Study E	Events	Total	Weight	Risk of Bias		Proportion [95% CI]
Bautista, 2024	295	1186	7.9%	Moderate Risk	-	0.25 [0.22, 0.27]
Blackstone, 20	021302	880	7.9%	Low Risk		0.34 [0.31, 0.38]
Bruza-Augatis	s, 2 072/4 2	2262	8.1%	Moderate Risk		0.32 [0.30, 0.34]
Dyrbye, 2020	248	600	7.7%	Low Risk		-■ - 0.41 [0.37, 0.45]
Griffith, 2023	1053	4580	8.2%	Low Risk	•	0.23 [0.22, 0.24]
Halasy, 2021	246	600	7.7%	Low Risk		0.41 [0.37, 0.45]
Hooker, 2024	3351	12599	8.2%	Moderate Risk	=	0.27 [0.26, 0.27]
Norful, 2021	25	79	5.2%	Moderate Risk	_	0.32 [0.22, 0.43]
Osborn, 2019	110	312	7.2%	Moderate Risk		0.35 [0.30, 0.41]
Pan, 2023	13	42	3.9%	Moderate Risk		0.31 [0.19, 0.46]
Smith, 2024	120	430	7.4%	Moderate Risk	-	0.28 [0.24, 0.32]
Tetzlaff, 2021	114	234	7.1%	Low Risk		-■- 0.49 [0.42, 0.55]
Tetzlaff, 2023	58	146	6.4%	Moderate Risk		0.40 [0.32, 0.48]
Tetzlaff, 2018	87	250	7.0%	Low Risk		0.35 [0.29, 0.41]
					Random Effects Model (REML, Knapp-Hartung), I ² = 96.3%	0.33 [0.29, 0.38]

Test for overall effect: Z = -7.87 (P = 0.0000)

Heterogeneity: τ^2 = 0.1011; χ^2 = 280.25, df = 13 (P = 0.0000); I^2 = 96.3%

Proportion of Burnout in Physician Assistants/Associates

0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1