

## Exercise Sheet 2 Generalized Linear Models

Discussion of the tutorial exercises on November 31 and November 3, 2022

**Problem 1 (\*)** Prove that the coefficient of determination  $R^2$  of a linear model is non-decreasing in the number of covariates.

**Problem 2 (\*)** We resume our analysis of the USCrime data from Problem 2 on Sheet 1. For this exercise, we use all the covariates Age, S, Ed, Ex0, Ex1, M, N, U1, U2, W, X, including the transformed covariates `poly(LF,2)` and `poly(NW,3)`.

- a) In Problem 2 on Sheet 1, we saw that not all covariates are significant. Select the best linear model for the data by the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) using the **R** function `step()`. For this, perform a forward selection, backward elimination and stepwise selection in both directions. Compare the results.

Then, compare the model you chose with the full model

$$\text{lm}(\text{R} \sim \text{Age} + \text{S} + \text{Ed} + \text{Ex0} + \text{Ex1} + \text{M} + \text{N} + \text{U1} + \text{U2} + \text{W} + \text{X} + \text{poly}(\text{NW}, 3) + \text{poly}(\text{LF}, 2))$$

using the coefficient of determination  $R^2$ . Is this a reasonable comparison? If not, explain why and use another selection criterion to do the comparison.

- b) Explain the statistical hypothesis test for the line "F-Statistic" in the summary of the linear model you chose in a), i.e. give  $H_0$  and  $H_1$  and the rejection rule. Then, explain what is given in the output and what conclusions can be drawn from that if we set  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

**Problem 3 (Additional)** The  $i$ -th observation is removed from the design matrix  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$  of full rank and a response vector  $\mathbf{Y}$  of a linear model. Without loss of generality, we assume  $i = n$ . Let  $\mathbf{x}_n^\top$  denote the  $n$ -th row of  $X$  and let

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} X_{-n} \\ \mathbf{x}_n^\top \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{Y} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Y}_{-n} \\ y_n \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $X_{-n} \in \mathbb{R}^{(n-1) \times p}$  and  $\mathbf{Y}_{-n} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ . Denote the least squares estimator of the model with all observations by  $\hat{\beta}$  and write  $\hat{\beta}_{-n}$  for the least squares estimator when the  $n$ -th observation is removed.

Use Sherman-Morrison-Woodbury's Theorem to prove the following assertions:

a) Choose  $A$ ,  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{v}$  of Equation (1) appropriately to show that

$$(X_{-n}^\top X_{-n})^{-1} = (X^\top X)^{-1} + \frac{1}{1 - h_{nn}} [(X^\top X)^{-1} \mathbf{x}_n \mathbf{x}_n^\top (X^\top X)^{-1}] ,$$

where  $h_{nn} < 1$  is the  $n$ -th diagonal entry of the hat matrix.

b) Use the assertion from part a) to show that

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{-n} = \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} - \frac{r_n}{1 - h_{nn}} (X^\top X)^{-1} \mathbf{x}_n .$$

c) Conclude that

$$y_n - \mathbf{x}_n^\top \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_{-n} = \frac{1}{1 - h_{nn}} r_n .$$

**Sherman-Morrison-Woodbury's Theorem** Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$  be invertible and  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^p$ . If  $\mathbf{v}^\top A^{-1} \mathbf{u} \neq 1$  then

$$(A - \mathbf{u} \mathbf{v}^\top)^{-1} = A^{-1} + \frac{A^{-1} \mathbf{u} \mathbf{v}^\top A^{-1}}{1 - \mathbf{v}^\top A^{-1} \mathbf{u}} . \quad (1)$$

**Problem 4 (Additional)** We want to understand the orthogonalization in the function `poly` by doing the following. We consider the variable `LF` from the dataset `USCRIME`.

The polynomials that are used to calculate the transformed design matrix are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} F_0(x) &= 1/\sqrt{n_2} \\ F_1(x) &= (x - a_1)/\sqrt{n_3} \\ F_d(x) &= [(x - a_d) * \sqrt{n_{d+1}} * F_{d-1}(x) - n_{d+1}/\sqrt{n_d} * F_{d-2}(x)] / \sqrt{n_{d+2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $a_d$  and  $n_d$  are given in `alpha` and `norm2` of `attributes(poly())$coefs` and  $d$  is the degree of the polynomial.

- Calculate the polynomials and compare them to the output of `poly()`.
- Show that the resulting columns in the design matrix based on the output of `poly(LF, 2)` are really orthogonal.
- Show that the fitted values of `lm(R ~ poly(LF, 2))` and `lm(R ~ poly(LF, 2), raw=TRUE)` are equal. Also compare the model properties  $R^2$  and AIC.