# Principles for maintainable object-oriented code



### ingle Responsibility Principle

Each class has a single purpose. All its methods should relate to function. **Reasoning:** Each responsibility could be a reason to change a class in the future. Fewer responsibilities  $\rightarrow$  fewer opportunities to introduce bugs during changes.

**Example:** Split formatting & calculating of a report into different classes.



#### pen / Closed Principle

Classes (or methods) should be open for extension and closed for modification. Once written they should only be touched to fix errors. New functionality should go into new classes that are derived. This is popularly interpreted to advocate inheriting from an abstract base class. **Reasoning:** Again you lower the odds of breaking existing code.

iskov Substitution Principle

You should be able to replace an object with any of its derived classes. Your code should never have to check which sub-type it's dealing with. **Reasoning:** Prevents awkward type checking and weird side-effects.



## nterface Segregation Principle

Define subsets of functionality as interfaces.

**Reasoning:** Small, specific interfaces lead to a more decoupled system than a big general-purpose one.

**Example:** A PersistenceManager implements DBReader & DBWriter.



## **ependency Inversion Principle**

High level modules should not depend on low-level modules. Instead, both should depend on abstractions. Abstractions should not depend on details. Details should depend upon abstractions

**Reasoning:** High-level modules become more reusable if they are ignorant of low-level module implementation details.

**Examples:** 1) Dependency Injection. 2) Putting high-level modules in different packages than the low-level modules it uses.

