\propto

Life@Sea

Networking Marine Biodiversity into Biotech Futures

Stefan Helmreich

summarized the proceedings with a pithy headline placed June headline from *The Californian*, a Monterey newspaper, secrets for healing the planet and its resident humans. A 12 and ecological diversity—diversity that might well contain ocean was also figured as a realm full of unexplored biotic anthropogenic stresses such as global warming, overfishagreed that the ocean was under increasing pressure from agenda for twenty-first-century care of the sea. Delegates science and technology, fisheries management, and civil ing, and pollution.2 At the same time, the beleaguered visions of the ocean), the meeting meant to set a national and military ocean policy and timed to coincide with the drawn from physical and biological oceanography, marine Expo in Lisbon, Portugal, which fixed on world cultures United Nations' Year of the Ocean (and the 1998 World in the world.1 Attended by some five hundred delegates located on the edge of one of the largest marine reserves Conference was convened in Monterey, California, a city In June 1998, the United States' first National Ocean

just above a photograph of a windblown Vice President Al Gore, a key moderator of discussion: "Delegates agree: Sea is life." By "life," participants at the conference referred at once to the ocean as a vital planetary fluid and as a site emblematic of life writ large, because the sea constitutes the majority of the biosphere and is believed to be the medium in which life on Earth originated.

sensuousness of a wet and blue-green Earth" (1995:174) is diagnosed as a contemporary "yearning for the physical warrant to interest in the sea. What Donna Haraway has who we are as human beings and what happens in this mag water.... There is this extraordinary connection between more individualistic and sentimental register, then first lady species on Earth. If the sea is sick, we'll feel it. If it dies, we and the well-being of the oceans were a conference staple. (Davidson 1998:4).4 piety: "The sea is mother to all life on the planet" Gaian parental embrace intended to awaken a human filial kinship with the planet. One popular year-of-the-ocear ies. Water becomes a fluid substance underwriting human here rendered as experientially accessible in our own bodtheory of correspondences, giving a numinous humanist impressionistically revives a kind of microcosm-macrocosm though incorrect (it compares surface area to volume) nificent body of water."3 This association—evocative, planet is ocean, and seventy-one percent of our body is salt Hillary Clinton pronounced: "Seventy-one percent of our Putting the bond between humans and the ocean in a die. Our future and the state of the oceans are one." vides the cornerstone of the life-support system for all planetary chemistry, governs climate and weather and profrom her book Sea Change (1995:xii): "The living ocean drives The marine biologist Sylvia Earle, a principal speaker, drew book makes this explicit, folding the biotic world into a Rhetorical links between the well-being of organisms

But if conference participants revered the sea as the matrix from which life emerged, they also persistently

> Department of Commerce 1999:22). medicinal, agricultural, and industrial applications" compounds with unique structural features that suggest classes of marine organisms demonstrate a wide variety of and marine ecosystem restoration and remediation. Many seafood safety and supply, new materials and processes, problems in the areas of public health and human disease, tools of marine biotechnology have been applied to solve report elaborated on the promises of bioengineering: "The tific knowledge and be hitched to projects of progress. The logical innovation would make use of the latest technosciendiversity as a platform for pharmaceutical and biotechnomarine ecosystems and to study genetic and metabolic bioefforts to protect ecologically and taxonomically biodiverse Ocean Future. This future was importantly futuristic: national Commerce in 1999 was titled Turning to the Sea: America's ence-catalyzed report published by the U.S. Department of by enlightened national stewardship. Indeed, a conferlinked it to the future, a future that might be safeguarded

America's ocean future would involve looking for such resources in what National Ocean Conference proceedings labeled the "unexplored frontier" of the nation's waters and in such extranational territories as might be accessed through the search engines of bioprospecting contracts between U.S. governmental organizations or corporations and agencies attached to other nation-states. The quest for novel chemical compounds and ecological dynamics in locations such as coral reefs and deep-sea hydrothermal vents motivated a narrative that rewrote the ancient lifegiving ocean as a technoscientific frontier, one to be explored with a kind of can-do American commitment to comprehending, taming, and democratizing (on a free-market model) a vast wilderness.6

Turning to the Sea, then, suggests an introspective return to origins that is also a journey into the future. The midtwentieth-century zone of the future, outer space—the final frontier—is replaced by the ocean: "All the reasons

conclusion that the greatest diversity is unquestionably in some representation in the sea, and many are principally every major division of plant and animal kind has at least categories occur on land. This observation supports the or wholly marine. In contrast, only about half of these large carry much of its precious cargo. Former chief scientist of and Atmospheric Administration, is commonly referred to ment of U.S. territorial waters, the National Oceanographic of American frontier fantasy (see Bellah 1992) has not vanvice of an environmentalist project dedicated to preserving goals" (Earle 1995:xvii).7 Such a repositioning places a hisentific, commercial, military, and even lofty philosophical NOAA Sylvia Earle (1995:205) provides details: "Almost the sea. Spaceship earth, it turns out, is an ark. And oceans the earth's creatures, supported in his rescue mission by biblical Noah, divinely chosen environmental steward of by its acronym, NOAA, a name that evokes images of the ished. The government agency charged with the managelife on Earth. But the redemptive and religious electricity torically aggressive American pioneer narrative at the serfrom basic curiosity and the pleasure of being there to scifor justifying going into space can be applied to the ocean,

With the United States at the helm of ocean conservation and marine technoscience, then, the biological resources of the planet can be called upon to help the planet heal itself, to turn to its natural reserve of strength and life. This life is almost always described as a positive value, as in the following aphoristic extract from Carl Safina, director of the Living Oceans Program at the National Audubon Society: "It is said that where there's life there's hope, and so no place can inspire us with more hopefulness than the great life-making sea" (1997:440). This "life-making" quality is often portrayed as accessible to human purposes in ways that are environmentally friendly, that do not violate the planet. As the Center of Marine Biotechnology at the University of Maryland puts it, echo-

toward its unfathomed deeps, literally to find new life. planet, through the agency of humans, goes within, turns trial partners will save Gaia according to her own logic. The America's ocean future, NOAA and its academic and indusing a dominated feminine nature (Merchant 1980). In stepping away from previous scientific projects of exploit-"mother of all life" is cared for by sensitive stewards resourced to save both individuals and the planet. The oceans yield genetic and ecological secrets that can be aquaterritorialized and biotechnological nation, the of the oceans without depleting them as a resource.... "Significantly, biotechnology allows us to tap the potential in the environment."8 Under the stewardship of the the laboratory, leaving the organisms where they belonggenes, reproduce them, and produce desired substances in ing and elaborating the language of Turning to the Sea: [T]he tools of biotechnology allow researchers to clone...

of sexuality (Foucault 1976). Neither are we called upon to ject that leaves out the natural-cultural historic mediations inhabit a "biosociality" (Rabinow 1992b) that constitutes must be fastened together through a reproductive politics here of a biopolitics in which individuals and populations that secure this immediacy).9 We are not in the presence communion with the planet (a strangely individualist protionary history-offering a kind of one-step program of the planet in ways that both call upon and bypass evoluof reflection and resemblance links individual humans to wrapped around an ocean within" (1997:435). This kinship the same percentage that covers Earth's surface. We are vessels of seawater. Seventy percent of our bodies is water, Safina, echoing Hillary Clinton: "We are, in a sense, soft briny solution coursing through our veins" (1995:15). And a salty substance anchoring humans to their planetary home. Thus Earle: "Our origins are there, reflected in the rected. And this water, as we have seen, is often depicted as essence of life, the maxim that water is life is being resur-In an age in which genes have been touted as the

individuals in relation to nongenealogical biological networks, such as patient advocacy groups organized around shared genetic polymorphisms like Tay-Sachs disease or Down syndrome (see Heath 1997; Rapp 1999). Nor are we entirely in a transgenic or informatic regime in which coded substances—such as genes—that have traditionally underwritten the symbolics of genealogical relatedness now push "kinship" rhizomatically away from simple generational trajectories (see Franklin 2001; Haraway 1997a; Helmreich 2001). We are exhorted to think of our individual connection not to a population, not to our genes, but to the planet's ocean. This is a politics not of sexuality but of salinity. This is a rhetoric not of biosociality but of gaiasociality.

In this essay—a preliminary set of reflections on the politics of marine biodiversity, bioprospecting, and biotechnology, a topic on which I intend to conduct future ethnographic work—I examine the cocktail of old and new meanings being poured into the category "life" in the practice of turn-of-the-millennium marine science. I am particularly curious about those branches of marine biology concerned with describing marine biodiversity as a resource for new life-giving biotechnology that might be used both in medicine (for example, cures for cancer derived from coral communities) and for planetary healing (for example, cleaning up toxic waste using compounds derived from deep-sea creatures adapted to life near hot sulfur-spitting vents). I am interested in how this "life" is invoked as an insistent echo of an evolutionary past and as a trust fund for future health.

I am also concerned with how this "life" is funneled through the concept of biodiversity, a term that embraces genetic, metabolic, species, and functional levels of biological process (Thorne-Miller 1999). Depaking of the richness of ocean life, Earle writes: "Our survival is utterly dependent on the existence of life on Earth—of biodiversity" (1995:201). This equation of life with biodiversity is

worth examining, in order to track the effects of making such polysemic terms synonymous. If biodiversity can be assessed at levels ranging from the genetic to the global, then the life for which it serves as a proxy becomes a sliding signifier made to speak alternately and at once of entities such as DNA (as genetic "essence" of life), life forms (individual and taxonomic embodiments of vitality), and Gaia (Earth's physiochemobiosphere). When marine biodiversity is at issue, seawater provides the shared substance through which such semantic slipperiness can be channeled. Moreover, because biodiversity is understood as a resource for biosystemic flexibility, adaptability, and resilience—a reserve for the continued survival of life—it serves as a scientific placeholder and promissory note for associations of life with "hope" and "the future."

warfare and radioactive waste. space of imperialism, of the Middle Passage, of submarine go to the beach!—wash over the twin history of the sea as a visions of the ocean as a space of healing and therapy—let's only the surface of the submerged history. American duction must keep pace with capital accumulation. This is tem of capitalism, a system that assumes that natural reprois largely a result of human activity under the exchange sysus into a recognition that the contemporary graveyard sea of drowning, death, and shipwreck, but they must also key death upon the oceans. Overfishing, coral bleaching, and pollution must refer us to older images of the sea as a space rhetoric lurks the shadow fear that humans are visiting often winnows out diversity). Beneath the "sea is life" would preserve on its own (natural selection, after all, argue that biodiversity as such is a value that evolution and crucially so by human agency-for it is difficult to at a time when there is a keen sense that it is under threat, extinction. The anxious celebration of biodiversity comes All this talk of life requires a comment about death and

But back to life. I am interested in how "life" as biodiversity becomes visible in social practice, particularly

through the lens of marine science inaugurated by U.S. governmental, academic, and corporate bodies in the name of "America's ocean future." Because the biodiversity in which these agencies is interested does not always fall within the exclusive economic zone (EEZ) of the United States (that area extending two hundred nautical miles off the shores of the terrestrial nation), national initiatives must be networked into international space through the prosthetics of contracts and corporations. Harnessing the salutary power of the sea, as the National Oceans Conference proceedings framed it, means forging new kinds of partnerships. Securing the cultural meaning of 71-percent-saltwater bodies requires routing marine biodiversity through articulations of biomedicine, law, national institutions and imaginaries, and the politics of international spaces outside national boundaries.

shaped by the cultural, social, scientific, and political eco within national EEZs. What "life" means in these locales is extraterritoriality, for many Archaean ecologies do not fall ersatz extraterrestriality is sometimes mirrored by their early on thought by some to be the oldest lineage of life on entirely new superkingdom or domain of life, Archaea, nomic frames through which it becomes legible.12 might look like on sunless worlds in outer space. Their sovereignties. Vent communities, unknown to science zone, have also been designated as examples of what life life forms that live near vents and well below the photic before 1979, were recently recognized as homes to an anticancer drugs. I use my second location, deep-sea considered to be the "rainforests of the sea" (Davidson associated with them. I first take up coral reefs, commonly Earth. Hyperthermophilic Archaea, heat-loving microbial freshly discovered life forms fall off the map of national hydrothermal vents, to think about what happens when hold important promise for bone graft technology and 1998:6), the most biodiverse locales in the ocean. Reefs biodiversity, biotechnological promise, and sovereignties In this essay, I alight on two ecosystems and the kinds of

CORAL REEFS AT THE EDGES OF LIFE

which the living things [were] created" (Feeley-Harnik the biblical creation tale, "earth [was] the medium from 1999:227). creation of life through imagery in Genesis; according to ship theory) stemmed from an attempt to understand the an ancestral living presence (and to some extent his kin-(1868) and his interest in Iroquois notions of the land as nonhuman mammals in The American Beaver and His Works Morgan's later meditations on the creative character of ly surroundings commenced with an interest in coral. Morgan with the way living things transformed their earth-Gillian Feeley-Harnik (2001) has pointed out that the fascination of the early social evolutionist Lewis Henry Reefs (1842; and see Davidson 1998). The anthropologist Darwin's early book The Structure and Distribution of Coral link between plants and animals and who inspired teacher at Edinburgh University, who saw coral as a missing ed later thinkers such as Robert Grant, Charles Darwin's Linneaus.13 But their liminality was precisely what captivatodd compounds of animal, vegetable, and mineral components, and their classification confounded such figures as ural historians and biologists found these zoophytes to be modern history of scientific discussions of "life." Early natworld—coral reefs—has occupied a lively position in the ble for some of the largest biogenic structures in the Stony coral, the colonial marine invertebrate responsi-

The example of earth Morgan first fixed on, however, in his 1841 "Essay on Geology," was the coral island: "These islands...are formed by the labours of millions of little insects, whose industry and ingenuity almost exceed belief. —It is but lately that any attention has been directed to these animals in a scientific manner and many questions relating to the nature of the animalcules and to the manner in which these islands are elevated above the level of the sea, are not as yet fully answered" (Morgan, quoted in Feeley-Harnik 1999:228). For Morgan, coral stood as a

symbol of life emerging from and returning to geology and the sea. Coral animalcules, bridging the past and the future, were animated by the practice of building the world and bodies they inhabited, an activity that linked them to humans. Alfred Kroeber followed this cue in his 1952 essay "The Nature of Culture," in which he used coral to illustrate his concept of the "superorganic."

salt found in human bones and coral skeletons. Future stance of hydroxyapatite, the complex calcium-phosphate can be attached directly to this implant, allowing it to move coral species and that of human bone.... The eye muscles a more natural appearance was finally achieved with the glass. The chemical composition of the coral, secreted chanical engineering, are popularly dubbed "natural": of coral as a natural substrate for bone grafts and eye medicine promises to reverse the logic of Shakespeare's kinship with the sea finds a link through the shared subwithin the orbit—just like the natural eye."15 Here, human coral as a "natural choice" for ocular implants: "The goal of plex mineral arrays created by the human body" (Belleville "The stony skeletons of corals seem to be far more natural implants. Such coral cures, though routed through biomemade"—as coral becomes an organ donor for humans. famous line from *The Tempest*—"of his bones are coral larity was noticed between the porous structure of certain help of a natural material: ocean coral. A remarkable simi-1999:92). A medical website devoted to artificial eyes poses from the minerals of the sea, is nearly identical to the comfor bone and eye implants than artificial substances such as This image of coral informs recent medical discussions

Routing coral into human bodies is facilitated by access to territories that feature reef ecologies. United States-based medicine can take advantage of the nation's substantial paths to such places. U.S. coral reefs "cover approximately 17,000 square kilometers. Ninety percent of them are associated with U.S. islands in the Western Pacific (Hawaii, Guam, American Samoa, and the Commonwealth

viduals, too. son they have been called upon to help heal human indiversity is in particularly high supply on coral reefs, one reacomes courtesy of marine biodiversity. And marine biodiheal itself" (Zorpette 1998:24). Such impressive resiliency created, is now touted as "a testament to nature's ability to largest above ground explosion the United States has ever the wake of radioactive dosages. Bikini atoll, the site of the recently become known for the resilience of marine life in for their use in above ground nuclear testing but have tain a crowd of coral islands and atolls. These are famous nialism and war. The Marshall Islands, acquired by the United States after victory over Japan in World War II, conconstitute a network solidified through histories of cololess resistance from closer-to-home environmentalistsof the Northern Marianas); the remainder are located off flung territories-where coral harvesting might run into (U.S. Department of Commerce 1999:38). The more far-Florida, Georgia, Texas, and U.S. islands in the Caribbean"

particular ability to withstand high radiation!). tial sources of anticancer drugs (though not because of any tion, and viruses, including HIV" (Chadwick 1999:34), Coral polyps in particular have come into focus as potenheart disease, leukemia, tumors, bacterial and fungal infecyielded compounds active against inflammations, asthma, this diversity is well under way: "Some [reefs] have already Maragos, Crosby, and McManus 1996). Medical mining of quarter of all marine species" (Davidson 1998:5; see also the global ocean...[they] are home to approximately onerepresent less than two-tenths of 1 percent of the area of ecologies is high at the species level: "Although coral reefs coral, with flesh and algae bodies. The diversity of reef recent research on marine invertebrates has fixed on living with coral fusions of anatomy and architecture, more If the nineteenth century inaugurated a fascination

As sedentary creatures, corals secure their protection against predators mostly through the manufacture of toxic

cells. Pressing "nature" to "yield" its healing powers to safedividing."16 The chemical contains compounds that can kill chemical that shows promise as a potential drug to fight sort of work: "Recently, Scripps marine chemists isolated a of Oceanography in La Jolla, California, have done this Safina's "great life-making sea" support the idea that great deal of conceptual and laboratory work to make Carl ly poison, transmuting it into an ally of "life." It takes a guard "life" turns out to depend on domesticating a deadapeutic purposes. Scientists at the Center for Marine "where there's life there's hope" (1997:440). The chemical, called eleutherobin...prevent[s] cells from breast and ovarian cancer from a rare species of coral.... Biotechnology and Biomedicine at the Scripps Institution The toxicity of such compounds can be modified for therchemical compounds that can be released under threat.

"America's ocean future" beyond the exclusive economic demic-industry partnership, NCI can extend the reach of shift from "kind" (for example, species) to "brand" (for might look at this the other way around, with NCI as a zone, into new territory (though in some cases we the United States). By piggybacking on Scripps's aca-Institute (NCI, part of the National Institutes of Health in frequently done in collaboration with the National Cancer another player on this field. Scripps's cancer research is in turn-of-the-millennium technoscience. But there is example, product) in the categorization of living things exemplify what Haraway (1997a) has designated as a rather the norm (see Hayden 1998; Rabinow 1996). They nothing new in American biotechnology; indeed, they are tually patented the compound. These sorts of links are cert with the Bristol-Myers Squibb company, which evenresearch on eleutherobin, for example, was done in conernment projects, and commercial ventures. Scripps's properties through networks of academic research, govvidual persons or patients also requires transporting such Bringing the "life-making" attributes of the sea to indi-

"prosthetic arm to corporate prospecting activities" [Cori Hayden, personal communication 2000]).

a network of island states, UN-sanctioned contract law, and U.S.-based academia, government, and industry. market. Poisonous compounds are exchanged for "life" in can be hired on as health workers in the context of a free rary citizenship, a "naturalized" location from which they rates extraterritorial organisms with a sort of dual tempobetween "kinds" and "brands," then, the nation incorpothe U.S. government patent is licensed.¹⁸ On the path sated by any prospective pharmaceutical partners to whom drugs, this contract specifies that Palau must be compening contract with an agency in Palau. If NCI wants compounds sourced in Palau to be developed into marketable ardship of these resources, NCI enters into a bioprospectand HIV. Recognizing Palauan sovereignty over and stewwhere they are screened for bioactivity against cancer cells and fly material samples to NCI headquarters in Maryland, from the United States in 1994.17 CRRF scientists freeze Pacific island nation of Palau, which became independent sources for new anticancer drugs. CRRF, a nonprofit organization, is incorporated in California but also in the Indoscientists to collect marine invertebrates as potential Colin and Lori Bell and supported in part by NCI, employs Research Foundation (CRRF), founded in 1995 by Patrick research projects in marine biodiversity. The Coral Reef The National Cancer Institute funds a shrubwork of

Bioprospecting contracts emerged in the 1990s as a way of coordinating global market access to the so-called biological commons. They figured biotic "nature" as a kind of public domain, a formulation meaningful only as a kind of negative or residual category of property within an "epistemology of capitalism" (Brush 1999:540). The United Nations Convention on Biological Diversity, hammered out at the 1992 UN Conference on Environment and Development (the "Rio summit"), interposed local communities as crucial mediators between this public domain

of nature and those who would capitalize on its resources. Bioprospecting agreements would "return benefits to the stewards of biological resources" (Brush 1999:536), often nation-states or nongovernmental organizations representing constituent groups—such as indigenous peoples—within national borders. This logic is in line with what Arturo Escobar (1995) has termed the semiotic conquest of nature by capitalism. "The argument, of course, is based on the political and cultural hubris that Western criteria should be extended broadly and that a public domain exists between nation-states as well as within them" (Brush 1999:542).

Bioprospecting, then, forces biodiversity to speak in the idiom of the market (see Hayden 1998, 2000). In this frame, the life-giving properties that marine biodiversity hosts cannot be made available, cannot effect any healing, until they become property in the economic sense. And their travel as property requires not just their literal freezing but their discursive freezing in the form of patents.¹⁹ A lot of work is required to network marine invertebrates and mammalian landlubbers into this gaiasociality, kinwork that connects the living planet to mortal human bodies. This brings me to the next level at which coral is made to speak of, and for, health: the planetary level.

Coral reefs have recently been discovered to register meaningful changes in the sea's chemistry and so can be used as "historical climatic recorders" (Davidson 1998:21). In part, this is simply another way of reading the effects of their bioactivity in the sea: "Corals secrete calcium carbonate—limestone—on a scale massive enough to influence ocean chemistry and affect carbon dioxide levels in the atmosphere and, thus, the health of the planet as a whole" (Chadwick 1999:34). Recent worldwide degradation of coral reefs has been linked to, among other things, the effects of too much carbon dioxide in the atmosphere—that is, to global warming, which makes sea levels rise faster than the pace of coral growth. When this happens, symbi-

otic algae in coral polyps lose the ability to photosynthesize and are ejected from their hosts in a process called bleaching. Corals also suffer when sunlight is obstructed by the rapid growth of surface algae, which often happens in water suddenly loaded with nutrients from sewage flow (Nixon 1998).

barometers but also indicators of north-south tensions. global political economy.20 Coral reefs are not just climatic degradation must be seen through the lens of present-day reefs held in Bali in October 2000 put it, however, reef gy. As participants at an international conference on coral sures from growing populations with antiquated technoloten the issue into a simple matter of environmental prestory of the overexploitation of, say, the Java Sea, many flattions and that may be important for understanding the histhe inequalities that have characterized north-south relayet to tune into Gaia's alert. And while most acknowledge "developing" countries such as the Philippines, which have tists often translate this scold into one particularly aimed at shortsighted activities. Environmentally conscious sciening signal from Gaia, chastising humans for self-indulgent, ulations are placing on tropical resources" (Chadwick 1999:37). In their barometric readings, reefs sound a warntion, just as they can signal the pressures that modern pophumans: "Coral reefs may be warning us to pay closer attencauses, these messages are understood as being directed at oceanic health and are often triggered by anthropogenic Because the changes registered indicate declining

THE DEEP SEA AS CHANNEL TO THE PAST AND FUTURE OF LIFE

Far from the coastal familiarity of reef ecologies is the deep sea, a domain that has had many mythic and scientific incarnations. What was feared for many centuries as a mysterious realm of sea monsters became, in the early nineteenth century, a zone imagined as static and barren—empty of currents, temperature changes, nutrient

and not, say, trilobites. such as Jules Verne's 1871 Twenty Thousand Leagues under revealed to be both familiar and novel forms of marine life during the laying of undersea telegraph cables were had been discredited, as creatures dredged from the deeps tury, however, the view of the abyss as lair to living fossils this time dressed in dinosaurial garb (as in popular books decades, the figure of the sea monster-serpent returned, inchoate" (Hamilton-Patterson 1992:191). Over the next be the life forms encountered, the more prehistoric and cal change over the eons.... [I]n the 1860s a hunt began "types of primitive life that underwent little or no biologicame back to life. Darwin postulated that stable environexchanges, and life: azoic. With Darwin, this netherworld the Sea; see Noble 1997). By the end of the nineteenth cenback. Thus the deeper one went, the more primitive would there were a correlation between going deep and going associate the deep with the early history of the Earth, "as if links" (Broad 1997:31). The Victorian imagination came to for living fossils, evolutionary throwbacks, and missing mental conditions in the deep might actually support

seafloor in the mid-1990s. One reason locations of deepgated only when the navy declassified its maps of the These were important habitats for previously unknown life, zones where one tectonic plate moved beneath another that turned out to be seaquakes, epicentered at subduction migrating between depths and picked up deep rumblings nologies of deep listening, disclosed layers of sea life Antisubmarine warfare research, organized around techsea fissures were not made public previously was that many the characteristics of which would begin to be fully investithe context of U.S. military research during the Cold War. as anything terrestrial. Much of this discovery happened in much else—living in environments as dynamic and diverse anemones, shrimp, squat lobsters, sea cucumbers, and the recesses of the deep sea to be full of creatures—sea In the twentieth century, marine scientists discovered

contained deposits of nickel, copper, and manganese-minerals with important industrial and military applications. As William Broad (1997) has argued, the United States sought to appropriate knowledge of and access to these high-seas resources, recasting the mysterious deep as a site for state secrets (and see Mukerji 1989 on relationships between deep-sea science and the state).

Deep volcanism, a key piece of evidence in the theory of continental drift, became especially intriguing to biological scientists because associated with mineral-rich subduction zones were previously unknown ecologies—communities that thrived on the unique conditions associated with hydrothermal venting. At deep-sea locations where tectonic plates meet and spread apart, molten rock emerges from the Earth's crust. Seawater, seeping into these cracks, heats to great temperatures and reacts with this magma:

The chemically modified water, now itself hot and buoyant, channelizes through conduits in the seafloor to exit as hot springs within the axial valleys of submarine spreading centers.... Pressure keeps the hot water from steaming or boiling; it becomes superheated, reaching temperatures of 350C or more. Venting water, emerging clear from the seafloor, quickly turns into turbulent plumes of "black smoke" as dissolved minerals form particles on mixing with seawater. (Van Dover 1996:55)

Organisms have adapted to life in the vicinity of mineral plumes: "Vent water is enriched in reduced chemical compounds, especially hydrogen sulfide.... A variety of bacteria thrive on the sulfide, using its chemical energy through chemosynthesis in much the same way that plants use energy from light to produce organic carbon through photosynthesis" (Van Dover 1996:56). Chemosynthesis is the production of organic materials using energy derived from chemical reactions (like the oxidation of hydrogen sulfide) rather than from sunlight, a way of making a living

unknown to science before the 1970s. Chemosynthesis by microbial life forms is the basis of hydrothermal ecologies and their associated patterns of symbiosis and chains of predation: "Entire communities of invertebrates have adapted to life at vents. Newly described species of clams and mussels depend on symbiotic, chemosynthetic bacteria for their nutrition" (Van Dover 1996:57). The interesting implication of the discovery of chemosynthesis is that "submarine hydrothermal systems, fueled by the heat of volcanic processes, can support life in the absence of sunlight" (Van Dover 1996:56).

and evolutionary assumptions. For one thing, the longon the planet, implying that their ancestors were perhaps gone less evolutionary change than any other living species vents were members of a class that seemed to have underargued in 1990 that most "heat-loving organisms in the hot them are not bacteria at all. The biologist Carl Woese nated potentially rather ancient creatures, and many of microbes at the heart of this ecosystem have been desigago" (Binns and Decker 1998:96). The chemosynthetic dinosaurs at the end of the Mesozoic era, 65 million years which until recently were thought to have died out with the recognized kinds of anemones and long-necked barnacles, fringes of the hot springs, there are mussels, several newly fossils" has, in a strange way, been revived: "On the cooler discarded notion that the ocean may be home to "living chemosynthesis has forced revision of important biological microbes, along with their more recently discovered coldthe original forms of life" (Broad 1997:112; see also Woese, given the deliciously Lovecraftian name of "Archaea" dom or domain of life (the other two domains being loving, salt-loving, and methane-producing similars (see Kandler, and Wheelis 1990). These hyperthermophilic prokaryotes [or eubacteria] and eukaryotes), a domair DeLong 1998), have been gathered into a new superking (ancient ones).21 This nomenclatural move, finally widely Knowledge of hydrothermal vent communities and of

accepted in the late 1990s, has solidified the notion that the oceans contain the majority of the biodiversity on Earth, for Archaea add an entirely new taxonomic presence at a very high level.²²

In the earliest days of their classification, hyperthermophilic Archaea put a new spin on stories about the origin of life. The biologists John Corliss, John Baross, and Sarah Hoffman (1981) argued that lipids and amino acids could have originated in chemically rich water only if temperatures soared above the boiling point and if high pressure prevented these temperatures from denaturing such complex chemical configurations (at which point the temperatures would not actually be "boiling" but "superheating"). Vents, they thought, could be ideal sites for assembling complex organic systems. Some then argued that Archaea might be ancestral to all earthly life, suggesting also that chemosynthesis might be ancestral to photosynthesis (see Van Dover 1996).23

ozymes do not fall apart during the heating cycles that required in polymerase chain reaction (PCR). Extremfor example, better withstands the high temperatures can be used to make biochemical reactions run hotter and resistant to plant- and soil-based drugs."25 The extreme er new drugs derived from vents to combat germs now one possibility: "Medical researchers are working to discov-Scientists are looking to these organisms to discover the "Archaea are the keys to the past and to the future. faster. DNA polymerase derived from hyperthermophiles. because enzymes from these creatures—extremozymes temperatures at which some vent microbes thrive are of future technologies to benefit humanity."24 New drugs are traits of the last common ancestor and also for use in course on Archaea at Pennsylvania State University puts it: seen as universal ancestors, they have more lately been particular interest in the process of gene amplification. hyperlinked to ideas about life's future. As a website for a But if Archaea were in the early days of their discovery

unglue target segments of DNA and so do not need to be repeatedly added to facilitate amplification after each hot-cold cycle. In 1991, New England Biolabs, Inc., isolated the DNA polymerase of a hyperthermophile from the Gulf of California, cloned it, and then sold the enzyme as Deep VentTM, advertised with the slogan "Thermostability, Fidelity & Versatility from the Ocean Depths" (quoted in Broad 1997:280). The hardiness of these ancient creatures—their ability to work under pressure—can be put to use in the lab to make genetic science more effective. Along the way, the ocean's wealth becomes a commodity for the laboratory consumer.

sity that carries lessons from an ancient way of doing simply giving Archaea the opportunity to bend their adapgathers force from its phrasing as a "natural" solution: This is a biotechnological fix to a social problem, and it and biotechnological healing to problems of pollution. reach out to humans from the deep past, offering wisdom things-is refashioned to aid Gaian well-being. Archaea friendly practice. Biological "difference"—here a biodiverform an ability to thrive on toxins into an environmentally cibles of industry, which materially and rhetorically transcancer rhetoric, poison is transmuted into "life" in the cruable source of natural gas."26 Just as in the coral-cure-formercury.... Methanogenic archaea could provide a renewas well as sites contaminated with copper, cadmium and tion such as hydrogen sulfide, which is linked to acid rain, Vent organisms might someday clean up industrial pollupotential to solve pressing problems on the earth's surface. website on this topic puts it this way: "Vents have excellent bioremediation—in cleansing the biosphere. A popular this property that makes them of particular interest in and a toxic waste of the mining and power industries. It is sulfide, a compound poisonous to most other living things tations toward remediating deleterious effects of human Ecologically minded scientists, with the aid of industry, are Some of the most famous Archaea thrive on hydrogen

activity—which we might see as a kind of microbiological instantiation of Renato Rosaldo's "imperialist nostalgia" (1989), in which people turn to a form of life imagined as primitive and in tune with nature in order to renounce, redeem, and heal their own depredations.

But if hyperthermophilic Archaea have often been posed as some of Earth's most autochthonous residents, they are also frequently described as its most alien. Hydrothermal ecosystems have captured the imagination of space scientists who see them as "models for sites where life might have originated on this planet and where extraterrestrial life is speculated to exist on Mars and Europa" (Van Dover 1996:back cover). Hydrothermal life is often extraterrestrialized in its very description:

For those of us lucky enough to be involved in this research, it is like discovering life on another planet and having the privilege of being among the first to study that life. (Van Dover 1996:82)

[I]t was a major revelation to learn that highly complex ecosystems were powered by [chemosynthesis]—that we and all the other light-eaters of Earth shared our planet with an alien horde that thrived in total darkness. (Broad 1997:109)

Popular books reporting on these life forms capitalize on the link between the deep sea and outer space, sporting such titles as Dark Life: Martian Nanobacteria, Rock-Eating Cave Bugs, and Other Extreme Organisms of Inner Earth and Outer Space (Taylor 1999) and Evolution of Hydrothermal Ecosystems on Earth (and Mars?) (Bock and Goode 1996). This also cements an association with American pioneering: "Deep-sea research...remains...a frontier science. The seafloor is the largest and least known wilderness on our planet" (Van Dover 1996;4).

The link to frontiering that extraterrestriality suggests is further secured by the fact that much deep-sea biodiversity

side national jurisdiction as ripe for first-come, first-served exists in locations that are extraterritorial, outside national accessing extraterritorial life forms have until recently described and given ideological warrant by John Locke in the mixing of "creative" labor with "nature," a process first appropriation, for the creation of private property through potent for an American imagination that sees spaces out citizen creatures. Their extraterritoriality is particularly biodiversity are "alien" both as unearthly creations and as jurisdiction.²⁷ Some deep-sea organisms bearing interesting and stewardship, because, as Earle explains, "Approximately approach has started to come under scrutiny. The UN has depended on just such a Lockean gold rush attitude, this his writings about America (Arneil 1996). But if plans for included-might not need international representation begun to ask whether deep-sea creatures-microbes A quick trip back to the 1960s helps set the context for curprotection are largely in the discussion stage" (1995:314) land, the 'global commons,' where policies for ecosystem 60 percent of the ocean is in that jurisdictional never-never

and to see that its mineral wealth was distributed preferennational jurisdiction. "Pardo urged the assembly to declare deep seabed that revealed large deposits of industrially Arvid Pardo, famously addressed members of the UN without heed for the new sorts of governance being set in tially to the poorer countries of the global community" the deep ocean floor the 'common heritage of mankind' important minerals sitting outside the boundaries of General Assembly, drawing attention to researches in the place by the nascent Law of the Sea Convention. An ideo mine the deep seabed (claiming freedom of the high seas) the delegation from the United States, which continued to ideology did not much take to this notion, most notably (Jacobson and Rieser 1998:103). Adherents of free-market logical battle that continues to this day was set in motion In 1967, the Maltese ambassador to the United Nations,

What has changed since the sixties is the nature of the resources at stake. Although the mining of the deep seabed has not proceeded as speedily as originally envisioned, deep-sea biodiversity has reopened debates about access, ideology, and money. "It is no small irony that the greatest excitement to date in undersea mining centers not on deep minerals" but on "the mining of life.... By weight, these single cell organisms are worth far more than gold. The mining of deep life was never anticipated in all the international hubbub over the divvying up of the sea's mineral wealth" (Broad 1997:276).

international effort toward equity around vent ecologies in a arrangements that provide for the equitable sharing of ago by nodule mining. These issues include the identificathese genetic resources are not unlike those raised years exacerbate differences in wealth between nations. Reaching grabs for the first nation or company able to exploit them that the ecologies of the deep seabed should be up for and chaired by Mário Soares, the former president of members drawn from Second and Third World countries Commission on the Oceans-made up of a majority of of the state of the oceans. The Independent World Processes and Ecosystems) (Soares et al. 1998:92–93). project called, optimistically, HOPE (Hydrothermal Ocean Indeed, the commission hoped to organize a cooperative benefits from their exploitation" (Soares et al. 1998:70). lishment of their legal status, [and] the development of tion and evaluation of the resource potentials, the estabback to Pardo's ideas, it argued that "the issues raised by Such an approach, the commission argued, would only Portugal—produced a report that contested the notion In 1995, the UN commissioned an independent study

Like Turning to the Sea, the commission's report sought to influence the shape of things to come, a goal expressed in its title, Our Oceans, Our Future (with implicit emphasis on the collective international "our"). Writing against the Lockean logics of U.S. ocean behavior (the United States

such" (Soares et al. 1998:10). For these writers, marine crated over the centuries, marine resources are by their sessed and appropriated in forms developed and conseopen mining), the authors strategically took oceanspace as what the necessary machinery of care should look like. resources had a life of their own, resistant to incorporation own nature common, and are generally considered as resources," they wrote, "which can be individually posresources. "Contrary to what occurs with terrestrial a blank slate on which to rethink the distribution of Convention, owing to the convention's restrictions on has not yet ratified the international Law of the Sea underdevelopment in general" (Soares et al. 1998:17), the independent commission argued that "greater equity in rather than at the level of UN negotiations.28 Whereas the in novel partnerships between government and industry, Commerce 1999:22), the United States locates the solution the public and used appropriately" (U.S. Department of derived from publicly owned resources will be shared with no mechanism currently in place to ensure that profits Bemoaning the current state of affairs, in which "there is by national politics and the free market. The report global well-being through networks of national and market which will be brought to bear on individual, national, and the oceans would contribute to reducing poverty and United States speaks vaguely of the "life" of the sea, Turning to the Sea tenaciously offers a contrary vision of

For all their differences, however, both the United States and the UN's Independent World Commission on the Oceans treat the sea as a tabula rasa—surely not the only way to construe the matter. We might consider recent work in critical Pacific studies that seeks to reposition conceptions of the ocean around the islands of the South Pacific. Epeli Hau'ofa of the University of the South Pacific in Fiji argues that European colonists have treated the sea as a kind of dead space between nations. Objecting to the

EEZs and put questions of jurisdiction into new contexts as bioprospecting but might trouble easy measurements of might not completely upend the logic of formations such waters around them as sovereign territory, a view that indicated that sea-of-islands peoples might think of the occurred primarily across water" (1999:282). Hau'ofa has and capitalism in an era in which communication still seas was a precursor to the social relations of colonialism oceans as a commons is a recent, Western one. Christine itime anthropology who have shown that the idea of the tory. This view resembles that promoted by scholars in maral views of the high seas as a global commons outside hisused to contest both American and dominant internationnot divided, by water (Hau'ofa 1993).29 This view could be Walley, for example, writes that "the idea of freedom of the that the region be seen as "a sea of islands," places unified term Pacific Islands and preferring "Oceania," he suggests

NETWORKING LIFE IN WEBS OF MARINE BIOTECHNOLOGY

The ocean is becoming a newly networked space (cross-cut by different and contested networks, to be sure), and the "life" it supports—marine life and the life of the planet—is, especially starting from U.S. nodes, increasingly webbed into symbioses among government, industry, and academia. Emblematic are entities such as MarBEC (Marine Bioproducts Engineering Center), headquartered at the University of Hawaii at Manoa. MarBEC is a new brand of institutional creature called an engineering research center (ERC), an academic-industry hybrid charted by the National Sience Foundation (NSF). According to MarBEC's website, engineering research centers

provide an integrated environment for academe and industry to focus on next-generation advances in complex engineered systems important for the Nation's future. Activity within ERCs lies at the interface between the discovery-

driven culture of science and the innovation-driven culture of engineering.... ERCs provide the intellectual foundation for industry to collaborate with faculty and students on resolving generic, long-range challenges producing the knowledge base for steady advances in technology and their speedy transition to the marketplace.... Thus, ERC graduates enjoy the capacity to contribute to the Nation's global future through a rich spectrum of career paths at the cutting edge of technical progress and innovation. (www.marbec.net)

rate, and national arenas. According to its publicity, it plans icals, pharmaceuticals, advanced materials, energy, enviprototype production. Marine biotechnology finds applica-"to develop a seamless system from bioexploration to and placing this watery wealth into a network of academic, startup companies, such as Hawaii-based Aquasearch, a ultimately produce graduates from an accredited marine new kind of scientist: "MarBEC's education program will Ocean Sciences and Technology, this ERC will also breed a ronment and national defense" (www.marbec.net). tion in almost every major industry, including food, chemgovernmental, and commercial research that will reveal and through the market, enterprising it up (Strathern 1992a) bringing the oceans to life means squeezing biodiversity whose website touts it as "bringing the oceans to life" company specializing in genetic engineering of microalgae this end, MarBEC maintains relationships with various in the field of marine bioproducts" (www.marbec.net). To bioproducts curriculum who will be the nation's leaders Located in the University of Hawaii's School of Earth and (www.aquasearch.com). For MarBEC and Aquasearch, MarBEC's biotechnology serves the academic, corpo-

The marine environment, then, is being uploaded into a worldwide web that reconstitutes biodiversity (poison, toxiphily, and all) as a "life" force to be plugged into pro-

minute image feeds from deep-diving robots (www.mbari.org) of public duty, symbolized by a website featuring up-to-theinto the sea. MBARI is private but maintains a strong sense the cycling of anthropogenically released carbon dioxide ecosystems for what they can reveal about dynamics such as ics and the use of telepresence to research ocean midwater do as founder of MBARI. MBARI is a leader in deep robotas underwater microphones and high-tech submersibles store of knowledge about classified national marine scijects of healing for individuals and "sustainable" use for the into the private sector-which is exactly what he began to position to move declassified Cold War technologies such pled with his impressive wealth, put Packard in a unique ence and technology. When the Cold War ended, this, coudefense, managing the United States' far-flung naval company, served in the 1970s as deputy secretary of and role in winning military contracts for his computer was held. MBARI was founded in 1987 by David Packard of where the United States' first National Ocean Conference of California's Silicon Valley, in the form of the Monterey forces. By the time he left this position, he had gathered a Hewlett-Packard. Packard, known for his managerial skill now including the "environment" as a variable in produc-Bay Aquarium Research Institute (MBARI), just off the bay finds a somewhat different node of articulation just south tion). The heterogeneous weblike character of this project planet (that is, use in line with continued market practices,

At MBARI, ocean worlds are illuminated by telepresent technologies of visualization. The secret sea of the Cold War has been opened up to the eyes of an auditing environmentalist public. The oceans have been brought up to date, technologized not only though persistent comparisons to outer space but also through association with cyberculture (not surprising in an age of Netscape Navigator, the maritime-themed Web browser). The political valences of the web metaphor in computing are multiple, as protean as the symbolic space of the sea—able to

of human activity, primarily fishing,30 on the health of does most of its advocacy on-line, documenting the effects will mean for humans, the Internet has become a symbol through which ocean life flows. allow a public audit of the political and market networks al. 1998:126). What these networks do not necessarily do is erations falling outside the confines of science" (Soares et mulation, especially by drawing attention to ethical considon the state of the oceans in order to draw nontechnical national political initiatives.³¹ The UN Independent World concerns about the ocean can be brought to bear on intermarine life and suggesting ways in which American public aware of the ocean and ocean life" (www.seaweb.com), on the Future of America's Coastal and Ocean Areas" nets (Escobar 1999b). In a global contest over what the seas justice-political ecological concerns about social safety parallel processing (Plant 1997); and environmental ecofeminist analogies between weaving, swimming, and include individualist frontier fantasies (Lockard 1997); tribute relevant information to the processes of policy forpeople, despite their unsystematic character, can conthe perceptions of environmental phenomena by ordinary publics into action. In its report it argued that "quite often, Commission on the Oceans advocated a website reporting tional organization designed to make the public more (coast2025.nos.noaa.gov). SeaWeb, "a multi-media educa ity. NOAA supports a "National Internet Town Meeting for public awareness and an avenue for public responsibil-

Internet-based coalitions of concern about the sea are often offered as mirrors of the flowing logics of the sea itself, reflective of dynamics such as the translocal constitution of coral ecologies shaped by ocean currents—"watery highways for coral larvae" (Davidson 1998:79)—that hyperlink the spawn of polyps in one locale to sedimented reefs in another. Hypertext sociality echoes the wet networks that leash life on land to its oceanic history. In geobiologists Mark and Dianna McMenamin's Lovelockian theory of "hypersea," life on land exists in and creates a kind of

rhizomatic terrestrial sea: "In a way, the land biota has had to find ways to carry the sea within it and, moreover, to construct watery conduits from 'node' to 'node'" (McMenamin and McMenamin 1994:5). In the foreword to the McMenamins' book, the biologist Lynn Margulis, a key architect of the Gaia hypothesis, writes: "In the days when the 'information superhighway' is the buzz phrase, we would do well to look at our inventive fungal predecessors [the first kingdom ashore] who, for four hundred million years, have already been leading the communication network of life on land" (in McMenamin and McMenamin 1994:xiv).

This redefinition of "life" as a network—held together primarily by salty fluid, and not, say, information—provides a novel trope for connecting local organisms to global systems. But the path from "life" as marine biodiversity to marine biotechnology (rather than to another prominent destination, such as marine conservation) must be understood as not only scientific but also political, economic, and cultural. Instruments such as bioprospecting place relations of property, law, governmentality, and capital firmly in the net that connects genes to Gaia—people to the planet—through some streams and not others.

Notes

My gratitude goes to Sarah Franklin and Margaret Lock for inviting me to the seminar for which this paper was developed. I am grateful, too, for the collegial commentary of the other seminar participants, who pressed me to rethink fundamental features of the argument presented here. I also thank members of the Program in Science, Technology, and Society at MIT, where I delivered a modified version of this essay. Finally, my thanks go to Pamela Ballinger, Cori Hayden, and Heather Paxson for invaluable support at all stages of this chapter's evolution.

1. The meeting was sponsored by the U.S. Navy and U.S. Department of Commerce. I gathered information about this closed-to-the-public event by collecting newspapers and brochures at a public Ocean Fair held in concert with the meeting and by tracking down government websites and reports that were spun off from the conference.

- 3. Quoted in U.S. Department of Commerce 1999:6.
- 4. This image is not original to Davidson; in her influential The Sea around Us, Rachel Carson (1951) referred to the ocean as "Mother Sea."
- 5. See www.yoto98.noaa.gov/yoto/meeting/.
- 6. This chapter was originally drafted during the Clinton-Gore administration, and both Clinton and Gore were key participants in the National Ocean Conference. The Bush administration has been unfriendly to the environmentalist concerns promoted by Clinton-Gore, citing the health of "the economy" as that which should organize and take precedence over ecological concerns. The Bush administration has sought more lax controls on oil drilling, particularly in Alaska (though, in decisions that Bush-Cheney may find difficult to reverse, Clinton during the Ocean Conference approved a permanent ban on offshore oil drilling in marine reserves and extended an existing ban applying to all U.S. waters until 2012). Bush has also backpedaled on global warming, claiming that scientific debate about its extent signals doubt about its existence. It seems likely that the Bush administration will focus its environmental rhetoric on economic incentives for accessing and exploiting marine biodiversity for biotechnological aims, something that can nonetheless easily be phrased as attentiveness to the ocean as a site of health and renewal.
- 7. This replacement may reverse an earlier substitution: that of outer space for the sea. The sea was grafted onto American frontier narratives early on (see Stein 1975) and later used as a metaphor for outer space. It is worth recalling that some of the first American astronauts were navy men, most notably Alan Shepard and Neil Armstrong. Thanks to Pamela Ballinger for pointing this out.
- 8. See www.umbi.umd.edu/~comb/.
- 9. This is a project aided in part by images of the watery earth as a mother, images that can render humans either as dependent children/fetuses or as creatures individuated from the maternal body—or both, depending on the developmental stage at which humans are symbolically positioned and by whom (see Duden 1993a; Haraway 1997a).
- 10. Such a multileveled view of "life" calls into its company the dynamics of ecological interrelation first popularized by Rachel Carson in books such as *The Sea around Us* (1951) and *The Edge of the Sea* (1955).

- 11. Gaia was first theorized by the atmospheric chemist James Lovelock in the 1960s as Earth's chemical, physical, and biotic totality. In this view, "the atmosphere was an extension of a living system designed to maintain an optimal environment for its own support" (Haraway 1995a:xiii). NOAA's charter crucially includes the ocean and atmosphere as conjoined extensions and embodiments of this system.
- 12. In previous work, I examined shifting meanings of the category "life" in Artificial Life, a brand of computer science devoted to simulating the behavior of organisms and populations in cyberspace. There, life was understood to be a process of information transformation, and genetic instructions—understood as coded programs—were often seen as identical to organisms themselves (see Helmreich 2000). What interests me about refigurings of "life" in the ocean is a renewed attention to water and to chemistry, which I take as potential pointers to a materiality missing from Platonic Artificial Life fetishes of life as substrate-independent informatics. Here, "life" is not so much information as a series of connections and relationships between materials. The character of those connections and relations is precisely where different visions and politics of "life" may be at stake.
- 13. Corals are now classed as animals that live in "colonies" made of thousands of small sedentary creatures called coral polyps. A polyp is "a tiny ring of gelatinous tentacles fluttering above an equally small, internally rippled sac. Hard corals also have a skeleton, or corallite, at their base, into which the polyp retreats during the day" (Davidson 1998:14). These polyps are symbiotic with a microscopic form of algae called zooxanthellae, which live inside the polyps and provide them with nutrients derived from photosynthesis.
- 14. Coral reefs are commonly compared to architectural formations. Thus Darwin: "We feel surprise when travelers tell us of the vast dimensions of the Pyramids and other great ruins, but how utterly insignificant are the greatest of these when compared to these mountains of stone accumulated by the agency of various minute and tender animals!" (quoted in Davidson 1998:7). Darwin also referred to corals as "myriads of architects" (quoted in Davidson 1998:29). Haraway (1995b) extended this imagery and swerved away from locating coral as a transitional form in a march toward more singular animal subjectivities; she highlighted the constructive dynamics of "non-mammalian replicative doings among marine invertebrates: egg-release into open waters, followed by larval feeding, and finally the settling and metamorphosis into the adult forms of myriad species." She likened the process of reef building to the process of building

conversation on common reading and writing: "The written, collected, and published book of interviews becomes the finished scaffolding, the coraline reef, on which the next generations of spineless, non-bilaterally symmetrical entities will settle, eat each other and passers-by, and proliferate their drifting, always hungry, and seedy brood" (Haraway 1995b:xi-xii). Corals might be thought of as invertebrate versions of what Marilyn Strathern (1988) called "dividuals," or partible persons.

- 15. See www.ioi.com/patient/ha.html.
- 16. See www.sio.ucsd.edu/supp_groups/development/cmbb.html
- 17. See reefnet.org/issue7/research7.html.
- 18. Plans are afoot to create a coral gene bank in Hawaii (Safina 1997:336), though exactly who will maintain and control it is far from decided.
- 19. See Latour 1993:119 for a comparison of "scientific facts to frozen fish," and see de Laet 1996 on patents as freezers.
- 20. See http://www.nova.edu/ocean/9icrs/liveweb/1025_5.html
- 21. The genetics of Archaea are also called upon in these discussions:
- "Their uniqueness is evident in the fact that 56% of them have genes that were previously undiscovered in other organisms" (www.personal.psu.edu/users/a/b/abtl13/biowebpage2.html).
- 22. Archaea have more lately been shown to be a quite common form of microbial life. Many members of this category are not extremophiles, and many are planktonic presences in much of Earth's water (see DeLong 1998).
- 23. Archaea's status as ancestor has been called into serious doubt recently, particularly as some have argued that it is impossible to do proper phylogenies of these bacteria-like creatures. Archaea and bacteria move genes around laterally (within generations, not just down generations), which can confound linear genealogical regimes of classification (see Doolittle 1999). Controversies about archaeal phylogeny are very alive at the moment.
- 24. See www.personal.psu.edu/users/a/b/abtl13/
- 25. See library.thinkquest.org/18828/data/si.3.html; and see Robb 2000.
- 26. See library.thinkquest.org/. The website for the Center of Marine Biotechnology at the University of Maryland also alights on the uses these creatures might have: "Researchers have already proven that bioremediation—the use of microorganisms to degrade toxic contaminants—offers great potential for the efficient and cost-effective treatment and cleanup of hazardous materials that may be extremely difficult or impossible to remove from water or soil using other approaches.... Bioremediation techniques, in comparison with conventional

methods, are less costly, more efficient, more environmentally benign, require less energy consumption, and are less damaging to fragile ecosystems" (www.umbi. umd.edu/~comb/).

- 27. Of course, not all locations fit this description; the Hawaiian archipelago offers access to some vents that are within the United States' EEZ, and parts of the Juan de Fuca Ridge lie just under two hundred miles off the Northwest Coast of the United States.
- 28. Turning to the Sea: America's Ocean Future recommends that the United States increase "support for sustainable harvesting and testing of marine compounds by both government agencies and commercial pharmaceutical companies as possible treatments for AIDS, inflammatory or infectious diseases, and cancers.... Develop investment incentives to encourage partnerships with academia and industry in marine biotechnology.... Focus on organisms found in extreme environments to identify unique products with high commercial potential....

 Consider establishing a federal marine environmental fund to benefit from royalties and payments from commercial uses of federally owned resources" (U.S. Department of Commerce 1999:23).
- 29. Thanks to Donna Haraway for pointing me toward the "sea of islands" formulation.
- 30. Perhaps the largest issue of concern in ocean conservation, one I do not treat in this chapter, is the depletion of fish populations in global practices of overfishing, activities often based on a rhetoric of "harvesting." This model hides the fact that most fishing has no aquacultural component. That is, it is in no way equivalent to farming but closer to escalating predation on a dwindling population (see Safina 1997).
- 31. This mirrors in activist form more explicit projects to extend the marine grasp of the nation. Here, the aquaterritorialized nation-state weaves into the deterritorialized cyberspatialized nation-state (see Everard 2000).

Remaking Life & Death Toward an Anthropology of the Biosciences

Edited by Sarah Franklin and Margaret Lock



School of American Research PressSanta Fe

James Currey
Oxford