

## Part 1

Answer to the problem goes here.

1. Exercise 2.1.1 answer here.

- (a).  $\forall x (P(x) \rightarrow A(m, x))$
- (b).  $\exists x (P(x) \wedge A(x, m))$
- (c).  $A(m, m)$
- (d).  $\neg \exists x (S(x) \wedge \forall y (L(y) \rightarrow B(x, y)))$
- (e).  $\neg \exists y (L(y) \wedge \forall x (S(x) \rightarrow B(x, y)))$
- (f).  $\forall x \forall y (L(x) \wedge S(y) \rightarrow \neg B(y, x))$

2. Exercise 2.1.3 answer here.

InBox(x) = x is in the box  
 Animal(x) = x is an animal  
 Cat(x) = x is a cat  
 Dog(x) = x is a dog  
 Red(x) = x is red  
 Boy(x) = x is a boy  
 Prize(x) = x is a prize  
 Won(y, x) = x won y

- (a).  $\forall x (Red(x) \rightarrow InBox(x))$
- (b).  $\forall x (InBox(x) \rightarrow Red(x))$
- (c).  $\neg \exists x (Animal(x) \wedge Cat(x) \wedge Dog(x))$
- (d).  $\forall x (Prize(x) \rightarrow \exists y (Boy(y) \wedge Won(y, x)))$
- (e).  $\exists y (Boy(y) \wedge \forall x (Prize(x) \rightarrow Won(y, x)))$

3. Exercise 2.3.9 (k) answer here.

1.	$\forall x (P(x) \wedge Q(x))$	premise
2.	$x_0 \quad P(x_0) \wedge Q(x_0)$	$\forall x \text{ e } 1$
3.	$P(x_0)$	$\wedge \text{ e }_1 \text{ 2}$
4.	$\forall x P(x)$	$\forall x \text{ i } 2\text{--}3$
5.	$x_0 \quad P(x_0) \wedge Q(x_0)$	$\forall x \text{ e } 1$
6.	$Q(x_0)$	$\wedge \text{ e }_2 \text{ 5}$
7.	$\forall x Q(x)$	$\forall x \text{ i } 5\text{--}6$
8.	$\forall x P(x) \wedge \forall x Q(x)$	$\wedge \text{ i } 4, 7$

## Project 2

IFT 6755  
April 4, 2019

Exercise 2.3.9 (l) answer here.

1.	$\forall x P(x) \vee \forall x Q(x)$	premise																					
2.	<div style="border-bottom: 1px solid black; margin-bottom: 5px;"> <math>x_0</math> </div> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 5%; text-align: right;">3.</td> <td style="width: 40%;"><math>\forall x P(x)</math></td> <td style="width: 55%; text-align: left;">assumption</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">4.</td> <td><math>P(x_0)</math></td> <td style="text-align: left;"><math>\exists x \text{ e } 3</math></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">5.</td> <td><math>P(x_0) \vee Q(x_0)</math></td> <td style="text-align: left;"><math>\vee \text{ i }_1 4</math></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">6.</td> <td><math>\forall x Q(x)</math></td> <td style="text-align: left;">assumption</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">7.</td> <td><math>Q(x_0)</math></td> <td style="text-align: left;"><math>\exists x \text{ e } 6</math></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">8.</td> <td><math>P(x_0) \vee Q(x_0)</math></td> <td style="text-align: left;"><math>\vee \text{ i }_2 7</math></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">9.</td> <td><math>P(x_0) \vee Q(x_0)</math></td> <td style="text-align: left;"><math>\vee \text{ e } 1, 3-5, 6-8</math></td> </tr> </table>		3.	$\forall x P(x)$	assumption	4.	$P(x_0)$	$\exists x \text{ e } 3$	5.	$P(x_0) \vee Q(x_0)$	$\vee \text{ i }_1 4$	6.	$\forall x Q(x)$	assumption	7.	$Q(x_0)$	$\exists x \text{ e } 6$	8.	$P(x_0) \vee Q(x_0)$	$\vee \text{ i }_2 7$	9.	$P(x_0) \vee Q(x_0)$	$\vee \text{ e } 1, 3-5, 6-8$
3.	$\forall x P(x)$	assumption																					
4.	$P(x_0)$	$\exists x \text{ e } 3$																					
5.	$P(x_0) \vee Q(x_0)$	$\vee \text{ i }_1 4$																					
6.	$\forall x Q(x)$	assumption																					
7.	$Q(x_0)$	$\exists x \text{ e } 6$																					
8.	$P(x_0) \vee Q(x_0)$	$\vee \text{ i }_2 7$																					
9.	$P(x_0) \vee Q(x_0)$	$\vee \text{ e } 1, 3-5, 6-8$																					
10.	$\forall x (P(x) \vee Q(x))$	$\forall x \text{ 2-9}$																					

Exercise 2.3.9 (m) answer here.

1.	$\exists x (P(x) \wedge Q(x))$	premise															
2.	<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 5%; text-align: right;">3.</td> <td style="width: 40%;"><math>P(x_0)</math></td> <td style="width: 55%; text-align: left;"><math>\wedge \text{ e }_1 2</math></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">4.</td> <td><math>\exists x P(x)</math></td> <td style="text-align: left;"><math>\exists x \text{ i } 3</math></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">5.</td> <td><math>Q(x_0)</math></td> <td style="text-align: left;"><math>\wedge \text{ e }_2 2</math></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">6.</td> <td><math>\exists x Q(x)</math></td> <td style="text-align: left;"><math>\exists x \text{ i } 5</math></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">7.</td> <td><math>\exists x P(x) \wedge \exists x Q(x)</math></td> <td style="text-align: left;"><math>\wedge \text{ i } 4, 6</math></td> </tr> </table>		3.	$P(x_0)$	$\wedge \text{ e }_1 2$	4.	$\exists x P(x)$	$\exists x \text{ i } 3$	5.	$Q(x_0)$	$\wedge \text{ e }_2 2$	6.	$\exists x Q(x)$	$\exists x \text{ i } 5$	7.	$\exists x P(x) \wedge \exists x Q(x)$	$\wedge \text{ i } 4, 6$
3.	$P(x_0)$	$\wedge \text{ e }_1 2$															
4.	$\exists x P(x)$	$\exists x \text{ i } 3$															
5.	$Q(x_0)$	$\wedge \text{ e }_2 2$															
6.	$\exists x Q(x)$	$\exists x \text{ i } 5$															
7.	$\exists x P(x) \wedge \exists x Q(x)$	$\wedge \text{ i } 4, 6$															
8.	$\exists x P(x) \wedge \exists x Q(x)$	$\exists x \text{ e } 1, 2-7$															

### 4. Exercise 2.4.1

According to the page 133 to 135, we found truth value of  $\forall x \forall y Q(g(x, y), g(y, y), z)$  depends on the valuation of its free variables.

Let, we choose A to be the set of integers,  $g^M(a, a)$  is the result of a from a and third integers (a, b, c) is in  $Q^M$  if and only if, c equals the product of a and b. That way  $g(y, y)$  is interpreted as 0. So the valuation of 0 equals to z. Thus,  $l(z) = 0$  the formula holds in our model whereas for  $l(z) = 1$  is false.

### 5. Exercise 2.4.6

According to the page 139 to 140,

Let choose a model A with integer set. We define  $(n, m) x \in P^M$  if and only if  $n$  is  $n \leq m$ . Evidently the interpretation of  $P$  is reflexive and transitive:  $n \leq m, m \leq k$ , and  $n \leq k$ . However  $2 \leq 3$  and  $3 \not\leq 2$  shows that the interpretation is not symmetric.

## Project 2

IFT 6755  
April 4, 2019

---

We interpret  $P(x, y)$  as  $x$  is a brother of  $y$ . This relationship is transitive and symmetric but not reflexive.

We define  $A = a, b, c$  and  $P^M = (a, a), (b, b), (c, c), (a, c), (a, b), (b, a), (c, a)$ . While the interpretation is reflexive and symmetric, we have  $(b, a)$  and  $(c, a)$  are in  $P^M$ . Thus we need  $(b, c) \in P^M$  to secure transitivity of  $P^M$ . Since this is not the case, we infer that the interpretation of  $P^M$  is not transitive.