



# **Formalization and Runtime Verification of Invariants for Robotic Systems**

Ricardo Jorge Dias Cordeiro

**Mestrado em Engenharia Informática**  
Especialização em Interação e Conhecimento

Versão Provisória

Dissertação orientada por:  
Alcides Miguel Cachulo Aguiar Fonseca



## Agradecimentos



*Dedicatória*



## Resumo

Os documentos escritos em português devem ter um resumo em português e um resumo noutra língua comunitária que contenham até 300 palavras cada. Num trabalho final escrito em língua estrangeira, este deve ser acompanhado de um resumo em português entre 1200 e 1500 palavras.

**Palavras-chave:** Máximo de 5 palavras-chave separadas por vírgulas.





## Abstract

Abstract in English here (max 300 words)!

**Keywords:** Maximum of 5 keywords separated by commas.



# Contents

<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>xiii</b>
<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>xv</b>
<b>Listings</b>	<b>xvii</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Motivation . . . . .	1
1.2 Problem Statement . . . . .	1
1.3 Objectives . . . . .	2
1.4 Contributions . . . . .	2
1.5 Structure of the document . . . . .	2
<b>2 Background &amp; Related Work</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>3 Proposed Approach</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>References</b>	<b>9</b>



# List of Figures

3.1 Tool for monitoring robot properties. . . . .	6
---	---



# List of Tables





# Listings



# Chapter 1

## Introduction

Be it a robot arm in a car assembly line, your vacuum cleaner, or a cat-like robot to carry your food in a restaurant, robotics already have a great impact on our current society. Due to their broad practicality, the quality of software used by robots should be of extreme importance for us. Robot software as well as the techniques used to test their quality are very field-specific and different from the techniques employed in traditional Software Engineering. Automatic tests are barely used in robotics due to multiple factors: cost, complexity, hardware integration, among others [4]. The goal of this thesis is to overcome the challenges of automated testing in robotics, by providing developers with a usable alternative that allows detecting bugs with less effort through simulation.

### 1.1 Motivation

Today, robots are vastly used industrially (medicine, agriculture, etc.) or leisurely (contests, personal use, etc.). The tendency is for robot usage to keep growing at a global level. Robot tasks tend to be repetitive and rather specific. Therefore, Robot software also tends to be quite different from conventional software. The Cyber-Physical systems of robots are non-deterministic and unreliable, mainly because robots interact directly with their environment. A sensor can return imprecise values since the environment itself can be very hard to predict. As a result, verifying whether a task or movement is correct is hard for a robot to conceive.

Current practices on testing robot software are common among developers, including field testing, simulation testing, logs checking, among others. The common denominator among these is that they require a human to analyze the behavior of the robot to determine whether the behavior is correct. If there was a tool that could make this decision, automated tests could be used more widely in robot systems. However, that is not the case as automatic tests are hardly used. Opening this door would mean an improvement in the quality of current and future robot software.

### 1.2 Problem Statement

The multiple challenges in robot testing have an influence on planning how to test a robot because there are tradeoffs among choices. While simulation-based tests are a promising approach for

automation there is still distrust in the precision and validity of the results. This means that, despite being dangerous and sometimes expensive, real-life robot testing is still the main choice. Both in real-world testing or simulations, human supervising will most likely still be necessary. This is because identifying if a robot fulfills an expected behavior is very hard for the robot itself. For this reason, automatic tests in the robotics field are hardly reliable and hard to implement. The resulting product is a lack of quality in the software across projects. In short, right now in the field is manually costly to identify test scenarios and identify if the robot does what we want.

### **1.3 Objectives**

This work has the objective of showing the potential of automatic tests in robotics and of simplifying their execution. With this in mind, we propose a mechanism that monitors a subset of the components of the robot during or after tests execution. These components aren't arbitrary but are defined with the help of a descriptive high-level language. Not only the components but the test scenario should be described in this language. With the description of this language, one should be able to detect and orchestrate relevant robot components associated with the testing scenario. The language should allow describing a robot property in a simple and intuitive way. This language will need to be supported by a compiler. The compiler should translate the language to a monitoring mechanism. In this way, if a robot doesn't follow the properties defined by the language, either during execution or a log analysis, the compiler will detect an anomaly in the normal behavior of the robot.

### **1.4 Contributions**

The expected contributions of this thesis are below enumerated.

1. Definition of a descriptive high-level language to specify robots properties.
2. Implementation of compiler for the language that can be used for monitoring.
3. Evaluation of the expressive capability of the solution in real-world examples.

### **1.5 Structure of the document**

The document is organized as follows:

- Section 1...
- Section 2...
- Section 3...

## Chapter 2

# Background & Related Work

In this chapter as a background to the work, the Robot Operating System (ROS) is introduced. Has for related work, Gazebo is introduced as the simulation software to be used and GzScenic as a language that allows to specify testing scenarios.

ROS is an open-source framework with a vast collection of libraries and tools that help build robot software. ROS runs on Linux Ubuntu and provides an abstraction between hardware and software. ROS was built with the purpose of cross-collaboration, there are packages for almost everything and no need to reinvent the wheel. ROS is the most widely used tool for writing robot software. Companies like Sony, LG, Rapyuta Robotics, etc., rely on ROS to deliver their products [2].

Robot simulation is an essential tool for testing robots behavior. Gazebo started with the idea of a high-fidelity simulator to simulate robots in outdoor environments under varied conditions. Today it offers the ability to simulate numerous robots in complex distinct environments. Gazebo is an open-source 3D simulator that supports sensors simulation and actuators control under different physics engines [1].

Scenic is a domain-specific language used to describe scenarios. It is a probabilistic programming language and it helps design the cyber-physical systems used in a simulation. Scenic big potential is allowing the randomization of specific scenarios delimited by the language. Although this is true Scenic as a problem, it is designed to support only a specific number of simulators, mainly vehicle simulation [5].

With this in mind, GzScenic was built. The GzScenic tool allows the generation of this type of scenarios in the Gazebo simulator, which is the most popular general-purpose simulator [3].



## Chapter 3

# Proposed Approach

The proposed approach consists initially in creating a domain-specific language. The language will serve as a way to describe the properties of a robot. For instance, if our robot is an autonomous car navigating on the road, one property could be that the robot stops at stop signs. To describe robot properties, we also need the description of the testing scenario. In the above example, the "road" and "stop sign" should be defined in the language as part of the testing scenario, without it there would be no way to describe the above property effectively. To describe the scenario itself we can use GzScenic [3] in order to take advantage of the arbitrary creation of multiple scenario possibilities. This language will then be composed of a new domain-specific language in association with the already established GzScenic language.

Next in the approach, there is a need to build a compiler for the proposed language. The compiler should be able to interpret a property in the language and be able to identify the components of the robot necessary to monitor the said property. The monitorization could take place either during runtime or after using log files. Taking the above example into account, our compiler should have the information of which component of the robot is responsible for the car position as well as the position of the stop sign, it can then monitor the component and check if the property has been broken.

The language should be of high level in the sense that it should be intuitive to the writer. With this approach, the person doing the robot testing shouldn't need so much in-depth knowledge about the robot to perform a test. This is because of the writing simplicity of the language and the removal of the manual labor side of personally monitoring the robot.

The final scheme of the tool proposed is represented in the below diagram.




Figure 3.1: Tool for monitoring robot properties.



# Appendix A



# Bibliography

- [1] <http://gazebo-sim.org/>.
- [2] <https://www.ros.org/>.
- [3] Afsoon Afzal. Gzscenic: Automatic scene generation for gazebo simulator. <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2104.08625.pdf>, .
- [4] Afsoon Afzal. A study on challenges of testing robotic systems. .
- [5] Daniel J. Fremont, Edward Kim, Tommaso Dreossi, Shromona Ghosh, Xiangyu Yue, Alberto L. Sangiovanni-Vincentelli, and Sanjit A. Seshia. Scenic: A language for scenario specification. <https://scenic-lang.readthedocs.io/en/latest/index.html>.