Escola de Economia de São Paulo - Fundação Getulio Vargas

Course: Econometria 2

Instructor: Vitor Possebom

Problem Set 6 - Total = 140 points

Question 1 (Comparing Estimators of a Dynamic Panel Data Model - 100 points)

We want to compare different estimators for the coefficients in a Dynamic Panel Data Model. Specifically, our model is given by

$$Y_{i,t} = \alpha \cdot Y_{i,t-1} + \eta_i + \nu_{i,t},$$

where

- $i \in \{1, 2, ..., N\}$ with N = 10,000,
- $t \in \{2, 3, ..., T\}$ with T = 3,
- $\alpha = 0.2$,
- $Y_{i,1} \sim i.i.d.$ Cauchy (location = 0, scale = 1),
- $\eta_i \sim i.i.d. \ Unif(0,5),$
- $\nu_{i,t} \sim i.i.d. \ N(0,1), \ and$
- $(Y_{i,1}, \eta_i, \nu_{i,t})$ are jointly independent.

This comparison will be done with a Monte Carlo exercise with seed number 220206 and M = 10,000 repetitions.

1. For each repetition, use OLS to estimate α in our model. Report the average bias: $Bias_{OLS} = \sum_{m=1}^{M} (\hat{\alpha}_{OLS,m} - \alpha)$. Have in mind that standard results for omitted variable bias indicate that, at least in large samples, the OLS estimator is biased upwards. (20 points)

- 2. For each repetition, use the Within-Groups estimator to estimate α in our model. Report the average bias: $Bias_{WG} = \sum_{m=1}^{M} (\hat{\alpha}_{WG,m} \alpha)$. Have in mind that standard results for omitted variable bias indicate that, at least in large samples, the Within-Groups estimator is biased downwards. (20 points)
- 3. If the Within-Groups estimator is larger than the OLS estimator, we may suspect that our model is misspecified. So, in real-world applications, it is always useful to compare those two inconsistent estimators as a sanity check. To illustrate this point, compare $\hat{\alpha}_{WG,m}$ against $\hat{\alpha}_{OLS,m}$ and report the share of repetitions where $\hat{\alpha}_{WG,m} < \hat{\alpha}_{OLS,m}$. (20 points)
- 4. For each repetition, use the First-Differences estimator to estimate α in our model. Report the average bias: $Bias_{FD} = \sum_{m=1}^{M} (\hat{\alpha}_{FD,m} \alpha)$. Have in mind that, in this case, the bias will be negative. Moreover, when T=3, the First-Differences and the Within-Groups Estimators are numerically identical. (20 points)
- 5. For each repetition, use the Anderson-Hsiao estimator to estimate α in our model. Report the average bias: $Bias_{AH} = \sum_{m=1}^{M} (\hat{\alpha}_{AH,m} \alpha)$. Have in mind that, in this case, the bias should be close to zero. (20 points)

Question 2 (Near Unit Root Properties - 40 points)

We want to understand what happens with the Anderson-Hsiao estimator when our model is close to a unit root process. Specifically, our model is given by

$$Y_{i,t} = \alpha \cdot Y_{i,t-1} + \eta_i + \nu_{i,t},$$

where

- $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ with N = 10,000,
- $t \in \{2, 3, \dots, T\}$ with T = 3,
- $\alpha = 0.9999$,

- $Y_{i,1} \sim i.i.d.$ Cauchy (location = 0, scale = 1),
- $\eta_i \sim i.i.d. \ Unif(0,5),$
- $\nu_{i,t} \sim i.i.d. \ N(0,1), \ and$
- $(Y_{i,1}, \eta_i, \nu_{i,t})$ are jointly independent.

This comparison will be done with a Monte Carlo exercise with seed number 220206 and M=10,000 repetitions. For each repetition, use the Anderson-Hsiao estimator to estimate α in our model.

- 1. Report the observed deciles of the first-stage F-statistic. Have in mind that the threshold for a strong instrument is F = 10 according to the standard practice or F = 104.7 according to Lee, McCrary, Moreira and Porter (2020, arxiv. org/abs/2010.05058). Generally, when our AR(1) model is close to being a unit root process, the Anderson-Hsiao instrument will be weak. (20 points)
- 2. Report the average bias: $Bias_{AH} = \sum_{m=1}^{M} (\hat{\alpha}_{AH,m} \alpha)$. Due to a weak instrument, this bias will be larger than the one in Question 1.6. (20 points)