

NAME: Rian Renold Dbritto

NUID: 002026598

Report: Work-From-Home vs. Back-to-Office Policies

Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic accelerated a dramatic shift in work arrangements, pushing millions of employees into remote and hybrid work. This report analyzes monthly data from the WFH Research dataset to understand how work patterns evolved, how employer policies compare with worker preferences, and what these trends imply for long-term workforce strategies. Eight visualizations support the findings, including time-series trends, employer vs. employee comparisons, and industry-level work arrangements.

Data & Methods

The data comes from the WFH Research Timeseries (SWAA, ATUS, HPS, and related sources). All datasets were loaded into Python, cleaned, and analyzed using Pandas. Visualizations were created using Matplotlib and Seaborn.

Graphs include:

- WFH before/during/after COVID
- SWAA vs Household Pulse
- Employer planned vs actual WFH
- Worker desired vs planned vs actual
- Onsite vs hybrid vs remote shares
- WFH by major U.S. cities
- Industry comparisons
- Industry heatmap (recent months)

These visuals allowed us to track WFH trends over time and compare across regions and industries.

Key Insights (Supported by Visuals)

1. WFH levels remain 3× higher than pre-COVID

The time-series graph shows pre-pandemic WFH at under 5%, spiking above 60% in 2020, and stabilizing around 27–30% through 2023–2025.

Visuals support: WFH before/during/after COVID line chart.

2. Hybrid work has emerged as the dominant long-term model

Stacked-area visualizations show hybrid hovering around 25–35% for the past two years, outperforming fully remote while still giving employees flexibility.

Visuals support: Hybrid-remote-onsite stacked area chart.

3. Workers want more WFH than employers offer

Workers consistently desire ~2.3–2.5 WFH days/week, while employers plan ~1.4–1.6. Actual behavior stays slightly above employer plans.

This “preference gap” highlights a major opportunity for improving satisfaction and retention.

Visuals support: Worker desired vs planned vs actual line chart.

4. Productivity-oriented industries strongly support hybrid/remote

Industries like Tech, Professional Services, and Finance have the highest hybrid/remote shares, while manufacturing, retail, and healthcare remain primarily onsite.

This pattern reflects job nature—not resistance to remote work.

Visuals support: Industry grouped bars + industry heatmap.

5. Remote work is now a stable geographic trend

Cities like San Francisco, NYC, DC, and Seattle consistently lead in WFH rates due to knowledge-based job concentration. Smaller cities and towns show lower but stable levels.

Visuals support: WFH by city comparison chart.

Discussion

Across all visualizations, WFH and hybrid arrangements demonstrate consistency and long-term endurance. The findings suggest that hybrid work offers the best combination of flexibility, productivity, and employee well-being.

The persistent gap between worker desires and employer planning indicates that organizations offering even slightly more WFH flexibility will likely see improved retention and morale.

Industry and city trends further confirm that WFH depends on job type, not temporary pandemic policies.

Conclusion

The evidence strongly supports a **pro-hybrid, pro-WFH stance**. WFH rates remain far above pre-pandemic levels, hybrid work is highly stable, and worker preferences consistently favor flexible arrangements.

Organizations that align policy with these trends will be better positioned to attract talent, maintain productivity, and support overall well-being. Remote and hybrid work are not short-term trends — they have become essential components of the modern workforce.

