

Exercises on Modulo Arithmetic and RSA

Víctor Alcázar Kosmas Palios Albert Ribes

April 2, 2017

Exercise 1

The idea is to use Euler's theorem (generalization of Fermat's Little Theorem).

$$a^{\phi(n)} = 1 \bmod n$$

where a is coprime to n .

This helps us compute powers modulo n . E.g, in the exercise $n = 10, \phi(n) = 4, a = 3$. It is true that $28 = 4 * 7$, therefore we can be sure that $3^{28} = 1 \bmod 10$.

The second case is different: $n = 15, \phi(n) = 8, a = 3$. Here we notice that $\text{lcd}(3, 15) = 3$, and therefore we cannot use Euler's Theorem.

However, we happily discover that $3^4 \bmod 15 = 3$. That means that we have actually found a repetition pattern in the chain $3^1, 3^2, 3^3, 3^4 \dots$ and this means that $3^{3k+1} = 3$. But we know that $200 = 3 * 66 + 2$, therefore: $3^{200} = 3^{3 * 66 + 2} = 3^2 = 9$.

Exercise 2

We first compute $x = b^c \bmod \phi(p)$

Then we compute $a^x \bmod p$.

Why is this correct? Simply because for every p, a , as Euler tells us that $a^{\phi(p)} = 1 \bmod p$, we have the following $a^k = a^{k + \phi(p) * l} \bmod p$.

Exercise 3

Solution.

Exercise 4

SOLUTION.

Exercise 5

In the given cryptosystem, to decrypt a ciphertext we must use the private key d , in the following way $m = c^d \bmod p$. The problem here is that the private

key can be easily computed. We know that the relation between e and d is $ed = 1 \bmod \phi(p)$. But now $\phi(p) = p - 1$, because p is prime!

So all we have to do is compute the multiplicative inverse of e modulo $p-1$. This can be easily done using the Extended Euclidean Algorithm, which takes $O(\log(e)^2)$ time. Then it is only a matter of exponentiation of c to the power of d modulo p . This in itself takes $\log(d)$ steps if done with repeated squaring.

In total we have $O(\log(e)^2 + \log(d))$ time.