSYCHOLOGY (PSY7734 (DC18)) Section: A,
Instructor: Nyaga, Nancy Summer Semester 2019 (DOC)**

COURSE EVALUATION	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Below Average	Poor	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
The lecturer clearly communicated the learning outcomes.	3 50.0%	3 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	4.50	4.52	4.60
The course activities were related to the learning outcomes.	2 33.3%	4 66.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	4.33	4.57	4.60
The lecturer was well prepared for class.	4 66.7%	2 33.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	4.67	4.55	4.60
The lecturer used a variety of teaching methods.	3 50.0%	2 33.3%	1 16.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	4.33	4.58	4.55
The lecturer demonstrated thorough knowledge of the subject.	5 83.3%	0 0.0%	1 16.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	4.67	4.61	4.63
The lecturer was open to diverse view points and opinions.	4 66.7%	2 33.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	4.67	4.51	4.55
The lecturer explained clearly how students would be evaluated.	1 16.7%	4 66.7%	1 16.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	4.00	4.54	4.58
The lecturer was readily available for consultation with the students during stated hours or by appointment.	1 16.7%	5 83.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	4.17	4.49	4.56
Assessments were adequate and relevant to the course learning outcomes.	2 33.3%	4 66.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	4.33	4.60	4.58
The Lecturer made meaningful feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams.	1 16.7%	5 83.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	4.17	4.42	4.47
The lecturer came to class on time and finished on time.	4 66.7%	2 33.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	4.67	4.54	4.58
The lecturer made adequate & appropriate use of the course text.	3 50.0%	2 33.3%	1 16.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	4.33	4.40	4.46
My rating of the course text for this course is.	2 33.3%	3 50.0%	1 16.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	4.17	4.40	4.43
The lecturer attended all the class sessions.	6 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	5.00	4.61	4.59
The faculty administered the mid-semester evaluation and discussed the results in class.	1 16.7%	4 66.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 16.7%	6 100.0%	3.67	4.45	4.40
The course materials availed on Blackboard enhanced my learning.	4 66.7%	1 16.7%	1 16.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	4.50	4.43	4.56
COURSE EVALUATION Average	47.9%	44.8%	6.2%	0.0%	1.0%	100.0%	4.39	4.51	4.55

STUDENT SELF EVALUATION	Always	Most of the Times	Sometimes	Rarely	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
I attended classes	4 66.7%	2 33.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	3.67	3.63	3.71

I was punctual for this class	3 50.0%	3 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	3.50	3.69	3.78
I completed and submitted my assignments in time	4 66.7%	2 33.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	3.67	3.87	3.86
I participated in classroom discussions and other activities	6 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	4.00	3.87	3.79
STUDENT SELF EVALUATION Average	70.8%	29.2%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	3.71	3.77	3.79

STUDENT SELF EVAL 2	15+ Hours	12-14 Hours	9-11 Hours	7-8 Hours	4 -6 Hours	1-3 Hours	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
How much time outside class did you spend on assignment or reading for this course per week?	1 16.7%	1 16.7%	3 50.0%	1 16.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	3.33	3.70	3.86
STUDENT SELF EVAL 2 Average	16.7%	16.7%	50.0%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	3.33	3.70	3.86

STUDENT SELF EVAL 3	A	B	C	D	F	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
For this course, I expect to receive a grade of: A B C D F	5 83.3%	1 16.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	4.83	4.76	4.80
STUDENT SELF EVAL 3 Average	83.3%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.83	4.76	4.80

Comments

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you enjoyed most and why.

The class discussions!
Class discussions allowed for sharing of diverse viewpoints and learning about others cultures
The way she was open to the different cultures presented in class and the examples she gave from her work with clients. it was quite insightful
use of different methods of teaching, lecture, videos, discussion. availing researched learning materials to the students.
Cultural awareness and self-awareness. How racial and cultural biases of clinicians - their influences on case conceptualisation. Interestingly, I found many deficits in this areas that I really need to work on in therapy. It was an eye opener for me. Am grateful I attended the class. The Lecturer was good in her delivery of the course.
class discussions
Maybe that it was still quite frontal teaching - some role plays may have enhanced the learning experience.
All her teaching was good. I wouldn't say I didn't like any of her lessons.
Lecturer sometimes allowed discussions to veer off into unrelated topics and was unable to get back on track, wasting a lot of time

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you didn't like and why

Your lecturer would also like to know what specific things you believe might be done to improve his/her teaching in this course.

Comment on your evaluation of the lecturers the feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams

Explain your evaluation of the course text.

What other materials or resources, if any, should be added to support your learning?

My overall evaluation of the lecturer is.

None
none
too long discussions
none
<u>Being able to guide discussions in a more productive manner</u>
May be more videos
balancing on discussions and class inputs for the day
see above
-
Feedback was timely, although some instructions in the examination were not 100% clear
<u>Timely and adequate feedback was given to the students.</u>
The Lecturers evaluations and feedback were good. Her comments enabled me to understand why I lost certain marks and how I ought to have answered the question for better / full marks
well done

it was good
It was excellent

The textbooks used offered various case scenarios and provided important cross cultural information
The Lecturer during our first class provided us with the course text materials which were not available from the Library. The books she provided covered all the topic in the course content.
We had no hard copy course text. There were several soft copy books that were very helpful.
was well selected
A book on The African context of this topic/unit
More videos on the subject and dealing with cultural issues.
The Lecturer provided us a number of books and resources to support us even in the future.

Hard copy textbooks
videos
Very engaging class - space for many different view points - I enjoyed it.
she was very knowledgeable and has mastered the art of content delivery
She was good and knew the subject well by giving relevant examples
An excellent, competent Lecturer
Good
Good

Section Report: SPECIAL TOPICS IN PSYCHOLOGY (PSY7760 (DC18)) Section: A, Instructor: Omollo, Joseph Summer Semester 2019 (DOC)

COURSE EVALUATION	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Below Average	Poor	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
The lecturer clearly communicated the learning outcomes.	2 25.0%	5 62.5%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	4.13	4.52	4.60
The course activities were related to the learning outcomes.	3 37.5%	5 62.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	4.38	4.57	4.60
The lecturer was well prepared for class.	3 37.5%	3 37.5%	2 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	4.13	4.55	4.60
The lecturer used a variety of teaching methods.	4 50.0%	3 37.5%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	4.38	4.58	4.55
The lecturer demonstrated thorough knowledge of the subject.	4 50.0%	4 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	4.50	4.61	4.63
The lecturer was open to diverse view points and opinions.	3 37.5%	3 37.5%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	1 12.5%	8 100.0%	3.88	4.51	4.55
The lecturer explained clearly how students would be evaluated.	4 50.0%	4 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	4.50	4.54	4.58
The lecturer was readily available for consultation with the students during stated hours or by appointment.	3 37.5%	5 62.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	4.38	4.49	4.56
Assessments were adequate and relevant to the course learning outcomes.	4 50.0%	4 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	4.50	4.60	4.58
The Lecturer made meaningful feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams.	2 25.0%	3 37.5%	1 12.5%	1 12.5%	1 12.5%	8 100.0%	3.50	4.42	4.47
The lecturer came to class on time and finished on time.	3 37.5%	4 50.0%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	4.25	4.54	4.58
The lecturer made adequate & appropriate use of the course text.	3 37.5%	5 62.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	4.38	4.40	4.46
My rating of the course text for this course is.	5 62.5%	3 37.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	4.63	4.40	4.43
The lecturer attended all the class sessions.	4 50.0%	3 37.5%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	4.38	4.61	4.59
The faculty administered the mid-semester evaluation and discussed the results in class.	3 37.5%	4 50.0%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	4.25	4.45	4.40
The course materials availed on Blackboard enhanced my learning.	2 25.0%	3 37.5%	2 25.0%	0 0.0%	1 12.5%	8 100.0%	3.63	4.43	4.56
COURSE EVALUATION Average	40.6%	47.7%	8.6%	0.8%	2.3%	100.0%	4.24	4.51	4.55

STUDENT SELF EVALUATION	Always	Most of the Times	Sometimes	Rarely	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
I attended classes	5 62.5%	3 37.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	3.63	3.63	3.71

I was punctual for this class	6 75.0%	2 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	3.75	3.69	3.78
I completed and submitted my assignments in time	7 87.5%	0 0.0%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	3.75	3.87	3.86
I participated in classroom discussions and other activities	8 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	4.00	3.87	3.79
STUDENT SELF EVALUATION Average	81.3%	15.6%	3.1%	0.0%	100.0%	3.78	3.77	3.79

STUDENT SELF EVAL 2	15+ Hours	12-14 Hours	9-11 Hours	7-8 Hours	4 -6 Hours	1-3 Hours	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
How much time outside class did you spend on assignment or reading for this course per week?	5 62.5%	2 25.0%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	4.50	3.70	3.86
STUDENT SELF EVAL 2 Average	62.5%	25.0%	12.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.50	3.70	3.86

STUDENT SELF EVAL 3	A	B	C	D	F	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
For this course, I expect to receive a grade of: A B C D F	6 75.0%	2 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	4.75	4.76	4.80
STUDENT SELF EVAL 3 Average	75.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.75	4.76	4.80

Comments

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you enjoyed most and why.

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you didn't like and why

the very practical nature and patience in guiding the class til we all got it right
Mastery of the practical aspect and content
N/A
assessing ourselves
The scoring
PRACTICAL USE OF PSYCHOMETRIC ASSESSMENT
practical experience.
n/a
Not necessarily that I did not like but it would have been good to go through the reports that we wrote to see how to improve our report writing. A one on one session would have been very helpful.
NOT VERY HELPFUL WITH GIVING FEEDBACK AND GUIDANCE ON ASSIGNMENTS
Lecturer did not provide guidance on how to write comprehensive reports, did not provide feedback on work handed in (work handed in the previous semester was not returned until requested), and did not provide any notes or course texts
None
None
n/a

Your lecturer would also like to know what specific things you believe might be done to improve his/her teaching in this course.

Comment on your evaluation of the lecturers the feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams

Explain your evaluation of the course text.

What other materials or resources, if any, should be added to support your learning?

My overall evaluation of the lecturer is.

Start from general to specific. If he can teach about the tests first before the practice as some meet these for the first time
nothing
nothing
A bit of theory to enrich the practice
PLEASE HELP STUDENTS WITH CLEAR FEEDBACK WHEN THEY ASK
Provide clear instructions on report writing, and provide timely feedback on assignments
Create time for one on one sessions for feedback. Otherwise he is a great lecturer with immense experience in administration of psychometrics.
To put into consideration the pairing of students when doing the personality tests
n/a
None
No feedback.. This course requires more time for feedback but the tests are very broad and it is not possible to administer, score and report in one session, thus the delay in completing each test.
n/a
it was good
FEEDBACK WASNT SO GREAT
good
demonstrated Swift response
There was little to no feedback on assignments
good
Excellent training Tests tools
EXCELLENT
There was no course text provided
good
Adequate
very approapriate
The course text is good, but the test materials should be made more accessible to facilitate practice on administration of the tests.
good
None
nothing
more tests, and especially for practice purpose
Course text
n/a
THE PSYCHOMENTIRC TESTS AND THEE BOOKS WERE EXCELLENT
More referenes
The students should be allowed to borrow the Manuals
very good
GOOD
He knows his stuff. however there ws nothing on blackbaord
An excellent lecturer with lots of knowledge, skills and experience

Good
Good
Dr. Omollo is very good in psychometrics and I would recommend him for this course. He has great first hand experience which came in very valuable for this unit.
Satisfactory

Section Report: ADVANCED PSYCHOPATHOLOGY I: Assessment & Diagnosis (PSY7719 (DC18))
Section: A, Instructor: Ongecha, Francisca **Summer Semester 2019 (DOC)**

COURSE EVALUATION	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Below Average	Poor	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
The lecturer clearly communicated the learning outcomes.	4 57.1%	3 42.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	4.57	4.52	4.60
The course activities were related to the learning outcomes.	5 71.4%	2 28.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	4.71	4.57	4.60
The lecturer was well prepared for class.	4 57.1%	3 42.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	4.57	4.55	4.60
The lecturer used a variety of teaching methods.	4 57.1%	3 42.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	4.57	4.58	4.55
The lecturer demonstrated thorough knowledge of the subject.	5 71.4%	2 28.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	4.71	4.61	4.63
The lecturer was open to diverse view points and opinions.	2 28.6%	5 71.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	4.29	4.51	4.55
The lecturer explained clearly how students would be evaluated.	4 57.1%	3 42.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	4.57	4.54	4.58
The lecturer was readily available for consultation with the students during stated hours or by appointment.	2 28.6%	4 57.1%	1 14.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	4.14	4.49	4.56
Assessments were adequate and relevant to the course learning outcomes.	4 57.1%	3 42.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	4.57	4.60	4.58
The Lecturer made meaningful feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams.	1 14.3%	6 85.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	4.14	4.42	4.47
The lecturer came to class on time and finished on time.	2 28.6%	4 57.1%	1 14.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	4.14	4.54	4.58
The lecturer made adequate & appropriate use of the course text.	4 57.1%	1 14.3%	2 28.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	4.29	4.40	4.46
My rating of the course text for this course is.	3 42.9%	2 28.6%	2 28.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	4.14	4.40	4.43
The lecturer attended all the class sessions.	3 42.9%	2 28.6%	2 28.6%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	4.14	4.61	4.59
The faculty administered the mid-semester evaluation and discussed the results in class.	3 42.9%	3 42.9%	1 14.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	4.29	4.45	4.40
The course materials availed on Blackboard enhanced my learning.	2 28.6%	4 57.1%	1 14.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	4.14	4.43	4.56
COURSE EVALUATION Average	46.4%	44.6%	8.9%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.37	4.51	4.55

STUDENT SELF EVALUATION	Always	Most of the Times	Sometimes	Rarely	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
I attended classes	4 57.1%	3 42.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	3.57	3.63	3.71

I was punctual for this class	4 57.1%	3 42.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	3.57	3.69	3.78
I completed and submitted my assignments in time	7 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	4.00	3.87	3.86
I participated in classroom discussions and other activities	6 85.7%	1 14.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	3.86	3.87	3.79
STUDENT SELF EVALUATION Average	75.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	3.75	3.77	3.79

STUDENT SELF EVAL 2	15+ Hours	12-14 Hours	9-11 Hours	7-8 Hours	4 -6 Hours	1-3 Hours	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
How much time outside class did you spend on assignment or reading for this course per week?	1 14.3%	1 14.3%	2 28.6%	1 14.3%	2 28.6%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	2.71	3.70	3.86
STUDENT SELF EVAL 2 Average	14.3%	14.3%	28.6%	14.3%	28.6%	0.0%	100.0%	2.71	3.70	3.86

STUDENT SELF EVAL 3	A	B	C	D	F	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
For this course, I expect to receive a grade of: A B C D F	4 57.1%	3 42.9%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	7 100.0%	4.57	4.76	4.80
STUDENT SELF EVAL 3 Average	57.1%	42.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.57	4.76	4.80

Comments

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you enjoyed most and why.

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you didn't like and why

The role play activities - reason view above.
The class discussions and role-plays. The feedback given was excellent
The whole of psychopathology. She is very good in what she teaches. The Clinical Interview was also very well done.
knowledge of the subject.
N/A
The role plays in class with the feedback given, enhanced learning and, better understanding of the subject area.
lectures and role play
Being late for class / canceling classes last minute - reason: lack of predictability.
All lessons were well taught/covered
N/A
None
None
none
Lack of visual aids on the usage of assessment tools in real life situations.

Your lecturer would also like to know what specific things you believe might be done to improve his/her teaching in this course.

Comment on your evaluation of the lecturers the feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams

Explain your evaluation of the course text.

What other materials or resources, if any, should be added to support your learning?

My overall evaluation of the lecturer is.

more case vignette
may be more class activities in case studies though not examinable, to understand the disorders better
None
Combine lecture with videos for further clarification.
Clearer understanding of our assignments. For instance, I thought that the "handout" to the treatment tool should be a brief take-home-messages form - however it was supposed to be more of an academic paper write-up format, which I realized last minute. Clearer instructions on that, also in the course outline, would be helpful.
N/A
To have more course texts on assessment tools.
She gave timely and adequate feedback.
good
good
It was well informed
Great feedback on the roleplays.
I hope we can still get feedback on our end-of-semester exams and on our assessment tool tasks.
Feedback was timely and adequate
Very Good.
More course texts need to be added.
I haven't read a course text.
DSM is a thorough, easy to use guidebook
Good
well thought of
Text was good. DSM-5 and other abnormal psychology books available in the library. All very helpful
The course had no course text . The lecture introduced us to a lot of materials on soft copy.
may be specific disorders materials e.g booklets
N/A

Hard copy course texts on psychopathology.
videos
None
To have visual aid applications as part of learning in class, on the usage of the different assessment tools, on different mental illnesses. This will further enhance learning.
Competent Lecturer
I enjoyed this semester's psychopathology class - especially its liveliness and practical applications through the role play exercises.
excellent
she was very knowledgeable and friendly
Good
Excellent
That she was well informed about the topics she taught

Section Report: DISSERTATION III (PSY7733 (DC18)) Section: A, Instructor: Staff, Faculty Summer
Semester 2019 (DOC)

COURSE EVALUATION	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Below Average	Poor	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
The lecturer clearly communicated the learning outcomes.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.52	4.60
The course activities were related to the learning outcomes.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.57	4.60
The lecturer was well prepared for class.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.55	4.60
The lecturer used a variety of teaching methods.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.58	4.55
The lecturer demonstrated thorough knowledge of the subject.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.61	4.63
The lecturer was open to diverse view points and opinions.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.51	4.55
The lecturer explained clearly how students would be evaluated.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.54	4.58
The lecturer was readily available for consultation with the students during stated hours or by appointment.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.49	4.56
Assessments were adequate and relevant to the course learning outcomes.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.60	4.58
The Lecturer made meaningful feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.42	4.47
The lecturer came to class on time and finished on time.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.54	4.58
The lecturer made adequate & appropriate use of the course text.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.40	4.46
My rating of the course text for this course is.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.40	4.43
The lecturer attended all the class sessions.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.61	4.59
The faculty administered the mid-semester evaluation and discussed the results in class.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.45	4.40
The course materials availed on Blackboard enhanced my learning.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.43	4.56
COURSE EVALUATION Average	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.00	4.51	4.55

STUDENT SELF EVALUATION	Always	Most of the Times	Sometimes	Rarely	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
I attended classes	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	3.63	3.71
I was punctual for this class	2	0	0	0	2	4.00	3.69	3.78

	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%			
I completed and submitted my assignments in time	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	3.87	3.86
I participated in classroom discussions and other activities	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	3.87	3.79
STUDENT SELF EVALUATION Average	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.00	3.77	3.79

STUDENT SELF EVAL 2	15+ Hours	12-14 Hours	9-11 Hours	7-8 Hours	4 -6 Hours	1-3 Hours	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
How much time outside class did you spend on assignment or reading for this course per week?	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	5.00	3.70	3.86
STUDENT SELF EVAL 2 Average	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	5.00	3.70	3.86

STUDENT SELF EVAL 3	A	B	C	D	F	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
For this course, I expect to receive a grade of: A B C D F	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	5.00	4.76	4.80
STUDENT SELF EVAL 3 Average	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	5.00	4.76	4.80

Comments

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you enjoyed most and why.

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you didn't like and why

Your lecturer would also like to know what specific things you believe might be done to improve his/her teaching in this course.

Comment on your evaluation of the lecturers the feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams

Explain your evaluation of the course text.

What other materials or resources, if any, should be added to support your learning?

Not applicable
Feedback
Not applicable
N/A
N/A
Not applicable
Good
Not applicable
Not applicable
N/A
Not applicable
N/A

My overall evaluation of the lecturer is.

Good
Not applicable

**Section Report: DRAFT DISSERTATION REPORT & DEFENCE (FIN7030D (DC18)) Section: A, Instructor:
Staff, Faculty Summer Semester 2019 (DOC)**

STUDENT SELF EVAL 3	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average									

STUDENT SELF EVAL 3	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average								

STUDENT SELF EVAL 3	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00	0.00
Average									

STUDENT SELF EVAL 3	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average									

**Section Report: DRAFT DISSERTATION REPORT & DEFENCE (MGT7030D (DC18)) Section: A,
Instructor: Staff, Faculty Summer Semester 2019 (DOC)**

COURSE EVALUATION	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Below Average	Poor	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
The lecturer clearly communicated the learning outcomes.	1 50.0%	1 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.50	4.76	4.60
The course activities were related to the learning outcomes.	1 50.0%	1 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.50	4.69	4.60
The lecturer was well prepared for class.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.72	4.60
The lecturer used a variety of teaching methods.	1 50.0%	1 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.50	4.70	4.55
The lecturer demonstrated thorough knowledge of the subject.	1 50.0%	1 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.50	4.76	4.63
The lecturer was open to diverse view points and opinions.	1 50.0%	1 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.50	4.60	4.55
The lecturer explained clearly how students would be evaluated.	1 50.0%	1 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.50	4.63	4.58
The lecturer was readily available for consultation with the students during stated hours or by appointment.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.64	4.56
Assessments were adequate and relevant to the course learning outcomes.	1 50.0%	1 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.50	4.69	4.58
The Lecturer made meaningful feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams.	1 50.0%	1 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.50	4.48	4.47
The lecturer came to class on time and finished on time.	1 50.0%	1 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.50	4.60	4.58
The lecturer made adequate & appropriate use of the course text.	1 50.0%	1 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.50	4.49	4.46
My rating of the course text for this course is.	1 50.0%	1 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.50	4.55	4.43
The lecturer attended all the class sessions.	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.00	4.67	4.59
The faculty administered the mid-semester evaluation and discussed the results in class.	1 50.0%	1 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.50	4.43	4.40
The course materials availed on Blackboard enhanced my learning.	1 50.0%	1 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	4.50	4.69	4.56
COURSE EVALUATION Average	40.6%	59.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.41	4.63	4.55

STUDENT SELF EVALUATION	Always	Most of the Times	Sometimes	Rarely	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
I attended classes	1 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 50.0%	2 100.0%	2.50	3.79	3.71

I was punctual for this class	1 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 50.0%	2 100.0%	2.50	3.82	3.78
I completed and submitted my assignments in time	1 50.0%	1 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	3.50	3.87	3.86
I participated in classroom discussions and other activities	1 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 50.0%	2 100.0%	2.50	3.67	3.79
STUDENT SELF EVALUATION Average	50.0%	12.5%	0.0%	37.5%	100.0%	2.75	3.79	3.79

STUDENT SELF EVAL 2	15+ Hours	12-14 Hours	9-11 Hours	7-8 Hours	4 -6 Hours	1-3 Hours	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
How much time outside class did you spend on assignment or reading for this course per week?	0 0.0%	1 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 50.0%	2 100.0%	2.00	3.74	3.86
STUDENT SELF EVAL 2 Average	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	100.0%	2.00	3.74	3.86

STUDENT SELF EVAL 3	A	B	C	D	F	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
For this course, I expect to receive a grade of: A B C D F	2 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	2 100.0%	5.00	4.85	4.80
STUDENT SELF EVAL 3 Average	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	5.00	4.85	4.80

Comments

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you enjoyed most and why.

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you didn't like and why

Your lecturer would also like to know what specific things you believe might be done to improve his/her teaching in this course.

Comment on your evaluation of the lecturers the feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams

Explain your evaluation of the course text.

What other materials or resources, if any, should be added to support your learning?

feed back time with Prof Jimmy
Feedback regarding data collection & analysis
It took long to get feedback at times.
N/A
N/A
Make it more technology based. Use of skype etc
Good
very good and encouraging
available in the library and e resources are great
Good
Good

My overall evaluation of the lecturer is.

use of technology, group discussions etc
Prof Katuse and Prof Jimmy provided the support in good time
Good

**Section Report: DRAFT DISSERTATION REPORT & DEFENSE (LED7030D (DC18)) Section: A,
Instructor: Staff, Faculty Summer Semester 2019 (DOC)**

COURSE EVALUATION	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Below Average	Poor	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
The lecturer clearly communicated the learning outcomes.	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	3.00	3.83	4.60
The course activities were related to the learning outcomes.	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	3.00	3.83	4.60
The lecturer was well prepared for class.	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	3.00	3.83	4.60
The lecturer used a variety of teaching methods.	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	3.00	3.83	4.55
The lecturer demonstrated thorough knowledge of the subject.	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	3.00	3.83	4.63
The lecturer was open to diverse view points and opinions.	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	3.00	3.83	4.55
The lecturer explained clearly how students would be evaluated.	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	3.00	3.83	4.58
The lecturer was readily available for consultation with the students during stated hours or by appointment.	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	3.00	3.83	4.56
Assessments were adequate and relevant to the course learning outcomes.	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	3.00	3.83	4.58
The Lecturer made meaningful feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams.	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	3.00	3.83	4.47
The lecturer came to class on time and finished on time.	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	3.00	3.83	4.58
The lecturer made adequate & appropriate use of the course text.	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	3.00	3.83	4.46
My rating of the course text for this course is.	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	3.00	3.83	4.43
The lecturer attended all the class sessions.	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	3.00	3.83	4.59
The faculty administered the mid-semester evaluation and discussed the results in class.	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	3.00	3.83	4.40
The course materials availed on Blackboard enhanced my learning.	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	3.00	3.83	4.56
COURSE EVALUATION	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	3.00	3.83	4.55

STUDENT SELF EVALUATION	Always	Most of the Times	Sometimes	Rarely	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
I attended classes	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	3.00	3.33	3.71

I was punctual for this class	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	3.00	3.33	3.78
I completed and submitted my assignments in time	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	3.00	3.17	3.86
I participated in classroom discussions and other activities	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	3.00	3.17	3.79
STUDENT SELF EVALUATION Average	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	3.00	3.25	3.79

STUDENT SELF EVAL 2	15+ Hours	12-14 Hours	9-11 Hours	7-8 Hours	4 -6 Hours	1-3 Hours	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
How much time outside class did you spend on assignment or reading for this course per week?	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	2.00	3.40	3.86
STUDENT SELF EVAL 2 Average	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	2.00	3.40	3.86

STUDENT SELF EVAL 3	A	B	C	D	F	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
For this course, I expect to receive a grade of: A B C D F	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	5.00	4.17	4.80
STUDENT SELF EVAL 3 Average	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	5.00	4.17	4.80

Comments

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you enjoyed most and why.

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you didn't like and why

Your lecturer would also like to know what specific things you believe might be done to improve his/her teaching in this course.

Comment on your evaluation of the lecturers the feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams

Explain your evaluation of the course text.

What other materials or resources, if any, should be added to support your learning?

My overall evaluation of the lecturer is.

Good feedback
Not available for student consultation, Did not post available consultation dates
Be available for student consultation, respond to messages and phon calls
Satisfactory
Satisfactory
None
Satisfactory

**Section Report: ORAL PRESENTATION OF DISSERTATION PROPOSAL (FIN7030A (DC18)) Section: A,
Instructor: Staff, Faculty Summer Semester 2019 (DOC)**

COURSE EVALUATION	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Below Average	Poor	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
The lecturer clearly communicated the learning outcomes.	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	1 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	4.00	4.00	4.60
The course activities were related to the learning outcomes.	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	1 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	4.00	4.00	4.60
The lecturer was well prepared for class.	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	1 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	4.00	4.00	4.60
The lecturer used a variety of teaching methods.	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	1 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	4.00	4.00	4.55
The lecturer demonstrated thorough knowledge of the subject.	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	1 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	4.00	4.00	4.63
The lecturer was open to diverse view points and opinions.	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	1 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	4.00	4.00	4.55
The lecturer explained clearly how students would be evaluated.	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	1 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	4.00	4.00	4.58
The lecturer was readily available for consultation with the students during stated hours or by appointment.	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	1 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	4.00	4.00	4.56
Assessments were adequate and relevant to the course learning outcomes.	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	1 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	4.00	4.00	4.58
The Lecturer made meaningful feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams.	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	1 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	4.00	4.00	4.47
The lecturer came to class on time and finished on time.	1 25.0%	3 75.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	4.25	4.25	4.58
The lecturer made adequate & appropriate use of the course text.	1 25.0%	3 75.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	4.25	4.25	4.46
My rating of the course text for this course is.	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	1 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	4.00	4.00	4.43
The lecturer attended all the class sessions.	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	1 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	4.00	4.00	4.59
The faculty administered the mid-semester evaluation and discussed the results in class.	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	1 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	4.00	4.00	4.40
The course materials availed on Blackboard enhanced my learning.	1 25.0%	2 50.0%	1 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	4.00	4.00	4.56
COURSE EVALUATION									
Average	25.0%	53.1%	21.9%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.03	4.03	4.55

STUDENT SELF EVALUATION	Always	Most of the Times	Sometimes	Rarely	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
I attended classes	3 75.0%	1 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	3.75	3.75	3.71

I was punctual for this class	3 75.0%	1 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	3.75	3.75	3.78
I completed and submitted my assignments in time	3 75.0%	1 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	3.75	3.75	3.86
I participated in classroom discussions and other activities	3 75.0%	1 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	3.75	3.75	3.79
STUDENT SELF EVALUATION Average	75.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	3.75	3.75	3.79

STUDENT SELF EVAL 2	15+ Hours	12-14 Hours	9-11 Hours	7-8 Hours	4 -6 Hours	1-3 Hours	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
How much time outside class did you spend on assignment or reading for this course per week?	2 50.0%	1 25.0%	1 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	4.25	4.25	3.86
STUDENT SELF EVAL 2 Average	50.0%	25.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.25	4.25	3.86

STUDENT SELF EVAL 3	A	B	C	D	F	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
For this course, I expect to receive a grade of: A B C D F	4 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	4 100.0%	5.00	5.00	4.80
STUDENT SELF EVAL 3 Average	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	5.00	5.00	4.80

Comments

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you enjoyed most and why.

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you didn't like and why

Your lecturer would also like to know what specific things you believe might be done to improve his/her teaching in this course.

Comment on your evaluation of the lecturers the feedback on assignments, quizzes and

Feedback was excellent
This section is not relevant for me as I am not attending classes
N/A
The pressure my supervisors put on me
this section not relevant as am not attending classes
N/A
N/A
N/A
N/A
not relevant
Where the supervisor is on summer break, the student should be guided appropriately before registration.
N/A
N/A

exams

Explain your evaluation of the course text.

What other materials or resources, if any, should be added to support your learning?

My overall evaluation of the lecturer is.

Section not relevant
Excellent
N/A
this section not relevant
N/A
N/A
Excellent
N/A
N/A
this section not relevant as I am not attending classes.
Upload of previously undertaken Doctoral research on the University Portal
My supervisors were excellent. I have given good in everything above because this survey is not tailor made for the proposal defense course
N/A
NOT RELEVANT AS AI AM NOT ATTENDING CLASSES
Feedback from the panel of lecturers during the Oral proposal presentation was Excellent.

**Section Report: ORAL PRESENTATION OF DISSERTATION PROPOSAL (LED7030A (DC18)) Section: A,
Instructor: Staff, Faculty Summer Semester 2019 (DOC)**

COURSE EVALUATION	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Below Average	Poor	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
The lecturer clearly communicated the learning outcomes.	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	5 100.0%	4.00	3.83	4.60
The course activities were related to the learning outcomes.	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	5 100.0%	4.00	3.83	4.60
The lecturer was well prepared for class.	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	5 100.0%	4.00	3.83	4.60
The lecturer used a variety of teaching methods.	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	5 100.0%	4.00	3.83	4.55
The lecturer demonstrated thorough knowledge of the subject.	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	5 100.0%	4.00	3.83	4.63
The lecturer was open to diverse view points and opinions.	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	5 100.0%	4.00	3.83	4.55
The lecturer explained clearly how students would be evaluated.	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	5 100.0%	4.00	3.83	4.58
The lecturer was readily available for consultation with the students during stated hours or by appointment.	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	5 100.0%	4.00	3.83	4.56
Assessments were adequate and relevant to the course learning outcomes.	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	5 100.0%	4.00	3.83	4.58
The Lecturer made meaningful feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams.	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	5 100.0%	4.00	3.83	4.47
The lecturer came to class on time and finished on time.	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	5 100.0%	4.00	3.83	4.58
The lecturer made adequate & appropriate use of the course text.	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	5 100.0%	4.00	3.83	4.46
My rating of the course text for this course is.	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	5 100.0%	4.00	3.83	4.43
The lecturer attended all the class sessions.	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	5 100.0%	4.00	3.83	4.59
The faculty administered the mid-semester evaluation and discussed the results in class.	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	5 100.0%	4.00	3.83	4.40
The course materials availed on Blackboard enhanced my learning.	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	5 100.0%	4.00	3.83	4.56
COURSE EVALUATION Average	60.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	100.0%	4.00	3.83	4.55

STUDENT SELF EVALUATION	Always	Most of the Times	Sometimes	Rarely	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
I attended classes	4 80.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	5 100.0%	3.40	3.33	3.71

I was punctual for this class	4 80.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	5 100.0%	3.40	3.33	3.78
I completed and submitted my assignments in time	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	5 100.0%	3.20	3.17	3.86
I participated in classroom discussions and other activities	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	5 100.0%	3.20	3.17	3.79
STUDENT SELF EVALUATION Average	70.0%	10.0%	0.0%	20.0%	100.0%	3.30	3.25	3.79

STUDENT SELF EVAL 2	15+ Hours	12-14 Hours	9-11 Hours	7-8 Hours	4 -6 Hours	1-3 Hours	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
How much time outside class did you spend on assignment or reading for this course per week?	2 40.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	1 20.0%	5 100.0%	3.00	3.40	3.86
STUDENT SELF EVAL 2 Average	40.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	20.0%	100.0%	3.00	3.40	3.86

STUDENT SELF EVAL 3	A	B	C	D	F	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
For this course, I expect to receive a grade of: A B C D F	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	5 100.0%	4.00	4.17	4.80
STUDENT SELF EVAL 3 Average	60.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	100.0%	4.00	4.17	4.80

Comments

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you enjoyed most and why.

N/A
This does not apply to my course at the moment as am currently working on my thesis
The one on one interactions
n
Guidance from faculty
N/A
n
The changes in requirements for the course were communicated late.
None
This does not apply to my course at the moment as am currently working on my thesis
None
N/A
n

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you didn't like and why

Your lecturer would also like to know what specific things you believe might be done to improve his/her teaching in this course.

Comment on your evaluation of the lecturers
the feedback on assignments, quizzes and
exams

Explain your evaluation of the course text.

What other materials or resources, if any,
should be added to support your learning?

My overall evaluation of the lecturer is.

This does not apply to my course at the moment as am currently working on my thesis
N/a
Good
This does not apply to my course at the moment as am currently working on my thesis
n
N/A
N/A
N/A
Not applicable
N/A
n
This does not apply to my course at the moment as am currently working on my thesis
n
N/A
This does not apply to my course at the moment as am currently working on my thesis
None
N-A
This does not apply to my course at the moment as am currently working on my thesis
n
Good.
My supervisors guided me well on my project
Good

**Section Report: ORAL PRESENTATION OF DISSERTATION PROPOSAL (MGT7030A (DC18)) Section: A,
Instructor: Staff, Faculty Summer Semester 2019 (DOC)**

COURSE EVALUATION	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Below Average	Poor	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
The lecturer clearly communicated the learning outcomes.	2 40.0%	2 40.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	4.20	4.76	4.60
The course activities were related to the learning outcomes.	2 40.0%	2 40.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	4.20	4.69	4.60
The lecturer was well prepared for class.	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	4.40	4.72	4.60
The lecturer used a variety of teaching methods.	2 40.0%	2 40.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	4.20	4.70	4.55
The lecturer demonstrated thorough knowledge of the subject.	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	4.40	4.76	4.63
The lecturer was open to diverse view points and opinions.	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	4.40	4.60	4.55
The lecturer explained clearly how students would be evaluated.	2 40.0%	2 40.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	4.20	4.63	4.58
The lecturer was readily available for consultation with the students during stated hours or by appointment.	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	4.40	4.64	4.56
Assessments were adequate and relevant to the course learning outcomes.	2 40.0%	2 40.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	4.20	4.69	4.58
The Lecturer made meaningful feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams.	2 40.0%	2 40.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	4.20	4.48	4.47
The lecturer came to class on time and finished on time.	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	4.40	4.60	4.58
The lecturer made adequate & appropriate use of the course text.	2 40.0%	2 40.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	4.20	4.49	4.46
My rating of the course text for this course is.	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	4.40	4.55	4.43
The lecturer attended all the class sessions.	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	4.40	4.67	4.59
The faculty administered the mid-semester evaluation and discussed the results in class.	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	4.40	4.43	4.40
The course materials availed on Blackboard enhanced my learning.	2 40.0%	2 40.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	4.20	4.69	4.56
COURSE EVALUATION Average	50.0%	30.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.30	4.63	4.55

STUDENT SELF EVALUATION	Always	Most of the Times	Sometimes	Rarely	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
I attended classes	4 80.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	5 100.0%	3.40	3.79	3.71

I was punctual for this class	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	5 100.0%	3.20	3.82	3.78
I completed and submitted my assignments in time	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	5 100.0%	3.20	3.87	3.86
I participated in classroom discussions and other activities	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	1 20.0%	5 100.0%	3.20	3.67	3.79
STUDENT SELF EVALUATION Average	65.0%	15.0%	0.0%	20.0%	100.0%	3.25	3.79	3.79

STUDENT SELF EVAL 2	15+ Hours	12-14 Hours	9-11 Hours	7-8 Hours	4 -6 Hours	1-3 Hours	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
How much time outside class did you spend on assignment or reading for this course per week?	3 60.0%	1 20.0%	1 20.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	4.40	3.74	3.86
STUDENT SELF EVAL 2 Average	60.0%	20.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.40	3.74	3.86

STUDENT SELF EVAL 3	A	B	C	D	F	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
For this course, I expect to receive a grade of: A B C D F	3 60.0%	2 40.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	5 100.0%	4.60	4.85	4.80
STUDENT SELF EVAL 3 Average	60.0%	40.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.60	4.85	4.80

Comments

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you enjoyed most and why.

N/A
N/A
patience and elaboration of facts
The lecturer is supportive and available to give guidance.
N/A
N/A
More guidance on literature
n/a

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you didn't like and why

Your lecturer would also like to know what specific things you believe might be done to improve his/her teaching in this course.

Comment on your evaluation of the lecturers
the feedback on assignments, quizzes and
exams

Explain your evaluation of the course text.

What other materials or resources, if any,
should be added to support your learning?

My overall evaluation of the lecturer is.

Ok
N/A
good
Feedback is constructive
N/A
N/A
N/A
good
There no course texts
I have not evaluated since I was not having any classes.
N/A
N/A
N/A
N/A
Seminars
n/a
N/A
excellent
This evaluation is not applicable to oral proposal presentation. I just did it so that I am able to register. So please ignore it.
Very Good
NA
N/A

**Section Report: DIPLOMATIC & CONSULAR PRACTICE (IRL7010 (DC18)) Section: A, Instructor: Sungi,
Simeon Summer Semester 2019 (DOC)**

COURSE EVALUATION	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Below Average	Poor	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
The lecturer clearly communicated the learning outcomes.	5 62.5%	2 25.0%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	4.50	4.42	4.60
The course activities were related to the learning outcomes.	4 50.0%	2 25.0%	1 12.5%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	4.13	4.47	4.60
The lecturer was well prepared for class.	5 62.5%	2 25.0%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	4.50	4.47	4.60
The lecturer used a variety of teaching methods.	4 50.0%	3 37.5%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	4.38	4.26	4.55
The lecturer demonstrated thorough knowledge of the subject.	4 50.0%	2 25.0%	1 12.5%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	4.13	4.47	4.63
The lecturer was open to diverse view points and opinions.	5 62.5%	2 25.0%	0 0.0%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	4.38	4.53	4.55
The lecturer explained clearly how students would be evaluated.	5 62.5%	2 25.0%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	4.50	4.49	4.58
The lecturer was readily available for consultation with the students during stated hours or by appointment.	4 50.0%	4 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	4.50	4.51	4.56
Assessments were adequate and relevant to the course learning outcomes.	4 50.0%	3 37.5%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	4.38	4.40	4.58
The Lecturer made meaningful feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams.	3 37.5%	3 37.5%	1 12.5%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	4.00	4.44	4.47
The lecturer came to class on time and finished on time.	5 62.5%	2 25.0%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	4.50	4.58	4.58
The lecturer made adequate & appropriate use of the course text.	4 50.0%	3 37.5%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	4.38	4.35	4.46
My rating of the course text for this course is.	3 37.5%	2 25.0%	3 37.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	4.00	4.23	4.43
The lecturer attended all the class sessions.	3 37.5%	4 50.0%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	4.25	4.51	4.59
The faculty administered the mid-semester evaluation and discussed the results in class.	4 50.0%	2 25.0%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	1 12.5%	8 100.0%	4.00	4.14	4.40
The course materials availed on Blackboard enhanced my learning.	6 75.0%	2 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	4.75	4.49	4.56
COURSE EVALUATION Average	53.1%	31.3%	11.7%	3.1%	0.8%	100.0%	4.33	4.42	4.55

STUDENT SELF EVALUATION	Always	Most of the Times	Sometimes	Rarely	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
I attended classes	6 75.0%	2 25.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	3.75	3.70	3.71

I was punctual for this class	7 87.5%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	3.88	3.91	3.78
I completed and submitted my assignments in time	7 87.5%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	3.88	3.88	3.86
I participated in classroom discussions and other activities	7 87.5%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	3.88	3.95	3.79
STUDENT SELF EVALUATION Average	84.4%	15.6%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	3.85	3.86	3.79

STUDENT SELF EVAL 2	15+ Hours	12-14 Hours	9-11 Hours	7-8 Hours	4 -6 Hours	1-3 Hours	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
How much time outside class did you spend on assignment or reading for this course per week?	3 37.5%	4 50.0%	0 0.0%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	4.13	4.30	3.86
STUDENT SELF EVAL 2 Average	37.5%	50.0%	0.0%	12.5%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.13	4.30	3.86

STUDENT SELF EVAL 3	A	B	C	D	F	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
For this course, I expect to receive a grade of: A B C D F	8 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	8 100.0%	5.00	4.88	4.80
STUDENT SELF EVAL 3 Average	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	5.00	4.88	4.80

Comments

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you enjoyed most and why.

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you didn't like and why

Both class lecture and film presentation.
The weekly abstracts, it helped in generating understanding and developing a critical perspective on the subject
Class discussions and timely feedback on all assignments
The videos and articles posted on Blackboard really enhanced my knowledge
The various teaching methods and his openness to diverse view points
Documentaries & Abstracts
The lecturer was resourceful with the course material and takes the burden of searching for material from the student
The videos we watched
The lecturer was not clear about the objectives of the course, nor did he take well to following the course outline
i found every lecture appropriate.
Just the late communication when class is postponed
I would like to see more practical aspects of diplomacy and consular practice discussed and explored
Direct lecture without allowing students voice and presentation. Although the lecturer allowed rigorous discussion class, he could have shared teaching by allowing students' presentation
none

Your lecturer would also like to know what specific things you believe might be done to improve his/her teaching in this course.

Comment on your evaluation of the lecturers the feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams

Explain your evaluation of the course text.

What other materials or resources, if any, should be added to support your learning?

My overall evaluation of the lecturer is.

N/A
N/A
Clearer objectives of the course, the course is still Diplomatic Practice, however, a lot of time was spent on Consular and Diplomatic Law
Invite more diplomats
NONE
More guest speaker lecture on this type of course.
so far so good
Improve on the variety of the reading material, give more focus on the theoretical aspect of diplomacy rather than the practical and legal elements
N/A
N/A
They were fair
They were fairly done and timely
Good. Clear explanation of terminologies including abstract/critical analysis/summary should come out clearly.
It was fair
It was useful
GOOD
Excellent. The professor gives timely feedback and is very thorough. One can learn a lot from his feedback
Good
very good
There is need to update on the resources by having contemporary and up-to-date texts and resources
Comprehensive.
Good
SATISFACTORY
quite good
Since the class is named 'practice' I expected more of the practice not as much theory or law
It was adequate and relevant
so far so good
The lecture was comprehensive.
non
Please find a way to include members of the diplomatic corps themselves
None
MORE GUEST LECTURERS
Documentaries
Have practical experience byu engaging experts outside class
Very good and thorough
Fair, however, it may be better to involve faculty with actual diplomatic practice or perhaps adapt the course to the lecturer's strength which is law and not practice.
GOOD
Excellent, supportive and inspiring
Good.

very good
Excellent
Good

Section Report: INTERNATIONAL HUMANITARIAN LAW (IRL7017 (DC18)) Section: A, Instructor: Sungi, Simeon Summer Semester 2019 (DOC)

COURSE EVALUATION	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Below Average	Poor	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
The lecturer clearly communicated the learning outcomes.	6 66.7%	3 33.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	4.67	4.42	4.60
The course activities were related to the learning outcomes.	8 88.9%	1 11.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	4.89	4.47	4.60
The lecturer was well prepared for class.	7 77.8%	2 22.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	4.78	4.47	4.60
The lecturer used a variety of teaching methods.	3 33.3%	4 44.4%	2 22.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	4.11	4.26	4.55
The lecturer demonstrated thorough knowledge of the subject.	7 77.8%	2 22.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	4.78	4.47	4.63
The lecturer was open to diverse view points and opinions.	6 66.7%	3 33.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	4.67	4.53	4.55
The lecturer explained clearly how students would be evaluated.	6 66.7%	1 11.1%	2 22.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	4.44	4.49	4.58
The lecturer was readily available for consultation with the students during stated hours or by appointment.	7 77.8%	1 11.1%	1 11.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	4.67	4.51	4.56
Assessments were adequate and relevant to the course learning outcomes.	7 77.8%	1 11.1%	1 11.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	4.67	4.40	4.58
The Lecturer made meaningful feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams.	7 77.8%	1 11.1%	1 11.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	4.67	4.44	4.47
The lecturer came to class on time and finished on time.	6 66.7%	2 22.2%	1 11.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	4.56	4.58	4.58
The lecturer made adequate & appropriate use of the course text.	6 66.7%	2 22.2%	1 11.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	4.56	4.35	4.46
My rating of the course text for this course is.	5 55.6%	3 33.3%	1 11.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	4.44	4.23	4.43
The lecturer attended all the class sessions.	5 55.6%	3 33.3%	1 11.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	4.44	4.51	4.59
The faculty administered the mid-semester evaluation and discussed the results in class.	4 44.4%	3 33.3%	1 11.1%	0 0.0%	1 11.1%	9 100.0%	4.00	4.14	4.40
The course materials availed on Blackboard enhanced my learning.	5 55.6%	3 33.3%	1 11.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	4.44	4.49	4.56
COURSE EVALUATION Average	66.0%	24.3%	9.0%	0.0%	0.7%	100.0%	4.55	4.42	4.55

STUDENT SELF EVALUATION	Always	Most of the Times	Sometimes	Rarely	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
I attended classes	7 77.8%	2 22.2%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	3.78	3.70	3.71

I was punctual for this class	8 88.9%	1 11.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	3.89	3.91	3.78
I completed and submitted my assignments in time	8 88.9%	1 11.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	3.89	3.88	3.86
I participated in classroom discussions and other activities	9 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	4.00	3.95	3.79
STUDENT SELF EVALUATION Average	88.9%	11.1%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	3.89	3.86	3.79

STUDENT SELF EVAL 2	15+ Hours	12-14 Hours	9-11 Hours	7-8 Hours	4 -6 Hours	1-3 Hours	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
How much time outside class did you spend on assignment or reading for this course per week?	5 55.6%	4 44.4%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	4.56	4.30	3.86
STUDENT SELF EVAL 2 Average	55.6%	44.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.56	4.30	3.86

STUDENT SELF EVAL 3	A	B	C	D	F	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
For this course, I expect to receive a grade of: A B C D F	8 88.9%	1 11.1%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	9 100.0%	4.89	4.88	4.80
STUDENT SELF EVAL 3 Average	88.9%	11.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.89	4.88	4.80

Comments

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you enjoyed most and why.

Class discussion and individual presentation
All
The first video for IHL it discussed dph at length and was really interesting to watch
The assigned weekly readings and the abstract submissions, it helped in better understanding of the subject
The lively discussions in class
Discussion sessions.
class discussions
different courts cases studied
The videos, the discussions, and the short lectures/presentations that he did in class so as to clarify key concepts and to ground the students well in IHL.
The numerous assignments and at times delayed feedback
N/A
Non
There were too many unnecessary assignments
The direct lecture method, this could not allow students to make a presentation and improve on mastering the subject

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you didn't like and why

Your lecturer would also like to know what specific things you believe might be done to improve his/her teaching in this course.

Comment on your evaluation of the lecturers the feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams

Explain your evaluation of the course text.

What other materials or resources, if any, should be added to support your learning?

through seminar setups
Extra caseload of assignment on weekly basis
none,
i wish he could explain well his expectations of the student
None.
inviting some visitors to give talks as well in relation to the course
Feedback on assignments and guest speaker who practices the course
Perhaps more precise and detailed feedback on the academic research paper for purposes of improvement.
Reduce the requirement for the weekly assignments
balance up the approach in teaching
Visits
Practical visit to a context of armed conflict with IHL applications - applied or not applied
All was well with the delivery.
give proper feedback
The weekly assignments were bothersome yet its not clear whether they feed into the final grade
Regular basis
excellent
Was timely and helpful
Good.
The exam was way too long and tedious to write given the legality of the questions
Focused
The lecturer's feedback was timely and constructive.
not very clear
Relevant and standard
the course text was relevant
Was good and well prepared. Course tests were relevant
Was practical
Good
it is a very educative and interesting course
It was excellent - perfectly suited for the course.
Very relevant.
Fantastic the Law of War by Sassoli was like icing on the cake
I think what is provided is sufficient in terms of resources, but it would be of an added value if field visits and visiting lectures are as well included
Kaya was awesome. More online courses would help
Perhaps more videos and talks by people engaged in the field and even field trips (or visits to organizations that deal with issues related to the course e.g ICRC
Tours
N/A
NA
we got good additional readings that were very helpful
more of practical videos that relate to the course

My overall evaluation of the lecturer is.

Presentations from ICRC
Excellent
Excellent
it was not very clear to understand what the lecturer wanted.
Professor Sungi demonstrated a lot of professionalism in this course, the only challenge was not all student were conversant to the legal expectations of the course and it was significantly demanding
The lecturer is excellent. He has an excellent command of the content and is delivery of the content was also excellent.
excellent
He delivered the content in an excellent fashion.
Excellent, inspirational and good mentorship
Excellent

Section Report: DISSERTATION 3 (IRL7900C (DC18)) Section: A, Instructor: Veney, Cassandra
Summer Semester 2019 (DOC)

COURSE EVALUATION	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Below Average	Poor	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
The lecturer clearly communicated the learning outcomes.	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	4.00	4.42	4.60
The course activities were related to the learning outcomes.	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	4.00	4.47	4.60
The lecturer was well prepared for class.	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	5.00	4.47	4.60
The lecturer used a variety of teaching methods.	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	5.00	4.26	4.55
The lecturer demonstrated thorough knowledge of the subject.	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	5.00	4.47	4.63
The lecturer was open to diverse view points and opinions.	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	5.00	4.53	4.55
The lecturer explained clearly how students would be evaluated.	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	5.00	4.49	4.58
The lecturer was readily available for consultation with the students during stated hours or by appointment.	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	4.00	4.51	4.56
Assessments were adequate and relevant to the course learning outcomes.	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	5.00	4.40	4.58
The Lecturer made meaningful feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams.	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	5.00	4.44	4.47
The lecturer came to class on time and finished on time.	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	5.00	4.58	4.58
The lecturer made adequate & appropriate use of the course text.	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	5.00	4.35	4.46
My rating of the course text for this course is.	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	5.00	4.23	4.43
The lecturer attended all the class sessions.	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	5.00	4.51	4.59
The faculty administered the mid-semester evaluation and discussed the results in class.	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	5.00	4.14	4.40
The course materials availed on Blackboard enhanced my learning.	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	5.00	4.49	4.56
COURSE EVALUATION Average	81.3%	18.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.81	4.42	4.55

STUDENT SELF EVALUATION	Always	Most of the Times	Sometimes	Rarely	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
I attended classes	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	1 100.0%	1.00	3.70	3.71
I was punctual for this class	1	0	0	0	1	4.00	3.91	3.78

	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%			
I completed and submitted my assignments in time	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	4.00	3.88	3.86
I participated in classroom discussions and other activities	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	4.00	3.95	3.79
STUDENT SELF EVALUATION Average	75.0%	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	100.0%	3.25	3.86	3.79

STUDENT SELF EVAL 2	15+ Hours	12-14 Hours	9-11 Hours	7-8 Hours	4 -6 Hours	1-3 Hours	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
How much time outside class did you spend on assignment or reading for this course per week?	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	4.00	4.30	3.86
STUDENT SELF EVAL 2 Average	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.00	4.30	3.86

STUDENT SELF EVAL 3	A	B	C	D	F	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
For this course, I expect to receive a grade of: A B C D F	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	1 100.0%	4.00	4.88	4.80
STUDENT SELF EVAL 3 Average	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.00	4.88	4.80

Comments

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you enjoyed most and why.

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you didn't like and why

Your lecturer would also like to know what specific things you believe might be done to improve his/her teaching in this course.

Comment on your evaluation of the lecturers the feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams

Explain your evaluation of the course text.

What other materials or resources, if any, should be added to support your learning?

My overall evaluation of the lecturer is.

all aspects including class discussions
none
reachable and provide proper guidance

Section Report: DISSERTATION 4 (IRL7900D (DC18)) Section: A, Instructor: Veney, Cassandra

Summer Semester 2019 (DOC)

STUDENT SELF EVAL 3	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average									

STUDENT SELF EVAL 3	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average								

STUDENT SELF EVAL 3	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average										

STUDENT SELF EVAL 3	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average									

Section Report: TREATMENT MODALITIES II: Humanistic, Existential & Other Therapies (PSY7718 (DC18)) Section: A, Instructor: Webbo, Roselynne **Summer Semester 2019 (DOC)**

COURSE EVALUATION	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Below Average	Poor	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
The lecturer clearly communicated the learning outcomes.	3 50.0%	3 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	4.50	4.52	4.60
The course activities were related to the learning outcomes.	4 66.7%	2 33.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	4.67	4.57	4.60
The lecturer was well prepared for class.	6 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	5.00	4.55	4.60
The lecturer used a variety of teaching methods.	6 100.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	5.00	4.58	4.55
The lecturer demonstrated thorough knowledge of the subject.	3 50.0%	3 50.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	4.50	4.61	4.63
The lecturer was open to diverse view points and opinions.	4 66.7%	2 33.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	4.67	4.51	4.55
The lecturer explained clearly how students would be evaluated.	3 50.0%	2 33.3%	1 16.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	4.33	4.54	4.58
The lecturer was readily available for consultation with the students during stated hours or by appointment.	5 83.3%	0 0.0%	1 16.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	4.67	4.49	4.56
Assessments were adequate and relevant to the course learning outcomes.	5 83.3%	1 16.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	4.83	4.60	4.58
The Lecturer made meaningful feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams.	4 66.7%	2 33.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	4.67	4.42	4.47
The lecturer came to class on time and finished on time.	4 66.7%	2 33.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	4.67	4.54	4.58
The lecturer made adequate & appropriate use of the course text.	3 50.0%	2 33.3%	1 16.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	4.33	4.40	4.46
My rating of the course text for this course is.	2 33.3%	3 50.0%	1 16.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	4.17	4.40	4.43
The lecturer attended all the class sessions.	5 83.3%	1 16.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	4.83	4.61	4.59
The faculty administered the mid-semester evaluation and discussed the results in class.	4 66.7%	1 16.7%	1 16.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	4.50	4.45	4.40
The course materials availed on Blackboard enhanced my learning.	5 83.3%	1 16.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	4.83	4.43	4.56
COURSE EVALUATION Average	68.7%	26.0%	5.2%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.64	4.51	4.55

STUDENT SELF EVALUATION	Always	Most of the Times	Sometimes	Rarely	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
I attended classes	4 66.7%	2 33.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	3.67	3.63	3.71

I was punctual for this class	4 66.7%	2 33.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	3.67	3.69	3.78
I completed and submitted my assignments in time	5 83.3%	1 16.7%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	3.83	3.87	3.86
I participated in classroom discussions and other activities	4 66.7%	1 16.7%	1 16.7%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	3.50	3.87	3.79
STUDENT SELF EVALUATION Average	70.8%	25.0%	4.2%	0.0%	100.0%	3.67	3.77	3.79

STUDENT SELF EVAL 2	15+ Hours	12-14 Hours	9-11 Hours	7-8 Hours	4 -6 Hours	1-3 Hours	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
How much time outside class did you spend on assignment or reading for this course per week?	1 16.7%	1 16.7%	2 33.3%	1 16.7%	1 16.7%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	3.00	3.70	3.86
STUDENT SELF EVAL 2 Average	16.7%	16.7%	33.3%	16.7%	16.7%	0.0%	100.0%	3.00	3.70	3.86

STUDENT SELF EVAL 3	A	B	C	D	F	Total	Mean	Dept Mean	All College
For this course, I expect to receive a grade of: A B C D F	4 66.7%	2 33.3%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	0 0.0%	6 100.0%	4.67	4.76	4.80
STUDENT SELF EVAL 3 Average	66.7%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	4.67	4.76	4.80

Comments

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you enjoyed most and why.

Students Role Play and presentations. This is where a student is able to evaluate the improvement made by using the theories taught how well one can practice /areas that need improvement. learning through modelling is very helpful as the lecturer gives guidance in class
The role plays.
N/A
Feedback given after each class demonstration by students
role play and treatment planning
Treatment Planning. It enabled me to know how to go about it in therapy.
none
Sometimes it was a bit rushed - there was sometimes a bit too much planned for one session.
At the beginning there were too many notes and i felt rushed but it was in line with the class outline
N/A
The role plays. Sometimes it wasn't easy for me to really understand what the lecturer wanted done in the role plays.
The lecturer was very good. She even made time for those that needed make up sessions. Kudos
none

Your lecturer would like to know which aspects of his/her teaching you didn't like and why

Your lecturer would also like to know what specific things you believe might be done to improve his/her teaching in this course.

Comment on your evaluation of the lecturers
the feedback on assignments, quizzes and exams

Explain your evaluation of the course text.

What other materials or resources, if any,
should be added to support your learning?

My overall evaluation of the lecturer is.

I'd appreciated if the term paper requirements and individual topics had been discussed at an earlier stage - the first few weeks of the semester are usually much calmer and could be well used to start writing on the paper.
To have more visual aids discussed in class on existential and humanistic approaches in therapy.
spread the inputs more instead of having a lot at the beginning
The course was well structured with students activities assigned every week. I think that is good for now.
N/A
The lecturer ensured that I understood where I lost marks and how I should do better next time. Though exams are funny.
It was good
Good.
Feedback was timely and adequate
was adequate
Dr. Webbo gave me meaningful feedback on my assignment upon request.
quite insightful. they were good

N/A
The course text covered all that we learned and examined for.
Lack of enough copies in the library.
was well selected
a book on sample vignette cases for practice of treatment planning
More visual aids on the subject area.

N/A
Text books on theories taught in class. Journals were not adequate
nothing more for now
Good
It was good especially the practice
She was the best for the course
Good , Competent Lecturer
Very Good
Very engaging class that I enjoyed, especially through the interactive role plays.