Supplementary material Sub-Saharan Africa sweetpotato virome

Ricardo I. Alcalá-Briseño

May 25, 2021

Abstract

Results and supplementary figures of the Sub-Saharan Africa sweet-potato virome (SSA-SPV).

1 Gravity model

We evaluate the cropland density of sweetpotato using a cropland connecitvity risk index (Yanru et la., 2020). Implementing a gravity model using a negative exponential distribution using the sweetpotato density of 1degree pixel from the Monfreda and MapSpam databases (REFs).




Figure 1: Gravity model Sub-Saharan Africa sweetpotato virome.

$$2\mathrm{Mg} + \mathrm{O}_2 \longrightarrow 2\mathrm{MgO}$$

1.1 Regions

Seven regions of sweetpotato were identified with a gravity model (table 1).

| K-cluster | Regions | Countries | Samples |
|-----------|--------------|--------------------------------|---------|
| Region 1 | East | Tanzania, Uganda | 228 |
| Region 2 | Near West | Ghana, Benin and Nigeria | 36 |
| Region 3 | Southwest | Angola | 171 |
| Region 4 | East group 1 | Mozambique, Zimbabwe | 262 |
| Region 5 | East group 2 | Mozambique, Tanzania, Zimbabwe | 151 |
| Region 6 | East group 3 | Rwanda, Tanzania, Uganda | 261 |
| Region 7 | Far East | Ethiopia | 171 |
| Total | | | 1286 |

2 Regions

2.1 Region 1

Region 1 corresponding to East Africa (Tanzania and Uganda) of 228 samples collected. We identified 98 viruses species, in _____ genera, and _____ families. A barplot representing the frequency distribution and relative abundance of SSA-SPV region 1 (Fig. 2)




Figure 2: Incidence distribution Sub-Saharan Africa sweetpotato virome region 1.

Rarefied samples of region 1

Bipartite network representing 228 samples of sweetpotato from East Africa (Tanzania, and Uganda).




Figure 3: Rarefaction of Sub-Saharan Africa sweetpotato virome region 1.

Bipartite network representing 228 samples of sweet potato from East Africa (Tanzania, and Uganda) and 100 virus.




Figure 4: Bipartite network Sub-Saharan Africa sweetpotato virome region 1.

- 2.2 Region 2
- 2.3 Region 3
- 2.4 Region 4
- 2.5 Region 5
- 2.6 Region 6
- 2.7 Region 7

3 Networks metrics

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{Mass of magnesium metal} &= 8.59\,\mathrm{g} - 7.28\,\mathrm{g} \\ &= 1.31\,\mathrm{g} \end{array}$

Mass of magnesium oxide $= 9.46 \,\mathrm{g} - 7.28 \,\mathrm{g}$

 $=2.18\,\mathrm{g}$

Mass of oxygen $= 2.18 \,\mathrm{g} - 1.31 \,\mathrm{g}$

 $=0.87\,\mathrm{g}$

Because of this reaction, the required ratio is the atomic weight of magnesium:




Figure 5: Bipartite network Sub-Saharan Africa sweetpotato virome region 1, Kimura-Kawai layout

 $16.00\,\mathrm{g}$ of oxygen as experimental mass of Mg: experimental mass of oxygen or $\frac{x}{1.31} = \frac{16}{0.87}$ from which, $M_{\mathrm{Mg}} = 16.00 \times \frac{1.31}{0.87} = 24.1 = 24\,\mathrm{g\,mol^{-1}}$ (to two significant figures).

4 Results and Conclusions

The atomic weight of magnesium is concluded to be $24\,\mathrm{g\,mol^{-1}}$, as determined by the stoichiometry of its chemical combination with oxygen. This result is in agreement with the accepted value.

5 Discussion of Experimental Uncertainty

The accepted value (periodic table) is $24.3\,\mathrm{g\,mol^{-1}}$?. The percentage discrepancy between the accepted value and the result obtained here is 1.3%. Because




Figure 6: Incidence distribution Sub-Saharan Africa sweetpotato virome region




Figure 7: Rarefaction of Sub-Saharan Africa sweet potato virome region 1.




Figure 8: Bipartite network Sub-Saharan Africa sweetpotato virome region 1.

only a single measurement was made, it is not possible to calculate an estimated standard deviation.

The most obvious source of experimental uncertainty is the limited precision of the balance. Other potential sources of experimental uncertainty are: the reaction might not be complete; if not enough time was allowed for total oxidation, less than complete oxidation of the magnesium might have, in part, reacted with nitrogen in the air (incorrect reaction); the magnesium oxide might have absorbed water from the air, and thus weigh "too much." Because the result obtained is close to the accepted value it is possible that some of these experimental uncertainties have fortuitously cancelled one another.

6 Answers to Definitions

- a. The atomic weight of an element is the relative weight of one of its atoms compared to C-12 with a weight of 12.0000000..., hydrogen with a weight of 1.008, to oxygen with a weight of 16.00. Atomic weight is also the average weight of all the atoms of that element as they occur in nature.
- b. The units of atomic weight are two-fold, with an identical numerical value.




Figure 9: Bipartite network Sub-Saharan Africa sweetpotato virome region 1, Kimura-Kawai layout

They are g/mole of atoms (or just g/mol) or amu/atom.

c. $Percentage\ discrepancy$ between an accepted (literature) value and an experimental value is

 $\frac{experimental\ result-accepted\ result}{accepted\ result}$




Figure 10: Incidence distribution Sub-Saharan Africa sweet potato virome region $\ensuremath{^{1}}$




Figure 11: Rarefaction of Sub-Saharan Africa sweet potato virome region 1.




Figure 12: Bipartite network Sub-Saharan Africa sweetpotato virome region 1.




Figure 13: Bipartite network Sub-Saharan Africa sweetpotato virome region 1, Kimura-Kawai layout




Figure 14: Incidence distribution Sub-Saharan Africa sweet potato virome region $\ensuremath{\mathtt{1}}$




Figure 15: Rarefaction of Sub-Saharan Africa sweetpotato virome region 1.




Figure 16: Bipartite network Sub-Saharan Africa sweetpotato virome region 1.




Figure 17: Bipartite network Sub-Saharan Africa sweetpotato virome region 1, Kimura-Kawai layout




Figure 18: Incidence distribution Sub-Saharan Africa sweet potato virome region ${\bf 1}$




Figure 19: Rarefaction of Sub-Saharan Africa sweetpotato virome region 1.




Figure 20: Bipartite network Sub-Saharan Africa sweetpotato virome region 1.




Figure 21: Bipartite network Sub-Saharan Africa sweetpotato virome region 1, Kimura-Kawai layout




Figure 22: Incidence distribution Sub-Saharan Africa sweet potato virome region $\ensuremath{\mathtt{1}}$




Figure 23: Rarefaction of Sub-Saharan Africa sweetpotato virome region 1.




Figure 24: Bipartite network Sub-Saharan Africa sweetpotato virome region 1.




Figure 25: Bipartite network Sub-Saharan Africa sweetpotato virome region 1, Kimura-Kawai layout




Figure 26: Incidence distribution Sub-Saharan Africa sweet potato virome region ${\bf 1}$




Figure 27: Rarefaction of Sub-Saharan Africa sweetpotato virome region 1.




Figure 28: Bipartite network Sub-Saharan Africa sweetpotato virome region 1.




Figure 29: Bipartite network Sub-Saharan Africa sweetpotato virome region 1, Kimura-Kawai layout



Figure 30: Figure caption.