

- Answer to Q(2)

$$\max. z = x_1 + 2x_2$$

$$\text{s.t. } -x_1 + 3x_2 \leq 10$$

$$x_1 + x_2 \leq 6$$

$$x_1 - x_2 \leq 2$$

$$x_1 + 3x_2 \geq 6$$

$$x_2, x_1 \geq 0$$

① let's convert the problem into standard LP problem,

$$\min z = -x_1 - 2x_2$$

$$-x_1 + 3x_2 \leq 10$$

$$x_1 + x_2 \leq 6$$

$$x_1 - x_2 \leq 2$$

$$-x_1 - 3x_2 \leq -6$$

let's introduce some slack variable  $x_3, x_4, x_5$  &  $x_6$  to the inequalities

$$-x_1 + 3x_2 + x_3 = 10$$

$$x_1 + x_2 + x_4 = 6$$

$$x_1 - x_2 + x_5 = 2$$

$$-x_1 - 3x_2 + x_6 = -6$$

Here, non-basic variables are  $x_1, x_2$

basic variables are  $x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6$

1st Tableau:

	Basic	$x_1$	$x_2$	$x_3$	$x_4$	$x_5$	$x_6$	b
	$x_3$	-1	3	1	0	0	0	$10 = 10/3$
	$x_4$	+1	1	0	1	0	0	$6 = 6/1 = 6$
	$x_5$	1	-1	0	0	1	0	$2 = 2/1 = 2$
	$x_6$	-1	-3	0	0	0	1	$-6 = -6/-3 = 2$
	f	-1	-2	0	0	0	0	

Prat 60



Now,  $x_2$  would be basic  
 &  $x_6$  would be non basic

2nd Tableau

Basic	$x_1$	$x_2$	$x_3$	$x_4$	$x_5$	$x_6$	b
$x_3$	-1	3	1	0	0	0	10
$x_4$	1	1	0	1	0	0	6
$x_5$	1	-1	0	0	1	0	2
$x_2$	-1	-3	0	0	0	1	-6
f	-1	-2	0	0	0	0	f

$$\text{row 1} = \text{row 1} + \text{row 4}$$

$$\text{row 2} = \text{row 4}/3 + \text{row 2}$$

$$\text{row 3} = \text{row 4}/-3 + \text{row 3}$$

$$\text{row 4} = \text{row 4} \times -1/3$$

$$\text{row 5} = \text{row 4} \times 3 + \text{row 5}$$

Pivot row

Basic	$x_1$	$x_2$	$x_3$	$x_4$	$x_5$	$x_6$	b
$x_3$	-2	0	1	0	0	1	$4 = 10 - 4 = 6$
$x_4$	$2/3$	0	0	1	0	$1/3$	$4 = 6 \times 3 = 12$
$x_5$	$4/3$	0	0	0	1	$-1/3$	$4 = 2 \times (-3) = -12$
$x_2$	$1/3$	1	0	0	0	$-1/3$	$2 = 2 \times (-3) = -6$
f	0	1	0	0	0	-1	f+6

Pivot column

Now,  $x_1$  would be basic  
 and  $x_3$  would be non basic

3rd Tableau

Basic	$x_1$	$x_2$	$x_3$	$x_4$	$x_5$	$x_6$	b
$x_6$	-2	0	1	0	0	1	4
$x_4$	$2/3$	0	0	1	0	$1/3$	4
$x_5$	$4/3$	0	0	0	1	$-1/3$	4
$x_2$	$1/3$	1	0	0	0	$-1/3$	2
f	0	1	0	0	0	-1	f+6



$$\textcircled{1} \text{ row } 1 = \text{row } 1$$

$$\textcircled{2} \text{ row } 2 = \text{row } 1 / -3 + \text{row } 2$$

$$\textcircled{3} \text{ row } 3 = \text{row } 1 / 3 + \text{row } 3$$

$$\textcircled{4} \text{ row } 4 = \text{row } 1 / 3 + \text{row } 4$$

$$\textcircled{5} \text{ row } 5 = \text{row } 1 + \text{row } 5$$

Pivot column

Basic	$x_1$	$x_2$	$x_3$	$x_4$	$x_5$	$x_6$	b
$x_6$	-2	0	1	0	0	1	$4 = 4 / -2 = -2$
$x_4$	$4/3$	0	$-1/3$	1	0	0	$8/3 = 8 \times \frac{3}{4} = 2$
$x_5$	$2/3$	0	$1/3$	0	1	0	$16/3 = 16/3 \times \frac{3}{2} = 8$
$x_2$	$-1/3$	1	$1/3$	0	0	0	$10/3 = 10/3 \times (-3) = -10$
f	-2	1	1	0	0	0	f+10

Pivot row

Now,  $x_4$  is basic

$x_4$  is non basic

Basic	$x_1$	$x_2$	$x_3$	$x_4$	$x_5$	$x_6$	b
$x_6$	-2	0	1	0	0	1	4
$x_4$	$4/3$	0	$-1/3$	1	0	0	$8/3$
$x_5$	$2/3$	0	$1/3$	0	1	0	$16/3$
$x_2$	$-1/3$	1	$1/3$	0	0	0	$10/3$
f	-2	1	1	0	0	0	f+10

$$\text{row } 1 = \text{row } 2 \times \frac{3}{2}$$

$$\text{row } 2 = \text{row } 2 \times \frac{3}{4}$$

$$\text{row } 3 = \text{row } 2 \times (-1/2) + \text{row } 3$$

$$\text{row } 4 = \text{row } 2 \times 1/4 + \text{row } 4$$

$$\text{row } 5 = \text{row } 2 \times 2 + \text{row } 5$$

Basic	$x_1$	$x_2$	$x_3$	$x_4$	$x_5$	$x_6$	b
$x_6$	0	0	$1/2$	$3/2$	0	1	8
$x_4$	1	0	$-1/4$	$3/4$	0	0	2
$x_5$	0	0	$1/2$	$-1/2$	1	0	4
$x_2$	0	1	$1/4$	$1/4$	0	0	4
f	0	1	$1/2$	$3/2$	0	0	f+14



non  
Now,  $x_3$  &  $x_4$  are basic variable, therefore they are 0.

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{Now, } x_1^* = 2 \\ x_5^* = 4 \\ x_6^* = 8 \\ x_2^* = 4 \end{array} \right\} \text{Ans.}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now, } x_2 &= f + 14 \\ \Rightarrow 4 &= f + 14 \\ \Rightarrow f^* &= -10 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\max z = +10$  (Ans.)

Validation: The LINDROU code is attached at the appendix.

Answer to the Q(1)

(a) No.

First, we need to convert it to standard optimization problem,

$$\begin{aligned} \min F(x_1, x_2) &= -4x_1^2 - 3x_2^2 + 5x_1x_2 + 8 \\ \text{s.t. } x_1 + x_2 &= 4 \end{aligned}$$

Secondly, we need to construct the Lagrange function.

$$\begin{aligned} L(x, v) &= F(x_1, x_2) + v_1(h) \\ &= -4x_1^2 - 3x_2^2 + 5x_1x_2 + 8 + v_1(x_1 + x_2) \end{aligned}$$

Thirdly, we need to apply the KKT condition

$$\frac{dL}{dx_1} = v_1 - 8x_1 + 5x_2 = 0 \quad \text{--- (1)}$$



$$\frac{dL}{dx_2} = v_1 + 5x_1 - 6x_2 = 0 \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

$$\frac{dL}{dv_1} = x_1 + x_2 - 4 = 0 \quad \text{--- (3)}$$

By solving eqn (1), (2) & (3), we get,

$$x_1 = 11/6$$

$$x_2 = 13/6$$

$$\cancel{v_1 = 23/6}$$

$$v_1 = 23/6$$

$$[x_1, x_2] = [11/6, 13/6] \quad (\text{Ans})$$

(b) No. First, we need to convert it to standard optimization problem,

$$\min f(x_1, x_2) = (x_1 - 1)^2 + (x_2 - 1)^2$$

$$\text{s.t. } -x_1 - x_2 \leq -4$$

$$x_1 - x_2 - 2 \geq 0$$

Second, we need to construct the lagrange function

$$\mathcal{L}(x, v, u, s) = f(x) + v_1 h_1 + u_1 (g_1 + s_1^2)$$

$$\mathcal{L}(x_1, x_2, v_1, u_1, s_1) = (x_1 - 2)^2 + (x_2 - 1)^2 - u_1 (-s_1^2 + x_1 + x_2 - 4) - v_1 (x_2 - x_1 + 2)$$

Third, Apply KKT conditions

$$\frac{dL}{dx_1} = v_1 - u_1 + 2x_1 - 4 = 0 \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

$$\frac{dL}{dx_2} = 2x_2 - v_1 - u_1 - 2 = 0 \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

$$\frac{dL}{dv_1} = x_1 - x_2 - 2 = 0 \quad \text{--- (3)}$$

$$\frac{dL}{du_1} = s_1^2 - x_1 - x_2 + 4 = 0 \quad \text{--- (4)}$$

$$u_1 s_1 = 0$$

Now, either  $u_1 = 0$  or  $s_1 = 0$



If  $u_1 = 0$ , then,  ~~$u_1 + u_2 \leq$~~   
 $u_1 = -u_2 < 0$

So, this solution is not feasible.

If  $s_1 = 0$ , then where  $u_1 = 1 > 0$

So,  $[x_1^*, x_2^*] = [3, 1]$  (Ans.)

(C) No.

min.  $f(x_1, x_2) = 9x_1^2 - 18x_1x_2 + 13x_2^2 - 4$   
 s.t.  $-x_1^2 \leq x_2^2 - 2x_1 \leq -16$

Now, the lagrange function could look like as follows:

$\mathcal{L}(x_1, x_2, u_1, s_1) = 9x_1^2 - 18x_1x_2 + 13x_2^2 - u_1(-x_1^2 + x_2^2 + 2x_1 + x_2^2 - 16) - 4$

$\frac{d\mathcal{L}}{dx_1} = 18x_1 - 18x_2 - u_1(2x_1 + 2) = 0$  — (1)

$\frac{d\mathcal{L}}{dx_2} = 26x_2 - 18x_1 - 2u_1x_2 = 0$  — (2)

$\frac{d\mathcal{L}}{du_1} = s_1^2 - x_1^2 - 2x_1 - x_2^2 + 16 = 0$  — (3)

$u_1s_1 = 0$

Now, if we solve this system of equation, we get

Ans.  $\left\{ \begin{array}{l|l|l} x_1 = 2.6 & x_2 = 2.02 & u_1 = 1.44 > 0 \\ & = -3.27 & u_2 = 17.15 > 0 \\ & = -3.09 & u_3 = 2.12 > 0 \\ & = 3.18 & = 23.29 > 0 \end{array} \right.$

&  $s = 0$  for all cases.

Therefore it satisfies KKT condition.

## Appendix

%% Problem 1 (a)

syms x1 x2 v1

expr1 = -4\*x1^2-3\*x2^2+5\*x1\*x2+8;

eqConstr = x1+x2-4;

LM1 = expr1 + v1 \* eqConstr;

LM\_x1 = diff(LM1, x1)

LM\_x2 = diff(LM1, x2)

LM\_v1 = diff(LM1, v1)

sol1 = solve([LM\_x1,LM\_x2,LM\_v1], [x1,x2,v1], 'Real',true)

%% Problem 1 (b)

syms x1 x2 u1 v1 s1

expr2 = (x1 -2)^2+(x2 -1)^2;

ineqConstr2 = -x1-x2+4;

eqConstr2 = x1-x2-2;

LM2 = expr2+v1\*eqConstr2+u1\*(ineqConstr2+s1^2)

LM\_x1 = diff(LM2, x1)

LM\_x2 = diff(LM2, x2)

LM\_v1 = diff(LM2, v1)

LM\_u1 = diff(LM2, u1)

sol2 = solve([LM\_x1,LM\_x2,LM\_v1,LM\_u1], [x1,x2,v1,s1], "Real",true)

%% Problem 1 (c)

syms x1 x2 u1 s1

assume(u1 >= 0)

assume(s1 >= 0)

expr3 = 9\*x1^2-18\*x1\*x2+13\*x2^2-4;

ineqConstr3 = -x1^2-x2^2-2\*x1+16;

LM3 = expr3+u1\*(ineqConstr3+s1^2)

LM\_x1 = diff(LM3, x1)

LM\_x2 = diff(LM3, x2)

LM\_u1 = diff(LM3, u1)

LM\_s1 = u1\*s1

sol3 = vpasolve([LM\_x1,LM\_x2,LM\_u1,LM\_s1], [x1,x2,s1,u1])

%% Problem 2

A = [-1 3

1 1

1 -1

-1 -3];

b = [10 6 2 -6];

f = [-2 -1];

[x,fval] = linprog(f,A,b)

