# 605.744: Information Retrieval Problem Set (Module 2)

#### Sabbir Ahmed

## September 9, 2022

1. (10%) What advantage(s) do balanced binary trees have over hashtables when used to store our dictionary of indexing terms?

#### Answer:

One major advantage that balanced trees have over hash tables are their performance in terms of memory usage. Trees can be constructed and easily expanded to account for new data, whereas hash tables need to initialize its container size with a maximum capacity that has to resize with additional data. Another advantage is that the keys are stored in a sorted manner in a tree and retrieving them would not require additional operations, while hash tables are not sorted.

2. (30%) Character n-gram overlap is used for both automated spelling correction and name matching (i.e., deciding whether two names might be the same, a common database problem known as "record linkage"). Using a character 3-gram representation, how many distinct n-grams do "CHEONGSONG" and "CHEONMACHONG" have in common? What is the Dice-coefficient score for these two strings using 3-grams? What is the Dice score using 4-grams instead? Which score is higher? Note: although there is nothing conceptually wrong in doing so, for this problem, do not use "padded" n-grams (e.g., '\$' or '\_' symbols marking the beginning and end of the strings).

### Answer:

The following are the 3-grams of:

"CHEONGSONG":

$$X = \{CHE, HEO, EON, ONG, NGS, GSO, SON\}, |X| = 7$$

"CHEONMACHONG":

$$Y = \{CHE, HEO, EON, ONM, NMA, MAC, ACH, CHO, HON, ONG\}, |Y| = 10$$

Both of the words:

$$X \cap Y = \{CHE, HEO, EON, ONG\}, |X \cap Y| = 4$$

The Dice-coefficient is computed with the formula:  $2|X \cap Y|/(|X| + |Y|)$ . Then,

$$2(4)/(7+10) = 8/17 = 0.47$$

The following are the 4-grams of:

"CHEONGSONG":

$$X = \{CHEO, HEON, EONG, ONGS, NGSO, GSON, SONG\}, |X| = 7$$

"CHEONMACHONG":

 $Y = \{CHEO, HEON, EONM, ONMA, NMAC, MACH, ACHO, CHON, HONG\}, |Y| = 9$ 

Both of the words:

$$X \cap Y = \{CHEO, HEON\}, |X \cap Y| = 2$$

The Dice-coefficient is:  $2|X \cap Y|/(|X|+|Y|)$ . Then,

$$2(2)/(7+9) = 4/16 = 0.25$$

The Dice-coefficient for 3-grams is higher.

3. (30%) Compute the edit distance (or Levenshtein distance) for these two pairs of strings: (a) "CHEBYSHEV" and "TSCHEBYSCHEF"; and (b) "LEVINSTINE" and "LEVENSHTEIN". Then report a sequence of transformations for that cost that converts one string into the other. You should use unit costs for each operation: insertion, deletion, or substitution; that is, each step has a cost of 1. Note, you do not need to write a program or produce any code for this problem - these examples can be easily determined by pencil and paper - you do not need to construct a table as the example in the textbook.

Trivia: Computing edit distance is a classic dynamic programming problem with an  $O(N^2)$  complexity. However, the decision problem "Do strings x and y (say each of length N) have an edit distance  $\leq k$  can be solved in O(kN), which is subquadratic. See: Esko Ukkonen's paper 'Algorithms for approximate string matching' (1985).

#### Answer:

- (a) "CHEBYSHEV" and "TSCHEBYSCHEF"
  - i. Insert T: " CHEBYSHEV" -> "TCHEBYSHEV"
  - ii. Insert S: "T CHEBYSHEV" -> "TSCHEBYSHEV"
  - iii. Insert C: "TSCHEBYS HEV" -> "TSCHEBYSCHEV"
  - iv. Substitute F with V: "TSCHEBYSCHEV" -> "TSCHEBYSCHEF"

The edit distance is 4.

- (b) "LEVINSTINE" and "LEVENSHTEIN"
  - i. Substitute I with E: "LEVINSTINE" -> "LEVENSTINE"
  - ii. Insert H: "LEVENS\_TINE" -> "LEVENSHTINE"
  - iii. Insert E: "LEVENSHT\_INE" -> "LEVENSHTEINE"
  - iv. Delete E: "LEVENSHTEINE" -> "LEVENSHTEIN"

The edit distance is 4.

4. (30%) Following the method described in the textbook (or lecture materials), calculate Soundex codes for the strings: (a) "Stanford" and (b) "Georgetown"? Show intermediate steps to produce the final code.

#### Answer:

# (a) "Stanford"

- i. Retain the first letter of the name and change all other occurrences of a, e, i, o, u, y, h, w to 0: Stanford -> St0nf0rd
- ii. Replace consonants with their corresponding digits: St0nf0rd -> S3051063
- iii. Remove all zeros: S3051063 -> S35163
- iv. Return the first four positions: S35163 -> S351

## (b) "Georgetown"

- i. Retain the first letter of the name and change all other occurrences of a, e, i, o, u, y, h, w to 0: Georgetown -> G00rg0t00n
- ii. Replace consonants with their corresponding digits: G00rg0t00n -> G006203005
- iii. Remove all zeros:  $G006203005 \rightarrow G6235$
- iv. Return the first four positions: G6235 -> G623