

D-RAG & RoLit-KG: Advanced Knowledge Graph Systems

KGQA + Romanian Literary KG Construction

Roberto Hordoan

D-RAG / RoLit-KG pipeline

January 12, 2026

Goal (10 minutes)

- Present two complementary systems:
 - **D-RAG**: differentiable retrieval-augmented generation for KGQA
 - **RoLit-KG**: production pipeline to build a Romanian literary KG in Neo4j
- Show how they connect: **KG construction** → **KGQA**

Agenda

- 1 D-RAG: problem, architecture, results, how to reproduce
- 2 RoLit-KG: datasets, pipeline, schema/QC, scaling, results
- 3 Integration: using RoLit-KG as a knowledge source for D-RAG-style QA

D-RAG: the KGQA problem

- Task: answer a natural-language question using evidence from a knowledge graph
- Standard RAG limitation:
 - retrieval is **discrete** (select a subgraph), breaking end-to-end gradients
- D-RAG goal: make retrieval **trainable with the generator loss**

D-RAG: core idea (differentiable retrieval)

- **Retriever (GNN)** assigns a selection probability per fact/triple
- **Sampler** uses **Gumbel-Softmax (straight-through)** to pick facts while preserving gradients
- **Differentiable prompting** injects selected graph embeddings into the LLM latent space
- Generator trains to answer; retriever trains to reduce noise while keeping recall

D-RAG: implementation highlights in this repo

- Retriever: ReaRev-style instruction-conditioned GNN (`src/model/retriever.py`)
- Sampler: Independent Binary Gumbel-Softmax (`src/model/sampler.py`)
- Generator: Nemotron-3-Nano-30B with LoRA + differentiable prompting (`src/model/generator.py`)
- Training schedule: Phase 1 pre-train (10 epochs) + Phase 2 joint (20 epochs)

D-RAG: Implementation Challenges (Beyond the Paper)

- **Hybrid Architecture Compatibility:**

- We used **Nemotron-3-Nano-30B** (Mamba/Transformer hybrid) instead of Llama-3 (standard Transformer).
- *The Issue:* Standard HuggingFace `generate()` functions failed (produced empty/garbage outputs) when injecting continuous graph embeddings into the hybrid architecture.
- *The Fix:* We engineered a **custom greedy decoding loop** to manually handle autoregressive generation with `inputs_embeds`.

- **Training Stability & Optimization:**

- **Loss Balancing:** The paper's GradNorm approach proved unstable in our mixed-precision setup. We achieved convergence using a robust **static weight** ($\lambda = 0.1$).
- **LoRA Adapters:** Essential for the 30B parameter model (Rank=64, $\alpha=128$); the paper implied full fine-tuning which was infeasible for this scale.
- **Hard Capping:** Added a strictly enforced **top-100 fact cap** before the Gumbel-Softmax step to prevent OOM errors on dense heuristic subgraphs.

Phase 1 supervision: “heuristics” subgraphs (CWQ)

- Phase 1 pre-trains the retriever using **per-question subgraphs**
- We build training targets from **heuristic paths** on the gold subgraph:
 - Input: RoG-CWQ provides a per-question graph (triples), plus `q_entity/a_entity`
 - We cap triples per example (default `-limit_triples 50`) for speed/memory
 - Construct an undirected adjacency and run BFS up to 4 hops to find `q→a` paths
 - If no path is found: fallback to a few 1-hop edges touching seed entities (`q/a`)
- Edge labeling idea:
 - a triple is labeled positive iff it matches consecutive entity pairs along any path

Heuristics generation: what we actually write to JSONL

- We generate data/train_heuristics_cwq_train.jsonl from a RoG-CWQ split:

```
python scripts/generate_cwq_heuristics.py \  
--input data/cwq/ComplexWebQuestions_train.json \  
--output data/train_heuristics_cwq_train.jsonl \  
--limit_triples 50
```

- Each line includes (minimum):
 - question: str
 - triples: [[head, rel, tail], ...] (capped)
 - paths: [[e0, e1, ...], ...] (entity sequences)
 - answer: str|[str] and graph_size

Dataset shape (CWQ) and training time

- **CWQ (ComplexWebQuestions):**
 - multi-hop KGQA questions with per-question gold subgraphs (RoG-CWQ format)
 - fields we consume for heuristics: `question`, `graph`, `q_entity`, `a_entity`, `answer`
- **Phase 1** training:
 - retriever warmup on heuristic labels (BCE + ranking, $\rho = 0.7$), per-question subgraphs
- **Training time note (our run):**
 - Phase 2 on CWQ: ~ 7 hours / epoch on an NVIDIA A100

Key D-RAG selection parameters (sampler thresholds)

- **Gumbel-Softmax temperature** (`-temperature`, default 0.5)
 - lower $\tau \Rightarrow$ more discrete selections; higher $\tau \Rightarrow$ smoother gradients
- **Max facts cap** (`-max_facts_cap`, default 100)
 - keep at most top- k facts before thresholding (compute/memory control)
- **Probability threshold** (`-prob_threshold`, default 0.01; paper uses 0.01)
 - filter selected/top facts below this probability; fallback to top-1 if empty
- **Phase 1 loss mixing** (ρ , default 0.7): BCE vs ranking loss in retriever warmup

D-RAG: results on CWQ (paper vs ours)

- ComplexWebQuestions (CWQ), reported metrics: Hits@1 and Gen F1



Method	Hits@1	Gen F1
Static Cascade (paper)	54.3	60.6
Dynamic Cascade (paper)	55.9	61.9
SubgraphRAG (paper)	57.0	47.2
GNN-RAG (paper)	66.8	59.4
D-RAG (paper reported)	63.8	70.3
D-RAG (ours, 20 epochs)	79.0	71.2

- Paper comparison table is summarized from docs/drag_documentation.tex
- Observed training overhead vs cascade baselines: $\sim 6.8\%$ – 8.0%

D-RAG: reproduce the 20-epoch Phase 2 run

- Ensure a Phase 1 checkpoint exists (checkpoints_cwq_subgraph/phase1_best.pt)

```
python -m src.trainer.train_phase2 \  
--heuristics_path data/train_heuristics_cwq_train.jsonl \  
--val_heuristics_path data/train_heuristics_cwq_val.jsonl \  
--phase1_checkpoint checkpoints_cwq_subgraph/phase1_best.pt \  
--checkpoint_dir checkpoints_cwq_phase2_20ep \  
--epochs 20 \  
--batch_size 64 \  
--lr 5e-5 \  
--temperature 0.5 \  
--ret_loss_weight 0.1 \  
--max_facts_cap 100 \  
--val_generation \  
--eos_loss_weight 1.0
```

- Practical runtime: ~7h/epoch on CWQ (A100) \Rightarrow plan multi-day training for 20 epochs.  

Why a KG for Romanian literature?

- Narrative texts contain characters, places, events, and recurring motifs
- A KG enables:
 - entity-centric exploration (Who interacts with whom? where?)
 - cross-document aggregation (recurring entities, hubs, communities)
 - provenance-aware evidence (every edge tied to text evidence)
- Designed to scale from small samples to full corpora

- **RO-Stories** (Hugging Face: `readerbench/ro-stories`)
 - Romanian narrative paragraphs (12,516 docs in the full corpus)
 - Field used: `paragraph` \rightarrow `text`
- **HistNERo** (Hugging Face: `avramandrei/histnero`)
 - Historical Romanian NER dataset (token classification)
 - Converted into doc-level text + spans with char offsets for ingestion

Pipeline at a glance

- ➊ Ingest →normalize (Unicode NFC + diacritics)
- ➋ Chunk text (overlap for context)
- ➌ Extract:
 - NER: regex / transformer (XLM-R NER) / gold HistNERo spans
 - Relations: heuristic or **LLM (Ollama JSON schema)** with evidence grounding
- ➍ Resolve entities (lexical for scale; embedding-based resolution optional/roadmap)
- ➎ Graph-level QC (constraints, dedupe, junk suppression)
- ➏ Optional Event nodes derived from relations
- ➐ Export Neo4j Cypher + analytics report

Schema & ontology (lightweight, constraint-friendly)

- Core node types:
 - `:Work` (document-level)
 - `:Mention` (surface span in text)
 - `:Entity` with secondary labels `:Character/:Person/:Location/:Event`
- Key edges:
 - `(Work)-[:HAS_MENTION]->(Mention)`
 - `(Mention)-[:REFERS_TO]->(Entity)`
 - `(Mention)-[:COREFERS_WITH]->(Mention)` (derived)
 - Entity relations: `INTERACTS_WITH`, `LOCATED_IN`, `TRAVELS_TO`, ...

Quality control at the graph level

- Enforce constraints (examples):
 - `LOCATED_IN` / `TRAVELS_TO`: target must be `Location`
 - forbid self-loops; drop type-impossible edges
- Deduplicate edges:
 - canonical direction for symmetric predicates (e.g., `INTERACTS_WITH`)
 - dedupe on (src, pred, tgt, doc, chunk)
- Detect/suppress junk hubs:
 - stopwords / very short tokens becoming high-degree entities

Scaling: retrieval + caching

- Problem: full-corpus runs can be dominated by model calls
- Solution:
 - Content-addressable cache by hash:
 - NER candidates cached per chunk
 - LLM relation JSON cached per chunk + entity table
 - Retrieve only relevant prior context:
 - pass previous chunk as context **only if shared entities overlap**
- Outcome: incremental rebuilds become much faster

Results (from current documentation)

- Production run example (103 docs):

Metric	Value
Documents	103
Mentions extracted	1,158
Entities resolved	30 (97% reduction)
Relations	102,316
Runtime	57s

- Full-corpus lexical run (12,519 docs): 13,106 chunks, 181k mentions, 31,721 entities, 257k relations

How to run (end-to-end)

- Download corpora:

```
python scripts/download_rolit_datasets.py --output_dir data --limit 0
```

- Run pipeline (NER + LLM relations + QC + events + caching):

```
python run_full_pipeline.py \
  --ro_stories_jsonl data/ro_stories_full.jsonl \
  --histnero_jsonl data/histnero_full.jsonl \
  --output_dir outputs/rolit_kg_full_run \
  --run_name rolit_kg_full_run \
  --ner_engine transformers \
  --ner_model Davlan/xlm-roberta-base-ner-hrl \
  --relations_engine ollama \
  --ollama_url http://inference.ccrolabs.com \
  --ollama_rel_model llama3.2:3b \
  --ollama_rel_timeout_s 180 \
  --cache_dir outputs/cache \
```

Neo4j load & example queries

- Load:

```
:source outputs/rolit_kg_full_run/cypher/constraints.cypher  
:source outputs/rolit_kg_full_run/cypher/load.cypher
```

- Starter queries live in: docs/rolit_kg_starter_queries.cypher

Next steps

- Improve extraction recall while maintaining precision:
 - more relation-specific validators and confidence calibration
- Faster scaling:
 - batching, concurrency, and ANN-based entity resolution
- Better grounding:
 - align fictional mentions with historical entities (HistNERo) + external KBs
- Product:
 - Neo4j Bloom / small UI / QA over graph

How D-RAG and RoLit-KG connect

- RoLit-KG gives you a **fresh KG** from Romanian text with provenance + Neo4j export
- D-RAG consumes a KG/subgraph dataset for KGQA training and inference
- Integration path (pragmatic):
 - RoLit-KG → export triples (Entities + Relations) into a KGQA format
 - Build question/answer supervision (or synthetic QA) and train D-RAG on RoLit-KG-derived facts
- Net: **KG construction + differentiable KGQA** in one repository

Questions?