

# Sex, Drugs, and Munchies

Process Book

---

Ryan Saunders  
u0642422@gmail.com  
u0642422

Bob Wong  
bob.wong@nurs.utah.edu  
u0196549

---

Document v.0.1

Last edited November 2015

Repository: <https://github.com/rhyeen/datavisfinalproject>

## Background and Motivation

According to the National Institute of Drug Abuse (NIDA) drugs affect the brain by stimulating natural neurotransmitters. Neurotransmitters are the chemical switches which tell brain neurons to turn on or off. Most drugs activate dopamine. Dopamine is the neurotransmitter that regulates movement such as physical activity, emotions, and pleasure. Drugs can have negative long term consequences by habituating a user's neurotransmitter levels. In other words, drug users have to have higher levels of neurotransmitters for the effect of movement, emotions, and pleasure to occur. Another effect of drugs, especially marijuana, is increasing appetite. To investigate the relationship between drugs, pleasures, activity and appetite we are using data garnered by the Center for Disease Control and prevention (CDC).

## Related Work

We were inspired by many examples demonstrated in class and a few examples outside of class on our own research. Screenshots and in-depth explanations can be found in the appendix.

## Questions

### Data Processing

The datasets are delivered from the CDC in SAS transport file format. The data are unlabeled values (1's and 2's as opposed to Male's and Female's). The first step of the procedure was to convert the data to be labeled format. This requires conversion of the CDC codebook in PDF format to statistical syntax which will label the data. Once the data is labeled, converting to CSV and JSON was trivial. There have been many data cleanup issues that were not expected like assuming that income would be an ordinal variable when in actuality it is nominal (see table below). Doing histograms of categorical variables is not correct so recoding data will happen prior to visualization. There are certain other variables such as total number of sexual partners/year that needs to be derived from summation of two different variables (female partners/year and male partners/year) since there are many that report as bisexual. Other issues of data cleanup include

how to report missing values. The NHANES dataset utilize numerical missing data indicators (usually 77777 or 99999). Having numerical values such as these will drastically impact any kind of data aggregation such as means and also range values when creating histograms. To deal with these values we will set all missing values to NULL.

## Visualization Design

We knew that we'd be dealing with complex relationships between multiple datasets. After reviewing the data types, we determined that the best approach to visualizing the data would be to have separate input and output sections. With the input, the user can filter the domain of data in each set. Multiple data sets could be filtered at the same time, to produce an interesting domain. The output would be directly linked to the filter and it would automatically alter the view depending on the filtering. We discussed the benefits of either allowing very refined filtering, or restricting the filtering to a certain set (e.g. only allow users to filter personal information data, such as age, ethnicity, and gender).

We determined that a more flexible tool would be desirable for the user. The resulting design can be found as Figure 1 in the appendix.

## Exploratory Data Analysis

Because we started with more dataset than we could possibly visually deal with, we started by reducing down the dataset to vital categories that we personally believed would have the most interesting results. We created a spreadsheet that showed our initial understanding and organization of this chosen data (Data Review 1). This helped us see that much the dataset could be merged into set categories, as many questionnaire choices followed a similar pattern (something that we didn't realize by viewing the raw data). We then downloaded the raw datasets and converted the data to meaningful values (as explained in the Questions section above). An example of this is shown in Data Review 2.

From there, we further processed the JSON data in javascript so that it could be normalized for D3. We also implemented a small tool that counted the number of values within each dataset for each question. This helped us resolve special cases, and better understand how to visualize the

datasets.

## Design Evolution

Alternative designs can be found in the appendix with in-depth explanations and insights into each design (Figure 1-4). Ultimately, we have decided to stick with Figure 1 as our chosen design as was the most flexible option for user exploration. So far, we have not deviated from this design choice.

## Implementation

Not capable of evaluating prior to completed implementation; however, a mock-up design of our system can be found in Figure 1.

## Evaluation

Not capable of evaluating prior to completed implementation.

## Appendix

Figure 1 - Final design choice split into two components: Input (filtering of a graph) and output (the graphs themselves). The input uses distortion and heat charts to show relevant data that can be brushed. Brushing is linked to the selected graph (in this case, Graph 2). Graphs can be labeled, copied, deleted, and the x-axis can be changed to match any criteria from the five categories (Personal Info, Physical Activity, Sexual Activity, Substance Use, and Dietary Behavior).










Figure 2 - Optional additional charts that generally show relations between different subsets of the personal information items. Filtering can be done on the personal information, and the colored score is updated to show the change. Scores are computed based on the facts discovered from each of the four remaining categories (excluding Personal Information).

### Personal Information




\*Scores are based on high and low values across several questionnaires in each area.

Figure 3 - Referring to Figure 2, an alternative method to scores would be to display bar charts with the most relevant information from each category given the filter specified. This was one concept design that we considered for this case.



Figure 4 - A discarded design idea. We considered having the user specify the x-axis of different charts based on a given set from the data. From here, the user could brush any graph to filter the domain. This would update all other graphs to match. We discarded the idea as the graphs didn't represent the complexities of data correlation as well as our other design proposals.






## Data Review 1 -

	Personal Information	Sexual Activity			Drug/Alcohol/Cigarette use				Physical Activity		Dietary Behavior			
Categorical	Gender	Use Protection												
	Race													
	Marital Status													
Ordinal	Education										Amount owned in house			
											Leafy Vegetables	Salty Food	Fruits/Vegetables	Soft Drinks
Quantitative	Age	Number of X in a year			Days since last use of X drug				Hours used in 30 days		Money Spent			
	Income	Sex Partners	New partners	Times Having Sex	Marijuana	Cocaine	Heroin	Methamphetamine	TV/Video	Computer		Eating Out	Carry-out/Delivery	At Grocery Store
		Vaginal	Oral	Anal										
					Number of times used in last 30 days				Minutes in Typical Day					
					Marijuana	Cocaine	Heroin	Methamphetamine	Walk/Bike	Recreational Activities	Sedentary			
					Number of times used per day				Rigorous		Moderate			
					Alcohol		Cigarette							
					How often use X per 30 days				Days in Week					
					Alcohol		Cigarette		Walk/Bike	Recreational Activities	Sedentary			

## Data Review 2 -

Respon	Data re	Gender	Age in y	Race/H	Educati	Marital	Annual	Ev
51663	6	Female	18	Other Hisp			\$20,000 to N	
51924	6	Male	18	Mexican A			\$100,000 ε N	
52011	6	Male	18	Non-Hispa			\$20,000 to N	
52016	6	Female	18	Mexican A			\$25,000 to N	
52046	6	Male	18	Non-Hispa			\$100,000 ε Ye	
52049	6	Male	18	Non-Hispa			\$25,000 to N	
52075	6	Male	18	Other Race			\$35,000 to Ye	
52077	6	Female	18	Non-Hispa			\$15,000 to	
52123	6	Female	18	Other Hisp			\$25,000 to N	
52253	6	Female	18	Non-Hispa			\$75,000 to Ye	
52298	6	Male	18	Non-Hispa			Dont know	
52319	6	Female	18	Other Race			\$35,000 to N	
52347	6	Female	18	Mexican A			\$100,000 ε N	
52612	6	Male	18	Mexican A			\$35,000 to Ye	
52623	6	Female	18	Non-Hispa			\$20,000 to Ye	
52639	6	Male	18	Non-Hispa			\$100,000 ε Ye	
52742	6	Male	18	Non-Hispa			\$25,000 to N	
52816	6	Male	18	Non-Hispa			\$55,000 to N	
52930	6	Female	18	Non-Hispa			\$55,000 to N	

Building from concepts from class:




## OVERVIEW + DETAIL

one view shows (often summarized) information about entire dataset, while additional view(s) shows more detailed information about a subset of the data


**rational**

for large or complex data, a single view of the entire dataset cannot capture fine details


Related work 1 - We thought it would be good to have the ability to look at the data overall, and if on-focus the graphs would be “zoomed” and more detail would appear. Contrarily, if the object (graph) is not-on-focus you could still see the graph in a “diffuse” appearance without detail and clarity. The diffuse appearance would allow more graphs to be on the screen at the same time as the on-focus graph and give the on-focus graph context. The “diffuse” appearance is illustrated below.



Related work 2 - We really liked having multiple views on one screen with ability to filter and subset. Something similar to the graph that was demonstrated in class below.



Related work 3 - Since our dataset has a lot of categorical data, and less continuous variables, we thought we could do something similar using push buttons to filter and subset data as demonstrated in class in the figure below.



Related work 4 - An additional feature that we may include is a dashboard that allows quick comparisons between genders on drug, alcohol, food behaviors etc. The dashboard will be designed similarly to the figure below. The layout will always have gender as the pie chart. And the bar graphs will always have frequency counts. The user will be able to pick which question they want to display.

